

## Appendix: Features of the Police-Suspect Interviews

<i>Code</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Sex of participants</i>				<i>Charges</i>
		<i>Pio</i>	<i>Sio</i>	<i>Tio</i>	<i>SPT</i>	
INT1	PS	F	M		M	Assault and criminal damage
INT2	PS	M	M		M	Assault
INT3	PS	M	M		M	Possession and use of cannabis
INT4	CIB	M	M		M	Burglary
INT5	PS	M	F		M	Car theft
INT6	CIB	M	M		M	Theft
INT7	PS	M	M		M	Possession and use of cannabis
INT8	PS	M	F	M	F	Burglary
INT9	CIB	M	M		M	Firearms
INT10	CIB	M	M		M	Indecent act
INT11	CIB	M	M		M	Assault
INT12	PS	F	M	M	M	Assault
INT13	CIB	M	M		M	Burglary

# Notes

1. This is followed with the instruction to '[u]se the wording on the Preamble to Interview Card', a prompt for officers containing such legislatively inspired scripts, which accounts for the consistency of wording across interviews.
2. This interview, recorded in 1995, reflects a slightly different wording in use at that time, notably the use of the passive form of the verb 'oblige', which has been replaced by the active form 'have to' in the latest instructions.
3. See section 2.3.2 for a description of the conventions used in transcriptions and extracts.
4. The word 'answers' here seems anomalous – it is possible that this clause is supposed to read 'where such person makes a confession [a member of the Force shall not] attempt, by further questioning, to break down **questions** to which unfavourable replies have been received ...', but this too seems less than clear.
5. Cf. Webster's definition of forensic psychiatry: 'the application of psychiatry in courts of law (as for the determination of criminal responsibility or liability for commitment for insanity)'.  
6. Some of the legislative requirements have changed very slightly over the period of time in which the interviews were recorded. Most notably, the wording of the fingerprinting caution changed in 1993 to reflect the new power granted to police officers to 'use reasonable force' to obtain fingerprints from suspects who did not consent to giving them freely. Prior to this change, the officer had to apply to the magistrates' court for an order to take the suspect's fingerprints by force.
7. This situation might usefully be compared to the notion of 'knowership' proposed by Goffman (1983) as involving 'the right and obligation mutually to accept and openly to acknowledge individual identification on all initial occasions of incidentally produced proximity' (p. 13).
8. Unfortunately we are unable to ascertain why SPT1 takes this stance of ignorance – we can only speculate that he must feel it mitigates his actions in some way, perhaps because he is trying to give the impression that the assault was not premeditated – if he did not know Ian, he could not have planned to assault him. Alternatively, SPT1, having recently ended a long-term relationship with Betty, may be unwilling to give any more weight than necessary to the possibility that she has begun a new relationship with Ian. Certainly SPT1 is quick to downgrade Ian's relationship with Betty from 'boyfriend', as suggested by pio1, to 'someone who hangs around the shop' (while still claiming not to know him!) which would support this alternative. Ultimately, though, it may be a combination of these factors, and others, which motivates SPT1 to claim he does not know Ian.
9. SPT2 names a radio station, which is here given the pseudonym 3ZX.
10. Incidentally, SPT2 uses the same terminology to describe smoking marijuana in line 138/SPT2: *and I said well↓ (0.6) more like you've had too much to↓ (0.2) smo:ke^.*

11. The example given by Greatbatch included cases where the interviewee began to initiate question sequences that did not return the floor to the interviewer, and the interviewer responded by noting the inappropriateness of this initiation in some way.
12. In INT3, the response 150/SPT3: *mm*⇒ *no*↓ has already been offered in response to pio3's question but a further response is invited by pio3 in line 151/pio3: *no*∧.
13. Extracts from interviews contained in the text are preceded by a code including the Interview number, Turn number and Participant number. See Appendix I for a list of transcription conventions and codes used in the study. For the full transcriptions of the seven interviews see Appendix II in Heydon 1997.
14. See for instance Thomas (1989), who discusses the use of discursal indicators in a disciplinary interview between two police officers of unequal rank. This is a different form of discourse from that of a police interview with a non-member of the police force; however the same feature is being used.
15. Fairclough's use of the term 'orders of discourse' – drawn from Michel Foucault's work – forms an important part of the discussion in Chapter 7 where it is examined in more detail.

# References

- Ainsworth, J. (1993). 'In a different register: the pragmatics of powerlessness in police interrogation'. *Yale Law Journal*, 103, 259–322.
- Antaki, C. and Widdicombe, S. (eds) (1998). *Identities in Talk*. London: Sage Publications.
- Atkinson, J. M. (1984). 'Public speaking and audience responses: some techniques for inviting applause'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 370–409). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Atkinson, J. M. (1992). 'Displaying neutrality: formal aspects of court proceedings'. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds), *Talk at Work* (pp. 199–211). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Atkinson, J. M. and Drew, P. (1979). *Order in Court: the Organisation of Verbal Interaction in Judicial Settings*. Atlantic Highlands, NJ: Humanities Press.
- Auburn, T., Drake, S. and Willig, C. (1995). "'You punched him, didn't you?": versions of violence in accusatory interviews'. *Discourse and Society*, 6(3), 353–86.
- Austin, J. (1962). *How To Do Things with Words*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Baldwin, J. (1993). 'Police interview techniques: establishing truth or proof?' *British Journal of Criminology*, 33(3), 325–52.
- Barthes, R. (1972). *Mythologies* (trans. A. Lavers). London: Jonathan Cape.
- Bergmann, J. R. (1992). 'Veiled morality: notes on discretion in psychiatry'. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds), *Talk at Work* (pp. 137–62). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Billig, M. (1991). *Ideology and Opinions: Studies in Rhetorical Psychology*. London: Sage.
- Bilmes, J. (1988). 'The concept of preference in conversation analysis'. *Language in Society*, 17, 161–81.
- Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and Symbolic Power*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Braithwaite, H., Brewer, N. and Strelan, P. (1998). *Conflict Management in Police–Citizen Interactions*. Roseville: McGraw-Hill.
- Button, G. and Casey, N. (1984). 'Generating topic: the use of topic initial elicitors'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 167–90). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cassell, P. (1993). *The Giddens Reader*. London: Macmillan Press.
- Clayman, S. E. (1992). 'Footing in the achievement of neutrality'. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds), *Talk at Work* (pp. 163–98). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cooke, M. (1996). 'A different story: narrative versus "question and answer" in Aboriginal evidence'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 3(2), 273–88.
- Cotterill, J. (1998). "'If it doesn't fit, you must acquit": metaphor and the O. J. Simpson criminal trial'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 5(2), 141–58.

- Cotterill, J. (2000). 'Reading the rights: a cautionary tale of comprehension and comprehensibility'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 7(1), 4–25.
- Cotterill, J. (2001). 'Domestic discord, rocky relationships: semantic prosodies in representations of marital violence in the O. J. Simpson trial'. *Discourse and Society*, 12(3), 291–312.
- Coulthard, M. (1997). 'A failed appeal'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 4(2), 287–302.
- Coulthard, M. (2000). 'Whose text is it? On the linguistic investigation of authorship'. In M. Coulthard and S. Sarangi (eds), *Discourse and Social Life* (pp. 270–87). London: Longman.
- Coupland, J., Robinson, J. D. and Coupland, N. (1994). 'Frame negotiation in doctor–elderly patient consultations'. *Discourse and Society*, 5(1), 89–124.
- Danet, B. (1980). 'Language in the legal process'. *Law and Society Review*, 14, 445–564.
- Davidson, A. I. (1986). 'Archaeology, genealogy and ethics'. In D. C. Hoy (ed.), *Foucault: A Critical Reader* (pp. 221–233). Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Davidson, J. (1984). 'Subsequent versions of invitations, offers, requests, and proposals dealing with potential or actual rejection'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 102–28). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dean, M. (1994). *Critical and Effective Histories: Foucault's Methods and Historical sociology*. London: Routledge.
- Drew, P. (1984). 'Speakers' reportings in invitation sequences'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 129–51). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Drew, P. (1985). 'Analyzing the use of language in courtroom interaction'. In T. A. Van Dijk (ed.), *Handbook of Discourse Analysis* (Vol. 3, pp. 133–47). London: Academic Press.
- Drew, P. and Heritage, J. (1992). 'Analyzing talk at work'. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds), *Talk at Work* (pp. 3–65). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Drew, P. and Holt, E. (1988). 'Complainable matters: the use of idiomatic expressions in making complaints'. *Social Problems*, 35(4), 398–417.
- Drew, P. and Sorjonen, M.-L. (1997). 'Institutional dialogue'. In T. A. van Dijk (ed.), *Discourse as Social Interaction* (Vol. 2, pp. 92–118). London: Sage.
- Eades, D. (1982). '"You gotta know how to talk...": information seeking in South-East Queensland Aboriginal Society'. *Australian Journal of Linguistics*, 2(1), 61–82.
- Eades, D. (1990). 'Language and the law: an Australian introduction'. *Australian Journal of Linguistics*, 10, 89–100.
- Eades, D. (1994). 'A case of communicative clash: Aboriginal English and the legal system'. In J. Gibbons (ed.), *Language and the Law* (pp. 234–64). Harlow: Longman.
- Fairclough, N. (1989). *Language and Power*. Harlow: Longman.
- Fairclough, N. (2000). *New Labour, New Language?* London: Routledge.
- Fairclough, N. and Wodak, R. (1997). 'Critical Discourse Analysis'. In T. A. Van Dijk (ed.), *Discourse as Social Interaction* (pp. 258–84). London: Sage.
- Fisher, S. and Todd, A. (1986a). 'Friendly persuasion: negotiating decisions to use oral contraceptive'. In S. Fisher and A. Todd (eds), *Discourse and Institutional*

- Authority: Medicine, Education and Law* (pp. 3–25). Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Fisher, S. and Todd, A. (1986b). 'Introduction. Communication in institutional contexts: social interaction and social structure'. In S. Fisher and A. Todd (eds), *Discourse and Institutional Authority: Medicine, Education and Law* (pp. ix–xviii). Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Fisher, S. and Todd, A. (eds) (1986c). *Discourse and Institutional Authority: Medicine, Education and Law* (Vol. XIX). Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Foucault, M. (1980). *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977*. New York: Pantheon.
- Foucault, M. (1990). *The History of Sexuality: Volume 1: An Introduction*. London: Penguin Books.
- Fox, G. (1993). 'A comparison of "policeseak" and "normalspeak": a preliminary study'. In S. J. M. Hoey and G. Fox (eds), *Techniques of Description: Spoken and Written Discourse* (pp. 183–95). London: Routledge.
- Frankel, R. (1990). 'Talking in interviews: a dispreference for patient-initiated questions in physician–patient encounters'. In G. Psathas (ed.), *Interaction Competence* (pp. 231–62). Washington DC: University Press of America.
- Garfinkel, H. (1967). *Studies in Ethnomethodology*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Garfinkel, H. (1974). 'On the origins of the term "ethnomethodology"'. In R. Turner (ed.), *Ethnomethodology*. Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Genine, L. and Shuy, R. W. (1990). 'Mc-: meaning in the marketplace'. *American Speech*, 65(4), 349–66.
- Gerth, H. and Wright Mills, C. (1970). *From Max Weber*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Gibbons, J. (1990). 'Applied linguistics in court'. *Applied Linguistics*, 11(3), 229–37.
- Gibbons, J. (1996). 'Distortions of the police interview process revealed by video-tape'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 3(2), 289–298.
- Giddens, A. (1982). *Profiles and Critiques in Social Theory*. London: Macmillan Press.
- Giddens, A. (1984). *The Constitution of Society: Outline of the Theory of Structuration*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Goffman, E. (1974). *Frame Analysis*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of Talk*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Goffman, E. (1983). 'The interaction order'. *American Sociological Review*, 48 (February), 1–17.
- Goldsmith, D. J. (1999). 'Content-based resources for giving face sensitive advice in troubles talk'. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 32(4), 303–36.
- Greatbatch, D. (1988). 'A turn-taking system for British news interviews'. *Language in Society*, 17, 401–30.
- Greatbatch, D. and Dingwall, R. (1998). 'Talk and identity in divorce mediation'. In C. Antaki and S. Widdicombe (eds), *Identities in Talk* (pp. 121–32). London: Sage Publications.
- Grice, H. P. (1975). 'Logic and conversation'. In P. Cole and J. L. Morgan (eds), *Speech Acts (Syntax and Semantics Volume III)* (pp. 41–58). New York: Academic Press.

- Gumperz, J. (1981). 'The linguistic bases of communicative competence'. In D. Tannen (ed.), *Analyzing Discourse: Text and Talk* (pp. 323–34). Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Gumperz, J. (1982). *Discourse Strategies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gumperz, J. (1999). 'On interactional sociolinguistic method'. In S. Sarangi and C. Roberts (eds), *Talk, Work and Institutional Order: Discourse in Medical, Mediation and Management Settings* (pp. 453–71). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Habermas, J. (1986). 'Taking aim at the heart of the present'. In D. C. Hoy (ed.), *Foucault: a Critical Reader* (pp. 103–8). Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Hall, M. C., and Collins, A. M. (1980). 'The admission of spectrographic evidence: a note on *Reg v Gilmore*'. *The Australian Law Journal*, 54, 21–4.
- Heritage, J. (1984). 'A change-of-state token and aspects of its sequential placement'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 299–345). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Heritage, J. (1985). 'Analyzing news interviews: aspects of the production of talk for an overhearing audience'. In T. A. V. Dijk (ed.), *Handbook of Discourse Analysis* (Vol. 3, pp. 95–117). London: Academic Press.
- Heritage, J. and Watson, D. R. (1979). 'Formulations as conversational objects'. In G. Psathas (ed.), *Everyday Language: Studies in Ethnomethodology* (pp. 123–62). New York: Irvington Publishers.
- Heydon, G. (1997). 'Participation frameworks, discourse features and embedded requests in police V.A.T.E. interviews with children'. Unpublished thesis, Monash University, Melbourne.
- Holquist, M. (ed.) (1981). *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays by M. M. Bakhtin*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Jefferson, G. (1978). 'Sequential aspects of storytelling in conversation'. In J. Schenkein (ed.), *Studies in the Organization of Conversational Interaction* (pp. 219–48). New York: Academic Press.
- Jefferson, G. (1979). 'A technique for inviting laughter and its subsequent acceptance/declination'. In G. Psathas (ed.), *Everyday Language: Studies in Ethnomethodology* (pp. 79–96). New York: Irvington Publishers.
- Jefferson, G. (1980). 'On "trouble-premonitory" response to inquiry'. *Sociological Enquiry*, 50, 153–85.
- Jefferson, G. (1984a). 'On stepwise transition from talk about a trouble to inappropriately next-positioned matters'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 191–222). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jefferson, G. (1984b). 'On the organisation of laughter in talk about troubles'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 346–69). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jefferson, G. (1985). 'On the interactional unpacking of a "gloss"'. *Language in Society*, 14, 435–66.
- Jefferson, G. (1987). 'On exposed and embedded correction in conversation'. In G. Button and J. Lee (eds), *Talk and Social Organisation* (pp. 86–100). Clevedon, Philadelphia: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Jefferson, G. (1988). 'On the sequential organization of troubles-talk in ordinary conversation'. *Social Problems*, 35(4), 418–41.
- Jefferson, G. (1989). 'Preliminary notes on a possible metric which provides for a "standard maximum" silence of approximately one second in conversation'.

- In D. Roger and P. Bull (eds), *Conversation: an Interdisciplinary Perspective*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Jefferson, G. and Lee, J. (1980). *The Analysis of Conversations in which 'Troubles' and 'Anxieties' are Expressed* (SSRC No. HR 4805/2). Manchester: University of Manchester.
- Jefferson, G. and Lee, J. (1992). 'The rejection of advice: managing the problematic convergence of a "troubles-telling" and a "service encounter"'. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds), *Talk at Work* (pp. 521–48). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jensen, M.-T. (1990). 'Differences between a written police record of interview and a tape-recorded interview of a non-native speaker of English'. *Melbourne Papers in Applied Linguistics*, 2(1), 1–18.
- Jensen, M.-T. (1995). 'Linguistic evidence accepted in the case of a non-native speaker of English'. In D. Eades (ed.), *Language in Evidence: Issues Confronting Aboriginal and Multicultural Australia* (pp. 127–46). Sydney: University of New South Wales Press.
- Labov, W. (1982). 'Objectivity and commitment in linguistic science: the case of the Black English trial in Ann Arbor'. *Language in Society*, 11, 165–201.
- Lakoff, R. (1975). *Language and Woman's Place*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Lakoff, R. (1990). *Talking Power: the Notion of Language in an Institutional Setting*. New York: Basic Books.
- Ledbetter, P. J. and Dent, C. H. (1988). 'Young children's sensitivity to direct and indirect request structure'. *First Language*, 8, 227–46.
- Levi, J. N. (1994). 'Language as evidence: the linguist as expert witness in North American courts'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 1(1), 1–26.
- Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Levinson, S. C. (1988). 'Putting linguistics on a proper footing: explorations in Goffman's concepts of participation'. In P. Drew and A. Wootton (eds), *Erving Goffman: Exploring the Interaction Order* (pp. 161–227). Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Levinson, S. C. (1992). 'Activity types and language'. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (eds), *Talk at Work* (pp. 66–100). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Linell, P. and Jonsson, L. (1991). 'Suspect stories: on perspective setting in an asymmetrical situation'. In I. Markova and K. Foppa (eds), *Asymmetries in Dialogue* (pp. 75–100). Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- McElhinny, B. S. (1995). 'Challenging hegemonic masculinities: female and male police officers handling domestic violence'. In K. Hall and M. Bucholtz (eds), *Gender Articulated: Language and the Socially Constructed Self* (pp. 217–43). New York: Routledge.
- Michaud, S. L. and Warner, R. M. (1997). 'Gender differences in self-reported response to troubles talk'. *Sex Roles*, 37(7/8), 527–40.
- Milroy, J. (1984). 'Sociolinguistic methodology and the identification of speakers' voices in legal proceedings'. In P. Trudgill (ed.), *Applied Sociolinguistics* (pp. 51–72). London: Academic Press.
- Pearse, J. and Gudjonsson, G. H. (1996). 'Police interviewing techniques at two South London police stations'. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 3, 63–74.
- Pennycook, A. (2001). *Critical Applied Linguistics: a Critical Introduction*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.



- Perakyla, A. and Silverman, D. (1991). 'Reinterpreting speech-exchange systems: communication formats in AIDS counselling'. *Sociology*, 25(4), 627–51.
- Polanyi, L. (1985). 'Conversational storytelling'. In T. A. V. Dijk (ed.), *Handbook of Discourse Analysis* (Vol. 3, pp. 183–201). London: Academic Press.
- Pomerantz, A. M. (1980). 'Telling my side: "limited access" as a "fishing" device'. *Sociological Enquiry*, 50(3–4), 186–98.
- Pomerantz, A. M. (1984a). 'Agreeing and disagreeing with assessments: some features of preferred/dispreferred turn shapes'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 57–101). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pomerantz, A. M. (1984b). 'Giving a source or basis: the practice in conversation of telling "how I know"'. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 8, 607–25.
- Pomerantz, A. M. (1984c). 'Pursuing a response'. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (eds), *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis* (pp. 152–63). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pomerantz, A. M. (1986). 'Extreme case formulations: a way of legitimizing claims'. *Human Studies*, 9, 219–29.
- Pomerantz, A. M. (1987). 'Description in legal settings'. In G. Button and J. Lee (eds), *Talk and Social Organisation* (pp. 226–43). Clevedon, Philadelphia: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Powell, M. B. and Thomson, D. M. (1994). 'Children's eyewitness-memory research: implications for practice'. *Families in Society: the Journal of Contemporary Human Services*, April, 204–15.
- Pritchard, C. R. (1993). 'Supportive devices in language and paralinguistics in the achievement of affiliation in troubles talk'. *Australian Review of Applied Linguistics*, 16(1), 57–70.
- Pritchard, C. R. (1994). 'Analysis of structure and interaction in the construction of a program for radio'. Unpublished MA thesis, University of Melbourne, Melbourne.
- Roberts, C. and Sarangi, S. (1999). 'Introduction: negotiating and legitimating roles and identities'. In S. Sarangi and C. Roberts (eds), *Talk, Work and Institutional Order: Discourse in Medical, Mediation and Management Settings* (pp. 227–36). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Sacks, H. (1972). 'Notes on police assessment of moral character'. In D. N. Sudnow (ed.), *Studies in Social Interaction* (pp. 280–93). New York: Free Press.
- Sacks, H. (1974). 'An analysis of the course of a joke's telling in conversation'. In R. Bauman and J. Sherzer (eds), *Explorations in the Ethnography of Speaking* (pp. 337–53). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sacks, H. (1987). 'On the preferences for agreement and contiguity in sequences in conversation'. In G. Button and J. Lee (eds), *Talk and Social Organisation* (pp. 54–69). Clevedon, Philadelphia: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Sacks, H. (1992a). 'Lecture 1: rules of conversational sequence'. In G. Jefferson (ed.), *Lectures on Conversation* (Vol. 1, pp. 3–11). Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Sacks, H. (1992b). *Lectures on Conversation*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Sacks, H., Schegloff, E. and Jefferson, G. (1974). 'A simplest systematics for the organisation of turn-taking for conversation'. *Language*, 50(4), 696–735.
- Sarangi, S. and Roberts, C. (eds) (1999). *Talk, Work and Institutional Order: Discourse in Medical, Mediation and Management settings*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

- Schegloff, E. (1991). 'Reflections on talk and social structure'. In D. Boden and D. H. Zimmerman (eds), *Talk and Social Structure: Studies in Ethnomethodology and Conversation Analysis* (pp. 44–70). Cambridge: Polity Press in association with Blackwell.
- Schegloff, E. and Sacks, H. (1973). 'Opening up closing'. *Semiotica*, 8(4), 289–327.
- Schiffrin, D. (1994). *Approaches to Discourse*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Settle, R. (1990). *Police Power: Use and Abuse*. Northcote: Muxworthy Press.
- Shuy, R. W. (1997). 'Ten unanswered questions about Miranda'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 4(2), 175–96.
- Shuy, R. W. (1998). *The Language of Confession, Interrogation, and Deception*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Tannen, D. (1993). 'Interactive frames and knowledge schemas in interaction: examples from a medical examination/interview'. In *Framing in Discourse*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Thomas, J. A. (1989). 'Discourse control in confrontational interaction'. In L. Hickey (ed.), *The Pragmatics of Style* (pp. 133–56). London: Routledge.
- Tracy, K. (1997). 'Interactional trouble in emergency service requests: a problem of frames'. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 30(4), 315–43.
- van Dijk, T. A. (1987). *Communicating Racism: Ethnic Prejudice in Thought and Talk*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- van Dijk, T. A. (1996). 'Discourse, power and access'. In C. R. Caldas-Coulthard and M. Coulthard (eds), *Texts and Practices: Readings in Critical Discourse Analysis* (pp. 84–104). London: Routledge.
- van Dijk, T. A. (1997). 'Discourse as interaction in society'. In T. A. van Dijk (ed.), *Discourse as Social Interaction* (pp. 1–37). London: Sage.
- Walsh, M. (1994). 'Interactional styles in the courtroom: an example from Northern Australia'. In J. Gibbons (ed.), *Language and the Law* (pp. 217–33). Harlow: Longman.
- Watson, D. R. (1976). 'Some conceptual issues in the social identification of victims and offenders'. In E. C. Viano (ed.), *Victims and Society* (pp. 60–71). Washington DC: Visage Press.
- Watson, D. R. (1983). 'The presentation of victim and motive in discourse: the case of police interrogations and interviews'. *Victimology*, 8(1–2), 31–52.
- Wodak, R. (1996a). *Disorders of Discourse*. London: Addison Wesley Longman.
- Wodak, R. (1996b). 'The genesis of racist discourse in Austria since 1989'. In C. R. Caldas-Coulthard and M. Coulthard (eds), *Texts and Practices: Readings in Critical Discourse Analysis* (pp. 107–28). London: Routledge.
- Woolfs, D. and Coulthard, M. (1998). 'Tools for the trade'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 5(1), 33–57.
- Zimmerman, D. H. (1998). 'Identity, context and interaction'. In C. Antaki and S. Widdicombe (eds), *Identities in Talk* (pp. 87–106). London: Sage Publications.

# Index

- abbreviations used, xii
- accusations, 26–7, 117–24
- adjacency pairs, 24–5, 77, 94, 99–100, 124
- asymmetry, 148–9, 174
- attributions, *see* accusations
- authoritative voice, 95, 116, 131, 146, 174–83
- blame, 204–5
- cautions, 4–5, 7, 48–9, 53–4, 167–71  
*see also* police interviews
- children, *see* embedded requests, training, police interviews
- criminal charges, 95–6, 172–3
- clarifications, 53–5, 77, 81, 82, 84, 89, 167–74  
*see also* misunderstandings
- closings, *see* openings and closings
- coercion, 6–8, 58
- confessions  
consistency in, 65, 72  
elicitation of, 84–90, 100, 106, 125, 174, 182  
suspect's commitment to, 90, 183–91  
voluntariness of, 1, 2, 6–7, 58, 67, 72, 83, 196  
*see also* silence, right to
- contextualisation cues, 19–20, 172–3
- Conversation Analysis, 10–11, 16, 22–9, 40  
*see also* accusations, adjacency pairs, formulations, insert sequences, preference, repairs, topic and turn-taking
- conversational style, 174, 182–3
- courtroom language, 32–3, 39, 158–9, 181
- criminality of suspects, 201–2, 206
- Critical Discourse Analysis, 11, 14, 16–19, 208
- data collection, 42–4
- defence, discourse of, 209, 215
- deference structure, 116, 145–6, 198–9, 206–7
- dialectic of control, 12–13, 16
- discoursal indicators, 112, 155–6, 180
- discourse  
disorders of, 210–11  
orders of, 15, 208, 211  
and power, 17–18, 209  
use of the term, 14–15  
*see also* myths
- embedded requests, 151, 159–64, 179–81
- evidence, *see* confessions, knowledge states
- expert opinions, *see* forensic linguistics
- fishing device, *see* 'my side' tellings
- footing, 20–2  
*see also* participation frameworks
- forensic linguistics, 2, 29–32  
*see also* language and the law
- formality, levels of, 159, 164
- formulations, 28, 75, 96, 122, 131–2, 135–45, 187–9, 203, 206
- frames, 20, 40–1  
*see also* participation frameworks
- gender  
of participants, 44  
and police discourse, 4
- identity, 21, 200–4
- initiations by suspect, 97–100  
*see also* topic management
- insert sequences, 78, 98–100, 106
- interactional resources, 13, 16, 110, 116, 124, 131–5, 143, 145, 175–8, 197–8, 206

- Interactional Sociolinguistics, 19, 149  
*see also* participation frameworks
- interruptions, 26–7, 62, 81, 89, 106, 114, 198
- knowledge states, misrepresentation of, 59–70, 86, 125–7, 210
- language and the law, 32–3
- legislation
  - Crimes Act (1958) 5–6, 8
  - Police Standing Orders, 6–8
  - Victoria Police Manual (Operating Procedures), 5*see also* police interviews
- lie detection, 187
- medical discourse, 210–11
- misunderstandings, 95–9, 165–74  
*see also* cautions, clarifications
- mitigation, mitigating circumstances, 34, 103, 109, 132–5, 136, 204–7, 210
- moral judgements, 203, 206–7, 210
- multi-component answers, 100–5
- ‘my side’ tellings, 126–30, 186–8
- myths, mythologies
  - in institutional discourse, 9, 19, 36–7
  - in police interviews, 9, 18, 29, 165–93, 199–200, 208–11, 213
- naming rituals, 156–8
- narratives, *see* versions of events
- news interviews, 38–9, 94, 99–100, 121, 131
- newsworthiness of events, 108–10
- ‘obligatingness’ of utterances, 163–4, 198, 203
- oh* change of state token, 121
- openings and closings, 94, 95–7  
*see also* participation frameworks
- participation frameworks
  - of information gathering, 57–73, 117, 196–7
  - of interview opening and closing, 49–57, 151–5, 196
  - participant roles, 21–2, 40, 48, 49, 55–6, 195
  - shifting between, 74–91, 175–9
  - use in the analysis of, 10–11, 16, 20–2, 40, 47, 48–50
  - P2RA framework, 80
  - PI2R framework, 56
  - S3R framework, 67
  - P3R framework, 67*see also* footing, frames
- pauses, *see* silence
- persuasion, police powers of, 183–91, 206
- police behaviour, 8–9, 33–6  
*see also* myths
- police discursive power, 33, 35–6, 174–7, 181–3, 194, 197–200, 207, 208–9
- police institutional discourse, 3, 33–4, 38, 70, 95, 208–11
- police interviews
  - with children, 148–64, 179–81
  - formulaic utterances in, 50–3, 83
  - as institutional discourse, 4–9, 37–41, 150–7, 196, 206, 210
  - institutional goals of, 47, 50, 56–7, 72–4, 95, 100, 146, 196
  - and legislative requirements, 4–9, 42, 50, 56–7, 73, 167, 171, 197
  - neutrality of interviewer in, 39–40, 154, 159
  - structure of, 47–92
  - study of, 2
- ‘policeseak’, 166, 209
- power, 11–14  
*see also* discourse
- preference, 25–7, 65, 99, 170, 188
- psychiatric interviews, 206
- question and answer chains, 98, 116, 146, 197  
*see also* adjacency pairs, embedded requests, turn pre-allocation
- receipt markers, 39, 99, 158–9, 180
- repairs, 97–8, 105–6
- resources, 12–13  
*see also* interactional resources

- side sequences, *see* insert sequences
- silence  
   in interactions (pauses), 66–7, 79, 84, 108  
   right to, 7, 8, 27
- social practice, 18, 207
- speaker roles, *see* participation frameworks
- third turn objects, *see* receipt markers
- topic  
   constraints by police, 112–13, 155–6, 198  
   disjunctive shift, 111–12, 115, 131–2  
   initiation, 27–8, 94, 108–9,  
   management by police, 110–5,  
   130–5, 176–7  
   management by suspects,  
   89, 100–10  
   relevance, 103–4, 115, 134–5, 155  
   stepwise transition, 110–11, 132–3,  
   177, 198  
   topic initial elicitor, *see* topic
- topicalisation, 108–9
- training, VATE project, 149–50, 180
- transcription  
   conventions used, xi,  
   methodology, 44–6, 150
- tri-partite interview framework, 73,  
   74, 93, 150–5, 195–9, 206–7
- turn pre-allocation, 39, 40, 94, 97, 99,  
   107, 110, 146
- turn-taking, 23–4, 38, 93–100
- VATE project, 149–50, 180
- versions of events  
   construction by police, 1, 33, 34,  
   117–45  
   construction by suspect, 65–6, 72,  
   78, 82–4, 86, 124  
   negotiation of, 34, 62–3, 125–30,  
   184–91
- violence, representations of, 138–41,  
   143–4, 189–90, 202–3, 206
- voice, dialogic (Bakhtin), 21
- voice identification, 31