Visual autokinesis as a function of the amount of "possible" movement
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Reports of autokinetic movement were obtained from 10 male and 10 female Ss when the light was remembered as appearing in a large (15 in. square) window or in a small (5 in. square) window. The effect of exposure duration (10 sec vs 20 sec), trial blocks, and sex of S were also varied in the 2 by 2 by 2 Ss design. Movement was reported for the large window (p < .025), the 20-sec duration (p < .001), and the first trial block (p < .025). No other effects were significant. The results were discussed in terms of a cognitive organization imposed upon the inherently ambiguous perceptual situation.

The autokinetic effect has been shown to be sensitive to a large number of variables. Recent studies have related it to sensory deprivation (Doane, Mahato, Heron, & Scott, 1959), social deprivation (Walters & Quinn, 1961), "directional meaning" (Toch, 1962), the influence of other people in a social situation (Whittaker, 1964), instructions by E (Cautela & Vitro, 1964), repeated exposures and massed vs distributed practice (Farrow, Santos, Haines, & Solley, 1965), and success vs failure experiences (Worthy & Kahn, 1967). In addition, there is a large body of literature ranging from the psychophysiological basis for the phenomenon (e.g., Matlin & MacKinnon, 1964; Gregory & Zangwill, 1963) to the extent of its influence by social psychological factors (e.g., summarized by Sherif & Sherif, 1956).

It is obvious from perusal of this literature that very little has been accomplished by way of systematizing the exceedingly varied effects one obtains with this phenomenon. While concepts involving the establishment of social norms have been advanced to account for much of the work (e.g., Sherif, 1956), it is possible that a more general theoretical framework may account for a wider variety of the effects. The point of departure for the present investigation is the possibility that many of the findings might be understood in terms of a general tendency for Ss to establish a frame of reference, based upon any and all relevant information available, from which to judge stimuli (Helson, 1964). Such information might come from reports of other Ss in the situation, from what the E has told S about it (intended or otherwise), or from generalization from previous experiences with similar situations (Hoffman, Swander, & Rohrer, 1953).

The present experiment is an attempt to manipulate S's frame of reference in the autokinetic situation by giving him indirect cognitive information about how much movement he should report. The cognitive information is varied by changing the physical context in which the autokinetic light (AKL) is viewed. Specifically, it was predicted that a naive S would "know" that more movement could occur if he remembered the opening in which he saw the AKL as having been large rather than small, and that his report would be consistent with this knowledge. In other words, the remembered size of the opening would establish a frame of reference for the report of movement on that trial.

SUBJECTS

Subjects were 10 male and 10 female volunteers from introductory psychology classes, tested at the beginning of the Fall Quarter, 1968. Every effort was made to enlist Ss before the autokinetic effect was discussed in class, and, as well as could be determined, all Ss were naive as to the effect and purpose of the experiment.

APPARATUS

Each AKL consisted of a spot of light 1/16 in. in diam, obtained by masking a 2 x 2 in. General Electric electroluminescent lamp, which produced nominally white light. Two AKLs were used and were mounted inside a large orange-colored box, 33 in. wide, 29.25 in. high, and 13.25 in. deep. A large (15 in. square) and a small (5 in. square) opening was cut in the side of the box facing S, and a translucent screen of Mylar drafting paper was mounted behind each opening. The centers of the openings were approximately 6 ft. from S's chair, 16 in. or 12.7 deg apart, and aligned with S's horizontal meridian. One AKL was centered behind each screen. Centered above each opening was an instruction light (IL), which consisted of a Christmas tree lamp, shielded from S's direct view by a shade made of black construction paper. The IL, when switched on, illuminated the AKL screen below it, thus informing S which screen he was to view on the next trial. A synchronous motor was mounted inside the box and was wired in parallel with the AKLs, so that when an AKL was turned on, the motor began running audibly. The ILs and the AKLs were controlled by a Hunter Model 1514 timer. S and the AKL apparatus were situated in a light-tight room with black walls. E was in an adjacent room and communicated with S by means of an intercom.

PROCEDURE

Each S was told that the experiment was a test of two different screens. On each trial, he would be informed by the appropriate IL as to which screen he was viewing. He was told that, following the extinction of the IL, a small white light would appear, and that he should observe the light and, as soon as it was extinguished, report aloud how many inches it moved.

On each trial, the IL was presented for 0.5 sec, followed 1 sec later by the AKL which lasted either 10 or 20 sec. The design thus consists of two window sizes, combined with two AKL durations, yielding four conditions. Eight trials per condition were run, ordered according to two random 4 by 4 Latin squares. This random arrangement permitted the assessment of time (two trial blocks) in the experimental situation.

Following the 32 trials of the experiment, each S was asked to describe what he thought was the purpose of the experiment.

RESULTS

First of all, no S indicated that he knew the purpose of the experiment, stating only what E had told them previously. With regard to the amount of movement reported, the experimental design permitted the assessment of four effects: window size, AKL duration, trial blocks, and sex. The mean number of inches of movement reported under the 16 combinations of these conditions is shown in Table 1. Analysis of variance of these data indicated none of the interactions, when tested against the appropriate S interaction, to be significant. Although males appeared to report more movement than females, this difference was not significant [F(1/18) = 1.54]. The three

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Mean Amount of Autokinetic Movement Reported (Inches) as a Function of Stimulus Duration, Window Size, Trial Blocks and Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blk 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Sec</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>20 Sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In other words, on the basis of whatever information is available, S develops a frame of reference for interpreting the physiologically determined experience of instability in the AKL, which then operates to affect his judgments, i.e., his relation of the experience to the external scale (e.g., inches) imposed by the E.

Future research might explore either the importance of different sources of information (e.g., cognitive vs social) in the establishment of frames of reference, or perhaps, the range of sources of cognitive information which can affect S's judgment.
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Noun paired associates were presented for one study trial under instructions to construct sentences containing the pairs (construction), to listen to and to repeat ready-made sentences containing the pairs (perception), or merely to read the pairs aloud (control). Recall was greater for construction than for perception. Recall in the construction group was not significantly greater than in the control group, and it was conjectured that this might be due to spontaneous mediational construction in the latter group. The hypothesis that the construction of mediation by the S himself is more effective than the perception of a ready-made mediational link was supported.

There is evidence that instructions to mediate facilitate paired-associate (PA) learning (Paivio & Yuille, 1967; Yarmey & Csapo, 1968; Yuille & Paivio, 1968). There is also evidence that the perception of a ready-made mediational link facilitates PA learning (Jensen & Rohwer, 1963). The phenomenon of mediation raises important questions as to the nature of the thought process and the role of relations in learning. What is it about mediational links that facilitates learning? New facts need to be discovered to further our understanding of this organizational process.

It can be asked whether or not the construction of the relation by the S himself is itself an important factor, or if the mere perception of a relation is just as adequate as construction. Bühler (Humphrey, 1963, p. 63) claimed that when one is induced to actively think, memory is much improved. He showed that proverbs are remembered excellently, and he theorized that the proverbs made his Ss think, and that the thought is remembered where sheer sensory material would be forgotten. On this basis, it was hypothesized here that the construction of a relation by the S would be superior to the perception of a ready-made mediational link.

This hypothesis has recently been tested, but not supported, in an experiment by Lieberman, Walters, & Cox (1968). They presented 42 pairs once at 10-second intervals.