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## Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of analytic functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{U}: \mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, given by $h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_{n} z^{n}$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $h(z)$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * h)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} h_{n} z^{n}=(h \times f)(z) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1 ([1, 2], and [3] with $\mathbf{p}=1)$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho) \quad(0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2$ and $\left.|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ denote the class of functions $p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$, which are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfy the conditions:
(i) $p(0)=1$,
(ii) $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{\Re\left\{e^{i \lambda} p(z)\right\}-\rho \cos \lambda}{1-\rho}\right| \leq k \pi \cos \lambda \quad\left(r<1, z=r e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{U}\right)$.

We note that:
(i) $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(0)=\mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(k \geq 2\right.$ and $\left.|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ is the class of functions introduced by Robertson (see [4]), and he derived a variational formula for functions in this class.

[^0](ii) $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{0}(\rho)=\mathcal{P}_{k}(\rho)(0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2)$ is the class of functions introduced by Padmanabhan and Parvatham [5] (see also Umarani and Aouf [6]).
(iii) $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{0}(0)=\mathcal{P}_{k}(k \geq 2)$ is the class of functions having their real parts bounded in the mean on $\mathbb{U}$, introduced by Robertson [4] and studied by Pinchuk [7].
(iv) $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}(\rho)=\mathcal{P}(\rho)(0 \leq \rho<1)$ is the class of functions with positive real part of order $\rho, 0 \leq \rho<1$.
(v) $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}(0)=\mathcal{P}$ is the class of functions having positive real part for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

By the Koebe one-quarter theorem [8], we know that the image of $\mathbb{U}$ under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains the disk with center in the origin and radius $1 / 4$. Therefore, every univalent function $f$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(f(z))=z(z \in \mathbb{U}) \text { and } f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq 1 / 4\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the inverse function has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f$ and its inverse map $g=f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

Let $\sum$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$ in the form (1). For interesting examples about the class $\sum$, see [9].

The object of this paper is to introduce new subclass of Bazilevič functions [10] for the class $\sum$ with bounded boundary rotation and defined by using convolution as follows:

Definition 2 Let $f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*}, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2$ and $|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}$, then $(f * h)(z) \in \sum$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$ ifit satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\right\} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}\right\} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)(w \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that by putting different values for $h, \alpha, \beta, k, \lambda$, and $\rho$, in the above definition, we have:
(1) $\mathcal{M}_{1,0, \rho, k, \beta}\left(f \times \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=R_{\sum}(\rho, k, \beta)\left(f \in \sum, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2\right)$ (see [11], with $\gamma=1$ );
(2) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 0, \rho, k, 1}(f * h)=\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \rho, k}(f * h)\left(f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*}, 0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2\right)$ (see [12]);
(3) $\mathcal{M}_{\eta, 0, \rho, 2,1}(f * h)=\mathcal{L}_{\eta, \rho}(f * h)\left(f, h \in \sum, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1\right)$ (see [13] and [14]);
(4) $\mathcal{M}_{\eta, 0, \rho, 2,1}\left(f \times \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\eta, \rho}(f)(z)\left(f \in \sum, \eta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1\right)$ (see [15]);
(5) $\mathcal{M}_{1,0, \rho, 2, \beta}\left(f \times \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\rho, \beta}(f)(z)\left(f \in \sum, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1\right)$ (see [16]);
(6) $\mathcal{M}_{1,0, \rho, 2,1}\left(f \times \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\rho}(f)(z)\left(f \in \sum, 0 \leq \rho<1\right)$ (see [9]);
(7) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 0, \rho, 2, \beta}\left(f \times \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P} \sum_{\sum}^{\beta, \alpha}(0, \rho)\left(f \in \sum, \beta, \alpha \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1\right)$ (see [[17], with $\beta=0]$ );
(8) $\mathcal{M}_{1,0, \rho, 2, \beta}\left(f \times \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{\sum}(\beta, \rho)\left(f \in \sum, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1\right)$ (see [18]).

Also, we can obtain the following subclasses:
(i) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}\left(f \times \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=\digamma_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{f \in \sum:(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{f^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left(\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}\right.}{f^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{f^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 0, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)=\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{f, h \in \sum:(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\rho)\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\rho)\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 0, \rho, 2, \beta}(f * h)=\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \rho, \beta}(f * h)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{f, h \in \sum: \Re\left[(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\right]>\rho\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.\mathfrak{R}\left[(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}\right]>\rho\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, 0, k, \beta}(f * h)=\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, k, \beta}(f * h)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{f, h \in \sum:(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(v) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 0,0, k, \beta}(f * h)=\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, k, \beta}(f * h)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{f, h \in \sum:(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(vi) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 0,0,2, \beta}(f * h)=\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}(f * h)$

$$
=\left\{f, h \in \sum:(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}\right.
$$

and $\left.(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}\right\}$;
(vii) $\mathcal{M}_{1, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)=\mathbb{F}_{\lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left\{f, h \in \sum: \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.\frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{f \in \sum: \frac{e^{i \lambda\left[\frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\right]-\rho \cos \lambda-i \sin \lambda}}{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.\frac{e^{i \lambda}\left[\frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\right]-\rho \cos \lambda-i \sin \lambda}{(1-\rho) \cos \lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(viii) $\mathcal{M}_{1,0, \rho, 2, \beta}(f * h)=\mathbb{F}_{\rho, \beta}(f * h)$

$$
=\left\{f, h \in \sum: \mathfrak{R}\left[\frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\right]>\rho\right.
$$

$$
\text { and } \left.\mathfrak{R}\left[\frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}\right]>\rho\right\} .
$$

In order to obtain our main results, we have to recall here the following lemma.

Lemma 1 ([3] with $\mathbf{p}=1$ ). If $p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq(1-\rho) k \cos \lambda . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp. Equality is attained for the odd coefficients and even coefficients respectively for the functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}(z)=1+(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}\left[\left(\frac{k+2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)-\left(\frac{k-2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)-1\right], \\
& p_{2}(z)=1+(1-\rho) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}\left[\left(\frac{k+2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1-z^{2}}{1+z^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{k-2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}\right)-1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that for $\lambda=0$ in Lemma 1, we obtain the result obtained by Goswami et al. [19] [Lemma 2.1] for the class $\mathcal{P}_{k}(\rho)$.

In this paper, we will obtain the coefficients bounds $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$, which defined in Definition 2.

## Coefficient estimates for functions in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{f} * \boldsymbol{h})$

Theorem 1 Let $f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash\left\{-1, \frac{-1}{2}\right\}, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2,|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}$, $f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. Iff $* h$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|\alpha+\beta|\left|h_{2}\right|}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{[k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda]^{2}}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof 1 If $(f * h) \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$, then from Definition 2, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{z(f * h)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * h)(z)}\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}=p(z), p \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}+\alpha \frac{w\left((f * h)^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}\left(\frac{(f * h)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}=q(w), q \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ have Taylor expansions as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\ldots ., z \in \mathbb{U},  \tag{13}\\
& q(w)=1+q_{1} w+q_{2} w^{2}+q_{3} w^{3}+\ldots ., w \in \mathbb{U} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing the coefficients in (11) with (13) and coefficients in (12) with (14), we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}=(\beta+\alpha) a_{2} h_{2}  \tag{15}\\
& p_{2}=(\beta+2 \alpha) a_{3} h_{3}+\frac{(\beta+2 \alpha)(\beta-1)}{2} a_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{2}  \tag{16}\\
& q_{1}=-(\beta+\alpha) a_{2} h_{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}=(\beta+2 \alpha)\left(2 a_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{2}-a_{3} h_{3}\right)+\frac{(\beta+2 \alpha)(\beta-1)}{2} a_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\rho)$ and by applying Lemma 1 , we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda(n \geq 1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{n}\right| \leq k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda(n \geq 1) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) and (18) and using inequalities (19) and (20), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{|2 \alpha+\beta||\beta+1|} \frac{\left|p_{2}\right|+\left|q_{2}\right|}{\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{2 k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (15) and (19), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|\alpha+\beta|\left|h_{2}\right|} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (18) from (16), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}-q_{2}=2(2 \alpha+\beta)\left(a_{3} h_{3}-a_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}=2(\alpha+\beta)^{2} a_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using (23), (24), (19), and (20), and some easily calculations, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{[k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda]^{2}}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1. The result is sharp in view of the fact that assertion (8) of Lemma 1 is sharp.

Remark $1 \operatorname{For} h(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}, \beta=\alpha=1, k=2$, and $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Srivastava et al. [9] [Theorem 2].

Putting $h(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 1 Let $f \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash\left\{-1, \frac{-1}{2}\right\}, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2$ and $|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}$. If $f \in \digamma_{\alpha, \lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)}} ; \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|\alpha+\beta|}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|}+\frac{[k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda]^{2}}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}} .
$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2 Let $f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash\left\{-1, \frac{-1}{2}\right\}, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2, f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. Iff $* h \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\rho)}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{k(1-\rho)}{|\alpha+\beta|\left|h_{2}\right|}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\rho)}{|2 \alpha+\beta|\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{[k(1-\rho)]^{2}}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|}
$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\lambda=0$ and $k=2$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 3 Let $f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash\left\{-1, \frac{-1}{2}\right\}, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. Iff $* h \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \rho, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{4(1-\rho)}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{2(1-\rho)}{|\alpha+\beta|\left|h_{2}\right|}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\rho)}{|2 \alpha+\beta|\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{[2(1-\rho)]^{2}}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|} .
$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4 Let $f, h \in \sum, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, k \geq 2,|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. Iff $* h \in \mathbb{F}_{\lambda, \rho, k, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{(2+\beta)(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{(1+\beta) h_{2}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda}{(2+\beta)\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{[k(1-\rho) \cos \lambda]^{2}}{(1+\beta)^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|} .
$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\alpha=1, k=2$, and $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5 Let $f, h \in \sum, \beta \geq 0,0 \leq \rho<1, f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. If $f * h \in \mathbb{F}_{\rho, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{4(1-\rho)}{(2+\beta)(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{2(1-\rho)}{(1+\beta) h_{2}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\rho)}{(2+\beta)\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{[2(1-\rho)]^{2}}{(1+\beta)^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|}
$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\rho=0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 6 Let $f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash\left\{-1, \frac{-1}{2}\right\}, \beta \geq 0,|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, k \geq 2, f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. Iff $* h \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \lambda, k, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{k \cos \lambda}{|\alpha+\beta|\left|h_{2}\right|}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k \cos \lambda}{|2 \alpha+\beta|\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{[k \cos \lambda]^{2}}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|}
$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\rho=\lambda=0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 7 Let $f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash\left\{-1, \frac{-1}{2}\right\}, \beta \geq 0, k \geq 2, f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. Iff $* h \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, k, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{k}{|\alpha+\beta|\left|h_{2}\right|}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k}{|2 \alpha+\beta|\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{k^{2}}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|} .
$$

The result is sharp.
Putting $\rho=\lambda=0$ and $k=2$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 8 Let $f, h \in \sum, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \backslash\left\{-1, \frac{-1}{2}\right\}, \beta \geq 0, f * h$ given by (2) and $h_{2}, h_{3} \neq 0$. If $f * h \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}(f * h)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{4}{|2 \alpha+\beta|(\beta+1)\left|h_{2}\right|^{2}}} ; \frac{2}{|\alpha+\beta|\left|h_{2}\right|}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2}{|2 \alpha+\beta|\left|h_{3}\right|}+\frac{4}{|\alpha+\beta|^{2}\left|h_{3}\right|}
$$

## The result is sharp.

Putting $\lambda=0, \alpha=1$ and $h(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 9 Let $f \in \sum, 0 \leq \rho<1$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Iff $\in R_{\sum}(\rho, k, \beta)$, then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\rho)}{(2+\beta)(\beta+1)}} ; \frac{k(1-\rho)}{(1+\beta)}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\rho)}{(2+\beta)}+\frac{[k(1-\rho)]^{2}}{(1+\beta)^{2}}
$$

The result is sharp.

Remark 2 The results in Corollary 9 correct the results obtained by Orhan et al. [11] [Theorem 2.11, with $\gamma=1$.].
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