POSTER PRESENTATION





A new therapy (MP29-02*) effectively treats patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis who suffer most from the bothersome nasal symptom of congestion

Claus Bachert^{1*}, David Price², Glenis Scadding³, Wytske Fokkens⁴, Peter Hellings⁵, Ullrich Munzel⁶, Jean Bousquet⁷

From 9th Symposium of Experimental Rhinology and Immunology of the Nose (SERIN 2013) Leuven, Belgium. 21-23 March 2013

Background

In clinical practice, allergic rhinitis (AR) patients frequently present with a predominant or particularly bothersome symptom, most frequently nasal congestion.

Objective

To assess the efficacy of MP29-02* (a novel intranasal formulation of azelastine hydrochloride [AZE] and fluticasone propionate [FP]) in patients with seasonal AR (SAR) suffering predominantly from nasal congestion, compared to commercially available AZE or FP nasal sprays and placebo.

Methods

610 patients (\geq 12 years old) with moderate-to-severe SAR were randomized into a double-blind, placebo-controlled, 14-day, parallel-group trial to MP29-02*, AZE or FP nasal sprays and placebo (all given as 1 spray/nostril bid; total daily dose: 548µg AZE, 200µg FP]. Patients were defined as 'nasal congestion predominants' if their maximum symptom score at baseline was the nasal congestion score (n=368). Both reflective total nasal symptom score (rTNSS; max score =24) and nasal congestion symptom score (max score =6) reduction were assessed in these patients to show effect on their overall nasal symptom burden, as well as specific relief from nasal congestion.

Results

MP29-02* induced the greatest reduction in rTNSS in patients complaining of nasal congestion (-5.64), compared

¹Ghent University Hospital, Dept of Oto-rhinolaryngology, Ghent, Belgium Full list of author information is available at the end of the article to -3.93 for FP (Diff -1.71; 95% CI -3.00, -0.43; p=0.0093), -3.28 for AZE (Diff -2.36; 95% CI -3.51, -1.21; p<0.0001) and -2.63 for placebo (Diff -3.01; 95% CI -4.14, -1.88; p<0.0001), corresponding to a relative treatment difference of 57% to FP and 79% to AZE. These nasal congestionpredominant patients treated with MP29-02* also experienced a significantly greater reduction in their nasal congestion score; -1.41 vs -0.90 for FP (Diff: -0.51; 95% CI -0.83, -0.19; p=0.0018), -0.83 for AZE (Diff: -0.58; 95% CI -0.88, -0.29; p=0.0001) and -0.69 for placebo (Diff -0.72; 95% CI -1.02, -0.42; p<0.0001), with a relative treatment difference of 71% to FP and 81% to AZE. Neither AZE nor FP significantly differed from placebo in terms of nasal congestion reduction in these patients.

Conclusion

Unlike currently available first line therapy, MP29-02* effectively reduced nasal congestion and the overall nasal symptom burden of patients suffering predominantly from nasal congestion. This indicates that for nasal congestion predominant patients a decongestant might not be required prior to MP29-02* administration, and further supports the position of MP29-02*as the drug of choice for the treatment of AR.

*Dymista

Author details

¹Ghent University Hospital, Dept of Oto-rhinolaryngology, Ghent, Belgium. ²University of Aberdeen, Dept of General Practice & Primary Care, Abderdeen, UK. ³The Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear Hospital, London, UK. ⁴Academic Medical Center, Dept of Otorhinolaryngology, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. ⁵University Hospitals Leuven, Dept of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Leuven, Belgium. ⁶Meda Pharma, Biostatistics &



© 2013 Bachert et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Market Access, Bad Homburg, Germany. ⁷Hopital Arnaud de Villeneuve University Hospital, Montpellier, France.

Published: 16 July 2013

doi:10.1186/2045-7022-3-S2-P39

Cite this article as: Bachert *et al.*: **A new therapy (MP29-02*) effectively** treats patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis who suffer most from the bothersome nasal symptom of congestion. *Clinical and Translational Allergy* 2013 **3**(Suppl 2):P39.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit