RESEARCH

Open Access



Feedback control effect on the Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system with discrete delays

Chunling Shi^{1*}, Xiaoying Chen¹ and Yiqin Wang²

^{*}Correspondence: clshi000@163.com ¹College of Zhicheng, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P.R. China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we study a Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system with feedback control. We establish sufficient conditions under which a unique positive equilibrium is globally stable. Further, we show that a suitable feedback control on predator species can make prey species that is on the brink of extinction become globally stable, but under the conditions of small feedback control on predator, the prey species still extinct, whereas the predator species is stable at certain values. Several examples are presented to show the feasibility of the main results.

MSC: 34D23; 92D25; 34D20; 34D40

Keywords: Lotka-Volterra system; discrete delays; global stability; extinction; feedback controls

1 Introduction

A famous prey-predator system with discrete delays can be defined by

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) [r_{1} - a_{11}x_{1}(t) - a_{12}x_{2}(t - \tau_{1})],$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) [r_{2} + a_{21}x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - a_{22}x_{2}(t)],$$
(1.1)

where $x_1(t)$ is the prey population density at time t, $x_2(t)$ is the predator population density at time t, r_1 is the intrinsic growth rate of prey, r_2 is the intrinsic growth rate for predator species, a_{ii} for i = 1, 2 are intraspecific competition rates, and a_{ij} for $i \neq j$, i, j = 1, 2 are interspecific competition rates for prey and predator species, τ_1 is the time of catching prey, and τ_2 is maturation delay of predator.

The dynamical behavior of prey-predator system such as (1.1) has been investigated by many authors, and many excellent results concerned with permanence, extinction and persistence or uniform persistence, global stability, and almost periodic solutions are obtained (see, for example, [1–18]). In all prey-predator systems, there is a common competition between prey and predator species, and in general this competition is one sided, that is, the loss occurs only in the prey populations. In some cases, poor natural environment leads to a decrease in the birth rate of the prey population. Meanwhile, with the large predatory, the prey population is less and less and then tends to zero. Recently,



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

many scholars have done research on the ecosystem with feedback controls (see [19–26] and the references therein). In particular, Gopalsamy and Weng [22] introduced a feedback control variable into a two-species competitive system and discussed the existence of the globally attractive positive equilibrium of the system with feedback controls. Hu et al. [26] considered the extinction of a nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive system with pure delays and feedback controls, and by simulation they found that suitable feedback control variables can transform extinct species into permanent. Therefore, a natural and important question is that whether a proper control only on the predator population can make the extinct prey species become permanent, and the prey-predator can coexist in a certain pattern.

Motivated by the above work, in this paper, we consider the following predator-prey Lotka-Volterra delay system with feedback control:

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) [r_{1} - a_{11}x_{1}(t) - a_{12}x_{2}(t - \tau_{1})],$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) [r_{2} + a_{21}x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - a_{22}x_{2}(t) - cu(t)],$$

$$\dot{u}(t) = -eu(t) + dx_{2}(t),$$

(1.2)

where u(t) is the indirect control variable, $r_i > 0$, $\tau_i \ge 0$, $a_{ij} > 0$, i, j = 1, 2, $\tau = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$, c > 0, e > 0, d > 0,

$$x_i(\theta) = \phi_i(\theta), \qquad u(\theta) = \psi(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-\tau, 0],$$
(1.3)

where $\phi_i(\theta)$ (*i* = 1, 2) and $\psi(\theta)$ are nonnegative and bounded continuous functions on $[-\tau, 0]$.

The aim of this paper is, by using the method of multiple Lyapunov functionals [22, 24] and by developing a new analysis technique [26], to obtain sufficient conditions under which a unique positive equilibrium is globally stable.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, as preliminaries, some assumptions and lemmas are introduced. In Section 3, the main results of this paper are stated and proved. Finally, several examples together with their numerical simulations show the feasibility of the main results and the considerable effects of feedback controls to extinction of prey species.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we introduce the following hypotheses:

(H₁) $\frac{r_1}{r_2} > \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}}$, $\frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} > \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}}$, (H₁) $r_1 = a_{12}$

(H₂)
$$\frac{r_2}{r_2} < \frac{r_2}{a_{22}}$$
,
(H) $r_1 = a_{12} = a_{11}$

- (H₃) $\frac{r_1}{r_2} > \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22} + \frac{cd}{e}}$, $\frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} > \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}}$
- (H₄) $\frac{r_1}{r_2} < \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22} + \frac{cd}{e}}$,
- (H₅) $\frac{a_{12}}{a_{22} + \frac{cd}{e}} < \frac{r_1}{r_2} < \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} < \frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}}.$

By the method of Lyapunov functions (see [22, 26–29]), one can show that if the coefficients of system (1.1) satisfy (H₁), then system (1.1) possesses a unique positive equilibrium $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) = (\frac{r_1a_{22}-r_2a_{12}}{a_{11}a_{22}+a_{12}a_{21}}, \frac{r_2a_{11}+r_1a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22}+a_{12}a_{21}})$, which is globally attractive, that is, all positive solutions of system (1.1) satisfy

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x_i(t)=\bar{x}_i,\quad i=1.2.$$

If the coefficients of system (1.1) satisfy (H_2) , then system (1.1) is extinct, that is, all positive solutions of system (1.1) satisfy

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x_1(t) = 0, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} x_2(t) = \frac{r_2}{a_{22}}.$$

Now, we state the following lemmas, which are useful in the proof of the main results.

Lemma 2.1 Suppose that assumption (H_3) holds. It is not difficult to verity that system (1.2) has a unique positive equilibrium

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^* &= \frac{e(r_1a_{22} - r_2a_{12}) + r_1cd}{e(a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21}) + cda_{11}}, \\ x_2^* &= \frac{e(r_2a_{11} + r_1a_{21})}{e(a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21}) + cda_{11}}, \\ u^* &= \frac{d}{e}x_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 Let $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ be a solution of system (1.2) with initial condition (1.3). Then $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ is positive and bounded for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof Obviously, the solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ of system (1.2) with initial condition (1.3) is positive for all $t \ge 0$. By the first equation of system (1.2) we have

 $\dot{x}_1(t) \leq x_1(t) [r_1 - a_{11}x_1(t)].$

By a standard comparison principle and basic ODE theory it follows that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x(t) \le \frac{r_1}{a_{11}}$. Hence, for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that $x_1(t) \le \frac{r_1}{a_{11}} + \varepsilon_1$, $t > T_1$. By the second equation of system (1.2) we have

$$\dot{x}_2(t) \le x_2(t) \left[r_2 + a_{21} \left(\frac{r_1}{a_{11}} + \varepsilon_1 \right) - a_{22} x_2(t) \right]$$
 for all $t > T_1 + \tau_2$,

which implies that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x_2(t) \leq \frac{r_2+a_{21}(\frac{r_1}{a_{11}}+\varepsilon_1)}{a_{22}}$. Setting $\varepsilon_1 \to 0$, it follows that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} x_2(t) \leq \frac{r_2a_{11}+r_1a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22}}$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ small enough, there exists $T > T_1 + \tau_2 > 0$ such that $x_2(t) \leq \frac{r_2a_{11}+r_1a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22}} + \varepsilon_2$, t > T. By the third equation of system (1.2),

$$\dot{u}(t) \leq -eu(t) + d\left(\frac{r_2a_{11} + r_1a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22}} + \varepsilon_2\right) \text{ for all } t > T,$$

which means that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} u(t) \leq \frac{d}{e} \left(\frac{r_2 a_{11} + r_1 a_{21}}{a_{11} a_{22}} + \varepsilon_2 \right)$. Letting $\varepsilon_2 \to 0$, it follows that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} u(t) \leq \frac{d}{e} \frac{r_2 a_{11} + r_1 a_{21}}{a_{11} a_{22}}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

3 Main results

Now, we give our main results.

Theorem 3.1 Let $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ be a solution of system (1.2). If condition (H₁) or (H₃) holds, then the unique positive equilibrium of system (1.2) is globally asymptotically stable, that is,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x_i(t) = x_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = u^*.$$

Proof Define a Lyapunov function as follows:

$$V_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \eta_i \left(x_i - x_i^* - x_i^* \ln \frac{x_i}{x_i^*} \right) + \frac{c\eta_2}{2d} \left(u - u^* \right)^2,$$

where $\eta_1 = 1$, and η_2 is a positive constant to be determined.

Note that system (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) \Big[-a_{11} \Big(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*} \Big) - a_{12} \Big(x_{2}(t - \tau_{1}) - x_{2}^{*} \Big) \Big],$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) \Big[a_{21} \Big(x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - x_{1}^{*} \Big) - a_{22} \Big(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*} \Big) - c \Big(u(t) - u^{*} \Big) \Big],$$

$$\dot{u}(t) = -e \Big(u(t) - u^{*} \Big) + d \Big(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*} \Big).$$

(3.1)

Calculating the derivative of $V_1(t)$ along the solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ of system (1.2), we have

$$\dot{V}_{1}(t) = (x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*}) \left[-a_{11}(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*}) - a_{12}(x_{2}(t - \tau_{1}) - x_{2}^{*}) \right] + \eta_{2}(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}) \left[a_{21}(x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - x_{1}^{*}) - a_{22}(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}) - c(u(t) - u^{*}) \right] + \frac{c\eta_{2}}{d} (u(t) - u^{*}) \left[-e(u(t) - u^{*}) + d(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}) \right] \leq -a_{11}(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*})^{2} - a_{22}\eta_{2}(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*})^{2} - a_{12}(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*})(x_{2}(t - \tau_{1}) - x_{2}^{*}) + \eta_{2}a_{21}(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*})(x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - x_{1}^{*}).$$
(3.2)

By the inequality $ab \leq \frac{\theta}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2\theta}b^2$, $\theta > 0$, from (3.2) it follows that

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{1}(t) &\leq -a_{11} \left(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*} \right)^{2} - a_{22} \eta_{2} \left(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*} \right)^{2} \\ &+ a_{12} \left[\frac{1}{2\theta_{1}} \left(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*} \right)^{2} + \frac{\theta_{1}}{2} \left(x_{2}(t - \tau_{1}) - x_{2}^{*} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &+ \eta_{2} a_{21} \left[\frac{1}{2\theta_{2}} \left(x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - x_{1}^{*} \right)^{2} + \frac{\theta_{2}}{2} \left(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &= -a_{11} \left(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*} \right)^{2} - a_{22} \eta_{2} \left(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*} \right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{a_{12}}{2\theta_{1}} \left(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*} \right)^{2} + \frac{a_{12}\theta_{1}}{2} \left(x_{2}(t - \tau_{1}) - x_{2}^{*} \right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\eta_{2} a_{21}}{2\theta_{2}} \left(x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - x_{1}^{*} \right)^{2} + \frac{\eta_{2} a_{21} \theta_{2}}{2} \left(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*} \right)^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$(3.3)$$

Let

$$V_{2}(t) = \frac{\eta_{2}a_{21}}{2\theta_{2}}\int_{t-\tau_{2}}^{t} \left(x_{1}(s) - x_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} ds + \frac{a_{12}\theta_{1}}{2}\int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t} \left(x_{2}(s) - x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} ds.$$

Calculating the derivative of $V_2(t)$, we obtain

$$\dot{V}_{2}(t) = \frac{\eta_{2}a_{21}}{2\theta_{2}} \left(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} - \frac{\eta_{2}a_{21}}{2\theta_{2}} \left(x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - x_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} + \frac{a_{12}\theta_{1}}{2} \left(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} - \frac{a_{12}\theta_{1}}{2} \left(x_{2}(t - \tau_{1}) - x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}.$$
(3.4)

Define $V(t) = V_1(t) + V_2(t)$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq -\left(a_{11} - \frac{a_{12}}{2\theta_1} - \frac{\eta_2 a_{21}}{2\theta_2}\right) \left(x_1(t) - x_1^*\right)^2 - \left(a_{22}\eta_2 - \frac{a_{12}\theta_1}{2} - \frac{\eta_2 a_{21}\theta_2}{2}\right) \left(x_2(t) - x_2^*\right)^2.$$
(3.5)

Denote $\delta_1 = a_{11} - \frac{a_{12}}{2\theta_1} - \frac{\eta_2 a_{21}}{2\theta_2}$ and $\delta_2 = a_{22}\eta_2 - \frac{a_{12}\theta_1}{2} - \frac{\eta_2 a_{21}\theta_2}{2}$. Then taking $\eta_2 = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}}$ and $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \frac{a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21}}{2a_{11}a_{21}}$, we have

$$\delta_1 = \frac{a_{11}(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})}{a_{12}a_{21} + a_{11}a_{22}}, \qquad \delta_2 = \frac{a_{12}(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})}{2a_{11}a_{21}}.$$
(3.6)

Then, (H₁) or (H₃) shows that $\delta_i > 0$, i = 1, 2. It is easy to see that

$$\dot{V}(t) \le -\delta_1 (x_1(t) - x_1^*)^2 - \delta_2 (x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2.$$
(3.7)

Therefore, V(t) is nonincreasing. By Lemma 2.2, $\dot{x}_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, are bounded. So $|x_i(t) - x_i^*|$, i = 1, 2, are uniformly continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Integrating both sides of (3.7) on the interval [T, t), we have

$$V(t) + \delta_1 \int_T^t (x_1(s) - x_1^*)^2 ds + \delta_2 \int_T^t (x_2(s) - x_2^*)^2 ds < V(T).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and the initial condition ϕ_i that $x_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, are bounded for $t \in R$, that is, there exists M > 0 such that $0 < x_i(t) < M$, $i = 1, 2, t \in R$. Obviously, $V_1(T)$ is bounded, and

$$V_{2}(t) = \frac{\eta_{2}a_{21}}{2\theta_{2}} \int_{t-\tau_{2}}^{t} \left(x_{1}(s) - x_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} ds + \frac{a_{12}\theta_{1}}{2} \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t} \left(x_{2}(s) - x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta_{2}a_{21}}{2\theta_{2}} \tau_{2} \left(M + x_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} + \frac{a_{12}\theta_{1}}{2} \tau_{1} \left(M + x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} < +\infty.$$
(3.8)

Therefore

$$\int_{T}^{t} (x_{i}(s) - x_{i}^{*})^{2} ds < \frac{V(T)}{\delta_{i}} < +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From this inequality it follows that $(x_i(s) - x_i^*)^2 \in L^1[0, +\infty)$, i = 1, 2. By Barbalat's lemma (see [30]) we conclude that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} (x_i(t) - x_i^*)^2 = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x_i(t)=x_i^*,\quad i=1,2.$$

By the third equation of system (1.2) we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}u(t)=u^*.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1 Let $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ be a solution of system (1.2). If condition (H₅) holds, then the unique positive equilibrium of system (1.2) is globally asymptotically stable, that is,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x_i(t) = x_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = u^*.$$

Proof (H_5) implies (H_3) , so system (1.2) has a positive globally asymptotically stable equilibrium.

Remark 3.1 If (H₁) holds, then systems (1.1) and (1.2) are globally stable. Theorem 3.1 implies that the feedback control keeps the property of stability of system (1.2) but only changes the position of the unique positive equilibrium. That is, feedback control of system (1.2) leads to the number of the prey population increased $(x_1^* = \frac{e(r_1a_{22}-r_2a_{12})+r_1cd}{e(a_{11}a_{22}+a_{12}a_{21})})$ and the number of the predator population decreased $(x_2^* = \frac{e(r_2a_{11}+r_1a_{21})}{e(a_{11}a_{22}+a_{12}a_{21})})$.

Remark 3.2 If (H_2) holds, system (1.1) is extinct. (H_5) implies (H_2) , and system (1.2) has a unique positive equilibrium, which is globally asymptotically stable. Theorem 3.1 implies that the proper feedback control on predator species can change extinct prey species to be permanent.

Theorem 3.2 Assume that (H_4) holds. Let $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ be a solution of system (1.2). *Then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x_1(t)=0,\qquad \int_0^{+\infty}x_1(s)\,ds<+\infty.$$

Proof Condition (H₄) implies that

$$\frac{r_1}{r_2} < \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}}, \qquad \frac{c}{e} < \frac{a_{12}r_2 - a_{22}r_1}{dr_1}.$$
(3.9)

By (3.9) we can choose positive constants $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\frac{r_1}{r_2} < \frac{\beta}{\alpha} < \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}}$$

and

$$\frac{c}{e} < \frac{\gamma}{\beta} < \frac{\alpha a_{12} - \beta a_{22}}{d\beta} < \frac{a_{12}r_2 - a_{22}r_1}{dr_1}.$$

Thus there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$-\beta r_2 + \alpha r_1 < -\delta < 0, \qquad \beta a_{22} + \gamma d - \alpha a_{12} < 0, \qquad \beta c - \gamma e < 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Consider a Lyapunov functional of the form

$$V(t) = x_1^{\alpha}(t)x_2^{-\beta}(t) \exp\left[\gamma u(t) - \beta a_{21} \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x_1(s) \, ds - \alpha a_{12} \int_{t-\tau_1}^t x_2(s) \, ds\right].$$

Calculating the derivative of V along the solution of system (1.2), we have

$$\dot{V}(t) = V(t) \Big[+\alpha \Big(r_1 - a_{11} x_1(t) - a_{12} x_2(t - \tau_1) \Big) \\ -\beta \Big(r_2 + a_{21} x_1(t - \tau_2) - a_{22} x_2(t) - cu(t) \Big) \\ +\gamma \Big(-eu(t) + dx_2(t) \Big) \\ -\beta a_{21} x_1(t) + \beta a_{21} x_1(t - \tau_2) - \alpha a_{12} x_2(t) + \alpha a_{12} x_2(t - \tau_1) \Big] \\ = V(t) \Big[-\beta r_2 + \alpha r_1 + (-a_{11}\alpha - \beta a_{21}) x_1(t) \\ + (\beta a_{22} + \gamma d - \alpha a_{12}) x_2(t) + (\beta c - \gamma e) u(t) \Big].$$
(3.11)

From inequalities (3.10) and (3.11) we obtain

$$\dot{V}(t) \le -\delta V(t).$$

Integrating this inequality from 0 to *t*, we have

$$V(t) \le V(0) \exp(-\delta t). \tag{3.12}$$

By similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, there exists M > 0 such that $0 < x_i(t) < M$, $i = 1, 2, t \in R$. So

$$V(0) = x_1^{\alpha}(0)x_2^{-\beta}(0)\exp\left[\gamma u(0) - \beta a_{21} \int_{-\tau_2}^{0} x_1(s) \, ds - \alpha a_{12} \int_{-\tau_1}^{0} x_2(s) \, ds\right]$$

$$\leq x_1^{\alpha}(0)x_2^{-\beta}(0)\exp\left[\gamma u(0) + \beta a_{21}M\tau + \alpha a_{12}M\tau\right]$$

$$\leq +\infty. \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand,

$$V(t) \ge x_1^{\alpha}(t)x_2^{-\beta}(t)\exp\left[-\beta a_{21}\int_{t-\tau_2}^t x_1(s)\,ds - \alpha a_{12}\int_{t-\tau_1}^t x_2(s)\,ds\right]$$

$$\ge x_1^{\alpha}(t)x_2^{-\beta}(t)\exp[-\beta a_{21}M\tau - \alpha a_{12}M\tau].$$
(3.14)

Combining inequalities (3.12), (3.13), and (3.14), we have

$$x_1^{\alpha}(t) \le x_2^{\beta}(t) \exp(\beta a_{21}M\tau + \alpha a_{12}M\tau)V(0) \exp(-\delta t).$$
(3.15)

Inequality (3.15) means that

$$x_1(t) \leq \lambda \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{\alpha}t\right),$$

where $\lambda = [M^{\beta} \exp(\beta a_{21}M\tau + \alpha a_{12}M\tau)V(0)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. Hence, we obtain that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x_1(t)=0,\qquad \int_0^{+\infty}x_1(s)\,ds<+\infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3 If condition (H₄) holds, then the equilibrium $(x_1^{**}, x_2^{**}, u^{**}) = (0, \frac{er_2}{ea_{22}+cd}, \frac{dr_2}{ea_{22}+cd})$ of system (1.2) is globally asymptotically stable, that is,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x_1(t) = 0, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} x_2(t) = x_2^{**}, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = u^{**}.$$

Proof Let $(x_1(t), x_2(t), u(t))^T$ be a solution of system (1.2). It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\lim_{t\to\infty} x_1(t) = 0$, and it is easy to obtain that system (1.2) has an equilibrium $(x_1^{**}, x_2^{**}, u^{**}) = (0, \frac{er_2}{ea_{22}+cd}, \frac{dr_2}{ea_{22}+cd})$. Therefore, we only need to verify

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x_2(t)=x_2^{**},\qquad \lim_{t\to\infty}u(t)=u^{**}.$$

As before, we define a Lyapunov function as follows:

$$V_1(t) = x_2 - x_2^{**} - x_2^{**} \ln \frac{x_2}{x_2^{**}} + \frac{c}{2d} (u - u^{**})^2.$$
(3.16)

Calculating the derivative of $V_1(t)$ along the solution of system (1.2), we obtain that

$$V_{1}'(t) = (x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{**}) [a_{21}x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - a_{22}(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{**}) - c(u(t) - u^{**})] + \frac{c}{d} (u(t) - u^{**}) [-e(u(t) - u^{**}) + d(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{**})] \leq -a_{22} (x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{**})^{2} + a_{21} (M + x_{2}^{**}) x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}) - \frac{ce}{d} (u(t) - u^{**})^{2}.$$
(3.17)

Let

$$V_2(t) = a_{21} \left(M + x_2^{**} \right) \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x_1(s) \, ds.$$

Calculating the derivative of $V_2(t)$ along the solution of system (1.2), we have

$$V_{2}'(t) \le a_{21} \left(M + x_{2}^{**} \right) x_{1}(t) - a_{21} \left(M + x_{2}^{**} \right) x_{1}(t - \tau_{2}).$$
(3.18)

Define

$$V(t) = V_1(t) + V_2(t)$$

It follows from (3.17) and (3.18) that

$$V'(t) \le -a_{22} \left(x_2(t) - x_2^{**} \right)^2 + a_{21} \left(M + x_2^{**} \right) x_1(t) - \frac{ce}{d} \left(u(t) - u^{**} \right)^2.$$
(3.19)

Integrating both sides of (3.19) on the interval [T, t), we obtain

$$V(t) + a_{22} \int_{T}^{t} (x_2(s) - x_2^{**})^2 ds + \frac{ce}{d} \int_{T}^{t} (u(s) - u^{**})^2 ds \le V(T) + a_{21} (M + x_2^{**}) \int_{T}^{t} x_1(s) ds.$$

Obviously, $V_1(t)$ is bounded, and

$$V_2(t) = a_{21}(M + x_2^{**}) \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x_1(s) \, ds \le a_{21}(M + x_2^{**}) \tau M < +\infty.$$

By (H₅) it follows Theorem 3.2 that $\int_0^{+\infty} x_1(s) ds < +\infty$, and so $\int_T^t x_1(s) ds < +\infty$. Hence, we have

$$\int_{T}^{t} (x_2(s) - x_2^{**})^2 \, ds < +\infty, \qquad \int_{T}^{t} (u(s) - u^{**})^2 \, ds < +\infty.$$

Similarly to the analysis of the proof of Theorem 3.1, by Barbalat's lemma (see [30]) we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} (x_2(t) - x_2^{**})^2 = 0, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} (u(t) - u^{**})^2 = 0,$$

that is,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x_2(t)=x_2^{**},\qquad \lim_{t\to\infty}u(t)=u^{**}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Remark 3.3 By comparative analysis of (H_3) and (H_4) note that when the feedback control repression $\frac{cd}{e}$ remains small, feedback control on predator species has no influence on the extinction of system (1.2).

4 Examples

In this section, we give examples to illustrate the results obtained.

Example 4.1 We consider the following equations:

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t) [2 - 3x_1(t) - 4x_2(t - 2)],$$

$$\dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t) [1 + 2x_1(t - 3) - 3x_2(t)].$$
(4.1)

Consider the initial conditions $(x_1(\theta), x_2(\theta))^T = (0.3, 0.2)^T$ and $(1, 0.8)^T$ for all $\theta \in [-3, 0]$ and $t \in [0, 100]$. Obviously, $\frac{r_1}{r_2} = \frac{2}{1} > \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} = \frac{4}{3}$, $\frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} = \frac{3}{2} > \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} = \frac{4}{3}$. Then condition (H₁) holds,



and there exists a unique positive equilibrium $(x_1^*, x_2^*) = (\frac{2}{17}, \frac{7}{17})$, which is globally asymptotically stable (see Figure 1).

Example 4.2 We introduce feedback control to the predator species of system (4.1):

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) [2 - 3x_{1}(t) - 4x_{2}(t - 2)],$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) [1 + 2x_{1}(t - 3) - 3x_{2}(t) - 4u(t)],$$

$$\dot{u}(t) = -4u(t) + 3x_{2}(t).$$
(4.2)

Consider the initial conditions $(x_1(\theta), x_2(\theta), u(\theta))^T = (0.3, 0.2, 0.3)^T$ and $(1, 0.8, 1)^T$ for all $\theta \in [-3, 0]$ and $t \in [0, 100]$. For system (4.1), condition (H₁) holds; then system (4.2) has a unique positive equilibrium $(x_1^*, x_2^*, u^*) = (\frac{4}{13}, \frac{7}{26}, \frac{21}{104})$, which is globally asymptotically stable. Therefore, the feedback control variable can change the position of equilibrium and retain the stable property (Figure 2).

Example 4.3 We consider the equation

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) \Big[4 - 2x_{1}(t) - 3x_{2}(t-2) \Big],$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) \Big[3 + 1x_{1}(t-3) - 2x_{2}(t) \Big].$$
(4.3)

Consider the initial conditions $(x_1(\theta), x_2(\theta))^T = (0.3, 0.2)^T$ and $(1, 0.8)^T$ for all $\theta \in [-3, 0]$ and $t \in [0, 100]$. It is easy to see that $\frac{r_1}{r_2} = \frac{4}{3} < \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} = \frac{3}{2}$, so that condition (H₂) holds. Then system (4.3) has an equilibrium $(x_1^{**}, x_2^{**}) = (0, \frac{3}{2})$, which means that the prey species will be extinct whereas the predator species will be globally asymptotically stable (see Figure 3).



Example 4.4 We append the proper feedback control variables only to the predator species of (4.3):

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) [4 - 2x_{1}(t) - 3x_{2}(t - 2)],$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) [3 + 1x_{1}(t - 3) - 2x_{2}(t) - 4u(t)],$$

$$\dot{u}(t) = -4u(t) + 3x_{2}(t).$$
(4.4)

Consider the initial conditions $(x_1(\theta), x_2(\theta), u(\theta))^T = (0.3, 0.2, 0.3)^T$ and $(1, 0.8, 1)^T$ for all $\theta \in [-3, 0]$ and $t \in [0, 100]$. By calculation, $\frac{r_1}{r_2} = \frac{4}{3}$, $\frac{a_{12}}{a_{22} + \frac{cd}{e}} = \frac{3}{5}$, $\frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} = \frac{2}{1}$, $\frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} = \frac{3}{2}$. $\frac{a_{12}}{a_{22} + \frac{cd}{e}} < \frac{r_1}{r_2} < \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} < \frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}}$, so that condition (H₃) or (H₅) holds. Therefore, system (4.4) has a unique positive equilibrium $(x_1^*, x_2^*, u^*) = (\frac{11}{13}, \frac{10}{13}, \frac{15}{26})$, which is globally asymptotically stable.

The result shows that the proper feedback control on predator species can change extinct prey species to be permanent, and the label shows that the number of the prey species has increased, however, is larger than that of the predator species (see Figure 4).

Example 4.5 Finally, we consider the system

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) [1 - 2x_{1}(t) - 3x_{2}(t - 2)],$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) [3 + 1x_{1}(t - 3) - 2x_{2}(t) - 4u(t)],$$

$$\dot{u}(t) = -4u(t) + 3x_{2}(t).$$
(4.5)

Consider the initial conditions $(x_1(\theta), x_2(\theta), u(\theta))^T = (0.3, 0.2, 0.3)^T$ and $(1, 0.8, 1)^T$ for all $\theta \in [-3, 0]$ and $t \in [0, 100]$, so that condition (H_4) holds. System (4.5) has an equilibrium $(x_1^{**}, x_2^{**}, u^{**}) = (0, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{9}{20})$, which shows that the prey species is extinct whereas the predator species still has a positive equilibrium, which is globally asymptotically stable (see Figure 5).



Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2015J01012) and the Foundation of Fujian Education Bureau (JA13361).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹College of Zhicheng, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P.R. China. ²Department of Science Research, Fuzhou Fujian Institute of Education, Fuzhou, Fujian 350001, P.R. China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 25 July 2017 Accepted: 20 October 2017 Published online: 01 December 2017

References

- 1. Saito, Y, Hara, T, Ma, W: Necessary and sufficient conditions for permanence and global stability of a Lotka-Volterra system with two delays. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 236, 534-556 (1999)
- 2. Xu, R, Chen, LS: Persistence and global stability for a delayed nonautonomous predator-prey system without dominating instantaneous negative feedback. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 262, 50-61 (2001)
- 3. Muroya, Y: Permanence and global stability in a Lotka-Volterra predator-prey system with delays. Appl. Math. Lett. 16, 1245-1250 (2003)
- Song, X, Chen, L: Persistence and global stability for nonautonomous predator-prey system with diffusion and time delay. Comput. Math. Appl. 35, 33-40 (1998)
- Cui, J: The effect of dispersal on permanence in a predator-prey population growth model. Comput. Math. Appl. 44, 1085-1097 (2002)
- Chen, FD, Chen, LJ, Xie, XD: On a Leslie-Gower predator-prey model incorporating a prey refuge. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. 10, 2905-2908 (2009)
- Chen, FD, Ma, ZZ, Zhang, HY: Global asymptotical stability of the positive equilibrium of the Lotka-Volterra prey-predator model incorporating a constant number of prey refuges. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. 13, 2790-2793 (2012)
- Chen, FD, Xie, X, Miao, Z, Pu, L: Extinction in two species nonautonomous nonlinear competitive system. Appl. Math. Comput. 274, 119-124 (2016)
- 9. Ahmad, S, Stamova, IM: Partial persistence and extinction in *N*-dimensional competitive systems. Nonlinear Anal. **60**, 821-836 (2005)
- 10. Zu, L, Jiang, DQ, O'Regan, D, Ge, B: Periodic solution for a non-autonomous Lotka-Volterra predator-prey modal with random perturbation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **430**, 428-437 (2015)
- 11. Li, Z, Chen, FD, He, MX: Permanence and global attractivity of a periodic predator-prey system with mutual interference and impulses. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **17**, 444-453 (2012)
- 12. Teng, ZD, Yu, YH: The extinction in nonautonomous prey-predator Lotka-Volterra systems. Acta Math. Appl. Sin. 15(4), 401-408 (1999)
- 13. Gomez, L, Ortega, R: The periodic predator-prey Lotka-Volterra models. Adv. Differ. Equ. 1, 403-423 (1996)
- 14. Kuang, Y: Delay Differential Equations with Applications in Population Dynamics. Academic Press, New York (1993)
- 15. Hou, Z: Vanishing components in autonomous competitive Lotka-Volterra systems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **359**, 302-310 (2009)
- 16. Kar, TK, Ghorai, A: Dynamic behaviour of a delayed predator-prey model with harvesting. Appl. Math. Comput. 217, 9085-9104 (2011)
- 17. Jana, S, Kar, TK: A mathematical study of a prey-predator model in relevance to pest control. Nonlinear Dyn. 74, 667-683 (2013)
- Liu, M, Mandal, PS: Dynamical behavior of a one-prey two-predator model with random perturbations. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 28, 123-137 (2015)

- 19. Huo, HF, Li, WT: Positive periodic solutions of a class of delay differential system with feedback control. Appl. Math. Comput. **148**, 35-46 (2004)
- 20. Muroya, Y: Global stability of a delayed nonlinear Lotka-Volterra system with feedback controls and patch structure. Appl. Math. Comput. **239**, 60-73 (2014)
- 21. Weng, PX: Existence and global stability of positive periodic solution of periodic integrodifferential systems with feedback controls. Comput. Math. Appl. 40, 747-759 (2000)
- 22. Gopalsamy, K, Weng, PX: Global attractivity in a competition system with feedback controls. Comput. Math. Appl. 45, 665-676 (2003)
- 23. Shi, CL, Li, Z, Chen, FD: Extinction in nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive system with infinite delay and feedback controls. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. **13**, 2214-2226 (2012)
- 24. Li, Z, Han, M, Chen, FD: Influence of feedback controls on an autonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive system with infinite delays. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. 14, 402-413 (2013)
- 25. Nie, LF, Teng, ZD, Hu, L, Peng, JG: Permanence and stability in non-autonomous predator-prey Lotka-Volterra system with feedback controls. Comput. Math. Appl. 58, 436-448 (2009)
- 26. Hu, HX, Teng, ZD, Gao, SJ: Extinction in a nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive system with pure-delays and feedback controls. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. **10**, 2508-2520 (2009)
- 27. Zeeman, ML: Extinction in competitive Lotka-Volterra systems. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 123, 87-96 (1995)
- Liu, SQ, Chen, LS, Luo, GL, Jiang, YL: Asymptotic behaviors of competitive Lotka-Volterra system with stage structure. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 271, 124-138 (2002)
- He, M, Chen, F, Li, Z: Permanence and global attractivity of an impulsive delay logistic model. Appl. Math. Lett. 62, 92-100 (2016)
- Gopalsamy, K: Stability and Oscillations in Delay Different Equations of Population Dynamics. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1992)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com