RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access



CrossMark

On the transmission dynamics of Buruli ulcer in Ghana: Insights through a mathematical model

Farai Nyabadza¹ and Ebenezer Bonyah^{2*}

Abstract

Background: Mycobacterium ulcerans is know to cause the Buruli ulcer. The association between the ulcer and environmental exposure has been documented. However, the epidemiology of the ulcer is not well understood. A hypothesised transmission involves humans being bitten by the water bugs that prey on mollusks, snails and young fishes.

Methods: In this paper, a model for the transmission of Mycobacterium ulcerans to humans in the presence of a preventive strategy is proposed and analysed. The model equilibria are determined and conditions for the existence of the equilibria established. The model analysis is carried out in terms of the reproduction number \mathcal{R}_0 . The disease free equilibrium is found to be locally asymptotically stable for $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$. The model is fitted to data from Ghana.

Results: The model is found to exhibit a backward bifurcation and the endemic equilibrium point is globally stable when $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$. Sensitivity analysis showed that the Buruli ulcer epidemic is highly influenced by the shedding and clearance rates of Mycobacterium ulcerans in the environment. The model is found to fit reasonably well to data from Ghana and projections on the future of the Buruli ulcer epidemic are also made.

Conclusions: The model reasonably fitted data from Ghana. The fitting process showed data that appeared to have reached a steady state and projections showed that the epidemic levels will remain the same for the projected time. The implications of the results to policy and future management of the disease are discussed.

Keywords: Buruli ulcer, Transmission dynamics, Basic reproduction number, Sensitivity analysis, Stability

Background

Buruli ulcer is caused by pathogenic bacterium where infection often leads to extensive destruction of skin and soft tissue through the formation of large ulcers usually on the legs or arms [28]. It is a devastating disease caused by Mycobacterium ulcerans. The ulcer is fast becoming a debilitating affliction in many countries [3]. It is named after a region called Buruli, near the Nile River in Uganda, where in 1961 the first large number of cases was reported. In Africa, close to 30,000 cases were reported between 2005 and 2010 [29]. Cote d'Ivoire, with the highest incidence, reported 2533 cases in 2010 [27].

*Correspondence: ebbonya@yahoo.com



The transmission mode of the ulcer is not well understood, however residence near an aquatic environment has been identified as a risk factor for the ulcer in Africa [6, 16, 25]. Transmission is thus likely to occur through contact with the environment [20]. Recent studies in West Africa have implicated aquatic bugs as transmission vectors for the ulcer [18, 24]. An attractive hypothesis for a possible mode of transmission to humans was proposed by Portaels et al. [22]: water-filtering hosts (fish, mollusks) concentrate the Mycobacterium ulcerans bacteria present in water or mud and discharge them again to this environment, where they are then ingested by aquatic predators such as beetles and water bugs. These insects, in turn,



© 2015 Nyabadza and Bonyah. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons. org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kumasi Polytechnic, P. O. Box 854, Kumasi, Ghana

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

may transmit the disease to humans by biting [18]. Person to person transmission is less likely. Aquatic bugs are insects found throughout temperate and tropical environments with abundant freshwater. They prey, according to their size, on mollusks, snails, young fishes, and the adults and larvae of other insects that they capture with their raptorial front legs and bite with their rostrum. These insects can inflict painful bites on humans as well. In Ghana, where Buruli ulcer is endemic, the water bugs are present in swamps and rivers, where human activities such as farming, fishing, and bathing take place [18].

Research on Buruli ulcer has focused mainly on the socio-cultural aspects of the disease. The research recommends the need for Information, Education and Communication (IEC) intervention strategies, to encourage early case detection and treatment with the assumption that once people gain knowledge they will take the appropriate action to access treatment early [2]. IEC is defined as an approach which attempts to change or reinforce a set of behaviours to a targeted group regarding a problem. The IEC strategy is preventive in that it has a potential of enhancing control of the ulcer [5]. It is also important to note that Buruli ulcer is treatable with antibiotics. A combination of rifampin and streptomycin administered daily for 8 weeks has the potential to eliminate *Mycobacterium ulcerans* bacilli and promote healing without relapse.

Mathematical models have been used to model the transmission of many diseases globally. Many advances in the management of diseases have been born from mathematical modeling [11, 12, 14, 15]. Mathematical models can evaluate actual or potential control measures in the absence of experiments, see for instance [19]. To the best of our knowledge very few mathematical models have been formulated to analyse the transmission dynamics of Mycobacterium ulcerans. This could be largely due to the elusive epidemiology of the Buruli ulcer. Aidoo and Osei [3] proposed a mathematical model of the SIR-type in an endeavour to explain the transmission of Mycobacterium ulcerans and its dependence on arsenic. In this paper, we propose a model which takes into account the human population, water bugs as vectors and fish as potential reservoirs of Mycobacterium ulcerans following the transmission dynamics described in [8]. In addition we include the preventive control measures in a bid to capture the IEC strategy. Our main aim is to study the dynamics of the Buruli ulcer in the presence of a preventive control strategy, while emphasizing the role of the vector (water bugs) and fish and their interaction with the environment. The model is then validated using data from Ghana. This is crucial in informing policy and suggesting strategies for the control of the disease.

This paper is arranged as follows; in "Methods", we formulate and establish the basic properties of the

model. We also determine the steady states and analysed their stability. The results of this paper are given in "Results". Parameter estimation, sensitivity analysis and the numerical results on the behavior of the model are also presented in this section. The paper is concluded in "Discussion".

Methods

Model formulation

We consider a constant human population $N_H(t)$, the vector population of water bugs $N_V(t)$ and the fish population $N_F(t)$ at any time t. The total human population is divided into three epidemiological subclasses of those that are susceptible $S_H(t)$, the infected $I_H(t)$ and the recovered who are still immune $R_H(t)$. Total population of vector (water bug) at any time t is divided into two subclasses to susceptible water bugs $S_V(t)$ and those that are infectious and can transmit the Buruli ulcer to humans, $I_V(t)$. The total population reservoir of small fish is also divided into two compartments of susceptible fish $S_F(t)$ and infected fish $I_F(t)$. We also consider the role of the environment by introducing a compartment U, representing the density of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in the environment. We make the following basic assumptions:

- *Mycobacterium ulcerans* are transferred only from vector (water bug) to the humans.
- There is homogeneity of human, water bug and fish populations' interactions.
- Infected humans recover and are temporarily immune, but lose immunity.
- Fish are preyed on by the water bugs.
- Unlike some bacterial infections such as leprosy (caused by *Mycobacterium leprae*) and tuberculosis (caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*), which are characterized by person-to-person contact transmission, it is hypothesized that *Mycobacterium ulcerans* is acquired through environmental contact and direct person-to-person transmission is rare [20].
- Susceptible host (human population) can be infected through biting by an infectious vector (water bug). We represent the effective biting rate that an infectious vector has to susceptible host as β_H and the incidence of new infections transmitted by water bugs is expressed by standard incidence rate $S_H I_V$

 $\beta_H \frac{S_H I_V}{N_H}$. One can interpret β_H as a function of

the biting frequency of the infected water bugs on humans, density of infectious water bugs per human, the probability that a bite will result in an infection and the efficacy of the IEC strategy. In particular we can set $\beta_H = (1 - \epsilon)\tau\alpha\beta_H^*$, where $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ is the efficacy of the IEC strategy, τ the number of water bugs per human host, α the biting frequency (the biting rate of humans by a single water bug) and β_H^* the probability that a bite by an infected vector to a susceptible human will produce an infection.

• Susceptible water bugs are infected at a rate $\beta_V \frac{S_V I_F}{N_V}$ through predation of infected fish and $\eta_v \beta_V \frac{S_V U}{K}$

representing other sources in the environment. Here

 η_V differentiates the infectivity potential of the fish from that of the environment.

- Assuming fish prey on infected water bugs, susceptible fish are infected at a rate $\beta_F \frac{S_F I_V}{N_F}$ through predation of infected fish and $\eta_F \beta_F \frac{S_F U}{K}$ representing infection through the environment. Here η_F is a modification parameter that models the relative infectivity of fish from that of the environment.
- The vector population and the fish populations are assumed to be constant. The growth functions are respectively given by $g(N_V)$ and $g(N_F)$, where

$$g(N_V) = \mu_V N_V$$
 and $g(N_F) = \mu_F N_F$.

It is important to note that other types of functions can be chosen as growth functions. In this work we however assume that the growth functions are linear.

- There is a proposed hypothesis that environmental mycobacteria in the bottoms of swamps may be mechanically concentrated by small water-filtering organisms such as microphagous fish, snails, mosquito larvae, small crustaceans, and protozoa [8]. We assume that fish increase the environmental concentrations of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* at a rate σ_F . Humans are are assumed not to shed any bacteria into the environment.
- Aquatic bugs release bacteria into the environment at a rate σ_V.
- The model does not include a potential route of direct contact with the bacterium in water.
- The birth rate of the human population is directly proportional to the size of the human population.
- The recovery of infected individuals is assumed to occur both spontaneously and through treatment. Research has shown that localized lesions may spontaneously heal but, without treatment, most cases of Buruli ulcer result in physical deformities that often lead to physiological abnormalities and stigmas [4].

We now describe briefly, the transmission dynamics of Buruli ulcer:

New susceptibles enter the population at a rate of $\mu_H N_H$. Buruli ulcer sufferers do not recover with permanent immunity, they loose immunity at a rate θ and become susceptible again. Susceptibles and infected through interaction with infected water bugs, with infection driven by water bugs biting susceptible humans. Once infected, individuals are allowed to recover either spontaneously or through antibiotic treatment at a rate γ . In this model, the human population is assumed to be constant over the modeling time with the birth and death rates being equal. The compartment S_V tracks the changes in the susceptible water bugs population that are recruited at a rate $\mu_V N_V$. The infection of water bugs is driven by two processes: their interaction infected fish and with the environment. The natural mortality of the water bugs occurs at a rate μ_V . Similarly, the compartment S_F tracks the changes in the susceptible fish population that are recruited at a rate $\mu_F N_F$. The infection of fish is also driven by two processes: their interaction infected water bugs and with the environment. Fish's natural mortality rate is μ_F . The growth of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in the environment is driven by their shedding by infected water bugs and fish into the environment. They are assumed to die naturally at a rate μ_E . The possible interrelations between humans, the water bug and fish are represented by the schematic diagram below (Fig. 1).

The descriptions of the parameters that describe the flow rates between compartments are given in Table 1.

The dynamics of the ulcer can be described by the following set of nonlinear differential equations:

$$\frac{dS_{H}}{dt} = \mu_{H}N_{H} + \theta R_{H} - \beta_{H}\frac{S_{H}I_{V}}{N_{H}} - \mu_{H}S_{H},$$

$$\frac{dI_{H}}{dt} = \beta_{H}\frac{S_{H}I_{V}}{N_{H}} - (\mu_{H} + \gamma)I_{H},$$

$$\frac{dR_{H}}{dt} = \gamma I_{H} - (\mu_{H} + \theta)R_{H},$$

$$\frac{dS_{V}}{dt} = \mu_{V}N_{V} - \beta_{V}\frac{S_{V}I_{F}}{N_{V}} - \eta_{V}\beta_{V}\frac{S_{V}U}{K} - \mu_{V}S_{V},$$

$$\frac{dI_{V}}{dt} = \beta_{V}\frac{S_{V}I_{F}}{N_{V}} + \eta_{V}\beta_{V}\frac{S_{V}U}{K} - \mu_{V}I_{V},$$

$$\frac{dS_{F}}{dt} = \mu_{F}N_{F} - \beta_{F}\frac{S_{F}I_{V}}{N_{F}} - \eta_{F}\beta_{F}\frac{S_{F}U}{K} - \mu_{F}S_{F},$$

$$\frac{dI_{F}}{dt} = \beta_{F}\frac{S_{F}I_{V}}{N_{F}} + \eta_{F}\beta_{F}\frac{S_{F}U}{K} - \mu_{F}I_{F},$$

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \sigma_{F}I_{F} + \sigma_{V}I_{V} - \mu_{E}U.$$
(1)

We assume that all the model parameters are positive and the initial conditions of the model system (1) are given by



Fig. 1 Proposed transmission dynamics of the Buruli ulcer among humans, fish, water bugs and the environment (U)

Table 1	Description of	parameters	used in	the model
---------	----------------	------------	---------	-----------

Symbol	Description				
β_{H}	The effective contact rate between the vector and susceptible humans				
β_V	The effective contact rate between fish and susceptible vectors				
$eta_{ extsf{F}}$	The effective contact rate between the susceptible fish and Myco- bacterium ulcerans				
γ	The recovery rate of infected humans				
θ	The rate of loss of immunity of recovered humans				
μ_{H}	Natural mortality rate/birth rate of the human population				
μ_V	Natural mortality rate of the vector population				
$\mu_{ extsf{F}}$	Natural mortality rate of the fish population				
r _V	The growth rate of the vector population				
ŕ _F	The growth rate of the fish population				
Κ	The environmental carrying capacity of the bacteria population				
σ_F	Rate of shedding of <i>Mycobacterium ulcerans</i> into the environment by fish				
σ_V	Rate of shedding of <i>Mycobacterium ulcerans</i> into the environment by the water bugs				
μF	Rate at which Mycobacterium ulcerans are cleared from the environ-				

ment

$$\begin{split} S_H(0) &= S_{H0} > 0, I_H(0) = I_{H0} \ge 0, R_H(0) = R_{H0} = 0, \\ S_V(0) &= S_{V0} > 0, \\ I_V(0) &= I_{V0} \ge 0, \ S_F(0) = S_{F0} > 0, \\ I_F(0) &= I_{F0} \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad U(0) = U_0 > 0. \end{split}$$

We arbitrarily scale the time *t* by the quantity $\frac{1}{\mu_V}$ by letting $\tau = \mu_V t$ and introduce the following dimensionless parameters;

$$\tau = \mu_V t, \ \beta_h = \frac{\beta_H}{\mu_V}, \ \mu_h = \frac{\mu_H}{\mu_V}, \ \theta_h = \frac{\theta}{\mu_V}, \ \gamma_h = \frac{\gamma}{\mu_V},$$
$$m_1 = \frac{N_H}{N_V}, \ m_2 = \frac{N_F}{N_V},$$
$$m_3 = \frac{1}{m_2}, \ m_4 = \frac{N_F}{K}, \ m_5 = \frac{N_V}{K}, \ \mu_f = \frac{\mu_F}{\mu_V}, \ \beta_f = \frac{\beta_F}{\mu_V},$$
$$\sigma_f = \frac{\sigma_F}{\mu_V}, \ \sigma_v = \frac{\sigma_V}{\mu_V}, \ \beta_v = \frac{\beta_V}{\mu_V} \text{ and } \mu_e = \frac{\mu_E}{\mu_V}.$$

can be non dimensionalised bySo, system (1) setting

$$s_h = \frac{S_H}{N_H}, \ i_h = \frac{I_H}{N_H}, r_h = \frac{R_H}{N_H}, \ i_v = \frac{I_V}{N_V},$$

$$s_f = \frac{S_F}{N_F}, \ i_f = \frac{I_F}{N_F} \text{ and } u = \frac{U}{K}.$$

The forces of infection for humans, water bugs and fish are respectively

$$\lambda_H = \beta_h m_1 i_\nu, \ \lambda_V = \beta_\nu m_2 i_f + \eta_V \beta_\nu u,$$

$$\lambda_F = \beta_f m_3 i_\nu + \eta_F \beta_f u.$$

Given that the total number of bites made by the water bugs must equal the number of bites received by the humans, m_1 is a constant, see [9]. Similarly m_2 is constant and so is m_3 . We also note that since N_F and N_V are constants, m_4 and m_5 are constants.

Given that $s_h + i_h + r_h = 1$, $s_v + i_v = 1$, $s_f + i_f = 1$ and $0 \le u \le 1$, system (1) can be reduced to the following system of equations by conveniently maintaining the capitalised subscripts so that we can still respectively write s_h , i_h , i_v , i_f and u as S_H , I_H , I_V , I_F and U.

$$\frac{dS_H}{d\tau} = (\mu_h + \theta_h)(1 - S_H) - \theta_h I_H - \lambda_H S_H,$$

$$\frac{dI_H}{d\tau} = \lambda_H S_H - (\mu_h + \gamma_h) I_H,$$

$$\frac{dI_V}{d\tau} = \lambda_V (1 - I_V) - \mu_V I_V,$$

$$\frac{dI_F}{d\tau} = \lambda_F (1 - I_F) - \mu_f I_F,$$

$$\frac{dU}{d\tau} = m_4 \sigma_f I_F + m_5 \sigma_V I_V - \mu_e U.$$
(2)

Basic properties

Feasible region

Feasible region $\frac{dU}{d\tau} = m_4 \sigma_f I_F + m_5 \sigma_v I_V - \mu_e U \le m_4 \sigma_f$ $+ m_5 \sigma_v - \mu_e U$. Through integration we obtain $U \leq \frac{m_4 \sigma_f + m_5 \sigma_v}{u}$. The feasible region (the region where the model makes biological sense) for the system (2) is in \mathbb{R}^5_+ and is represented by the set

$$\Omega = \left\{ (S_H, I_H, I_V, I_F, U) \in \mathbb{R}^5_+ | 0 \le S_H + I_H \le 1, \\ 0 \le I_V \le 1, 0 \le I_F \le 1, 0 \le U \le \frac{m_4 \sigma_f + m_5 \sigma_v}{\mu_e} \right\}$$

where the basic properties of local existence, uniqueness and continuity of solutions are valid for the Lipschitzian system (2). The populations described in this model are assumed to be constant over the modelling time. The solutions of system (2) starting in Ω remain in Ω for all t > 0. Thus , Ω is positively invariant and it is sufficient to consider solutions in Ω .

Positivity of solutions

We desire to show that for any non-negative initial conditions of system (2), say $(S_{H0}, I_{H0}, I_{V0}, I_{F0}, U_0)$, the solutions remain non-negative for all $\tau \in [0, \infty)$. We prove that all the state variables remain non-negative and the solutions of the system (2) with positive initial conditions will remain positive for all $\tau > 0$. We thus state the following lemma.

Lemma 1 Given that the initial conditions of system (2) are positive, the solutions $S_H(\tau)$, $I_H(\tau)$, $I_V(\tau)$, $I_F(\tau)$ and $U(\tau)$ are non-negative for all $\tau > 0$.

Proof Assume that

$$\hat{\tau} = \sup \{\tau > 0 : S_H > 0, I_H > 0, I_V > 0, I_F > 0, U > 0\} \in (0, \tau].$$

Thus $\hat{\tau} > 0$, and it follows directly from the first equation of the system (2) that

$$\frac{dS_H}{d\tau} \ge -(\theta_h + \lambda_H)S_H.$$

We thus have

$$\frac{dS_H}{dt} \ge S_{H0} \exp\left[-\theta_h t + \int_0^\tau \lambda_H(\varsigma) d\varsigma\right].$$

Since the exponential function is always positive and $S_{H0} = S_H(0) > 0$, the solution $S_H(\tau)$ will thus be always positive.

From the second equation of (2),

$$\frac{dI_H}{d\tau} \ge -(\mu_h + \gamma_h)I_H,$$

$$\Rightarrow I_H \ge I_{H0}e^{-(\mu_h + \gamma_h)\tau} > 0$$

Similarly, it can be shown that $I_V(\tau) > 0$, $I_F(\tau) > 0$ and $U(\tau) > 0$ for all $\tau > 0$, and this completes the proof. \square

Steady states analysis

The disease free equilibrium

In this section, we solve for the equilibrium points by setting the right hand side of system (2) to zero. This direct calculation shows that system (2) always has a disease free equilibrium point

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{0}} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

We have the following result on the local stability of the disease free equilibrium.

Proof The Jacobian matrix of system (2) at the equilibrium point \mathcal{E}_0 is given by

$$J_{\mathcal{E}_0} = \begin{pmatrix} -(\mu_h + \theta_h) & -\theta_h & -m_1\beta_h & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -(\mu_h + \gamma_h) & m_1\beta_h & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & m_2\beta_\nu & \eta_\nu\beta_\nu\\ 0 & 0 & m_3\beta_f & -\mu_f & \eta_f\beta_f\\ 0 & 0 & m_5\sigma_\nu & m_4\sigma_f & -\mu_e \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be seen that the eigenvalues of $J_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ are $-(\mu_h + \theta_h)$, $-(\mu_h + \gamma_h)$ and the solution of the characteristic polynomial

$$P(\vartheta) = \vartheta^3 + a_2 \vartheta^2 + a_1 \vartheta + \mu_e \mu_f (1 - \mathcal{R}_0) = 0,$$

where

 $a_{2} = 1 + \mu_{e} + \mu_{f},$ $a_{1} = \mu_{e} + \mu_{f} + \mu_{e}\mu_{f} - (\beta_{f}\beta_{\nu} + m_{4}\eta_{f}\sigma_{f}\beta_{f} + m_{5}\eta_{\nu}\sigma_{\nu}\beta_{\nu}) \text{ and}$ $\mathcal{R}_{0} = R_{0}^{1} + R_{0}^{2} + R_{0}^{3},$

for

$$R_0^1 = \frac{m_4 \eta_f \sigma_f \beta_f}{\mu_e \mu_f}, R_0^2 = \frac{m_5 \eta_\nu \sigma_\nu \beta_\nu}{\mu_e} \quad \text{and} \\ R_0^3 = \beta_f \beta_\nu \bigg(\frac{\mu_e + m_3 m_4 \eta_\nu \sigma_f + m_2 m_5 \eta_f \sigma_\nu}{\mu_e \mu_f} \bigg).$$

The solutions of $P(\vartheta) = 0$ have negative real parts only if $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ following the use of the Routh Hurwitz Criterion. We can thus conclude that the disease free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable whenever $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$.

We note that \mathcal{R}_0 is the model system (2)'s reproduction number and does not depend on the human population size. The model reproduction number is a sum of three terms. The terms R_0^1 and R_0^2 represent the contribution of fish and water bugs respectively to the infection dynamics. The term R_0^3 , which is not very common in many epidemiological models, shows the combined contribution of the water bugs, fish and their shedding of Mycobacterium ulcerans into the environment. So, the infection is driven by the water bugs, fish and the density of the bacterium in the environment. The model reproduction number increases linearly with the shedding rates of the Mycobacterium ulcerans into the environment by fish and water bugs and the effective contact rates β_f and β_{ν} . It decreases with increasing removal rates of the fish and Mycobacterium ulcerans. So the control of the ulcer depends largely on environmental management.

The endemic equilibrium

The endemic equilibrium is much more tedious to obtain. Given that $\lambda_H^* = \beta_h m_1 I_V^*$, from the first and second equations of system (2) we have

$$S_{H}^{*} = \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{A}I_{V}^{*}} \text{ and } I_{H}^{*} = \frac{m_{1}\beta_{h}I_{V}^{*}}{(\mu_{h} + \gamma_{h})(1 + \mathcal{A}I_{V}^{*})},$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \frac{m_{1}\beta_{h}(\mu_{h} + \theta_{h} + \gamma_{h})}{(\mu_{h} + \gamma_{h})(\mu_{h} + \theta_{h})}.$
The last equation of system (2) can be written as

$$U^* = \vartheta_1 I_F^* + \vartheta_2 I_V^*$$
, where $\vartheta_1 = \frac{m_4 \sigma_f}{\mu_e}$ and $\vartheta_2 = \frac{m_5 \sigma_v}{\mu_e}$.

We thus have

$$\lambda_F^* = \vartheta_3 I_V^* + \vartheta_4 I_F^{\text{and}} \ \lambda_V^* = \vartheta_5 I_V^* + \vartheta_6 I_F^*,$$

where $\vartheta_3 = \beta_f (m_3 + \vartheta_2 \eta_f), \ \vartheta_4 = \vartheta_1 \beta_f \eta_f, \ \vartheta_5 = \vartheta_2 \eta_\nu \beta_\nu$ and $\vartheta_6 = \beta_\nu (m_2 + \vartheta_1 \eta_\nu).$

From the third and fourth equations of system (2)we have

$$I_F^* = \frac{I_V^* [1 - \vartheta_5 (1 - I_V^*)]}{\vartheta_6 (1 - I_V^*)},\tag{3}$$

$$I_V^* = \frac{I_F^*[\mu_f - \vartheta_4(1 - I_F^*)]}{\vartheta_3(1 - I_F^*)}.$$
(4)

Substituting (3) into (4) we obtain $I_V^* = 0$ and the cubic equation

$$f(I_V^*) = a_3 I_V^{*3} + a_2 I_V^{*2} + a_1 I_V^* + a_0 = 0,$$
 (5)

where

$$\begin{split} a_{0} &= \frac{\beta_{f} \mu_{f}}{\mu_{e}} \left(\mu_{e} m_{2} + m_{4} \eta_{\nu} \sigma_{f} \right) [\mathcal{R}_{0} - 1], \\ a_{1} &= \vartheta_{4} \vartheta_{5} (1 + \vartheta_{6}) + \vartheta_{5} (\vartheta_{4} + \vartheta_{3} \vartheta_{6}) + \vartheta_{3} \vartheta_{5} \vartheta_{6} \\ &- [\vartheta_{3} \vartheta_{6} (1 + \vartheta_{6}) + \vartheta_{5} (\vartheta_{4} \vartheta_{5} + \mu_{f} \vartheta_{6}) + \vartheta_{4} \vartheta_{5}^{2}], \\ a_{2} &= (1 + \vartheta_{6}) (\vartheta_{4} + \vartheta_{3} \vartheta_{6}) + \vartheta_{5} (\vartheta_{4} \vartheta_{5} + \mu_{f} \vartheta_{6}) \\ &+ \vartheta_{6} (\vartheta_{3} \vartheta_{6} + \mu_{f} \vartheta_{5}) - [2 \vartheta_{4} \vartheta_{5} (1 + \vartheta_{6}) + \vartheta_{6} (\vartheta_{3} \vartheta_{5} + \mu_{f})], \\ a_{3} &= - \frac{m_{5} \beta_{f} \eta_{\nu} \sigma_{\nu} \beta_{\nu}^{2}}{\mu_{e}^{2}} \left((\mu_{e} m_{2} + m_{4} \eta_{\nu} \sigma_{f}) m_{3} + m_{2} m_{5} \eta_{f} \sigma_{\nu} \right) < 0. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$a_0 \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{R}_0 > 1 \\ < 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{R}_0 < 1. \end{cases}$$

Given that

$$f'(I_V^*) = 3a_3(I_V^*)^2 + 2a_2\lambda_1^* + a_1,$$
(6)

the turning points of equation (5) are given by

$$(I_V^*)^{1,2} = \frac{-a_2 \pm \sqrt{a_2^2 - 3a_1 a_3}}{3a_3}.$$
 (7)

The discriminant of solutions (7) is $\triangle = a_2^2 - 3a_1a_3$. We now focus on the sign of the discriminant.

If $\Delta < 0$, then $f(I_V^*)$ has no real turning points, which implies that $f(I_V^*)$ is a strictly monotonic function. The sign of $f'(\lambda_1^*)$ is crucial in determining the monotonicity. Through completing the square, equation (6) can be written as

$$f'(I_V^*) = 3a_3 \left[\left(I_V^* + \frac{a_2}{3a_3} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{9a_3^2} (3a_1a_3 - a_2^2) \right].$$
(8)

Clearly if $\Delta < 0$, then $3a_1a_3 - a_2^2 > 0$. Since $a_3 < 0$, then $f'(I_V^*) < 0$. Thus $f(I_V^*)$ is a strictly monotone decreasing function. Note that $\lim_{I_V^* \to \mp \infty} f(I_V^*) = \pm \infty$. For $f(0) = a_0 < 0$, the polynomial $f(I_V^*)$ has no positive real roots for $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, However, if $f(0) = a_0 > 0$ it has only one positive real root for $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, and consequently only one endemic equilibrium.

If $\Delta = 0$, then $f'(I_V^*)$ has only one real root with multiplicity two. This implies that $(I_V^*)^1 = (I_V^*)^2 = -\frac{a_2}{3a_3}$ and that $f'(I_V^*) < 0$. Thus the polynomial $f(I_V^*)$ is a decreasing function. Given that $f''(I_V^*)(-\frac{a_2}{3a_3}) = 0$, the turning point is a point of inflexion for $f(I_V^*)$. The polynomial $f(I_V^*)$ has only one endemic equilibrium.

For $\Delta > 0$, we consider two cases; $a_1 < 0$ and $a_1 > 0$. If $a_1 < 0$, then $a_1a_3 > 0$. This means that $\sqrt{\Delta} < a_2$. Irrespective of the sign of a_2 , $f'(I_V^*)$ has two real positive and distinct roots. This implies that (5) has two positive turning points. If $f(0) = a_0 > 0$ i.e $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ then, $f(I_V^*)$ has at least one positive real root, and hence at least one endemic equilibrium. On the other hand, if $f(0) = a_0 < 0$ then, $f(I_V^*)$ has at most two positive real roots when $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, and hence at most two endemic equilibria.

If $a_1 > 0$, then $a_1a_3 < 0$, which implies that $\sqrt{\Delta} > a_2$. For $a_2 > 0$, $f'(I_V^*)$ has two real roots of opposite signs. Since $f(0) = a_0 > 0$ for $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, then, $f(I_V^*)$ has one positive root. For $a_2 < 0$, $f'(I_V^*)$ has two negative real roots. Since $f(0) = a_0 < 0$ for $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, then, $f(I_V^*)$ has no positive real roots, and consequently no endemic equilibria.

Furthermore, we can use the *Descartes' Rule of Signs* [7] to explore the existence of endemic equilibrium (or equilibria) for $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$. We note the possible existence of backward bifurcation. The theorem below summarises the existence of endemic equilibria of the model system (2).

Theorem 2 The model system (2) has

- 1 *a unique endemic equilibrium point if* $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ *.*
- 2 has two endemic equilibria for $\mathcal{R}_0^c < \mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ where \mathcal{R}_0^c is the critical threshold below which no endemic equilibrium exists.

Remark The evaluation of \mathcal{R}_0^c depends on the signs of a_2 and a_1 and the sign of the discriminant. The computations are algebraically involving and long and are not included here. Since the model system (2) possesses two endemic equilibria when $\mathcal{R}_0^c < \mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, the model exhibits backward bifurcation for $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$.

The consequence of the above remark is that bringing \mathcal{R}_0 below unity is not sufficient to eradicate the disease. For eradication, \mathcal{R}_0 must be brought below the critical value \mathcal{R}_0^c .

Global stability of the endemic equilibrium

Theorem 3 The endemic equilibrium point \mathcal{E}_1 of system (2), is globally asymptotically stable.

Proof The global stability of the endemic equilibrium, can be determined by constructing a Lyapunov function V(t) such that

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = S_{H} - S_{H}^{*} - S_{H}^{*} \ln \frac{S_{H}}{S_{H}^{*}} + A \left(I_{H} - I_{H}^{*} - I_{H}^{*} \ln \frac{I_{H}}{I_{H}^{*}} \right) + B \left(I_{V} - I_{V}^{*} - I_{V}^{*} \ln \frac{I_{V}}{I_{V}^{*}} \right) + C \left(I_{F} - I_{F}^{*} - I_{F}^{*} \ln \frac{I_{F}}{I_{F}^{*}} \right) + D \left(U - U^{*} - U^{*} \ln \frac{U}{U^{*}} \right).$$
(9)

The corresponding time derivative of $\mathcal{V}(t)$ is given by

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \left(1 - \frac{S_H^*}{S_H}\right)\dot{S}_H + A\left(1 - \frac{I_H^*}{I_H}\right)\dot{I}_H + B\left(1 - \frac{I_V^*}{I_V}\right)\dot{I}_V + C\left(1 - \frac{I_F^*}{I_F}\right)\dot{I}_F + D\left(1 - \frac{U^*}{U}\right)\dot{U}.$$
(10)

At the endemic equilibrium, we have the following relations

$$\begin{split} \mu_{h} + \theta_{h} &= (\mu_{h} + \theta_{h})S_{H}^{*} + \theta_{h}I^{*}_{H} + m_{1}\beta_{h}S_{H}^{*}I_{V}^{*}, \\ \mu_{h} + \gamma_{h} &= m_{1}\beta_{h}\frac{S_{H}^{*}I_{V}^{*}}{I^{*}_{H}}, \\ 1 &= m_{2}\beta_{v}\left(1 - I_{V}^{*}\right)\frac{I_{F}^{*}}{I^{*}_{V}} + \eta_{v}\beta_{v}\left(1 - I_{V}^{*}\right)\frac{U^{*}}{I^{*}_{V}}, \\ \mu_{f} &= m_{3}\beta_{f}\left(1 - I_{F}^{*}\right)\frac{I^{*}_{V}}{I_{F}^{*}} + \eta_{f}\beta_{f}\left(1 - I_{F}^{*}\right)\frac{U^{*}}{I_{F}^{*}}, \\ \mu_{e} &= m_{4}\sigma_{f}\frac{I_{F}^{*}}{U^{*}} + m_{5}\sigma_{v}\frac{I_{V}^{*}}{U^{*}}. \end{split}$$
(11)

Evaluating the components of the time derivative of the Lyapunov function using the relations (11) we have

so as to make the coefficients of x, y and z equal to zero. We have

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathcal{V}} &= \left(1 - \frac{S_{H}^{*}}{S_{H}}\right) \left[(\mu_{h} + \theta_{h}) S_{H}^{*} \left(1 - \frac{S_{H}}{S_{H}^{*}}\right) + \theta_{h} I_{H}^{*} \left(1 - \frac{I_{H}}{I_{H}^{*}}\right) + m_{1} \beta_{h} S_{H}^{*} I_{V}^{*} \left(1 - \frac{S_{H} I_{V}}{S_{H}^{*} I_{V}^{*}}\right) \right] \\ &+ A \left(1 - \frac{I_{H}^{*}}{I_{H}}\right) \left[m_{1} \beta_{h} S_{H}^{*} I_{V}^{*} \left(\frac{S_{H} I_{V}}{S_{H}^{*} I_{V}^{*}} - \frac{I_{H}}{I_{H}^{*}}\right) \right] + B \left(1 - \frac{I_{V}}{I_{V}}\right) \left[m_{2} \beta_{v} I_{F}^{*} \left(\frac{I_{F}}{I_{F}} - \frac{I_{V}}{I_{V}^{*}}\right) \right] \\ &+ m_{2} \beta_{v} I_{F}^{*} I_{V} \left(1 - \frac{I_{F}}{I_{F}^{*}}\right) + \eta_{v} \beta_{v} \mathcal{U}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}^{*}} - \frac{I_{V}}{I_{V}^{*}}\right) + \eta_{v} \beta_{v} \mathcal{U}^{*} I_{V} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}^{*}}\right) \right] \\ &+ C \left(1 - \frac{I_{F}^{*}}{I_{F}}\right) \left[\eta_{f} \beta_{f} \mathcal{U}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}^{*}} - \frac{I_{F}}{I_{F}^{*}}\right) + \eta_{f} \beta_{f} \mathcal{U}^{*} I_{F} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}^{*}}\right) \\ &+ m_{3} \beta_{f} I_{V}^{*} \left(\frac{I_{V}}{I_{V}^{*}} - \frac{I_{F}}{I_{F}^{*}}\right) + m_{3} \beta_{f} I_{V}^{*} I_{F} \left(1 - \frac{I_{V}}{I_{V}^{*}}\right) \right] \\ &+ D \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{U}^{*}}{\mathcal{U}}\right) \left[m_{4} \sigma_{f} I_{F}^{*} \left(\frac{I_{F}}{I_{F}^{*}} - \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}^{*}}\right) + m_{5} \sigma_{v} I_{V}^{*} \left(\frac{I_{V}}{I_{V}^{*}} - \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}^{*}}\right) \right]. \end{split}$$

Let

$$v = \frac{S_H}{S_H^*}, w = \frac{I_H}{I_H^*}, x = \frac{I_V}{I_V^*}, y = \frac{I_F}{I_F^*} \text{ and } z = \frac{U}{U^*}.$$
 (13)

$$A = 1, B = \frac{m_1 \beta_h S_H^* I_V^*}{m_2 \beta_\nu I_V^* (1 - I_F^*) + \eta \beta_\nu U^* (1 - I_V^*)}$$

The coefficients *C* and *D* can similarly be evaluated from

the coefficients of *y* and *z*. Note that expressions such as

 $m_1\beta_h S_H^* I_V^* \left(2 - \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{x\nu}{w}\right)$

Substituting (13) into (12), we obtain

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}} = -(\mu_h + \theta_h)S_H^* \frac{(1-\nu)^2}{\nu} + \mathcal{H}(\nu, w, x, y, z), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \theta_{h}I_{H}^{*}\left(1 - w - \frac{1}{v} + \frac{w}{v}\right) + m_{1}\beta_{h}S_{H}^{*}I_{V}^{*}\left(1 - \frac{1}{v} + x - xv\right) + Am_{1}\beta_{h}S_{H}^{*}I_{V}^{*}\left(1 + xv - w - \frac{vx}{w}\right) + Bm_{2}\beta_{v}I_{F}^{*}\left(1 + y - x - \frac{x}{y}\right) + Bm_{2}\beta_{v}I_{F}^{*}I^{*}_{V}\left(x + y - xy - 1\right) + B\eta_{v}\beta_{v}U^{*}\left(1 + z - x - \frac{z}{x}\right) + B\eta_{v}\beta_{v}U^{*}I_{V}^{*}\left(x + z - xz - 1\right) + Cm_{3}\beta_{f}I_{V}^{*}\left(1 + x - y - \frac{x}{y}\right) + Cm_{3}\beta_{f}I_{V}^{*}I_{F}^{*}\left(y + x - xy - 1\right) + C\eta_{f}\beta_{f}U^{*}\left(1 + z - y - \frac{z}{y}\right) + C\eta_{f}\beta_{f}U^{*}I_{F}^{*}\left(y + z - yz - 1\right) + Dm_{4}\sigma_{f}I_{F}^{*}\left(1 + y - z - \frac{y}{z}\right) + Dm_{5}\sigma_{v}I_{V}^{*}\left(1 + x - z - \frac{x}{z}\right).$$

Next, we choose *A*, *B*, *C* and D so that none of the variable terms of \mathcal{H} are positive. It is important to group together the terms in \mathcal{H} that involve the same state variable terms, as well as grouping all of the constant terms together. So we can show that $\mathcal{H} < 0$ by expanding (15), writing out the constant term and the coefficients of the variable terms such as $v, w, x, y, z, \frac{1}{\nu}, \frac{w}{\nu}, \frac{x}{\nu}$ and so on. The only variable terms that appear with positive coefficients are *x*, *y* and *z*. We thus choose the Lyapunov coefficients

emanating from the substitution of the coefficients into \mathcal{H} , are less than or equal to zero by the arithmetic meangeometric mean inequality. This implies that $\mathcal{H} \leq 0$ with equality only if $\frac{S_H}{S_H^*} = \frac{I_H}{I_H^*} = \frac{I_V}{I_V^*} = \frac{I_F}{I_F^*} = \frac{U}{U^*} = 1$.

Therefore, $\dot{\mathcal{V}} \leq 0$ and by the LaSalle's Extension [17], it implies that the omega limit set of each solution lies in an invariant set contained in Ω . The only invariant set contained in Ω is the singleton \mathcal{E}_1 . This shows that each

solution which intersects \mathbb{R}^5_+ limits to the endemic equilibrium. This completes the proof.

Results

Parameter estimation

The biggest challenge in epidemic modeling is the estimation of parameters in the model validation process. In this section we endeavour to estimate some of the parameter values of system (2). The demographic parameters can be easily estimated from census population data. We begin by estimating the mortality rate μ_h . We note that the average life expectancy of the human population in Ghana is 60 years [21]. This translates into $\mu_h = 0.017$ per year or equivalently 4.6×10^{-5} per day. Buruli ulcer is currently regarded as a vector borne disease. Recovery rates modelled by γ_h , of vector borne diseases range from 1.6×10^{-5} to 0.5 per day [23]. This translates to an average of between 0.00584 and 183 per year. The rate of loss of immunity θ_h for vector borne diseases range between 0 and 1.1×10^{-2} per day[23]. The mortality rate of the water bugs is assumed to be 0.15 per day [3]. The rates per day can easily be transferred to yearly rates.

In this model we shall assume that we have more water bugs than humans so that $m_1 < 1$. Since the water bugs prey on the fish, a reasonable food chain structure leads to the assumption that we have more fish than water bugs hence $m_2 > 1$ and consequently $0 < m_3 < 1$. If the water bug is assumed to interact more with the environment than fish then $\eta_{\nu} > 1$ and $0 < \eta_f < 1$. The natural mortality of small fish in rivers is not well documented and data on the mortality of river fish in Ghana is not available. For the purpose of our simulations, we shall assume that $3 \times 10^{-3} < \mu_f < 7 \times 10^{-3}$ per day. Given that $K \ge N_F, N_V$ we have $0 \le m_4, m_5 \le 1$. We shall also assume that $0 \le \sigma_f, \sigma_{\nu} \le 1$. We summarise the parameters in the following Table 2.

Sensitivity analysis

Many of the parameters used in this paper are not determined experimentally. Therefore their accuracy is always in doubt. This can be overcome by observing responses of such parameters and their influence on the model variables through sensitivity and uncertainty analysis. In this subsection we present the sensitivity analysis of the model parameters to ascertain the degree to which the parameters affect the outputs of the model. We use the Partial Correlation Coefficients (PRCCs) analysis to determine the sensitivity of our model to each of the parameters used in the model. Through correlations, the association of the parameters and state variables can be established. In our case, we determine the correlation of our parameters and the state variable *U*. Alongside the PRCCs are the statistical significance test p-values for

Table 2 Parameter values used for the simulationsand sensitivity analysis

Parameter	Value/range	Source	
μ_h	4.5×10^{-5}	[21]	
γh	$1.6 \times 10^{-5} - 0.5$	[23]	
θ_h	$0 - 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	[23]	
m ₁ , m ₂	$m_1 < 1, m_2 > 1$	Estimated	
m_3, m_4, m_5	(0,1)	Estimated	
$m{eta}_h,m{eta}_f,m{eta}_v$	(0,1)	Estimated	
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$	(1,5)	Estimated	
η_{f}	(0, 1)	Estimated	
σ_f , σ_v	(0,1)	Estimated	
μ_{f}	$3 \times 10^{-3} - 7 \times 10^{-3}$	Estimated	
μ_e	(0,1)	Estimated	

each of the parameters. If the magnitude of the PRCC value of a parameter is greater than 0.5 or less than -0.5and the p-value less than 0.05, then the model is sensitive to the parameter. On the other hand, PRCC values close to +1 or -1 indicate that the parameter strongly influences the state variable output. The sign of a PRCC value indicates the qualitative relationship between the parameter and the output variable. A negative sign indicates that the parameter is inversely proportional to the outcome measure [10]. The parameters with negative PRCCs reduce the severity of Burili ulcer disease while those with positive PRCCs aggravate it. Using Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) scheme with 1000 simulations for each run, with U as the outcome variable. Our results show that the variable *U* is sensitive to the changes in the parameters m_3 , η_f , μ_e , μ_f and β_f . The results are shown in Fig. 2.

The results from the PRCC analysis are summarized in Table 3. The significant parameters together with their PRCC values and p-values have been encircled.

In Fig. 3 the residuals for the ranked Latin Hypercube Sampling parameter values are plotted against the residuals for the ranked density of *Mycobacterium ulcerans*. The PRCC plots for parameters β_f , μ_f , μ_e and η_f show a strong linear correlation. The growth of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* increases as the number of infected fish that eventually shed bacteria into the environment increases. An increase in the parameters μ_f and μ_e leads a decrease in amount of bacteria in the environment.

Data and the fitting process

One of the most important steps in the model building chronology is model validation. We now focus on the data provided by the Ashanti Regional Disease Control Office for Buruli ulcer cases in Ghana per 10,0000 people. The data are given in the Table 4 below for the years 2003–2012.



Table 3	Outputs	from PRCC	analysis
---------	---------	-----------	----------

Parameter	PRCC	p-value	Parameter	PRCC	p-value
μ_h	-0.0278955496902069	0.15143	η_v	-0.0115013733722167	0.6938
$ heta_h$	0.00442843658688886	0.9812	μ_v	-0.0180489104210923	0.46911
m_1	0.0515998428094382	0.80091	β_f	0.778665515455008	1.8606e - 207
eta_h	-0.0157345069415098	0.86872	μ_f	-0.700364635558767	1.3865e - 159
γ_h	-0.0107900263078278	0.44864	μ_e	-0.730147310381517	3.8349e - 165
m_2	0.0234088416548564	0.87524	η_f	0.671003774168464	3.5184e - 145
eta_v	0.0241407300242573	0.10143	``. <u>m</u> 3	0.521170177172971	4.476e - 067

We fit the model system (2) to the data of Buruli ulcer cases expressed as fractions. We use the least squares curve fit routine (lsqcurvefit) in Matlab with optimisation to estimate the parameter values. Many parameters are known to lie within limits. A few parameters such as the demographic parameters are known [13] and it is thus important to estimate the others. The process of estimating the parameters aims at finding the best concordance between computed and observed data. One tedious way to do it is by trial and error or by the use of software programs designed to find parameters that give the best fit. Here, the fitting process involves the use of the least squares-curve fitting method. Matlab code is used where unknown parameter values are given a lower and upper bound from which the set of parameter values that produce the best fit are obtained.

Figure 4 shows how system (2) fits to the available data on the incidence of the BU. The incidence solution curve shows a very reasonable fit to the data.

In planning for a long term response to the Buruli ulcer epidemic, it is important to have some reasonable projections to the epidemic. The fitting process allows us to envisage the Buruli ulcer epidemic in future. it is important to note that the projections are reasonably good over



Table 4 Data on Buruli ulcer cases in Ghana



a short period of time since the current is evolving gradually based on the available data. We chose to project the epidemic beyond 5 years to 2017. Figure 5 show the projected Buruli ulcer epidemic.

Figures 6 and 7 show the changes in the prevalence of infected humans respectively when σ_f , the shedding rate of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in the environment and μ_e the removal rate of MU from the environment, are varied. Based on the sensitivity analysis, our model is very sensitive to the shedding rate of Mycobacterium ulcerans into the environment. Figure 6 shows that an increase in the shedding rate will lead increased human infections. We can actually quantify the related increases. For instance, if σ_f is increased from 0.51 to 0.52 on year 15, the percentage increase in the prevalence of human infections is 6 %. Minimising *Mycobacterium ulcerans*in the environment is an important control measure that is, albeit impractical at the moment. We observe through our results that their decrease in the environment can lead to quantifiable changes in the prevalence of infected humans. Increasing μ_e leads to a decrease in the prevalence of infected humans.

Discussion

In this paper, a deterministic model on the dynamics of the Buruli ulcer in the presence of a preventive intervention strategy is presented. The model's steady states are determined and their stabilities investigated in terms of the classic threshold \mathcal{R}_0 . In disease transmission modelling, it is well known that a classical necessary condition for disease eradication is that the basic reproductive number \mathcal{R}_0 , must be less than unity. The model has multiple endemic equilibria (in fact it exhibits a backward bifurcation). When a backward bifurcation occurs, endemic equilibria coexist with the disease free equilibrium for $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$. This means that getting the classic threshold \mathcal{R}_0 less than 1, might not be sufficient to eliminate the disease. Thus the existence of backward bifurcation has important public health implications. This might explain why the disease has persisted in the human







population over time. The endemic equilibrium is found to be globally stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.

The sensitivity analysis of model parameters showed some interesting results. These results suggest that efforts to remove *Mycobacterium ulcerans* and infected fish from the environment will greatly reduce the epidemic although the latter will be impracticable. This is because of the costs involved and the fact that many governments in affected areas operate on lean budgets.

The model is then fitted to data on the Buruli ulcer in Ghana. The model reasonably fits the data. The challenge in the fitting process was that the data appears to indicate that Buruli ulcer has reached a steady state. This then produced some parameter values that appeared unreasonable. Despite these challenges, the fit produced reasonable projections on the future of the ulcer. The model shows that in the near future, the number of cases will not change if everything remains the same. An important consideration that can be added to the model is the inclusion of probable policy shifts and the investigation of different scenarios on the progression of the epidemic as the policies change. Because not much of the disease is understood, parameter estimation was a daunting task. So we had to reasonably estimate some of the parameter using the hypothesis that Buruli ulcer is a vector borne disease. Due to the estimation of essential parameters sensitivity analysis was necessary and very important to determine how these parameters influence the model. The implications of varying some of the important epidemiological parameters such as the shedding rates were investigated. Important results were drawn through Figs. 6 and 7. The main result of this paper is that the management of Buruli ulcer depends mostly on the management of the environment.

Conclusions

This model can be improved by considering social interventions in the human population, modeled as functions and the inclusion of the different forms of treatment available as some individuals opt for traditional methods while others depend on the government health care system [1]. Social interventions include education, awareness, poverty reduction and provision of social services. While the mathematical representations of these interventions are insurmountable, they are vital to the dynamics of the disease and public health policy designs. Finally this model can be used to suggest the type of data that should be collected as research on the Buruli ulcer intensifies. The global burden of the disease and its epidemiology are not well understood, [28]. Clearly, gaps do exist in the nature and type of data available. Reports on the disease are often based on passive presentations of patients at health care facilities. As a result of the difficulties of

Authors' contributions

FN designed the model and carried out the numerical simulations. EB did the mathematical analysis and writing of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602, South Africa. ² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kumasi Polytechnic, P. O. Box 854, Kumasi, Ghana.

Acknowledgements

The first author acknowledges with gratitude the support from the Stellenbosch University, International office for the research visit that culminated into this manuscript. The second author acknowledge, with thanks, the support of the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kumasi Polytechnic.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 20 May 2015 Accepted: 26 October 2015 Published online: 06 November 2015

References

- Agbenorku P, Donwi IK, Kuadzi P, Saunderson P. Buruli Ulcer: treatment challenges at three centres in Ghana. J Trop Med. 2012; doi:10.1155/2012/371915.
- Ahorlu CK, Koka E, Yeboah-Manu D, Lamptey I, Ampadu E. Enhancing Buruli ulcer control in Ghana through social interventions: a case study from the Obom sub-district. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:59.
- Aidoo AY, OSei B. Prevalence of acquatic insects and arsenic concentration determine the geographical distribution of Mycobacterium ulcerans infection. Comput Math Method Med. 2007;8:235–44.
- 4. Boleira M, Lupi O, Lehman L, Asiedu KB, Kiszewski Ana Elisa. Buruli ulcer. Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia. 2010;85(3):281–301.
- Clift E. IEC interventions for health: a 20 year retrospective on dichotomies and directions. Int J Health Commun. 1998;3(4):367–75.
- Debacker M, Portaels F, Aguiar J, Steunou C, Zinsou C, Meyers W, et al. Risk factors for Buruli ulcer, Benin. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12:1325–31.
- Descartes' Rule of Signs, Available at: http://www.purplemath.com/ modules/drofsign.htm, Acessed 2 Sept 2013.
- Eddyani M, Ofori-Adjei D, Teugels G, De Weirdt D, Boakye D, Meyers WM, Portaels F. Potential role for fish in transmission of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease (Buruli Ulcer): an environmental study. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004;5679–81.
- 9. Garba SM, Gumel AB, Abu Bakar MR. Backward bifurcaytion in dengue transmission dynamics. Math Biosci. 2008;215:11–25.

- 10. Gomero B. Latin Hypercube Sampling and Partial Rank Correlation Coefficient analysis applied to an optimal control problem, MSc Thesis, The University of Tennessee. 2012.
- 11. Grassly NC, Fraser C. Mathematical models of infectious disease transmission. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2008;6:477–87.
- 12. Grundmann H, Hellriegel B. Mathematical modelling: a tool for hospital infection control. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005;6(1):39–45.
- Ghana Statistical Service, Available at: http://www.statsghana.gov.gh. Accessed Sept 2013.
- Houben RM, Dowdy DW, Vassall A, et al. How can mathematical models advance tuberculosis control in high HIV prevalence settings? Int J Tuber Lung Dis. 2014;18(5):509–14.
- Huppert A, Katriel G. Mathematical modelling and prediction in infectious disease epidemiology. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19:999–1005.
- Jacobsen KH, Padgett JJ. Risk factors for Mycobacterium ulcerans infection. Int J Infect Dis. 2010;14(8):e677–81.
- 17. LaSalle JP. The stability of dynamical systems. In: CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics 25, SIAM: Philadelphia. 1976.
- Marsollier L, Robert R, Aubry J, Andre JS, Kouakou H, Legras P, Manceau A, Mahaza C, Carbonnelle B. Aquatic insects as a vector for Mycobacterium ulcerans. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002;68:4623–8.
- Marty R, Roze S, Bresse X, Largeron N, Smith-Palmer J. Estimating the clinical benefits of vaccinating boys and girls against HPV-related diseases in Europe. BMC Cancer. 2013;19:19. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-13-10.
- 20. Merritt RW, Walker ED, Small PLC, Wallace JR, Johnson PDR, et al. Ecology and transmission of buruli ulcer disease: a systematic review. PLoS Neglect Trop Dis. 2010;4(12):e911.
- 21. Population and Housing Census National Analytical Report, 2012. http:// www.statsghana.gov.gh.
- Portaels F, Chemlal K, Elsen P, Johnson PD, Hayman JA, Hibble J, Kirkwood R, Meyers WM. Mycobacterium ulcerans in wild animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique. 2001;20:252–64.
- Rascalou G, Pontier D, Menu F, Gourbie're S. Emergence and prevalence of human vector-borne diseases in sink vector populations. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e36858. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036858.
- Silva MT, Portaels F, Pedrosa J. Aquatic insects and Mycobacterium ulcerans: an association relevant to buruli ulcer control? PLoS Med. 2007;4(2):e63.
- Sopoh GE, Barogui YT, Johnson RC, Dossou AD, Makoutode M. Family relationship, water contact and occurrence of Buruli ulcer in Benin. PLoS Neglect Trop Dis. 2010;4(7):e746.
- Stienstra Y, van der Graaf WTA, Asamoa K, van der Werf TS. Beliefs and attitudes toward buruli ulcer in Ghana. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2002;67:207–13.
- Williamson HR, Benbow ME, Campbell LP, Johnson CR, Sopoh G, Barogui Y, Merritt RW, Small PLC. Detection of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in the environment predicts prevalence of buruli ulcer in Benin, PLoS Neglect Trop Dis. 2012; e1506.
- 28. World Health Organization. Buruli ulcer *Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection, http://www.who.int/buruli/en/.
- World Health Organization. Buruli ulcer: Number of new cases of Buruli ulcer reported (per year). http://apps.who.int/neglected_diseases/ntddata/buruli/buruli.html.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central