

Erratum to: Dissecting deuteron Compton scattering I: The observables with polarised initial states

Harald W. Grieffhammer^a

Institute for Nuclear Studies, Department of Physics, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA

Original article: Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 100, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13100-2>

Received: 19 March 2018

Published online: 16 April 2018 – © Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2018

In addition to the changes of the first erratum (Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 113 (2017)), the results of eqs. (17) and (18) (target polarisations and double polarisations with circularly polarised beams) must be multiplied by a factor of 2 for $M > 0$. Therefore, the results for the corresponding asymmetries and rates in figs. 4, 7 to 10, 12, 14 and 15 also increase by a factor of 2. This mandates the following text changes:

- p. 13, left, replace the third sentence by “With magnitudes of up to 0.7, the largest asymmetries are Σ^{lin} , T_{1M}^{circ} and T_{22}^{lin} , followed by magnitudes of about $[0.06 \dots 0.3]$ for T_{JM} , $T_{1(1,0)}^{\text{lin}}$ and $T_{2(1,0)}^{\text{lin}}$.”
- p. 18, right, replace magnitudes: $0.3 \rightarrow 0.7$, $0.15 \rightarrow 0.3$, $0.05 \rightarrow 0.08$.
- p. 24, left, line 1: replace $0.3 \rightarrow 0.7$.

The relative sensitivities to variations of the polarisabilities remain unchanged. The corresponding *Mathematica* notebook, available from hgrie@gwu.edu, and the arXiv version (arXiv:1304.6594 [nucl-th]) have been adjusted accordingly, including new figures and corrections of a few inconsequential typographical errors.

I am very grateful to D.R. Phillips and R.W. Stewart whose persistence was instrumental in finding this error. This work was supported in part by the US-Department of Energy under contract DE-SC0015393, and by the Dean’s Research Chair programme of the Columbian College of Arts and Sciences of The George Washington University.

^a e-mail: hgrie@gwu.edu