Ion-Neutral Complexes Resulting from Dissociative Protonation: Fragmentation of \(\alpha\)-Furanylmethyl Benzyl Ethers and 4-\(N,N\)-dimethylbenzyl Benzyl Ethers
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The loss of \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\) during mass spectrometry in two series of \(\alpha\)-aromaticmethyl benzyl ether compounds, namely, \(\alpha\)-furanylmethyl \(p\)-substituted-benzyl ethers and 4-\(N,N\)-dimethylbenzyl \(p\)-substituted-benzyl ethers, is particularly interesting. The fragmentation mechanism is proposed to involve an ion-neutral complex-mediated pathway. Specifically, before the formation of an ion-neutral intermediate, the proton is transferred from the thermodynamically favored site at either the ether oxygen atom or the nitrogen atom to the dissociative protonation site at \(C_\alpha\) position in either the furyl group or the 4-\(N,N\)-dimethylphenyl group. This transfer has been clarified via computational studies and isotopically labeled experiments. In addition, the decomposition of the intermediate may be affected by the substituent groups on the phenyl ring. This conclusion is indicated by the reasonably good correlation between \(\ln\left(\frac{[M+\text{H}+\text{CH}_2\text{O}]^{-}}{[M+\text{H}+\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{R}]^{-}}\right)\) and the substituent constants. (J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2010, 21, 626–634) © 2010 American Society for Mass Spectrometry

It has been nearly three decades since the definition of ion-neutral complexes was introduced \[1–3\]. Even before that time, approximately half a century ago, the concept of ion-neutral complexes was first proposed to explain the loss of identity in labeled benzyl produced from \(\text{tert}\)-butylbenzene \[4\]. Since then, mechanisms involving the complexes of “weakly coordinated cations” have been suggested to explain a number of otherwise puzzling reactions of ions in the gas-phase \[3, 5–9\]. Specifically, when an ion encounters a neutral molecule in the gas phase, the interaction may lead to the formation of a loose complex in which the ion and neutral molecule are held together by electrostatic forces, but still maintain their individual mobility \[8\]. These ion-neutral complexes may decompose into a product ion and a neutral species, may fragment and recombine into covalently bonded molecular ions, or may undergo another ion-molecule reaction for which the stabilization energy of the products is small. In short, ion-neutral complex-mediated unimolecular decomposition processes involve either a direct cleavage without a significant barrier or further internal ion-molecule reactions.

Ion-neutral complexes have been found to be ubiquitous in gas-phase ionic reactions. However, most early investigations into the subject focused on theoretical studies of postulated reactions pathways involving ion-neutral complex intermediates and the corresponding transition structures \[10–12\] because experimentally verifying that ion-neutral complexes were intermediates in gas-phase ionic reactions and characterizing those intermediates in detail were not simple tasks. Several classical experimental methods, such as isotopic labeling experiments \[4, 13, 14\], kinetic isotope effects \[15–17\], and kinetic methods \[18–20\] can provide indirect evidence to support a proposed ion-neutral complex-mediated mechanism. Among these methods, the classical method of studying the substituent influence has proved useful in the analysis of mechanisms that involve ion-neutral complex intermediates \[16, 21\], whose dissociation pathways can be affected by the electronic effects exerted by substituents that influence the kinetic energy of the ion-neutral complex. In particular, there is an obvious correlation between substituent effects and the relative abundance of product ions in mass spectrometry experiments.

Recently, a pathway involving an ion-neutral complex intermediate has been proposed by one of us to explain the loss of a \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}\) molecule from protonated dibenzyl ether under collision-induced dissociation (CID) conditions \[19\]. This dissociation is completely different from the rearrangement process involving the difficult formation of a \(C_\alpha–C_\beta\) bond between two phenyl rings that was reported earlier by Kingston et al.
Experimental

The substituted α-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers and 4-N,N-dimethylbenzyl benzyl ethers were prepared through a modification of the Williamson ether synthesis. The structures of the products were verified by independent synthesis, 1H NMR, 13C NMR, and high-resolution mass spectrometry after their purification.

The samples were first analyzed on a Bruker Esquire 3000 plus mass spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with an ESI ion source in positive ionization mode. All the data were acquired using the Esquire 5.0 software. The compounds were first dissolved in a methanol and 1% acetic acid in water (3:1) solution. The solutions were infused into the source chamber at a flow rate of 3 µL min⁻¹ while nitrogen was used as the nebulizing gas at a pressure of 15 psi, and the drying gas was set at a flow rate of 5 L min⁻¹. The capillary voltage was set at 4000 V, and the ion source temperature was 250 °C. The collision-induced dissociation (CID) mass spectra were obtained using helium as the collision gas. After the isolation of the precursor ions, the collision energy was set to give suitable energy for the dissociation of all samples.

Accurate masses were measured on an ApexIII (7.0 tesla) FTICR mass spectrometer (Bruker). Sodium iodide was selected as the external calibration compound for positive ion electrospray ionization mass spectrometry in the mass range from 100 to 1200 Da. The solutions were infused from the ESI source at 3 µL min⁻¹ using the following parameters: capillary, −4200 V; end plate, 3767 V; skimmer 1, 13.23 V; skimmer 2, 6.5 V; offset, 1.03 V; rf amplitude, 500 Hz; drying gas temperature, 150 °C. Nitrogen was used as both the nebulizing gas and the drying gas, while argon was used as the collision gas. MS/MS parameters were as follows: correlation sweep pulse length, 2000 µs; correlation sweep attenuation, 19.5 dB; ejection safety belt, 100 Hz; user pulse delay length, 0.005 s; and user delay length, 3 s.

Calculations were performed by using DFT methods at the RB3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory using Gaussian 03. Candidate structures for the reactants and products were optimized by calculating force constants. No symmetry constraints were imposed in the optimizations. All optimized structures were subjected to vibrational frequency analysis to allow for zero-point energy (ZPE) correction to 298.15 K and 1.0 atm of pressure. The sum of the electronic and thermal energies for the optimized structures was calculated.

Results and Discussion

The compounds selected in this study were expected to have the particular fragmentation loss of CH₂O, which occurs when a proton migrates from the thermodynamically favored site to the key dissociative protonation site [19, 23, 24]. The compounds tested were selected to be representative of different kinds of molecules, including (1) α-aromatic heterocycle methyl benzyl ethers, and (2) substituted-benzyl benzyl ethers. Specifically, the compounds in the two groups were α-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers and 4-N,N-dimethylbenzyl benzyl ethers, respectively. Investigating these two groups of representative compounds, not only allows the systematic study of these compounds’ fragmentation mechanism, but also allows for extensive investigation of these α-aromaticmethyl benzyl ethers’ particular loss habit.

α-Aromatic Heterocycle Methyl Benzyl Ether

The furan in these compounds is representative of aromatic heterocycles in general. The molecular structures of α-Furanylmethyl benzyl ether (Compound 1) and its derivatives (Compounds 2–8) are shown in Table 1. Protonated molecular ions of all the compounds were produced under positive ion ESI conditions. Mass spectra from MS/MS experiments were obtained under the conditions described in the experimental section. Generally, Compounds 1–8 produced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>N(CH₃)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>OCH₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>CH₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>Br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>COCH₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
similar fragment ions in the positive ESI-MS/MS experiments. The only effect of the substituents on the reaction was to alter the relative abundances of the fragment ions.

There were several different types of fragmentation reactions generated by the compounds, but the major fragmentations were produced by cleavage of the ether and loss of formaldehyde. As a general rule, the major fragmentations are expected to be produced directly from the MH\(^+\) ions in which the proton is attached at the thermodynamically favored site. Hence, the protonation site of all compounds in the study was determined at the outset of this work.

\(\text{\(\alpha\)}\)-Furanylmethyl benzyl ether can be considered an analog of dibenzyl ether. Early studies showed that in dibenzyl ether, as well as in its derivatives, the oxygen atom was the thermodynamically favored site of protonation \([19]\). This result is in agreement with the fact that the proton affinity (PA) values of ethers (\(\sim 810 \text{ kJ/mol}\)) are usually higher than those of benzenes (\(\sim 750 \text{ kJ/mol}\)) \([25]\). However, in the case of \(\alpha\)-furanylmethyl benzyl ether and its derivatives, the furyl group is another possible site of protonation because the PA value for furan (803.4 kJ/mol) is similar to that of ether (\(\sim 810 \text{ kJ/mol}\)) \([25]\). In addition, computations using Gaussian 03W were conducted to identify the protonation sites. For the sake of simplicity, Compound 1, \(\alpha\)-furanylmethyl benzyl ether, was selected as an example in this paper. Specifically, the structures containing different protonated sites, such as the ether, phenyl, and furyl groups, were optimized using Gaussian 03W at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. The total energy of these structures is summarized in the Supporting Information, which can be found in the electronic version of this article. Additionally, it has been reported that the C\(\alpha\) is the thermodynamically favored site of protonation relative to the C\(\beta\) and O atom in the furan molecule \([26]\), which indicates that the proton should be attached to the C\(\alpha\) site in the case of a MH\(^+\) ion protonated at furyl. Overall, the computation results in this study indicated that the oxygen atom was the protonation site because that molecular ion had the lowest energy.

As an example of the characteristic fragmentations observed for the compounds studied here, the ESI-MS/MS spectrum of protonated Compound 1 is shown in Figure 1a. Upon collisional activation, the protonated molecular ion at \(m/z 189\) yielded three major product ions at \(m/z\) 159, 91, and 81, respectively. Several other common fragmentations were also observed, such as the ion at \(m/z 171\) that results from the loss of H\(_2\)O, and the ion at \(m/z\) 145 that results from the loss of C\(_2\)H\(_4\)O in the furyl group. For clarity, the following discussion about the fragmentation mechanism will be focus on the three major products. In general, the ion at \(m/z\) 91 is the benzyl cation and the ion at \(m/z\) 81 is the furylethyl cation. However, the loss of 30 Da in molecular mass, which has been confirmed by FTICR-MS/MS to result from the loss of CH\(_2\)O, cannot be rationalized without invoking skeletal rearrangements before the fragmentation. In this study, we propose a similar ion-neutral complex intermediate involved mechanism that is based on the mechanism of CH\(_2\)O loss from dibenzyl ether \([19]\). In the mechanism, the proton is transferred from O to C on the skeleton via a 1,3-H shift, and then an ion-neutral complex intermediate is formed as the result of C-C bond elongation. The product ions at \(m/z\) 159 are generated via the loss of CH\(_2\)O from the ion-neutral complex intermediate. However, unlike the dibenzyl ether case, when considering the \(\alpha\)-furanylmethyl benzyl ether there are two different possible ion-neutral intermediates that may be formed. The formation of the intermediates depends on the direction of the proton transfer. Specifically, if the proton transfers to phenyl, an intermediate consisting of a 2-furanylmethoxy-methyl cation and a neutral phenyl complex will be formed, and the proton will be attached to the phenyl group when the product ion is formed via loss of CH\(_2\)O. In contrast, if the proton transfers to furyl, the other possible intermediate, a complex of benzyloxy-methyl cation and a neutral furan will be formed, and the proton will be attached to the furyl group when the product ion is formed via loss of CH\(_2\)O.

Due to the important role it plays in the reaction, the proton transfer direction should be discussed before the fragmentation mechanism. Calculations of MH\(^+\) ions.
can be utilized to determine the protonation site and the pathways of proton transfer. In particular, it has been found via Gaussian 03W using the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory that the total energy of the MH$^+$ ion protonated at the furyl C$_\alpha$ was much lower than that of the MH$^+$ ion protonated at the phenyl C$_\alpha$ (Please see the Supporting Information for details). This calculation suggests that the proton is more likely to transfer to the furyl group than the phenyl group.

To verify the conclusions drawn from the calculations, Compound 9, benzyl-d$_7$, 2-furanmethyl ether (Figure 2), was synthesized to check the direction of proton transfer. The fragmentation ions resulting from MH$^+$ in Compounds 1 and 9 are almost the same (Figure 1). However, the two possible directions of proton transfer in Compound 9 will generate two different product ions with different m/z values. Specifically, if the proton transfers to the furyl group, the loss of CH$_2$O from the intermediate will generate a product ion at m/z 166. On the contrary, if the proton transfers to the phenyl-d$_7$ group, a loss of CD$_2$O would be expected to give the product ion at m/z 164. Consequently, the two possible pathways can be readily distinguished from one another. According to the ESI-MS/MS spectrum of protonated Compound 9, which is shown in Figure 1b, the fragment at m/z 166 is observed as the base peak but no fragment ion at m/z 164 is detected. Therefore, the results from the isotopically labeled compound are consistent with the result of the relative energy calculations, which suggest the proton should be transferred to the furyl group rather than the phenyl group.

In addition, it was found that in the case of substituted a-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers, the substituents do affect the stability of the MH$^+$ ions. Because electron-donating substituents on the phenyl ring can stabilize proton transfer from the initial protonation site to the phenyl group, compounds carrying a strong electron-donating substituent have been a focus of computational studies. Thus, the energies of the different protonated MH$^+$ structures for Compound 3, which carries a para-methoxy substituent, have been optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. The total energies for these structures are given in the supporting material. Compared with the MH$^+$ ions of Compound 1, the difference in the total energy between the MH$^+$ structure protonated at the phenyl group and the MH$^+$ structure protonated at the furyl group of Compound 3 is significantly decreased. However, the results indicate that the MH$^+$ structure protonated at the furyl group is still more energetically favorable, even though the energy difference between the two protonated structures has decreased. Furthermore, the direction of proton transfer has been proved using the isotopically labeled substrate. Compound 10 (Figure 3), 4-methoxybenzyl, 2-furanmethyl-d$_2$ ether, was synthesized to check the proton transfer direction. As with the fragmentation of protonated Compound 9, the two possible proton transfer pathways can be completely distinguished from one another. According to the ESI-MS/MS spectrum of protonated Compound 10 (see the Supporting Information), a fragment at m/z 189 (loss of CD$_2$O) is evident but no fragment ion at m/z 191 (loss of CH$_2$O) is observed. These experimental MS/MS results are consistent with the computational results, which suggest that the proton should be transferred to the furyl group.

In contrast, the computational results regarding the possible MH$^+$ structures of Compound 2, which have a NMe$_2$ substituent, reveal that the total energy of the structure resulting from proton transfer to the phenyl group is 71 kJ/mol lower than the structure resulting from proton transfer to the furyl group, which indicates that the proton will transfer to the phenyl group in MH$^+$ structures of Compound 2. In summary, an electron-donating substituent on the phenyl group can increase the stability of the phenyl group protonated MH$^+$ structure, however, only the NMe$_2$ group, the strongest electron-donating group in our study, is strong enough to alter the direction of proton transfer.

Based on the above results and discussion, Scheme 1 can be proposed. A simplified fragmentation mechanism for a-furanylmethyl benzyl ether and its derivatives (except for Compound 2) is shown in Scheme 1. Specifically, in positive ESI-MS/MS mode, the MH$^+$ ion, a-1, is produced by protonation of the ether bond. Then, the product ions a-4 and a-5 are directly produced by the cleavage of the ether bond. Alternatively, the other form of MH$^+$ ion, a-2, can also be produced by transferring the proton to the C$_\alpha$ in the furyl group, which can decompose to the ion-neutral complex intermediate a-3 that consists of a benzzyloxy-methyl cation and a neutral furan, and that is formed by the elongation of the C–C bond before fragmentation. Product ion a-6 results from the loss of CH$_2$O from Complex a-3. The relative abundances (RA) of the major product ions for all the compounds in this study are summarized in Supporting Material. Moreover, the loss of CH$_2$O could still be observed in the CID spectrum of Compound 2, which indicates that the NMe$_2$ substituent only influences the direction of proton transfer, but does little to the ion-neutral intermediate involved mechanism after dissociative protonation.
Furthermore, we have noticed that the collisional energy influences the relative intensity of fragment ions. In the case of Compound 1, as the collision energy increases, the relative intensity of the $m/z$ 159 ion ([M + H − CH$_2$O]$^+$) decreases, while that of the $m/z$ 91 ion ([M + H − CH$_2$O − C$_4$H$_4$O]$^+$) increases (Figure 4). This is consistent with the fact that the intermediate, ion-neutral Complex a-3 collapses more readily when the collision energy is higher and thus the reaction via the complex becomes less competitive.

It was also determined that the substituent only affected the relative abundances of the fragment ions. As shown in Scheme 1, the ion-neutral complex intermediate a-3 can generate two product ions, a-5 and a-6. The amount of these two competitive product ions can be significantly affected by substituents on the phenyl ring, which alter the stability of the product ions. Specifically, an electron-donating substituent connected to the phenyl ring can increase the electronegativity of the phenyl ring, thereby stabilizing product ions of type a-5. In contrast, an electron-withdrawing substituent can increase the phenyl ring electropositivity, thereby destabilizing product ions of type a-5. In other words, the formation of product ion a-6 can be either expedited by an electron-withdrawing substituent or impeded by an electron-donating substituent.

To systematically study the effects of substituents on the ion-neutral complex intermediates, the mass spectra of a series of compounds containing different substituents at the para position of the phenyl ring were

---

**Figure 4.** Dependence of the parent ion and the product ion intensities on collision energy in CID reactions of Compound 1.

**Scheme 1.** Proposed MS/MS fragmentation mechanism of protonated $\alpha$-furanylmethyl benzyl ether and its derivatives.

**Figure 5.** Plot of $\ln([M + H − CH$_2$O]$^+)/([C$_5$H$_5$O]$^+$) versus the $\sigma_p$ substituent constants for the collision-induced fragmentation of MH$^+$ ions of $\alpha$-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers substituted at the para position. Collision energy is 0.60 V (helium).
measured (the relative abundance of the product ions are provided in the Supporting Information). Two major product ions, a-6 and a-4, were chosen to examine the substituent effects and the intensity ratios of these two ions. The plot of \( \ln \left( \frac{[M/H]_{11001H11002CH2O]/H11001}}{[C5H5O]/H11001}] \right) \) versus the substituent constants, \( \sigma_p \) [27] was used because the formation of fragment ions \([M/H]_{11001H11002CH2O]/H11001}]\) could be influenced by \( p \)-position substituents as discussed above. The product ion a-5 was not used in this analysis because it can be produced via two different pathways (Scheme 1), which complicates the discussion. In contrast, the formation of the product ion a-4 is straightforward in the simplified fragmentation mechanism (Scheme 1), and can hardly be affected by the substituents present. Consequently, the substituent’s influence will only felt in generation of product ion a-6 compared with a-4. Specifically, as shown in Figure 5, electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups can expedite or slow down the formation of product ion a-6 compared with a-4. This finding is consistent with the proposed mechanism in Scheme 1, and strongly supports an ion-neutral complex intermediate-involved mechanism. Furthermore, Compound 2 does not fit this trend because the NMe₂ substituent can change the direction of proton transfer, which results in a different fragmentation mechanism.

**Substituted-Benzyl Benzyl Ethers**

4-N,N-dimethylbenzyl benzyl ether, as a derivative of dibenzyl ether, was selected to be representative of substituted-benzyl, benzyl ethers in general. Additionally, 4-N,N-dimethylbenzyl benzyl ether and its \( p \)-substituted phenyl derivatives were synthesized and investigated using the same methods applied to the \( \alpha \)-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers. The structures of the series of compounds (Compounds 11–19) are shown in Table 2. For all the compounds, the protonated molecular ions were produced under positive ion ESI conditions. In the MS/MS experiments, the mass spectra were obtained under the same conditions described in the experimental section. Almost all the compounds in this series showed fragmentations similar to those seen in the first series, and again the substituent at \( 4 \)-position of the phenyl group only affected the relative abundance of common fragment ions.

An initial problem in this study was the protonation site for this series of compounds. In the case of 4-N,N-dimethylbenzyl benzyl ether, the PA value of the \( p \)-N(CH₃)₂ substituted phenyl (941.1 kJ/mol) is higher than that of the ether (~810 kJ/mol) [25]. Of course, the nitrogen atom is another possible thermodynamically favored site of protonation in addition to the oxygen atom. This issue was also studied by computation using Gaussian 03W. The results show that the total energy of the equilibrium structure of the MH⁺ ion protonated at nitrogen is 28 kJ/mol lower than that of the MH⁺ ion protonated at oxygen. Therefore, the initial protonation site for this series of compounds is probably the nitrogen atom. However, almost no direct fragmentation was obtained from this nitrogen protonated structure. The main fragmentation reaction, including the cleavage of the ether bond and the loss of CH₂O, must be triggered by a proton migration from the initial site of protonation to other dissociative protonation sites. Thus, proton transfer before fragmentation is proposed. Although the proton transfer over the phenyl ring
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**Table 2.** Structures of Compounds 11–19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>N(CH₃)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>CH₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>OCH₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>CF₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>Br</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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seems difficult, it could take place by ring walk [28]. As with the series of α-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers, the oxygen and the phenyl α-carbons are the probable dissociative protonation sites.

In view of the proton transfer and fragmentation mechanism described for the α-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers, we shall attempt to explain the dissociation pathways. In the CID mass spectrum of protonated Compound 11 (Figure 6a), the main fragmentation, aside from some other product ions at m/z 224, 150, 151, and 120, is the loss of CH₂O and the cleavage of the ether bond, which could not form without the proton attached to the nitrogen. As with the series of α-furanylmethyl benzyl ethers, the phenyl C₆-s and the oxygen atom could be the dissociative protonation sites to which the proton transfers from nitrogen, the initial protonation site. The ether bond cleavage would then happen after the proton transfer to the oxygen atom. Proton transfer to C₆ in the p-N(CH₃)₂ substituted phenyl leads to a benzyloxy-methyl cation and a neutral p-N(CH₃)₂ substituted phenyl complex intermediate, which in turn results in the loss of CH₂O.

The direction of proton transfer was also studied by investigating isotope-labeled 4-N,N-dimethylbenzyl benzyl ether, Compound 20 (Figure 7), because the different proton transfer directions will form different ion-neutral complex intermediates, as well as different product ions. The CID spectrum of the MH⁺ ion of Compound 20 is shown in Figure 6b. The neutral loss observed from the spectrum is CH₂O, not CD₂O, which shows that the proton transfers to the α-carbon in the 4-N,N-dimethylphenyl group and rarely to the phenyl-d₅. In light of all the above results and discussions, Scheme 2 proposes the probable fragmentation pathways.

The fragmentation pathway could also be proven by examining the substituent effects. In analogy to the first series of compounds, the two product ions, b-4 and b-6,
were investigated with regards to how different substituents altered their relative abundance. As with the α-furanylmethyl p-substituted benzyl ethers, the variation in the intensity ratio of fragment ions b-4 and b-6 was well-correlated with the substituent constants, $\sigma_p^+$ [27]. A plot of $\ln \left( \frac{[M + H - CH_2O]^+}{[C_9H_{12}N^+]^+} \right)$ versus $\sigma_p^+$ constants gave a trend that is a reasonably good fit to a single straight line (Figure 8). The positive slope shows that electron-withdrawing groups, such as NO$_2$, expedite the formation of $[M + H - CH_2O]^+$, while electron-donating groups, such as NMe$_2$, retard its formation, which strongly supports the ion-neutral complex intermediate-involved mechanism.

Conclusion
Protonated α-furanylmethyl benzyl ether, 4-$N,N$-dimethylbenzyl benzyl ether and their derivatives have been studied by ESI tandem mass spectrometry. The main fragmentation to give $[M + H - CH_2O]^+$ can only be observed when the proton migrates from the initial protonation site to the dissociative protonation site, an aromatic ring, which forms an ion-neutral complex intermediate that loses CH$_2$O via decomposition. This pathway was clarified by energy calculations and isotope-labeling experiments. The substituent groups on the phenyl ring may affect the fragmentation process, which was indicated by the relatively good correlations between $\ln \left( \frac{[M + H - CH_2O]^+}{[M + H - CH_2O - C_6H_5R]^+} \right)$ and the substituent constants. In addition, these two series of compounds are representative of α-aromaticmethyl benzyl ethers in general, and of dibenzyl ether in particular. Consequently, the prevalent loss of CH$_2$O and its mechanism, which involves an ion-neutral complex intermediate that results from dissociative protonation, is universal among α-aromaticmethyl benzyl ethers.
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