CORRECTION

CrossMark

Correction to: Autonomy-induced preference, budget reallocation, and child health

Biswajit Mandal[1] · Prasun Bhattacharjee[2] · Souvik Banerjee[3]

Correction to: Eurasian Econ Rev
https://doi.org/10.1007/s40822-018-0091-7

When we published this article, the Assumption 1 on page 4 contained an error: while the preceding paragraph stated:

Mother's autonomy or the degree of empowerment is captured by making the parameters of the utility function dependent on mother's autonomy coefficient α such that $0 \le \alpha < 1$. Specifically, $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha)$ and $\delta = \delta(\alpha)$ where $0 < \gamma(\alpha) < 1$ and $0 < \delta(\alpha) < 1$ are the utility elasticities with respect to H_C and G, respectively.

The following Assumption stated the contrary:

Assumption 1 $\gamma'(\alpha) > 0$ and $\delta'(\alpha) > 0$

This mistake happened during typesetting and sadly remained unnoticed. Assumption 1 should read correctly:

Assumption 1 $\gamma'(\alpha) > 0$ and $\delta'(\alpha) < 0$

The article has been updated. The publisher apologises for this mistake.

✉ Prasun Bhattacharjee
  bhattacp@etsu.edu

  Biswajit Mandal
  biswajiteco@gmail.com

  Souvik Banerjee
  souvikb@bu.edu

[1] Department of Economics and Politics, Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan 731235, India

[2] Department of Economics and Finance, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN 37614, USA

[3] Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02215, USA

🌀 Springer