



# Correction to: Why public organizations contribute to crosscutting policy programs: the role of structure, culture, and ministerial control

Astrid Molenveld<sup>1,2</sup> · Koen Verhoest<sup>2</sup> · Jan Wynen<sup>2,3</sup>

Published online: 5 September 2020  
© The Author(s) 2020

## Correction to: Policy Sciences

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-020-09379-y>

The original publication of the article was published with incorrect affiliation link and Table 7. These have been corrected and given with this correction.

## Appendix 3

See Table 7.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-020-09379-y>.

---

✉ Astrid Molenveld  
molenveld@essb.eur.nl

Koen Verhoest  
koen.verhoest@uantwerpen.be

Jan Wynen  
jan.wynen@uantwerpen.be

<sup>1</sup> Department of Public Administration and Sociology, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Burgemeester Oudlaan 50, 3062 PA Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Department of Political Science, Research Group on Politics and Public Governance, Sint - Jacobstraat 2 - 4 S.M.286, 2000 Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Antwerp, Sint - Jacobstraat 2 - S.M.272, 2000 Antwerp, Belgium

**Table 7** Dependent variable (log value) and independent variables per organization

Organization	Policy field	Size	Organizational adaptation (dependent, log value)	Formal-legal distance	Policy development as task autonomy	Innovation-oriented culture	Customer-oriented culture	Vertical control
1	BZ	Small	0.017	0	0	5	6	0.25
2	BZ	Medium	0.2	0	1	6.5	6	0.625
3	BZ	Medium	0.15	0	1	3	4.5	1
4	BZ	Large	0.133	0	0	5.5	5.75	0.75
5	CJSM	Small	0.033	1	0	4.75	5.75	0.375
6	CJSM	Small	0.133	0	0	3.75	4.5	0.25
7	CJSM	Small	0.05	0	0	5	6.75	0.125
8	CJSM	Medium	0.05	0	1	3	4.25	0
9	CJSM	Large	0.05	1	0	4.5	6.75	1
10	DAR	Small	0.017	0	0	5.5	6.75	0
11	DAR	Medium	0.067	0	1	4.5	5.75	0.125
12	EWI	Small	0.1	0	1	4.5	5.75	0.875
13	EWI	Small	0.083	1	0	5.5	6	0.625
14	EWI	Medium	0.183	0	0	4	5	0.375
15	IV	Small	0.067	0	0	5	5	0.125
16	IV	Small	0	1	0	4	6.75	0.375
17	L&V	Medium	0.083	0	0	5.25	6.5	0.25
18	L&V	Medium	0.083	0	1	5	6	0.25
19	LNE	Small	0.1	1	0	6	7	0
20	LNE	Small	0.05	0	0	3.75	5	0
21	LNE	Medium	0.033	0	0	5.75	6.5	0.125
22	LNE	Medium	0.117	1	0	5.25	6	0.75
23	LNE	Large	0.05	1	0	4.75	5.75	0
24	LNE	Large	0.1	0	1	3.5	4.75	0.125
25	LNE	Large	0	1	0	4.5	5.75	0

**Table 7** (continued)

Organization	Policy field	Size	Organizational adaptation (dependent, log value)	Formal-legal distance	Policy development as task autonomy	Innovation-oriented culture	Customer-oriented culture	Vertical control
26	MOW	Large	0.05	1	0	5	6.5	1
27	MOW	Large	0.2	1	0	6	6.25	1
28	MOW	Large	0.083	0	0	4.5	5.75	0.375
29	O&V	Small	0.033	1	0	3.5	6.5	0.375
30	RWO	Medium	0.017	0	0	3	6	0.25
31	RWO	Medium	0.033	1	0	4.25	6.25	0
32	RWO	Medium	0.133	0	1	3.75	3.25	0.5
33	WSE	Small	0.167	0	1	5.25	4.75	0.5
34	WSE	Small	0.05	0	0	5.5	6.5	0.125
35	WSE	Small	0.05	1	0	5.5	6	0.5
36	WSE	Small	0.117	1	0	4.75	5.25	0.875
37	WSE	Large	0.05	1	0	6	6	0.25
38	WVG	Medium	0.083	0	1	5	6	0.5
39	WVG	Medium	0.083	0	1	5.5	5.5	0.5
40	WVG	Medium	0.2	1	0	5.25	5.75	0.875
41	WVG	Large	0	1	0	3.75	5.25	0
42	WVG	Large	0.017	1	0	3	6	0.125
43	WVG	Large	0	0	1	4.5	5.5	0
44	WVG	Large	0.017	1	0	5.75	6.25	0

In order to assure anonymity of the involved organizations, the variable 'organizational size' has been recoded into three categories: small (0–200 FTE); medium (>200–500 FTE); and large organizations (>500 FTE). For the same reason, organizations are not labelled as departments or agencies

The original article has been corrected.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.