



Correction to: Smoking Policy Change Within Permanent Supportive Housing

Anne Berit Petersen¹ · Holly C. Stewart² · Jon Walters³ · Maya Vijayaraghavan⁴

Published online: 7 April 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: J Community Health (2018) 43:312–320
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-017-0423-7>

The original version of this article unfortunately contains mistakes.

1. On page 315, in the last sentence under the “Tobacco Use” subheading, the percentage should read “59.3%” rather than “55.6%”.
2. On page 315, in the last sentence under the “Secondhand Smoke Exposure” subheading, the percentage “28.2%” should read “28.6%”.
3. The presentation of “Post-policy” and “Pre-policy” terms in the Figs. 1 and 3 were incorrect.

It should be read as:

Figure 1: Pre-policy (n = 27); Post-policy (n = 16).

Figure 3: Pre-policy (n = 55); Post-policy (n = 42).

The corrected Figs. 1 and 3 are given below.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-017-0423-7>.

✉ Maya Vijayaraghavan
Maya.Vijayaraghavan@ucsf.edu

¹ School of Nursing, Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, CA, USA

² Department of Epidemiology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA

³ Housing Innovation Partners, San Diego, CA, USA

⁴ Division of General Internal Medicine/Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, School of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, 1001 Potrero Ave, Box 1364, San Francisco, CA 94110, USA

Fig. 1 Current smokers anticipated and reported responses to “proposed” and “current” smoke-free policy. Percent change (95% CI) (a) – 11.0% (– 40.3, 18.3); (b) – 14.9% (– 47.1, 17.3); (c) – 1.5% (– 28.1, 25.0); (d) 13.8% (– 13.8, 41.5)




Fig. 3 Attitudes towards “proposed” and “current” smoke-free policy in pre- and post-implementation samples. Percent change (95% CI): (a) 7.5% (– 12.0, 27.0); (b) 10.3 (– 9.3, 29.8); (c) 18.7% (0.1, 36.7)

