

CORRECTION

## **Corrections to: Differentiable McCormick relaxations**

Kamil A. Khan $^1\cdot$  Matthew Wilhelm $^2\cdot$  Matthew D. Stuber $^2\cdot$  Huiyi Cao $^1\cdot$  Harry A. J. Watson $^3\cdot$  Paul I. Barton $^3$ 

Published online: 25 January 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

## Corrections to: J Glob Optim https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-016-0440-6

This note lists corrections to various errors in a recent article [1] by Khan, Watson, and Barton. Though these errors appear in the text of [1], they were not present in the C++ implementation used in Section 7 of [1]; hence, the examples in that section were not affected by these errors.

- − In the bottom row of Table 1 of [1], concerning relaxations of  $\frac{1}{\xi^{2k-1}}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the entry in the leftmost column should be "ℝ\_–" instead of "ℝ<sub>+</sub>". (The  $B := \mathbb{R}_+$  case is addressed by the earlier row concerning  $\frac{1}{\xi^k}$ .)
- Proposition 6 of [1] concerns the procedure for obtaining  $\mathscr{C}^2$  relaxations of expressions involving odd powers. In this proposition, in the construction of  $\overline{\phi}^C$ , the "max" function should instead be "min"; the corrected construction is:

$$\overline{\phi}^{\mathbf{C}}: \boldsymbol{x} \to \mathbb{R}: \boldsymbol{\xi} \mapsto \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2k+1}, & \text{if } \overline{\boldsymbol{x}} \leq \boldsymbol{0}, \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}^{2k+1} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{x}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}-\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}}\right) + (\min\{\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{\xi}\})^{2k+1}, & \text{if } \underline{\boldsymbol{x}} < \boldsymbol{0} < \overline{\boldsymbol{x}} \\ \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}^{2k+1} + (\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}^{2k+1} - \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}^{2k+1}) \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{x}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}-\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}}\right), & \text{if } \boldsymbol{0} \leq \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}. \end{cases}$$

- In Definition 3 of [1], in the constructions of  $x^*$  and  $y^*$ , the  $\sigma_{\mu}$  terms should be subtracted rather than added. This affects the Whitney- $\mathscr{C}^1$  relaxations of products described in

☑ Paul I. Barton pib@mit.edu

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-016-0440-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Process Systems and Operations Research Laboratory, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Process Systems Engineering Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

Theorem 6. The corrected constructions are:

$$\begin{aligned} x^* : (y, \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) &\mapsto \underline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + (\overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) \left( \frac{\overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}} - y}{\overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}} - \sigma_{\mu} \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}}{(\mu + \overline{1})(\overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\eta}})} \right) \right), \\ y^* : (x, \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) &\mapsto \underline{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + (\overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) \left( \frac{\overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - x}{\overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}} - \sigma_{\mu} \left( \frac{\underline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}}{(\mu + 1)(\overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 6 in [1] is valid after this correction.

- Proposition 15 of [1] provides partial derivatives for the relaxations of products described in Theorem 6. In Proposition 15, in the provided expressions for partial derivatives of  $\underline{\psi}_{\times,A}$ , the exponents should be  $\mu - 1$  rather than  $\mu + 1$ . The corrected partial derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial \underline{\psi}_{\times,\mathbf{A}}}{\partial x}(x, y, \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \underline{\eta} + \overline{\eta} + (\mu + 1)(\overline{\eta} - \underline{\eta}) \left( \frac{y - \eta}{\overline{\eta} - \underline{\eta}} - \frac{\overline{\zeta} - x}{\overline{\zeta} - \underline{\zeta}} \right) \left| \frac{y - \eta}{\overline{\eta} - \underline{\eta}} - \frac{\overline{\zeta} - x}{\overline{\zeta} - \underline{\zeta}} \right|^{\mu - 1} \right),$$
$$\frac{\partial \underline{\psi}_{\times,\mathbf{A}}}{\partial y}(x, y, \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \underline{\zeta} + \overline{\zeta} + (\mu + 1)(\overline{\zeta} - \underline{\zeta}) \left( \frac{y - \eta}{\overline{\eta} - \underline{\eta}} - \frac{\overline{\zeta} - x}{\overline{\zeta} - \underline{\zeta}} \right) \left| \frac{y - \eta}{\overline{\eta} - \underline{\eta}} - \frac{\overline{\zeta} - x}{\overline{\zeta} - \underline{\zeta}} \right|^{\mu - 1} \right).$$

## References

 Khan, K.A., Watson, H.A.J., Barton, P.I.: Differentiable McCormick relaxations. J. Glob. Optim. 67, 687– 729 (2017)