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#### Abstract

Through the action of the Weyl algebra on the geometric series, we establish a generalization of the Worpitzky identity and new recursive formulae for a family of polynomials including the classical Eulerian polynomials. We obtain an extension of the Dobiński formula for the sum of rook numbers of a Young diagram by replacing the geometric series with the exponential series. Also, by replacing the derivative operator with the $q$-derivative operator, we extend these results to the $q$-analogue setting including the $q$-hit numbers. Finally, a combinatorial description and a proof of the symmetry of a family of polynomials introduced by one of the authors are provided.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper is mainly motivated by the idea of developing a theory for Eulerian polynomials and their generalizations through the formalism of the Weyl algebra. Our starting point is a family of polynomials, occasionally called hit polynomials [4,5], already covered in Riordan's book [16] in the late 1950s, and introduced by Kaplansky

[^0]and Riordan [14]. Among other reasons, hit polynomials are interesting because of their combinatorial properties linked to rook numbers. Let us recall some notions and briefly describe the context. A non-attacking rook placement on a board $D$ is a set $P$ of boxes of $D$ with no two boxes in the same row or column. The number $r_{k}(D)$ of non-attacking rook placements $P$ on $D$ with $|P|=k$ is said to be the $k$-th rook number of $D$. If $D=D_{\lambda}$ is the Young diagram of a partition $\lambda$, then we write $r_{k}(\lambda)$ for the $k$-th rook number of $D_{\lambda}$. In particular, for the staircase partition $\delta_{n}:=(n, n-1, \ldots, 1)$, it is well-known that the rook numbers $r_{k}\left(\delta_{n-1}\right)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n, n-k)$. In this sense, the sum $R_{\lambda}=\sum_{k} r_{k}(\lambda)$ can be regarded as a generalized Bell number. By identifying the permutations in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with the placements on the square diagram $D_{n}$ consisting of $n$ rows of length $n$, for any partition $\lambda$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we set
$$
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|}
$$

The polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ often occur within the well developed literature on rook theory [4,6,9-14]. It is well-known that the classical Eulerian polynomials $A_{n}(x)$ arise as $\mathcal{A}_{n, \delta_{n-1}}(x)$. In Sect. 3, we will show that $\mathcal{A}_{n, \delta_{n-r}}(x)$ agrees with the polynomial ${ }^{r} A_{n}(x)$ introduced by Foata and Schützenberger [7]. This connection motivates a generalized notion of the excedance statistic that allows another combinatorial description of the polynomial $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$. A classical formula of Frobenius, relating the Stirling numbers of the second kind and the Eulerian polynomials, extends in a straightforward manner to the following identity [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)=\sum_{k \geq 0} r_{k}(\lambda)(n-k)!(x-1)^{k} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on a $q$-analogue of rook numbers, Garsia and Remmel [8] provided a $q$-analogue for the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ that generalizes identity (1). Dworkin [5] further studied the recursive properties of such polynomials and also gave a direct combinatorial interpretation of their coefficients, the $q$-hit numbers.

In the seventies, Navon [15] showed that rook placements also provide a natural combinatorial framework for the algebras generated by annihilation and creation operators, and in particular for the so-called normal ordering problem [2,3,17]. Recall that, if $\mathbf{X}$ denotes the operator of multiplication by $x$, and $\mathbf{D}=\frac{d}{d x}$ denotes the usual derivative operator, then $\mathbf{D X}-\mathbf{X D}=1$ and the algebra generated by $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ is referred to as the Weyl algebra. The normal ordering of any product $\Pi$ involving $a$ occurrences of the operator $\mathbf{X}$ and $b$ occurrences of the operator $\mathbf{D}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\sum_{k \geq 0} r_{k}(\lambda) \mathbf{X}^{a-k} \mathbf{D}^{b-k}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a suitable partition associated with $\Pi$. In this setting, the Stirling numbers of the second kind arise as the normal ordering coefficients of $\Pi=(\mathbf{X D})^{n}$.

We show that the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ naturally describe the action of any product of the operators $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{X}$ on the geometric series $1 /(1-x)$. More precisely, given a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$, we define an operator $\Pi_{\lambda}$ such that for any square diagram $D_{n}$ containing $D_{\lambda}$,

$$
\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}},
$$

where $\lambda^{(n)}$ is a partition that we call the reduced complement of $\lambda$ in $D_{n}$ (Theorem 5). A first consequence of this point of view is that the polynomials of Garsia and Remmel arise when the operator $\Pi_{\lambda, q} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n-\lambda_{1}}$, obtained from $\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}}$ by replacing $\mathbf{D}$ with the $q$-derivative $\mathbf{D}_{q}$, acts on $1 /(1-x)$. More precisely, they are the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x, q)$ such that

$$
\Pi_{\lambda, q} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x, q)}{(1-x)(1-x q) \cdots\left(1-x q^{n}\right)}
$$

In addition, straightforward manipulations of derivatives and formal power series allow us to establish a generalization of the classical Worpitzky identity (Corollary 6), a remarkably and seemingly new property of the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ with respect to derivation (Corollary 7), and a recursion formula to compute $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ (Corollary 8). When $\lambda=\delta_{n-r}$ a new recursive formula relating the polynomials ${ }^{r} A_{n}(x)$ and the classical Eulerian polynomials is obtained. In turn, each of these results provide a corresponding $q$-analogue simply by replacing $\mathbf{D}$ with $\mathbf{D}_{q}$ (Corollaries 9,10,11). Furthermore, by letting $\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}}$ act on the formal power series expansion of $e^{x}$, we recover an extension of the classical Dobiński formula for the Bell numbers (identity (27)), and its $q$-analogue (identity (28)). Finally, we provide a combinatorial description and a proof of the symmetry property of the polynomials $A_{r, s, n}(x)$ (Proposition 13), defined by

$$
\left(\mathbf{X}^{r} \mathbf{D}^{s}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{A_{r, s, n}(x)}{(1-x)^{s n+1}}
$$

and introduced by one of the authors of the present paper [1].

## 2 Partitions and rook numbers

By a partition, we mean a finite non-increasing vector $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ of positive integers called parts of $\lambda$. The number of parts of $\lambda$ is called the length of $\lambda$, and denoted by $\ell(\lambda)$. The Young diagram (or Ferrers board) of $\lambda$ is a left-aligned array of boxes, displayed in $\ell(\lambda)$ rows consisting of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}$ boxes, from top to bottom. In analogy with matrix notation, given a Young diagram $D$, we let $D_{i, j}$ denote the box of $D$ occurring at the $i$-th row (counting from top to bottom) and at the $j$-th column (counting from left to right). For instance, the Young diagram of $\lambda=(4,4,4,2,2,1)$ is shown in Fig. 1A, with a bullet drawn in the box $D_{3,2}$. The conjugate of $\lambda$ is the
partition $\lambda^{\prime}$ whose diagram $D_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is obtained by reflecting $D_{\lambda}$ with respect to its main diagonal. For example, the conjugate of $\lambda=(4,4,4,2,2,1)$ is $\lambda^{\prime}=(6,5,3,3)$ and its Young diagram is shown in Fig. 1B. The border of a Young diagram $D$ is by definition the subset of those sides lying at the rightmost position in a row, or at a lowest position in a column. The border of $D_{(4,4,4,2,2,1)}$ is highlighted in Fig. 1c.

Given any vectors $\boldsymbol{r}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ of positive integers, we let $\lambda_{r, u}$ denote the unique partition whose Young diagram has border with horizontal strips of lengths $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}$ (from left to right), and vertical strips of lengths $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$ (from bottom to top). For instance, we have $\lambda_{(1,1,2),(1,2,3)}=$ $(4,4,4,2,2,1)$ as one may check from the horizontal and vertical strips in Fig. 2.

Given two partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$, we write $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ to mean that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{\mu}$. Moreover, we let $D_{n}$ denote the square Young diagram of $n$ rows, and for any partition $\lambda=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we call reduced complement of $\lambda$ in $D_{n}$ the partition $\lambda^{(n)}:=\left(n-\lambda_{l}, n-\lambda_{l-1}, \ldots, n-\lambda_{1}\right)$. In terms of Young diagrams, $D_{\lambda^{(n)}}$ is obtained from $D_{n}$ by removing the boxes of $D_{\lambda}$, deleting all the rows of $D_{n}$ lying below $D_{\lambda}$, then rotating by $180^{\circ}$. For instance, the reduced complement of $(2,2,1)$ in $D_{4}$ is $(3,2,2)$ and of $(6,6,3,3)$ in $D_{9}$ is $(6,6,3,3)$. They are obtained by rotating the white diagrams in Fig. 3.

A non attacking rook placement on a Young diagram $D$, simply placement from now on, is a set $P$ of blocks of $D$ with no two boxes occurring in the same row or column. The number of placements on $D_{\lambda}$ consisting of $k$ boxes, usually called the $k$-th rook number of $\lambda$, will be denoted by $r_{k}(\lambda)$. For instance, we have $r_{3}(4,3,1)=4$ and indeed the four placements of three boxes on $D_{(4,3,1)}$ are depicted in Fig. 4.


Fig. 1 Young diagrams and their border
Fig. 2 Horizontal and vertical strips of a border


Fig. 3 The reduced complement (white boxes) of a partition (dark gray boxes)



Fig. 4 A bullet is marked in each box of the placement

Fig. $5 D_{\lambda}$ in dark gray, $B_{\lambda}$ in light gray


A placement of $n$ boxes on $D_{n}$ can be identified with a permutation matrix of order $n$. Thus, denoting the symmetric group of degree $n$ by $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, we will consider the permutation $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \ldots \sigma_{n}$ and the placement $\left\{D_{1, \sigma(1)}, D_{2, \sigma(2)}, \ldots, D_{n, \sigma(n)}\right\}$ on $D=D_{n}$ as the same object. For instance, we identify the permutations 123, 132, $213,231,312,321$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ with the following placements on $D_{3}$ :


Note that $\sigma^{-1}$ is obtained by reflecting $\sigma$ in the main diagonal of $D_{n}$. Hence, for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and for all $\lambda$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|=\left|\sigma^{-1} \cap D_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, given $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, let $\sigma^{\lambda}=\sigma_{1}^{\lambda} \sigma_{2}^{\lambda} \ldots \sigma_{n}^{\lambda}$ be defined by

$$
\sigma_{i}^{\lambda}:= \begin{cases}n+1-\sigma_{\ell(\lambda)+1-i} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)  \tag{3}\\ n+1-\sigma_{n+1+\ell(\lambda)-i} & \text { if } \ell(\lambda)+1 \leq i \leq n\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to deduce that $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{\lambda}$ is a bijective map. Now, set

$$
A_{\lambda}:=\left\{D_{i, j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda), 1 \leq j \leq n\right\} \text { and } B_{\lambda}:=D \backslash A_{\lambda} .
$$

Observe that $\sigma^{\lambda}$ is obtained by separately rotating by $180^{\circ}$ the rectangles $A_{\lambda}$ and $B_{\lambda}$ (with respect to their center). For instance, let $\lambda=(2,2,1), n=5$ and $\sigma=13425$, then we have $\sigma^{\lambda}=23514$ as depicted in Fig. 5.

As $\left|\sigma \cap A_{\lambda}\right|=\ell(\lambda)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|=\ell(\lambda)-\left|\sigma^{\lambda} \cap D_{\lambda^{(n)}}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Generalized Eulerian polynomials

Given a partition $\lambda$, and a positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we define the polynomial $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda}:=\left|\left\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}:\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|=k\right\}\right|, \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, n, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x):=\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda} x^{k}
$$

Example 1 Let $\lambda=(2,2,1)$ and $n=3$. In order to obtain $\mathcal{A}_{3,(2,2,1)}(x)$, we compute the cardinality of $\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}$, for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.


We get $\mathcal{A}_{3,(2,2,1)}(x)=4 x^{2}+2 x$. Note that by reflecting with respect to the main diagonal of $D_{3}$ (i.e., taking images under the bijection $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$ ) one obtains $\mathcal{A}_{3,(3,2)}(x)=4 x^{2}+2 x=\mathcal{A}_{3, \lambda^{\prime}}(x)$,


Proposition 1 Given a partition $\lambda$ and a positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have
(i) $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(1)=n!$;
(ii) $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{\prime}}(x)=\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$;
(iii) $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x)=x^{\ell(\lambda)} \mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(1 / x)$.

Proof From (5) and (2), we have (i) and (ii), respectively. Moreover, by means of $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{\lambda}$ and (4) we have

$$
x^{\ell(\lambda)} \mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(1 / x)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\ell(\lambda)-\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\left|\sigma^{\lambda} \cap D_{\lambda^{(n)}}\right|}=\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x),
$$

which gives (i).

Note that (iii) means that the coefficients of $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$, read in decreasing order of degree, agree with the coefficients of $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x)$, read in increasing order of degree. For instance, if $\lambda=(3,3,2,1)$ then $\lambda^{(7)}=(6,5,4,4)$ and in fact we have

$$
\mathcal{A}_{7,(3,3,2,1)}(x)=192 x^{3}+1704 x^{2}+2496 x+648
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{7,(6,5,4,4)}(x)=648 x^{4}+2496 x^{3}+1704 x^{2}+192 x .
$$

In particular, the following symmetry property holds.
Corollary 2 Letn be a positive integer and $\lambda$ a partition such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$.If $\lambda^{(n)}=\lambda$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)=x^{\ell(\lambda)} \mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(1 / x) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{(n)}=\lambda^{\prime}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)=x^{\lambda_{1}} \mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(1 / x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Identity (7) follows from $\lambda=\lambda^{(n)}$ and (iii). Identity (8) follows from (iii) taking into account that $\ell\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}$.

An explicit expansion of $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ in terms of the basis $\left\{(x-1)^{i} \mid i \geq 0\right\}$ has been known since [14], where it is proved by using the inclusion-exclusion principle. Here, we provide an alternative and explicit proof.

Theorem 3 Given a partition $\lambda$ and a positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)=\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda)(n-i)!(x-1)^{i} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By (5) we have

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x+1)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}}(x+1)^{\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|}=\sum_{(\sigma, B) \in \text { Pairs }} x^{|B|},
$$

where Pairs denotes the set of all $(\sigma, B)$ such that $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $B \subseteq\left(\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right)$. Note that for all $(\sigma, B) \in$ Pairs, $B$ is a placement on $D_{\lambda}$. Now, for any given placement $B_{0}$ on $D_{\lambda}$, let us count the pairs $(\sigma, B)$ such that $B=B_{0}$. Assume $\left|B_{0}\right|=i$ and consider the permutation $\sigma^{B_{0}}$ obtained by adding to $B_{0}$ the $n-i$ available boxes on the main diagonal of $D:=D_{n}$, that is

$$
\sigma^{B_{0}}:=B_{0} \cup\left\{D_{i, i} \mid D_{i, j} \notin B_{0} \text { for all } j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

Clearly $\left(\sigma^{B_{0}}, B_{0}\right) \in$ Pairs. Moreover, we obtain all the pairs of type ( $\sigma, B_{0}$ ) by permuting the $n-i$ columns of $D$ with no boxes in $\sigma^{B_{0}} \backslash B_{0}$. As there are $r_{i}(\lambda)$ placements
$B$ on $D_{\lambda}$ with $|B|=i$, the number of pairs $(\sigma, B)$ such that $|B|=i$ is $r_{i}(\lambda)(n-i)!$. We recover

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x+1)=\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda)(n-i)!x^{i}
$$

which gives (9) when $x$ is replaced by $x-1$.
Example 2 Let $r$ be a nonnegative integer. Following Foata and Schützenberger [7], we consider the polynomial

$$
{ }^{r} A_{n}(x):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\mathrm{exc}_{r}(\sigma)},
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{exc}_{r}(\sigma):=\left|\left\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma_{i} \geq i+r\right\}\right|
$$

Clearly, ${ }^{1} A_{n}(x)$ is the classical Eulerian polynomial. Now, let $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{\prime}$ denote the bijection defined on $\mathfrak{S}_{n+r}$ by $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}:=n+r+1-\sigma_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots n+r$. Observe that $\sigma_{i} \leq n+1-i$ if and only if $\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \geq r+i$. As a consequence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n+r, \delta_{n}}(x)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+r}} x^{\left|\sigma \cap D_{\delta_{n}}\right|}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+r}} x^{\operatorname{exc}_{r}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)}={ }^{r} A_{n+r}(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently ${ }^{r} A_{n}(x)=\mathcal{A}_{n, \delta_{n-r}}(x)$. From (9), we recover the following Frobenius identity for the polynomials ${ }^{r} A_{n}(x)$ [7]:

$$
{ }^{r} A_{n}(x)=\sum_{k \geq 0} S(n+1-r, n+1-r-k)(n-k)!(x-1)^{k} .
$$

The following generalization of the notion of excedance is motivated by Example 2. Given a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$, a positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, and a permutation $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \ldots \sigma_{n} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{exc}_{\lambda}(\sigma):=\left|\left\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma_{i}>n+1-\lambda_{i}\right\}\right|, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}=0$ is assumed for $\ell(\lambda)<i \leq n$. As before, the complement bijection $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{\prime}$ provides

$$
\left|\sigma \cap D_{\lambda}\right|=\operatorname{exc}_{\lambda}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right),
$$

so that we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{exc}_{\lambda}(\sigma)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 The Weyl algebra action

Let $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{X}: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x]$ denote the derivative operator and the operator of multiplication by $x$, respectively. As $\mathbf{D X}-\mathbf{X D}=1$ the following normal ordering problem may be posed: given any product $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ involving $a$ occurrences of the operator $\mathbf{D}$ and $b$ occurrences of the operator $\mathbf{X}$, find the coefficients $c_{i}(\boldsymbol{\Pi})$ satisfying

$$
\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\sum_{i \geq 0} c_{i}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}) \mathbf{X}^{b-i} \mathbf{D}^{a-i}
$$

A beautiful answer to this problem was given by Navon [15] in terms of placements on Young diagrams. Here, we recast Navon's result following the work of Varvak [17]. For any partition $\lambda$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\lambda}:=\mathbf{D}^{r_{1}} \mathbf{X}^{u_{1}} \mathbf{D}^{r_{2}} \mathbf{X}^{u_{2}} \cdots \mathbf{D}^{r_{k}} \mathbf{X}^{u_{k}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ are the unique vectors satisfying $\lambda=\lambda_{r, u}$. Note that $\lambda_{1}=r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{k}$ and $\ell(\lambda)=u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{k}$.

Theorem 4 For any partition $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda}=\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda) \mathbf{X}^{\ell(\lambda)-i} \mathbf{D}^{\lambda_{1}-i} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$. A straightforward computation shows that $\Pi_{\lambda} 1=$ $r_{\lambda_{1}}(\lambda) x^{\ell(\lambda)-\lambda_{1}}$. Set

$$
\mu:=(\underbrace{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}}_{m+1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}) \text { and } \mu \backslash \lambda:=(\underbrace{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}}_{m}) .
$$

It follows that $\Pi_{\lambda} x^{m}=\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{X}^{m} 1=\Pi_{\mu} 1=r_{\lambda_{1}}(\mu) x^{m+\ell(\lambda)-\lambda_{1}}$. On the other hand, we may compute $r_{\lambda_{1}}(\mu)$ in the following alternative way,

$$
r_{\lambda_{1}}(\mu)=\sum_{k \geq 0} r_{k}(\lambda) r_{\lambda_{1}-i}(\mu \backslash \lambda)=\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda) \frac{m!}{\left(m-\lambda_{1}-i\right)!}
$$

Then, we conclude

$$
\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda) \mathbf{X}^{\ell(\lambda)-i} \mathbf{D}^{\lambda_{1}-i} x^{m}=r_{\lambda_{1}}(\mu) x^{m+\ell(\lambda)-\lambda_{1}}=\Pi_{\lambda} x^{m}
$$

The following theorem makes explicit the connection between the Weyl algebra and the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$.

Theorem 5 For any partition $\lambda$ and any positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x} & =\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda) \mathbf{X}^{\ell(\lambda)-i} \mathbf{D}^{n-i} \frac{1}{1-x} \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda)(n-i)!\frac{x^{\ell(\lambda)-i}}{(1-x)^{n-i+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-x)^{n+1} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda)(n-i)!x^{\ell(\lambda)-i}(1-x)^{i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\ell(\lambda)} \mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(1 / x)=\sum_{i \geq 0} r_{i}(\lambda)(n-i)!x^{\ell(\lambda)-i}(1-x)^{i} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by comparing (17), (16) and Proposition 1 (iii), we have

$$
\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}}=\frac{x^{\ell(\lambda)} \mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(1 / x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}}=\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}
$$

A first consequence of (15) is the following extension of the Worpitzky identity for Eulerian polynomials.

Corollary 6 Let $m$ be a positive integer. For any partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ and any positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right)=\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{m+\ell(\lambda)-k}{n} \mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda^{(n)}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is the conjugate of $\lambda$ and we assume that $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=0$ for $i>l^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}$.

Proof Set

$$
\mu:=(\underbrace{n, n, \ldots, n}_{m}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l})
$$

and observe that

$$
r_{n}(\mu)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right)
$$

Moreover, we have $\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} x^{m}=\Pi_{\mu} 1=r_{n}(\mu) x^{m+\ell(\lambda)-n}$ and then the left-hand side of (15) is given by

$$
\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\sum_{m \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right) x^{m+\ell(\lambda)-n}
$$

From (6), the right-hand side of (15) may be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{n+i-k}{n} \mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda^{(n)}}\right) x^{i}
$$

Hence, (18) follows by extracting the coefficient of $x^{m-n+\ell(\lambda)}$ from both sides in (15).

Example 3 Setting $\lambda=(n-1, n-2, \ldots, r)$ in (18), and observing that $\lambda^{(n)}=\delta_{n-r}$, we obtain the following Worpitzky identity [7],

$$
m^{n-r} \frac{m!}{(m-r)!}=\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{m+r-k}{n}{ }^{r} A_{n, k}
$$

Of course, $r=1$ leads to the Worpitzky identity for Eulerian numbers:

$$
m^{n}=\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{m+1-k}{n} A_{n, k}
$$

A further consequence of (15) is a remarkable property of the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ with respect to derivation. In terms of the underlined Young diagrams, this property encodes the evolution of the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$, for a fixed partition $\lambda$, with respect to square diagrams $D_{n}$ of increasing size.

Corollary 7 For any partition $\lambda$ and any positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n+1, \lambda}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+2}} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof If $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ then we set $\lambda+1:=\left(\lambda_{1}+1, \lambda_{2}+1, \ldots, \lambda_{l}+1\right)$. Note that the reduced complements of $\lambda$ in $D_{n}$ and of $\lambda+1$ in $D_{n+1}$ agree, hence from (15)
we have

$$
\mathbf{D} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+1}}=\mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda+1} \mathbf{D}^{(n+1)-\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n+1, \lambda^{(n)}}(x)}{(1-x)^{n+2}} .
$$

Identity (19) suggests that the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)$ indexed by the smallest $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, play a special role. Indeed, for any partition $\lambda$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\lambda):=\max \left\{\lambda_{1}, \ell(\lambda)\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(x):=\mathcal{A}_{n(\lambda), \lambda}(x) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain the following recursive rule.
Corollary 8 For any partition $\lambda$ and any positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x)=(1-x)^{n+1} \mathbf{D}^{n-n(\lambda)} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(x)}{(1-x)^{n(\lambda)+1}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Identity (22) follows by iterating (19).
Remark 1 Note that, by Proposition 1 (iii) and (7) we have $\mathcal{A}_{\delta_{n}}(x)=x A_{n}(x)$. Therefore, by setting $\lambda=\delta_{n-r}$ in (22), the polynomials ${ }^{r} A_{n}(x)$ are obtained via suitable derivatives involving the classical Eulerian polynomials,

$$
{ }^{r} A_{n}(x)=(1-x)^{n+1} \mathbf{D}^{r} \frac{x A_{n-r}(x)}{(1-x)^{n-r+1}}
$$

## $5 q$-analogues arising from the $q$-Weyl algebra

Let $\mathbf{D}_{q}$ denote the $q$-derivative operator acting on the polynomial $p(x)$ according to the following rule,

$$
\mathbf{D}_{q} p(x)=\frac{p(q x)-p(x)}{q x-x} .
$$

We have $\mathbf{D}_{q} \mathbf{X}-q \mathbf{X} \mathbf{D}_{q}=1$ and the algebra generated by $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{D}_{q}$ is a $q$-analogue of the Weyl algebra. Now, let $[i]:=1+q+\cdots+q^{i-1}$ denote the $q$-integer, and for all partitions $\lambda$, let $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda, q}$ be obtained from (13) by replacing $\mathbf{D}$ with $\mathbf{D}_{q}$. As $\mathbf{D}_{q}^{i} x^{m}=$ $[m][m-1] \cdots[m-i+1] x^{m-i}$, straightforward computations show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda, q} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\sum_{m \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right] x^{m-n+\ell(\lambda)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the right-hand side of (23) agrees with the right-hand side of identity (I.11) in the paper of Garsia and Remmel [8], as can be seen by setting $a_{i+1}=n-\ell(\lambda)+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, that is by setting $\lambda=\mu^{(n)}$ for $\mu:=\left(a_{n}, a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_{1}\right)$. Now, we let $\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x, q)$ denote the polynomial defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda, q} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x, q)}{(1-x)(1-x q) \cdots\left(1-x q^{n}\right)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the right-hand side of (I.12) in [8] ensures that $Q_{A}(x, q)=\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x, q)$ when the partition $\lambda$ is chosen such that $a_{i+1}=n-\ell(\lambda)+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. First, we recall that

$$
\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x q) \cdots\left(1-x q^{n}\right)}=\sum_{k \geq 0}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n+k \\
n
\end{array}\right] x^{k}
$$

Moreover, we define $\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda^{(n)}}(q)$ by

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda^{(n)}}(x, q)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda^{(n)}}(q) x^{k}
$$

and compare the coefficients of (23) and (24) to obtain the following $q$-analogue of Corollary 6.

Corollary 9 Let $m$ be a positive integer. For any partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ and any positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right]=\sum_{k \geq 0}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+\ell(\lambda)-k \\
n
\end{array}\right] \mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda^{(n)}}(q),
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is the conjugate of $\lambda$ and we assume that $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=0$ for $i>l^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}$.

Moreover, simply by replacing $\mathbf{D}$ with $\mathbf{D}_{q}$ in the proof of Corollary 7, we obtain the following $q$-analogue of (19).

Corollary 10 For any partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ and any positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\mathbf{D}_{q} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{n, \lambda}(x, q)}{(1-x)(1-x q) \cdots\left(1-x q^{n}\right)}=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{n+1, \lambda}(x, q)}{(1-x)(1-x q) \cdots\left(1-x q^{n+1}\right)}
$$

We let $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(x, q):=\mathcal{A}_{n(\lambda), \lambda}(x, q)$ and easily obtain the $q$-analogue of the recursive property (22).

Corollary 11 For any partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ and any positive integer $n$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n+1, \lambda}(x, q)=(1-x)(1-x q) \cdots\left(1-x q^{n+1}\right) \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n-n(\lambda)} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(x, q)}{(1-x)(1-x q) \cdots\left(1-x q^{n(\lambda)}\right)} .
$$

We explicitly remark that the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \lambda}(q)$ are the so-called $q$-hit numbers [5].

## 6 Further generalizations and applications

### 6.1 An application to the operator $\left(X^{r} D^{s}\right)^{n}$

We now consider the polynomials $A_{r, s, n}(x)$ introduced in [1] and defined by

$$
\left(\mathbf{X}^{r} \mathbf{D}^{s}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{A_{r, s, n}(x)}{(1-x)^{s n+1}}
$$

for all positive integers $r \leq s$ and $n \geq 1$. Let $\boldsymbol{r}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}=$ $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ satisfy $r_{1}=r_{2}=\ldots=r_{n}=s$ and $u_{1}=u_{2}=\ldots=u_{n}=r$, set $\delta_{r, s, n}:=\lambda_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{u}}$. The Young diagram of $\delta_{r, s, n}$ is obtained from $D_{\delta_{n}}$ by replacing each box in $D_{\delta_{n}}$ with a rectangular diagram of $s$ columns and $r$ rows. For example, the Young diagram of $\delta_{2,3,2}$ is $D_{(6,6,3,3)}$, as shown in Fig. 3 (dark gray) as a subset of $D_{9}$. We denote by $\operatorname{exc}_{r, s, n}$ the deformation of the excedance statistic induced by $\lambda=\delta_{r, s, n}$ via (11). In particular, for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{s n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{exc}_{r, s, n-1}(\sigma)=\mid\left\{i=\left(i_{1}-1\right) r+i_{2} \mid 1 \leq i_{1} \leq n-1,1 \leq i_{2} \leq r, \sigma_{i}>s i_{1}\right)\right\} \mid \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, as $\delta_{1,1, n-1}=\delta_{n-1}$ (by convention $\delta_{0}=(1)$ ), we have $\operatorname{exc}_{1,1, n-1}(\sigma)=$ $\operatorname{exc}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. The following result gives a combinatorial explanation for the identity $A_{r, s, n}(1)=(s n)![1]$.

Proposition 12 For all positive integers $r \leq s$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{r, s, n}(x)=x^{r} \mathcal{A}_{s n, \delta_{r, s, n-1}}(x)=x^{r} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{s n}} x^{\operatorname{exc}_{r, s, n-1}(\sigma)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $\lambda:=\delta_{s, r, n-1}$. From

$$
\left(\mathbf{X}^{r} \mathbf{D}^{s}\right)^{n}=\mathbf{X}^{r}\left(\mathbf{D}^{s} \mathbf{X}^{r}\right)^{n-1} \mathbf{D}^{s}=\mathbf{X}^{r} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{s n-s(n-1)}
$$

by virtue of Theorem 5 we obtain

$$
\frac{A_{r, s, n}(x)}{(1-x)^{s n+1}}=\mathbf{X}^{r} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{s n-s(n-1)} \frac{1}{1-x}=\frac{x^{r} \mathcal{A}_{s n, \lambda^{(s n)}}(x)}{(1-x)^{s n+1}}
$$

As $\delta_{r, s, n-1}=\delta_{r, s, n-1}^{(s n)}$,

$$
A_{r, s, n}(x)=x^{r} \mathcal{A}_{s n, \delta_{r, s, n-1}}(x)
$$

and via (12) we deduce (26).
Now, we prove the following result originally conjectured in [1].
Proposition 13 For all positive integers $r \leq s$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
A_{r, s, n}(x)=x^{r(n-1)} A_{r, s, n}(1 / x)
$$

Proof By taking into account Proposition 1(iii), as $\delta_{r, s, n-1}=\delta_{r, s, n-1}^{(s n)}$, and since $\ell\left(\delta_{r, s, n-1}\right)=r(n-1)$, from (26), we have

$$
x^{r(n-1)} A_{r, s, n}(1 / x)=x^{r} x^{\ell\left(\delta_{r, s, n-1}\right)} \mathcal{A}_{s n, \delta_{r, s, n-1}}(1 / x)=A_{r, s, n}(x)
$$

### 6.2 Generalizations of the Dobiński formula

One may think to replace the geometric series $1 /(1-x)$ in (15) and let any product $\Pi$ act on an arbitrary power series $f(x)$. More interestingly, one may look for those series $f(x)$ such that $\Pi f(x)$ has some combinatorial interest. Let us discuss the case $f(x)=e^{x}$, which leads to an extension of the Dobiński formula. Indeed, by (14) one obtains

$$
\Pi_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} e^{x}=e^{x} \sum_{k \geq 0} r_{k}(\lambda) x^{\ell(\lambda)-k}=e^{x} x^{\ell(\lambda)} R_{\lambda}(1 / x)
$$

where $R_{\lambda}(x)=\sum_{k} r_{k}(\lambda) x^{k}$ is the well-known rook polynomial associated with $D_{\lambda}$. On the other hand, by expanding $e^{x}$ we also have

$$
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda} \mathbf{D}^{n-\lambda_{1}} e^{x}=\sum_{m \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right) \frac{x^{m-n+\ell(\lambda)}}{m!}
$$

and then

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right) \frac{x^{m-n+\ell(\lambda)}}{m!}=e^{x} x^{\ell(\lambda)} R_{\lambda}(1 / x)
$$

Setting $x=1$ and $R_{\lambda}:=R_{\lambda}(1)$ we obtain the following generalization of the Dobiński formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right)}{m!}=e R_{\lambda} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical case arises when $\lambda=\delta_{n-1}$, and then $R_{\delta_{n-1}}=B_{n}$ is the $n$-th Bell number,

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{m^{n}}{m!}=e B_{n}
$$

Moreover, replacing $n$ with $s n$, setting $\lambda=\delta_{r, s, n-1}$ and $B_{r, s, n}:=R_{\delta_{r, s, n-1}}$, we get a Dobiński formula for the sum of all generalized Stirling numbers $S_{r, s}(n, k):=$ $r_{s n-k}\left(\delta_{r, s, n-1}\right)$ [2],

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{(m-(s-r) n)!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(m-(s-r) i)!}{(m-(s-r) i-r)!}=e B_{r, s, n}
$$

In particular, when $r=s$, we recover

$$
\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{m!^{n}}{(m-r)!^{n}}=e B_{r, r, n}
$$

In closing, to recover a $q$-analogue of (27), set

$$
\varepsilon(x):=\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{x^{k}}{[k]!},
$$

where $[k]!:=[1][2] \cdots[k]$, and observe that $\mathbf{D}_{q} \varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon(x)$. We deduce

$$
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\lambda, q} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n-\lambda_{1}} \varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon(x) \sum_{k \geq 0} r_{k}(\lambda, q) x^{\ell(\lambda)-k}=\varepsilon(x) x^{\ell(\lambda)} R_{\lambda}(1 / x, q)
$$

where $R_{\lambda}(x, q)=\sum_{k} r_{k}(\lambda, q) x^{\ell(\lambda)-k}$, and the $r_{k}(\lambda, q)$ are the $q$-rook numbers arising here as the normal ordering coefficients of $\Pi_{\lambda, q} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n-\lambda_{1}}$ (Theorem 6.1 in [17]). Finally, we set $\varepsilon:=\varepsilon(1)$ and $R_{\lambda}(q):=R_{\lambda}(1, q)$ and obtain the following result.

Proposition 14 For any partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ such that $D_{\lambda} \subseteq D_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[m+\lambda_{n-i}^{\prime}-i\right]}{[m]!}=\varepsilon R_{\lambda}(q) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is the conjugate of $\lambda$ and we assume that $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=0$ for $i>l^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}$.
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