

A new class of optimal 3-splitting authentication codes

Jinhua Wang

Published online: 12 October 2007
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Erratum to: Des Codes Crypt (2006) 38:373–381
DOI 10.1007/s10623-005-1501-x

In the paper *A new class of optimal 3-splitting authentication codes*, (*Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 38, 373-381, 2006), the proof of Lemma 2.3 is wrong. We give its correction as follows.

Lemma 2.3 *There exists a $(109, 3 \times 3, 1)$ -cyclic splitting BIBD.*

Proof Take

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \\ 21 & 35 & 69 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 14 \\ 37 & 45 & 71 \\ 93 & 96 & 99 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is not difficult to check that $\{B_1, B_2\}$ forms a $(109, 3 \times 3, 1)$ -EDF over Z_{109} . The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2.

Now the proof is correct.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi: [10.1007/s10623-005-1501-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-005-1501-x).

Communicated by : V.A. Zinoviev.

Research supported by Natural Science Foundation of Universities of Jiangsu Province Grant 07KJB110090.

J. Wang (✉)
School of Sciences, Nantong University, Nantong 226007, P.R. China
e-mail: jhwang@ntu.edu.cn