

Erratum to: The carbon balance of reducing wildfire risk and restoring process: an analysis of 10-year post-treatment carbon dynamics in a mixed-conifer forest

**Morgan L. Wiechmann¹ · Matthew D. Hurteau² ·
Malcolm P. North³ · George W. Koch⁴ ·
Lucie Jerabkova^{5,6}**

Published online: 10 September 2015
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Erratum to: Clim Chang
DOI 10.1007/s10584-015-1450-y

Table 1 is displayed incorrectly in the original publication. The correct version is given here.

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1450-y>.

✉ Morgan L. Wiechmann
mlw331@psu.edu

¹ IGDP in Ecology and Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

² Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

³ USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Davis, CA 95618, USA

⁴ Center for Ecosystem Science and Society and Department of Biological Science, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ86011, USA

⁵ Department of Plant Science, University of California, Davis, CA 95618, USA

⁶ Department of Forest Management, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

Table 1 The mean carbon stock size (Mg C ha⁻¹) of different pools immediately post-treatment (2002) and 10-years post-treatment (2011) for each of six treatments and the net ecosystem productivity (NEP) over the 10-year post-treatment period

	Control		Burn only		Understory-thin	
	2002	2011	2002	2011	2002	2011
Live tree	302.4±40.7	286.5±34.4 ^{ab}	240.1±10.2	259.1±14.5 ^{ab}	209.0±26.8	256.3±13.0 ^b
Snag	20.8±3.6	45.6±10.1 ^a	25.2±5.6	45.2±1.2 ^a	34.1±7.3	54.6±11.9 ^a
CWD	27.6±4.8	15.4±1.9 ^a	9.4±0.8	12.1±4.4 ^a	20.3±2.9 ^a	20.3±4.4 ^a
FWD	4.17±0.4	12.7±1.4 ^{ab}	4.16±0.5	10.2±0.8 ^{ab}	7.73±1.0	16.6±0.6 ^b
Litter and duff	7.90±0.2	5.19±0.8 ^a	4.70±0.1	4.89±0.9 ^a	7.40±0.2	5.99±0.7 ^a
Soil (30 cm)	78.1±2.9	75.2±10.3 ^a	67.6±3.9	67.3±4.7 ^a	103.0±13.6	79.3±1.3 ^a
Shrub	0.03±0.01	0.02±0.00 ^{ab}	0.03±0.01	0.02±0.01 ^a	0.01±0.00	0.02±0.01 ^{ab}
Total	440.9±46.1	440.7±50.1 ^a	351.3±18.9	398.9±15.6 ^a	381.6±50.2	433.1±23.3 ^a
NEP (10-year)		-0.2±20.2		47.6±9.8		51.5±27.4
NBP (10-year)		-0.2±20.2		32.8±3.5		31.4±4.2
	Understory-thin and burn		Overstory-thin		Overstory-thin and burn	
	2002	2011	2002	2011	2002	2011
Live tree	173.3±9.3	169.5±3.8 ^a	107.7±9.7	128.8±7.5 ^{ab}	77.6±11.5	78.4±19.1 ^a
Snag	26.4±1.1	48.1±8.4 ^a	25.6±4.8	44.6±17.8 ^a	16.7±0.7	27.9±2.5 ^a
CWD	8.9±1.8	26.6±6.4 ^a	17.6±1.9	22.5±4.9 ^a	8.4±3.3	17.1±3.6 ^a
FWD	5.09±1.2	7.03±2.8 ^a	8.36±0.3	10.9±1.7 ^{ab}	4.32±0.4	8.19±0.9 ^{ab}
Litter and duff	4.40±0.2	4.83±1.5 ^a	9.60±0.3	4.08±0.5 ^a	1.60±0.11	2.91±0.5 ^a
Soil (30 cm)	82.0±5.3	84.8±5.8 ^a	85.2±5.7	74.5±4.7 ^a	81.4±3.7	66.7±1.4 ^a
Shrub	0.02±0.01	0.04±0.00 ^{ab}	0.01±0.00	0.03±0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00	0.06±0.01 ^b
Total	300.2±14.0	340.9±6.6 ^a	254.1±21.4	285.5±32.8 ^a	190.0±18.3	201.3±18.7 ^a
NEP (10-year)		40.7±20.2		31.4±12.1		11.2±0.9
NBP (10-year)		-6.0±4.5		-9.7±0.7		-56.6±2.2

Net biome productivity (NBP) over the 10-year post-treatment period was calculated as NEP minus all treatment related emissions. Standard errors are shown following the plus-minus sign. Values in the same row with different letters indicate a significant difference in carbon pools 10 years post-treatment ($p \leq 0.05$)