## CORRECTION



# Correction to: Exposure to Sexually Explicit Materials and Feelings After Exposure Among Adolescents in Nine European Countries: The Role of Individual Factors and Social Characteristics

Michaela Lebedíková<sup>1</sup> · Vojtěch Mýlek<sup>1</sup> · Kaveri Subrahmanyam<sup>2</sup> · David Šmahel<sup>1,3</sup>

Published online: 20 October 2022 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2022

#### Correction to: Archives of Sexual Behavior https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-022-02401-9

#### Copy-pasting errors (Table 1 and Table 5)

Due to a copy-pasting error, part of the responses in Table 1 is incorrectly labeled. As noted above, the Frequencies labeled as "Yes" should be labeled "No" and vice-versa. Otherwise, the numbers in the table are correct, and we used the correct data to describe our findings—we just switched the labeling when preparing the final table.

We also noticed a copy-pasting error in reliability estimates in Table 5. Here, the reliability estimates reported for *Sensation seeking* belong to *Quality of family environment* (i.e., the statistics should be shifted one line down). The correct reliability estimates for both variables (i.e., sensation seeking, quality of family environment) are indicated in the tables below. Importantly, all the correct reliabilities are satisfactory, and no measure has lower reliability than what was reported in the article and reviewed by reviewers (in fact, the reliabilities are better than what we reported originally).

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-022-02401-9.

Michaela Lebedíková m.lebedikova@mail.muni.cz

- <sup>1</sup> Interdisciplinary Research Team on Internet and Society, Faculty of Social Studies, Masaryk University, Jostova 10, 602 00 Brno, Czechia
- <sup>2</sup> College of Natural and Social Sciences, Department of Psychology, California State University Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Machine Learning and Data Processing, Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia

# Pooling errors—standard deviations (Table 2 and Table 5)

We manually pooled SDs in this table by averaging SDs across imputations in MS Excel. By mistake, we also included the SDs from the original (unimputed) data in this calculation. In other words, the pooled SDs should have been an average across the 20 imputations, but in the current state, they are an average across 21 datasets (the 20 imputations plus the original unimputed data).

In most cases, the difference between the correct value and the reported value of SD is negligible—on the order of the third decimal place. Thus, most values are exactly the same after rounding to two decimal places. There are several exceptions where the SDs change on the second decimal place—these are indicated in the tables below.

The variable Parental Restrictions (Table 2) is a special case. Here, the SD values computed from the original unimputed data were incorrect. Due to not declaring missing values as "missing" when calculating the final score from responses to the three indicator items (and thus, counting the value of -99 to -97 as valid), the SDs of Parental Restrictions in the original dataset are much larger than they should be. Consequently, the pooled SDs reported in the article are also too large. This is only because of the error in the manual computation of pooled SDs and does not affect other parts of the analysis, i.e., the means, regressions, and frequencies and reliabilities (except those mentioned above) remain the same. Reported and corrected pooled SDs for Parental restrictions are indicated in the tables below.

#### Discussion

The first sentence of the Discussion mentions the wrong percentages:

Exposure to sexually explicit materials in our study varied from 25 to 58%, and on average, 40% of adoles-

cents reported that they had seen some sexually explicit materials in the past year, online or offline.

The reported percentages cite values from an old version of Table 1. In the old version, the percentages in the line labeled "Yes" and "No" are overall percentages (i.e., out of all adolescents, including those with missing values). In revisions, we changed the logic of Table 1 so that the lines show valid percentages (i.e., out of adolescents who provided a valid response, not missing). We forgot to update these percentages

Table 1 Sexually explicit material exposure: Frequencies by country

in the text. This is the sentence with correct percentages (please note that while the specific percentage differs, the overall finding and its meaning remain the same):

Exposure to sexually explicit materials in our study varied from 32 to 65%, and on average, 48% of adolescents reported that they had seen some sexually explicit materials in the past year, online or offline.

In article (incorrect version):

SEM expo-Czechia Finland Malta Poland Portugal Switzer-Total Romania Serbia Spain sure land % % % % % % % % % % n n n n n n n n n n Valid 1805 83.1 589 77.9 772 80.0 592 76.4 1187 83.8 471 78.8 704 89.3 2071 92.4 629 91.3 8820 84.8 responses Yes 792 43.9 320 442 57.3 752 63.4 267 56.7 34.7 1108 53.5 296 4621 52.4 54.3 400 67.6 244 47.11013 56.1 269 45.7 330 42.7 192 32.4 435 36.6 204 43.3 460 65.3 963 46.5 333 52.9 4199 47.6 No Missing 368 16.9 167 22.1 193 20.0 183 23.6 229 16.2 127 21.2 84 10.7 170 7.6 60 8.7 1581 15.2 values 0.0 0.0 32 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 19 22.6 58.8 10.1 Missing 0 0 16.6 0 0 100 8 13.3 159 value I don't 187 50.8 106 63.5 80 41.5 104 56.8 111 48.5 53 41.7 34 40.5 70 41.2 24 40.0 769 48.6 know Prefer not 181 49.2 36.5 42.0 43.2 118 51.5 74 58.3 36.9 0.0 28 653 41.3 61 81 79 31 0 46.7 to say 756 965 775 1416 598 Total 2173 788 2241 689 10401

Percentages in bold represent proportion of total, percentages in regular represent proportion of category (valid/missing)

# Corrected:

Table 1 Sexually explicit material exposure: Frequencies by country

SEM expo- sure	Czechia		Finland		Malt	Malta Po		Poland Poi		Portugal 1		Romania		Serbia		Spain		Switzer- land		
	п	%	n	%	n	%	п	%	п	%	n	%	n	%	п	%	n	%	n	%
Valid responses	1805	83.1	589	77.9	772	80.0	592	76.4	1187	83.8	471	78.8	704	89.3	2071	92.4	629	91.3	8820	84.8
No	792	43.9	320	54.3	442	57.3	400	67.6	752	63.4	267	56.7	244	34.7	1108	53.5	296	47.1	4621	52.4
Yes	1013	56.1	269	45.7	330	42.7	192	32.4	435	36.6	204	43.3	460	65.3	963	46.5	333	52.9	4199	47.6
Missing values	368	16.9	167	22.1	193	20.0	183	23.6	229	16.2	127	21.2	84	10.7	170	7.6	60	8.7	1581	15.2
Missing value	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	16.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	22.6	100	58.8	8	13.3	159	10.1
I don't know	187	50.8	106	63.5	80	41.5	104	56.8	111	48.5	53	41.7	34	40.5	70	41.2	24	40.0	769	48.6
Prefer not to say	181	49.2	61	36.5	81	42.0	79	43.2	118	51.5	74	58.3	31	36.9	0	0.0	28	46.7	653	41.3
Total	2173		756		965		775		1416		598		788		2241		689		10401	

Percentages in bold represent proportion of total, percentages in regular represent proportion of category (valid/missing)

# In article (incorrect version):

<b>Table 2</b> Descriptive statistics and reliability estimates of predictors and characteristics of the final sample ( $N = 8820$ )
--

Variables	Range	Czechia	Finland	Malta	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Serbia	Spain	Switzer- land
N		1805	589	772	592	1187	471	704	2071	629
Gender [% male]		49.2	47.9	37.3	46.8	47.3	50.0	44.9	51.7	50.1
Descriptives [M (	(SD)]									
Age	11–16	13.61 (1.72)	14.02 (1.62)	13.07 (1.51)	13.03 (1.66)	13.34 (1.59)	13.35 (1.76)	13.76 (1.73)	13.06 (1.44)	13.27 (1.41)
Time spent online	1–9	5.04 (2.26)	5.73 (1.86)	5.16 (2.15)	4.68 (2.19)	4.81 (2.25)	4.80 (2.46)	5.50 (2.22)	4.68 (2.30)	4.16 (1.89)
Emotional Symptoms	1–4	1.98 (0.73)	1.84 (0.86)	2.15 (0.85)	1.84 (0.77)	1.89 (0.73)	2.02 (0.83)	1.80 (0.74)	2.07 (0.74)	1.74 (0.68)
Sensation seek- ing	1–4	1.76 (0.81)	1.75 (0.91)	1.78 (0.88)	1.59 (0.80)	1.53 (0.79)	1.68 (0.82)	1.69 (0.88)	1.62 (0.83)	1.59 (0.72)
Quality of fam- ily environ- ment	1–4	3.27 (0.65)	3.44 (0.73)	3.48 (0.71)	3.41 (0.80)	3.41 (0.63)	3.30 (0.85)	3.53 (0.59)	3.47 (0.69)	3.45 (0.65)
Active parental mediation	1–5	2.40 (0.91)	2.53 (1.04)	3.09 (1.07)	2.58 (1.11)	2.89 (1.00)	2.90 (1.12)	3.06 (1.03)	2.73 (1.07)	2.38 (0.97)
Parental restric- tions	0–3	0.45 (5.47)	0.57 (6.47)	0.76 (6.53)	0.79 (6.26)	0.75 (4.36)	0.83 (5.09)	0.53 (4.30)	1.08 (5.72)	0.98 (5.92)
Reliability <sup>a</sup>										
Emotional Symptoms		.80	.86	.81	.81	.77	.82	.76	.71	.78
Sensation seek- ing		.85	.91	.83	.85	.82	.85	.88	.87	.81
Quality of fam- ily environ- ment		.68	.81	.82	.83	.74	.85	.72	.75	.77
Active parental mediation		.67	.83	.77	.76	.72	.76	.68	.71	.70
Restrictive Parental mediation		.72	.66	.75	.77	.67	.80	.73	.70	.68

<sup>a</sup>Spearman–Brown's rho for Sensation seeking; Cronbach's alpha for other scales

## Corrected:

Table 2 Descriptive statistics and reliability estimates of predictors and characteristics of the final sample (N=8820)

Variables	Range	Czechia	Finland	Malta	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Serbia	Spain	Switzer- land
Ν		1805	589	772	592	1187	471	704	2071	629
Gender [% male]		49.2	47.9	37.3	46.8	47.3	50.0	44.9	51.7	50.1
Descriptives [/	M (SD)]									
Age	11–16	13.61 (1.72)	14.02 (1.62)	13.07 (1.51)	13.03 (1.66)	13.34 (1.59)	13.35 (1.76)	13.76 (1.73)	13.06 (1.44)	13.27 (1.41)
Time spent online	1–9	5.04 (2.26)	5.73 (1.86)	5.16 (2.15)	4.68 (2.19)	4.81 (2.26)	4.80 (2.46)	5.50 (2.22)	4.68 (2.30)	4.16 (1.89)

Variables	Range	Czechia	Finland	Malta	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Serbia	Spain	Switzer- land
Emotional symptoms	1–4	1.98 (0.73)	1.84 (0.86)	2.15 (0.84)	1.84 (0.77)	1.89 (0.73)	2.02 (0.83)	1.80 (0.74)	2.07 (0.73)	1.74 (0.68)
Sensation seeking	1–4	1.76 (0.81)	1.75 (0.91)	1.78 (0.88)	1.59 (0.80)	1.53 (0.79)	1.68 (0.82)	1.69 (0.88)	1.62 (0.83)	1.59 (0.72)
Quality of family environ- ment	1–4	3.27 (0.65)	3.44 (0.72)	3.48 (0.71)	3.41 (0.80)	3.41 (0.63)	3.30 (0.85)	3.53 (0.59)	3.47 (0.69)	3.45 (0.65)
Active parental mediation	1-5	2.40 (0.91)	2.53 (1.04)	3.09 (1.07)	2.58 (1.11)	2.89 (1.00)	2.90 (1.12)	3.06 (1.03)	2.73 (1.07)	2.38 (0.97)
Parental restrictions	0-3	0.45 (0.85)	0.57 (0.89)	0.76 (1.06)	0.79 (1.09)	0.75 (1.00)	0.83 (1.13)	0.53 (0.91)	1.08 (1.12)	0.98 (1.09)
Reliability <sup>a</sup>										
Emotional symptoms		.80	.86	.81	.81	.77	.82	.76	.71	.78
Sensation seeking		.85	.91	.83	.85	.82	.85	.88	.87	.81
Quality of family environ- ment		.68	.81	.82	.83	.74	.85	.72	.75	.77
Active parental mediation		.67	.83	.77	.76	.72	.76	.68	.71	.70
Restrictive parental mediation		.72	.66	.75	.77	.67	.80	.73	.70	.68

<sup>a</sup>Spearman-Brown's rho for Sensation seeking; Cronbach's alpha for other scales

In article (incorrect version):

Table 5 Descriptive statistics and reliability of predictors and characteristics of the sub-sample of adolescents who reported feelings after exposure to sexually explicit materials (N=3364)

Variables	Range	Czechia	Finland	Malta	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Serbia	Spain	Switzer- land
N		790	222	225	132	334	177	386	839	259
Gender [% male]		53.4	47.6	41.3	55.3	59.0	60.2	51.0	59.1	52.5
Descriptives	[M (SD)]									
Age	11–16	14.18 (1.60)	14.67 (1.30)	13.6 (1.61)	14.09 (1.67)	14.16 (1.40)	13.95 (1.67)	14.34 (1.49)	13.74 (1.36)	13.88 (1.19)
Time spent online	1–9	5.64 (2.16)	6.16 (1.67)	5.54 (1.96)	5.56 (2.21)	5.36 (2.09)	5.25 (2.29)	6.02 (2.02)	5.37 (2.19)	4.73 (1.71)
Emotional symptoms	1–4	2.12 (0.74)	2.11 (0.91)	2.30 (0.88)	2.08 (0.87)	1.98 (0.74)	2.15 (0.82)	1.86 (0.77)	2.25 (0.75)	1.88 (0.70)
Sensation seeking	1–4	2.00 (0.85)	2.09 (0.95)	2.04 (0.92)	1.98 (0.93)	1.88 (0.89)	1.96 (0.87)	1.87 (0.92)	1.92 (0.92)	1.76 (0.72)
Quality of family environ- ment	1–4	3.22 (0.66)	3.38 (0.77)	3.36 (0.74)	3.24 (0.85)	3.39 (0.60)	3.31 (0.83)	3.51 (0.59)	3.37 (0.72)	3.39 (0.67)

Variables	Range	Czechia	Finland	Malta	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Serbia	Spain	Switzer- land
Reliability <sup>a</sup>										
Emotional symptoms		.78	.86	.83	.83	.78	.82	.79	.71	.78
Sensation seeking		.70	.85	.80	.82	.72	.85	.70	.76	.79
Quality of family environ- ment		.70	.82	.78	.62	.73	.77	.66	.71	.68

<sup>a</sup>Spearman-Brown's rho for Sensation seeking; Cronbach's alpha for other scales

# Corrected:

**Table 5** Descriptive statistics and reliability of predictors and characteristics of the sub-sample of adolescents who reported feelings after exposure to sexually explicit materials (N = 3364)

Variables	Range	Czechia	Finland	Malta	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Serbia	Spain	Switzer- land
N		790	222	225	132	334	177	386	839	259
Gender [% male]		53.4	47.6	41.3	55.3	59.0	60.2	51.0	59.1	52.5
Descriptives [	M (SD)]									
Age	11–16	14.18 (1.60)	14.67 (1.30)	13.6 (1.61)	14.09 (1.67)	14.16 (1.40)	13.95 (1.67)	14.34 (1.49)	13.74 (1.36)	13.88 (1.19)
Time spent online	1–9	5.64 (2.16)	6.16 (1.67)	5.54 (1.96)	5.56 (2.21)	5.36 (2.09)	5.25 (2.29)	6.02 (2.02)	5.37 (2.19)	4.73 (1.71)
Emotional symptoms	1–4	2.12 (0.74)	2.11 (0.91)	2.30 (0.88)	2.08 (0.87)	1.98 (0.74)	2.15 (0.82)	1.86 (0.77)	2.25 (0.75)	1.88 (0.70)
Sensation seeking	1–4	2.00 (0.85)	2.09 (0.95)	2.04 (0.92)	1.98 (0.93)	1.88 (0.89)	1.96 (0.87)	1.87 (0.92)	1.92 (0.92)	1.76 (0.72)
Quality of family environ- ment	1-4	3.22 (0.66)	3.38 (0.76)	3.36 (0.74)	3.24 (0.85)	3.39 (0.60)	3.31 (0.83)	3.51 (0.59)	3.37 (0.72)	3.39 (0.67)
Reliability <sup>a</sup>										
Emotional symptoms		.78	.86	.83	.83	.78	.82	.79	.71	.78
Sensation seeking		.85	.90	.86	.88	.80	.85	.88	.88	.78
Quality of family environ- ment		.70	.85	.80	.82	.72	.85	.70	.76	.79

<sup>a</sup>Spearman-Brown's rho for Sensation seeking; Cronbach's alpha for other scales

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.