



# The effect of surgical management of endometrioma on the IVF/ICSI outcomes when compared with no treatment? A systematic review and meta-analysis

M. Nickkho-Amiry<sup>1</sup> · R. Savant<sup>2</sup> · K. Majumder<sup>2</sup> · E. Edi-O'sagie<sup>2</sup> · M. Akhtar<sup>2</sup>

Received: 13 June 2017 / Accepted: 22 December 2017 / Published online: 17 January 2018  
© The Author(s) 2018. This article is an open access publication

## Abstract

**Objective** To assess the impact of surgical management of endometrioma on the outcome of assisted reproduction treatment (ART).

**Design** A systematic review and meta-analysis.

**Setting** Department of reproductive medicine at teaching university hospital, UK.

**Patients** Subfertile women with endometrioma undergoing ART.

**Interventions** Surgical removal of endometrioma or expectant management.

**Main outcome measures** Clinical pregnancy rate, pregnancy rate, live birth rate, number of oocytes retrieved and number of embryos available and ovarian response to gonadotrophins.

**Results** An extensive search of electronic databases for articles published from inception to September 2016 yielded 11 eligible studies for meta-analysis. Meta-analysis was conducted comparing surgery versus no treatment of endometrioma. There were no significant differences in pregnancy rate per cycle, clinical pregnancy rate and live birth rate between women who underwent surgery for endometrioma and those who did not.

**Conclusion** Current evidence suggests that women with endometriosis-related infertility have similar cycle outcomes to other patients going through ART. It is pertinent for clinicians to assess the risks of surgical intervention on ovarian reserve prior to initiating therapy.

**Keywords** Endometrioma · Surgery · ART · Pregnancy outcome

## Introduction

Endometriosis is a chronic-debilitating disease that affects 5–10% of fertile women [1]. It is characterised by the presence of endometrial-like tissue (glands and stroma) outside the uterus, which induces a chronic inflammatory reaction, scar tissue, and adhesions that may distort a woman's pelvic anatomy [2]. Around 25–50% of women with infertility may be affected by endometriosis, and 30–50% of women with endometriosis have infertility [3].

Women with endometriosis often require assisted reproduction technology (ART) and the severity of endometriosis has been linked to ART outcome [4]. However, further research is necessary to understand this association. Multiple hypotheses have been suggested to explain the low fecundity observed with endometriosis. Most commonly, the association has been attributed to altered folliculogenesis resulting in reduced quality oocytes [5], mechanical interference with oocyte pickup and transportation [6], exposure to a hostile environment of macrophages, cytokines and vasoactive substances in the peritoneal fluid [7, 8] and anatomical dysfunction of the fallopian tube and ovary [9].

An endometrioma is the formation of a cyst within the ovary with ectopic endometrial tissue lining [10, 11]. An endometrioma is one of the most common manifestations of endometriosis. Endometriomas are found in 17–44% of patients with endometriosis [12]. The pathogenesis of an endometrioma is complex and different compared to that of

✉ M. Nickkho-Amiry  
mamiry@me.com

<sup>1</sup> University Hospital of South Manchester, Southmoor Rd, Wythenshawe, Manchester M23 9LT, UK

<sup>2</sup> Central Manchester Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

other benign ovarian cysts. A majority of endometriomas are thought to be pseudocysts as described by Hughesdon rather than intra-ovarian cysts [10, 11].

Endometriomas are often associated with deep endometriosis and often do not respond well to medical therapy. Medical therapy may relieve the symptoms and improve pain or reduce the size of the cyst but does not improve infertility [13]. Therefore, the focus has been on surgical treatment in an attempt to improve fertility.

There has been much speculation as to the exact mechanism by which endometriomas cause infertility. Researchers have suggested that there is a decrease in ovarian reserve and follicular density in women with endometriomas possibly due to an increase in oxidative stress [14]. However, surgical resection of these cysts has been shown to further decrease ovarian reserve [13]. This highlights that there is much debate regarding the treatment of endometriomas, and uncertainty with regards to infertility, particularly in women who are undergoing assisted reproductive technology (ART).

The aim of this paper is to elucidate the effect of surgical management of ovarian endometriomas on fertility outcomes after ART.

## Materials and methods

### Search strategy

Related studies were identified after extensive search of PUBMED, Medline, EMBASE and Cochrane database from inception to September 2016. The following keywords and synonyms were used: ‘endometrioma’, ‘cystectomy’, ‘IVF’, ‘ICSI’, ‘pregnancy’. The language of publication was restricted to English. The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology guidelines were also reviewed. International standard randomised controlled trial number registry was checked for any trials registered with them. The reference lists of all publications and reviews were hand-searched to identify missing relevant publications. Two authors (RS and MA) independently conducted the search, and reviewed titles, abstracts and full manuscripts. Each article was independently assessed for inclusion and exclusion criteria. The review was registered with PROSPERO: International prospective register of systematic reviews. The ID number is CRD42015023914.

### Study selection

The studies that were included in the meta-analysis met the following criteria: (1) an original paper; (2) a study of ovarian endometrioma; (3) a clinical study (including randomised controlled trials, case–control, prospective and

retrospective cohort studies) that assessed the association of ovarian response, oocyte quality, embryo quality and IVF outcome with ovarian endometrioma.

All controlled retrospective or prospective studies that studied the effect of surgery on endometrioma or aspiration of endometrioma on IVF/ICSI outcome and ovarian response to gonadotrophins and those with a defined comparison group were included in the review.

The major exclusion criteria were literature reviews, non-original articles; non-ovarian endometrioma; duplication of a previous publication; and women who did not receive intervention on the endometrioma and women who had received medical or surgical treatment of their ovarian endometrioma before IVF cycles.

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist was used while writing this review (Fig. 1).

### Outcome measures

The primary outcomes were live birth rate per cycle, clinical pregnancy rate per cycle (defined as visualisation of fetal heart activity on transvaginal ultrasound at  $\geq 6$  week) and pregnancy rate (positive pregnancy test after ART).

Secondary measures included the ovarian response to gonadotropin stimulation by the total number of gonadotropin ampoules required for ovarian stimulation, the peak E2 levels on the day of the hCG administration and the total number of oocytes retrieved with and number of embryos available for transfer.


### Statistical analysis

Data analyses were carried out using RevMan, version 5.3 (Cochrane, Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Heterogeneity was evaluated graphically using forest plots and statistically using the *I*<sup>2</sup> statistic to quantify heterogeneity across studies. An *I*<sup>2</sup> > 50% was considered to represent substantial heterogeneity between studies. A random-effect model was used for meta-analysis in cases of high heterogeneity, and a fixed-effect model was used in cases of low heterogeneity. Dichotomous outcome data were reported as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Continuous data were synthesized using weighted means with 95% CI for variables including number of gonadotropin ampoules required for ovarian stimulation, the peak E2 level and the number of oocytes.

## Results

The search strategy yielded 721 articles, 91 of these were relevant to our review. 48 of these studies were found to be potentially eligible.

Fig. 1 Prisma flow diagram



## Included studies

The characteristics of the 28 studies included in the systematic review and classified according to their controls are presented in Table 1. The majority were retrospective case–control studies. Ten studies were included for quantitative synthesis that compared surgical treatment versus no treatment (meta-analysis, Fig. 1). There were two prospective cohort [15, 16] and three retrospective cohort studies [17–19]. Only one randomised control trial was available for study. Randomisation for aspiration of endometrioma was done in one study [15]. One prospective case–control study with randomisation for gonadotrophins was noted [20].

Laparoscopic excision of endometriomas by either ovarian cystectomy or stripping of the cyst wall was performed in the majority. Seven studies also involved laparotomies for endometrioma surgery [15, 18–23].

## Ovarian stimulation was with the long protocol in the majority of the cases

The size of the endometriomas, the duration from surgery to IVF and the laterality of the cyst are documented in Table 1. The control group varied and this has been classified in Table 1. Seven studied used multiple control groups [15, 18, 19, 22, 24–26].

There was no significant difference between the study and the control group with regards to the patient characteristics and the other confounding factors.

We included a total of eleven studies in our meta-analysis. Ten studies compared surgical treatment for endometrioma with untreated endometrioma and four studies compared surgical treatment of endometrioma with aspiration of endometrioma. Among these, there were six retrospective case–control [19, 20, 26–29], two retrospective cohort [17,

**Table 1** Characteristics of all studies included in the systematic review

Study (reference)	Design	Intervention	Study group	Control group	Type of surgery	Cyst size (cm)	Laterality	Dt	Outcomes
<i>Control group: non-treated endometrioma</i>									
Tinkanen and Kujansuu [30]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Non-treated endometrioma	Endometrioma stripping	1.5–7	Either	1–7 years	NOR, no. of embryos, FR, IR, PR, LBR
Suganuma et al. [21]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Aspirated endometrioma Non-treated endometrioma	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy or laparotomy)	ND	ND	31 ± 27 months	NOR, FR, PR
García-Velasco et al. [29]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Non-treated endometrioma	Laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy	> 3	Unilateral	12 months	NOR, no. of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, MR, units, E2 peak
Pabuccu et al. [24]	Prospective cohort Randomised for aspiration	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Non-treated endometrioma Aspirated endometrioma Tubal factor infertility	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy or laparotomy)	ND	Either	≤ 4 years	Mature follicles, FR, IR, CPR, MR, ampoules, E2 peak
Wong et al. [19]	Retrospective cohort	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Non-treated endometrioma Non-treated peritoneal endometriosis	Laparoscopic cystectomy	ND	ND	3–48 months	Mature follicles, FR, IR, PR, CPR, MR, ampoules, E2 peak
Demirel et al. [31]	RCT	ICSI long protocol	Surgical removal of endometrioma	No treatment	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy)	3–6	Unilateral	3 months	NOR, FR, IR, CPR, E2 peak
Pabuccu et al. [20]	Prospective case control (Randomised for GnRh agonist/antagonist)	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical removal of endometrioma	No treatment Non-treated peritoneal endometriosis	Endometrioma (laparoscopy or laparotomy)		Either	< 4 years	Ampoules, NOR, FR, no. of embryos, CPR
Bongioanni et al. [27]	Retrospective case-control	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	No Treatment Tubal factor infertility	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy)	< 6	Either	< 5 years	FR, NOR, PR, LBR
Lee et al. [28]	Retrospective case-control	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	No treatment Aspiration with ethanol sclerotherapy	Laparoscopic cystectomy	4–7	ND	20 months	NOR, CPR, LBR
Dong et al. [18]	Retrospective cohort	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	No treatment	Laparoscopic cystectomy	ND	ND		NOR, no. of embryos, CPR, LBR
<i>Control: aspirated endometrioma</i>									
Suganuma et al. [21]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Aspirated endometrioma Non-treated endometrioma	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy or laparotomy)	ND	ND	31 ± 27 months	NOR, FR, PR
Takuma et al. [22]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Aspirated endometrioma	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy or laparotomy)	ND	ND	31 ± 27 months	NOR, FR, PR
Pabuccu et al. [15]	Prospective cohort randomised for aspiration	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Non-treated endometrioma Aspirated endometrioma Tubal factor infertility	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy or laparotomy)	ND	Either	≤ 4 years	Mature follicles, FR, IR, CPR, MR, ampoules, E2 peak
Lee et al. [28]	Retrospective case-control	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	No treatment Aspiration with ethanol sclerotherapy	Laparoscopic cystectomy	4–7	ND	20 months	NOR, CPR, LBR

**Table 1** (continued)

Study (reference)	Design	Intervention	Study group	Control group	Type of surgery	Cyst size (cm)	Laterality	Dt	Outcomes
Aflatoonian et al. [62]	RCT	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Ethanol sclerotherapy	No treatment	N/A	3.5–5.5	ND	3	NOR, no. of embryos, ampoules, FR, CPR
<i>Control group: tubal factor infertility</i>									
Marconi et al. [17]	Retrospective cohort	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy)	4.8 ± 2.3	Either	Either 12 ± 7 months	Mature follicles, NOR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
Wu et al. [69]	Retrospective case–control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cystectomy	3.9 ± 1.5	Unilateral	2.4 ± 1.7 months	Mature follicles, NOR, no. of embryos, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
Wyns and Donnez [25]	Retrospective case–control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Idiopathic infertility Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cyst wall laser vaporization	ND	ND	ND	Mature follicles, number of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
Pabuccu et al. [15]	Prospective cohort	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Non-treated endometrioma Aspirated endometrioma Tubal factor infertility	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy or laparotomy)	ND	Either	≤ 4 years	Mature follicles, FR, IR, CPR, MR, ampoules, E2 peak
Loo et al. [66]	Retrospective case–control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility	Laparoscopic cystectomy	> 3	ND	6 months	NOR, no. of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, units, E2 peak
Esinler et al. [63]	Retrospective case–control	ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility	Laparoscopic cystectomy	> 3	Either	ND	Mature follicles, IR, CPR, MR, LBR, units, E2 peak
Matafiliotakis et al. [67]	Retrospective case–control	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility	Laparoscopic cystectomy	ND	ND	ND	Mature follicles, NOR, no. of embryos, IR, FR, PR, CPR, MR, LBR, ampoules, E2 peak
Bongioanni et al. [27]	Retrospective case–control	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	No treatment tubal factor infertility	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy)	< 6	Either	< 5 years	FR, NOR, PR, LBR
Kahyaoglu et al. [64]	Retrospective case–control	IVF long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility	Laparoscopic cystectomy	> 3 cm	ND	ND	Ampoules, no. of embryos, FR, CPR,
<i>Control: laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis</i>									
Wyns and Donnez [25]	Retrospective case–control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Idiopathic infertility Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cyst wall laser vaporization	ND	ND	ND	Mature follicles, number of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
Duru et al. [41]	Retrospective case–control	IVF/ICSI	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Contralateral normal ovary	Endometrioma stripping Laparotomy Laparotomy	ND	Unilateral	≥ 1 year	Mature follicles, CPR

Table 1 (continued)

Study (reference)	Design	Intervention	Study group	Control group	Type of surgery	Cyst size (cm)	Laterality	Dt	Outcomes
<i>Control: non-treated peritoneal endometriosis</i>									
Wong et al. [19]	Retrospective cohort	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Non-treated endometrioma	Laparoscopic cystectomy	ND	ND	3–48 months	Mature follicles, FR, IR, PR, CPR, MR, ampoules, E2 peak
Wyns and Donnez [25]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Idiopathic infertility Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cyst wall laser vaporization	ND	ND	ND	Mature follicles, number of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
<i>Control: idiopathic infertility</i>									
Wyns and Donnez [25]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Idiopathic infertility Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cyst wall laser vaporization	ND	ND	ND	Mature follicles, number of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
Wyns and Donnez [25]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Idiopathic infertility Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cyst wall laser vaporization	ND	ND	ND	Mature follicles, number of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
<i>Control group: non-endometriotic benign ovarian cyst.</i>									
Nargund et al. [68]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Ovarian cystectomy for simple and dermoid cyst	Cystectomy	ND	Unilateral	ND	Mature follicles, NOR
Nargund et al. [68]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Ovarian cystectomy for simple and dermoid cyst	Cystectomy	ND	Unilateral	ND	Mature follicles, NOR
<i>Control group: normal non-operated contralateral ovary</i>									
Loh et al. [65]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cystectomy	4.23 ± 2	Either	ND	Mature follicles
Ho et al. [23]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Contralateral normal ovary	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy or laparotomy)	ND	Unilateral	3.1 ± 27 months	Mature follicles, ampoules, E2 peak
Somigliana et al. [54]	Retrospective case-control	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cystectomy	3.9 ± 1.5	Unilateral	2.4 ± 1.7 months	Mature follicles, NOR, no. of embryos, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak

**Table 1** (continued)

Study (reference)	Design	Intervention	Study group	Control group	Type of surgery	Cyst size (cm)	Laterality	Dt	Outcomes
Wyns and Donnez [25]	Retrospective case-control	IVF-ET long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Tubal factor infertility Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Idiopathic infertility Contralateral normal ovary	Laparoscopic cyst wall laser vaporization	ND	ND	ND	Mature follicles, number of embryos, FR, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
Ragni et al. [16]	Prospective cohort	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Contralateral normal ovary	Endometrioma stripping (laparoscopy)	4.0 ± 2.4	Unilateral	2.4 ± 2 years	Mature follicles, OR, FR, IR, CPR, ampoules, E2 peak
Duru et al. [41]	Retrospective case-control	IVF/ICSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Laparoscopically treated peritoneal endometriosis Contralateral normal ovary	Endometrioma stripping Laparoscopy Laparotomy	ND	Unilateral	≥ 1 years	Mature follicles, CPR
Somigliana et al. [26]	Retrospective case-control	IVF/CSI long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Normal ovaries	Endometrioma stripping Laparoscopy Laparotomy	4 ± 1.6	Bilateral	3.9 ± 3.4 years	NOR, no. of embryos, CPR
Tang et al. [53]	Retrospective case-control	IVF long protocol	Surgical treatment of endometrioma	Contralateral normal ovary	Endometrioma stripping Laparoscopy	< 4 and > 4	Unilateral	ND	NOR, CPR

*Dt* interval between surgery and IVF/ICSI, *ND* not documented, *NOR* number of oocytes retrieved, *FR* fertilisation rate, *IR* implantation rate, *PR* pregnancy rate, *CPR* clinical pregnancy rate, *LBR* live birth rate, *Ampoules* ampoules/unit of gonadotrophins used for ovarian stimulation

25] and one prospective case–control studies [18]. One randomised control trial [30] and one prospective cohort study with randomisation for aspiration of endometrioma [15] were studied.

The forest plots of the meta-analysis comparing surgical treatment with no treatment and surgical treatment with aspiration of endometrioma are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

**Main outcomes**

Surgical treatment compared to no treatment.

There were ten studies that compared surgical treatment to no treatment included in this review. Meta-analysis of these results is as follows:

**Primary outcome**

Live birth rate.

Surgery for endometrioma showed to favour live birth rate per cycle, but this was not statistically significant [4 studies, OR 0.75 (95% CI 0.54, 1.06)] (Fig. 2a1).

**Clinical pregnancy rate**

There were no significant differences in clinical pregnancy rate between women who underwent surgery for endometrioma and those who did not per cycle 1.08 [7 studies, OR 1.08 (95% CI 0.80–1.45)] (Fig. 2a2).

**Pregnancy rate**

There were no significant differences in pregnancy rate per cycle between women who underwent surgery for endometrioma and those who did not [5 studies, OR 0.88 (95% CI 0.60, 1.29)] (Fig. 2a3).

**Secondary outcomes**

**Number of oocytes retrieved** There was also no statistical difference in the number of oocytes retrieved [mean difference—0.43 (95% CI – 1.67, 0.80)] and the total number of embryos created per cycle [mean difference 0.06 95% CI – 0.21 to 0.33)] in the group that underwent surgery for endometrioma compared to the control with no surgery (Fig. 2a5, a6).

**Gonadotropin usage** There was no difference in between gonadotrophin ampoules used per cycle [mean difference 1.31 (95% CI (– 3.87, 6.50)] and the total gonadotrophin dose per cycle [mean difference 244.81 (95% CI – 525.43 to 1015.06)] between the two groups (Fig. 2a6, a7).


**Table 2** Clinical outcomes and parameters of ovarian response assessed in the studies included in the systematic review

Study	Cycles (n)		Oocytes retrieved (n)				Mature follicles (n)		E2 peak (pg/ml)		Implantation rate (%)		Fertilisation rate (%)		Pregnancy rate (%)		Clinical pregnancy rate (%)		
	S	C	S		C		S	C	S	C	S	C	S	C	S	C	S	C	
			S	C	S	C													
Tinkanen and Kujansuu [30]	55	45	6.1	6.5	6.5	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	13	20	48	58	22	38	ND	ND	
Suganuma et al. [21]	62	30	7.2 ± 6.2	9.7 ± 6.7	6.6 ± 5.5	6.6 ± 5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	56.8	56.5	29	36.6	ND	ND	
Aspiration of endometrioma ± alcohol fixation 35																			
Takuma [22]	69	43	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	67.4	67.4	31.4	31.4	ND	ND	
Pabuccu et al. [15]	44	40	ND	ND	5.3 ± 1.2	5.2 ± 1.1	5.2 ± 1.1	1196 ± 445	946 ± 64	18	12	72 ± 13	68 ± 16	72 ± 10	ND	ND	25	20	
Aspirated endometrioma 41																			
Garcia-Velasco et al. [29]	147	63	10.8 ± 7	11.8 ± 0.9	ND	ND	1632 ± 670	247 ± 61	191 ± 06	12.8	14.1	76.5	69.9	72 ± 10	30.2	28.8	25.4	22.7	
Wong et al. [19]	36	38	10.3 ± 1.2	9.4 ± 0.9	ND	ND	195 ± 15	192 ± 98	195 ± 15	20	18	85	88	85	50	38	47	34	
Demirel et al. [31]	49	50	ND	ND	ND	ND	117 ± 17.14	16,80 ± 28,69	16,80 ± 28,69	16.5	18.5	86.2	88.3	86.2	ND	ND	34.4	38.2	
Pabuccu et al. [20]	81	67	9.3 ± 5.2	7.4 ± 4	3.1 ± 0.9	4.2 ± 1.5	1716.7 ± 1163.5	1740.3 ± 829.5	1740.3 ± 829.5	19.3	13.6	67.5 ± 21.7	74.6 ± 19.6	67.5 ± 21.7	36.6	41.5	33	22.3	
Bongiovanni et al. [27]	112	142	8.2 ± 5.3	9.4 ± 4.3	ND	ND	ND	24.6	24.2	24.6	24.2	73.4	67.7	73.4	36.6	41.5	ND	ND	
Lee et al. [28]	36	36	8.2 ± 4.7	12. ± 5	3.3 ± 3.0	3.8 ± 2.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	36.1	38.8	
Aspiration with ethanol sclerotherapy 29																			
Dong et al. [18]	153	68	9.5 ± 5.0	9.0 ± 5.5	8.8 ± 4.2	9.0 ± 4.9	3623.5 ± 2175.3	3711 ± 2284.3	3711 ± 2284.3	28.3	34.4	58.8	60.7	58.8	43.1	51.5	43.1	51.5	
S study group, underwent surgical removal or aspiration of endometrioma, C control group, ND not documented																			




**A Surgical treatment vs No treatment ( 10 studies)**


**1. Live birth rate / cycle**




**2. Clinical Pregnancy / cycle**




**3. Pregnancy/ cycle**




**4. Estradiol peak (pg/ml)**



**5. Total Oocytes retrieved/ cycle ( continuous data)**



**6. Total No of embryos created / cycle**



**Fig. 2** Forest plots examining outcome measures. **a** Surgical treatment versus no treatment (10 studies). 1. Live birth rate/cycle. 2. Clinical pregnancy/cycle. 3. Pregnancy/cycle. 4. Estradiol peak (pg/ml). 5. Total oocytes retrieved/cycle (continuous data). 6. Total no. of embryos created/cycle. 7. Gonadotrophins ampoules/cycle. 8. Gonadotrophins total dose/cycle. **b** Surgical treatment versus aspiration (4 studies). 1. Pregnancy/cycle. 2. Clinical pregnancy/cycle

**Estradiol peak during ART** There was no difference in the estradiol peak in the two groups. (mean difference – 159.349 [95% CI – 490.15, 171.46]) (Fig. 2a4).


**Surgical treatment compared to aspiration** There were four studies included in the meta-analysis comparing surgical treatment with aspiration [15, 19, 20, 27].

There was no difference between the pregnancy rate per cycle [OR 1.66 (95% CI 0.44, 6.26)] and clinical pregnancy rate per cycle [OR 0.92 (95% CI – 1.43, 1.95)] between those women who underwent surgery for endometrioma and those who had aspiration of endometrioma (Fig. 2a1, b2). There were no live births reported.


**Excluded studies**

19 studies from the potentially eligible studies were excluded (see Table 3). Four studies were excluded as the control did not have endometrioma and two of the studies did not have a control. Four studies did not have surgery for endometriomas, five compared types of surgery and one compared second line surgery for endometriomas.

7. Gonadotrophin ampoules / cycle




8. Gonadotrophins total dose /cycle



**B Surgical treatment vs Aspiration ( 4 studies)**

1. Pregnancy / cycle



2. Clinical Pregnancy / cycle




Fig. 2 (continued)

**Table 3** Reason for exclusion of study

Reason for exclusion	References
Control had no endometrioma	[26], [31–34]
No control	[35, 36]
No surgery for endometrioma	[37–40]
Types of surgery	[41–45]
Second-line surgery	[46]
Side of endometrioma	[47]
Did not undergo IVF	[48]
Compared ovarian reserve with idiopathic diminished ovarian reserve	[49]

One studies compared the effect of the side of endometriomas, the subjects did not undergo IVF in another and one studied reduced ovarian reserve in comparison to idiopathic diminished ovarian reserve.

## Discussion

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to assess the impact of surgical management of endometrioma, on the outcome of assisted reproduction. Our main finding is that there was no significant difference in pregnancy rate per cycle, clinical pregnancy rate and live birth rate between women who underwent surgery for endometrioma and those who did not. Interestingly, there was a slight improvement in live birth rate but only four studies published live birth. The limitation of these data is that most of the studies on surgical management are retrospective in nature and very few publishing data on live birth rate. There is also the added limitation of variations in surgical techniques (i.e., ablation versus resection), completeness of removal of the disease, and differences in ART laboratories.

There is much controversy regarding the surgical management of endometrioma on assisted reproduction outcome. Studies have suggested that the pathophysiologic process in endometrioma formation may be different to other manifestations of endometriosis [11, 14].

Pre-cycle surgical management of endometrioma has been suggested to be beneficial in specific circumstances and include [1] inability to access follicles at oocyte retrieval, [2] concern that oocytes may be exposed to endometrioma fluid, which may damage oocytes, and [3] the presumption that endometrioma resection would improve IVF outcome. These will be addressed individually. First, the inability to access follicles may indeed be true for endometriomas which are larger than 4–5 cm in mean diameter. With regards to exposure to endometrioma fluid, there is no evidence to suggest this is the case. Indeed, at least one investigative team has shown that exposure of oocytes to endometrioma fluid has

no impact on rates of fertilisation on early embryo development [6]. Finally, with regards to improving IVF outcome, there are two meta-analyses that have assessed the impact of endometrioma resection on IVF outcomes. Tsoumpou et al. demonstrated no significant differences in response to gonadotropin stimulation or in clinical pregnancy rates, when analyzing five studies which compared surgical resection of endometrioma to no treatment [50]. A Cochrane meta-analysis involving 312 patients by Benschop et al. confirmed that surgical management of endometrioma's resulted in no benefits for a subsequent IVF cycle [51]. Importantly, these trials are limited as they are surgical in nature, and did not control for any confounding factors with regards to differing surgical techniques (aspiration, stripping and total excision, partial resection, and ablation), endometrioma size, or laterality. Indeed, this may mean that the only indication for removing an endometrioma greater than 3 cm in mean diameter before IVF, as suggested by Elter and Oral, would be to treat painful symptoms or to improve ovarian access [52]. Garcia-Velasco and Somigliana suggested indications for surgical intervention that may be beneficial for assisted reproduction. Proposed Indications for Resection of a Suspected Endometrioma prior to assisted reproduction [13]:

1. rapid growth,
2. suspicious features noted on ultrasound,
3. painful symptoms that can be attributed to the mass,
4. potential for rupture in pregnancy,
5. inability to access follicles in normal ovarian tissue.

Fundamentally, it is crucial that if endometrioma resection is indicated, one must proceed conservatively to minimize any compromise of ovarian blood supply and preserve normal ovarian tissue [53].

Needless to say, there are arguments against pre-cycle treatment of endometrioma. Evidence has suggested that not only has excision of endometriomas failed to be beneficial, but surgery may indeed, be detrimental. The evidence for this statement is based on excision of stable lesions at least 3 cm in diameter and without worrying features [54]. Somigliana et al. reported a 53% reduction in response to gonadotrophins in ovaries that had been operated upon regardless of size of the cyst with an absence in follicular development in 13% of cases after excision of unilateral endometriomas [36, 54]. These data are supported by other studies. Furthermore, Somigliana et al. reviewed that nine of 11 studies showed a statistically significant postoperative decline in serum anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) levels, which was exacerbated by excision of bilateral lesions [55]. Muzii et al., in a recent meta-analysis, extracted data on 597 patients from 13 evaluated studies, and demonstrated that despite heterogeneity amongst the studies, the antral follicle count was inherently lower in the affected ovary [56].

Harb et al. suggest from their systematic review that the implantation and clinical pregnancy rate are reduced in women with severe endometriosis although the most important clinical outcome was live birth rate, and although a reduction of 14% in live births (RR = 0.86, 95% CI 0.68–1.08) was observed with stage III/IV endometriosis, this did not reach statistical significance [57]. They suggest that this may be attributed to fewer reports of live birth rate in the literature, and hence weakening the power of their review to detect this outcome [57].

Furthermore, Hong et al. reported that IVF cycle outcomes including clinical pregnancy and live birth rate were not significantly different between the two groups of diminished ovarian reserve with surgery and without surgery [58]. They speculate that endometriosis-related infertility is attributed to diminished ovarian reserve and not the reduced endometrial receptivity, inferior oocyte and embryo quality [58].

Contrasting reports have shown that pre-cycle surgical intervention may be beneficial. Opøien et al. studied patients with stage I/II endometriosis from a single centre, in a retrospective trial, who underwent surgical resection or controls who underwent diagnostic laparoscopy only before IVF/ICSI [59]. They found significantly higher clinical pregnancy (40.1 versus 29.4%,  $P = 0.004$ ), implantation (30.9 versus 23.9%;  $P = 0.02$ ) rates were achieved in those who underwent resection than those who underwent diagnostic laparoscopy, and live birth rate per ovum retrieval (27.7 versus 20.6%,  $P = 0.04$ ) [59]. Barri et al. evaluated 825 patients with endometriosis-related infertility over a seven-year period, and reported that overall pregnancy rates were significantly higher in patients undergoing surgical resection and then IVF in comparison to those who underwent surgery alone, IVF alone, or no treatment (65.8, 54.2, 32.1, and 11.8%) [60]. Of note, it was unexpected that pregnancy rates from surgery alone would be so much higher than with IVF alone; however, this may be attributed to pregnancy rates being reported as cumulative. The mean time to achieve pregnancy after surgery was  $11.8 \pm 12.1$  months (range 1–66 months) [60].

The lack of randomised trials regarding pre-IVF cycle surgical management of endometriosis makes it difficult to recommend this approach unless symptom relief is the primary goal.

The current endometriosis guidelines by ESHRE 2013 recommend that “In infertile women with ovarian endometrioma undergoing surgery, clinicians should perform excision of the endometrioma capsule, instead of drainage and electrocoagulation of the endometrioma wall, to increase spontaneous pregnancy rates.” Hart et al. are the source quoted for this statement, but they did not examine within their study, if there is a favoured surgical approach, if any, to women undergoing fertility treatment [61].

These studies highlight that as clinicians, we need to balance the risks and benefits of pre-IVF cycle endometrioma resection given that the bulk of evidence suggests that there is no significant difference in live pregnancy rate. Patients should be counseled with regard to outcome, as well as the risks to ovarian reserve and response particularly in those who already have evidence of compromise.

## Conclusion

Current evidence suggests that women with endometriosis-related infertility have similar cycle outcomes to other patients going through ART. Pre-cycle surgical management of endometrioma does not appear to be beneficial aside from achieving symptom relief, although heterogeneity amongst studies make data analysis challenging.

Endometriomas should not be resected to improve ART outcome and much evidence suggests a detrimental effect of surgery on ovarian reserve and response. The indications for surgical intervention should be limited to suspicious features, rapid growth, progressive symptoms, and an inability to aspirate follicles due to the size of the lesion. Conservative surgical approaches taking great care to avoid compromise of normal ovarian tissue and blood supply are critical. Unfortunately, the evidence is largely based on retrospective data.

It is pertinent for clinicians to assess the risks of surgical intervention on ovarian reserve prior to initiating therapy. The need for additional well-designed prospective randomised controlled trial is vital, as only one RCT had been done before. So we are relying on non-randomised data. In the world of evidence-based medicine, we should aim for the highest standard of evidence; there is a need for multi-centre RCT with live birth rate as the primary outcome to allow clinicians care for these patients.

**Author contributions** MNA: Data extraction and analysis, manuscript writing and review. RS: Data extraction and analysis, and manuscript review. KM and EEOs: Project conception and manuscript review. MA: Project conception, data extraction and analysis, and manuscript review.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Funding** No funding was needed for this work.

**Conflict of interest** There are no conflicts of interest.

**Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution,



and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Giudice LC, Kao LC (2004) Endometriosis. *Lancet* 364(9447):1789–1799
- Kennedy S, Bergqvist A, Chapron C, D'Hooghe T, Dunselman G, Sarioglu E et al (2005) ESHRE guideline on the diagnosis and management of endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 20(10):2698–2704. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dei135>
- Macer ML, Taylor HS (2012) Endometriosis and infertility: a review of the pathogenesis and treatment of endometriosis-associated infertility. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 39:535–549
- Olivennes F (2003) Results of IVF in women with endometriosis. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)* 32:S45–S47
- Cahill DJ, Wardle PG, Harlow CR, Hull MG (1996) Effect of progestogen therapy on follicular development, related hormone concentrations and fertilization in vitro in unstimulated cycles and unexplained and endometriosis-associated infertility. *Hum Reprod* 11:647–650
- Khamsi F, Yavas Y, Lacanna IC, Roberge S, Endman M, Wong JC (2001) Exposure of human oocytes to endometrioma fluid does not alter fertilization or early embryo development. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 18:106–109
- Dmowski WP, Rana N, Michalowska J, Friberg J, Papierniak C, el-Roeiy A (1995) The effect of endometriosis, its stage and activity, and of autoantibodies on in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer success rates. *Fertil Steril* 63:555–562
- Pellicer A, Valbuena D, Bauset C, Albert C, Bonilla-Musoles F, Remohi J et al (1998) The follicular endocrine environment in stimulated cycles of women with endometriosis: steroid levels and embryo quality. *Fertil Steril* 69:1135–1141
- Matalliotakis IM, Cakmak H, Mahutte N, Fragouli Y, Arici A, Sakkas D (2007) Women with advanced-stage endometriosis and previous surgery respond less well to gonadotropin stimulation, but have similar IVF implantation and delivery rates compared with women with tubal factor infertility. *Fertil Steril* 88:1568–1572
- Hughesdon PE (1957) The structure of endometrial cysts of the ovary. *J Obst Gynaecol Br Empire* 64(4):481–487
- Brosens I, Puttemans P, Gordts S, Campo R, Gordts S, Benagiano G (2013) Early stage management of ovarian endometrioma to prevent infertility. *Facts Views Vis ObGyn* 5(4):309–314
- Alborzi S, Keramati P, Younesi M, Samsami A, Dadras N (2014) The impact of laparoscopic cystectomy on ovarian reserve in patients with unilateral and bilateral endometriomas. *Fertil Steril* 101(2):427–434
- Garcia-Velasco A, Somigliana E (2009) Management of endometriomas in women requiring IVF: to touch or not to touch. *Hum Reprod* 24(3):496–501
- Sanchez AM, Viganò P, Somigliana E, Panina-Bordigno P, Vercellini P, Candiani M (2014) The distinguishing cellular and molecular features of the endometriotic ovarian cyst: from pathophysiology to the potential endometrioma-mediated damage to the ovary. *Human Reproduction Update* 20(2):217–230
- Pabuccu R, Onalan G, Goktolga U, Kucuk T, Orhon E, Ceyhan T (2004) Aspiration of ovarian endometriomas before intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Fertil Steril* 82:705–711
- Ragni G, Somigliana E, Benedetti F, Paffoni A, Vegetti W, Restelli L et al (2005) Damage to ovarian reserve associated with laparoscopic excision of endometriomas: a quantitative rather than a qualitative injury. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 193:1908–1914
- Marconi G, Vilela M, Quintana R, Sueldo C (2002) Laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy of endometriomas does not affect the ovarian response to gonadotrophin stimulation. *Fertil Steril* 78:876–878
- Dong X, Wang R, Zheng Y, Xiong T, Lian X, Huang B, Zhang H (2014) Surgical treatment of endometrioma does not increase clinical pregnancy rate or live birth/ongoing pregnancy rate after fresh IVF/IVSI treatment. *Am J Transl Res* 6(2):163–168
- Wong BC, Gillman NC, Oehninger S, Gibbons WE, Stadtmauer LA (2004) Results of in vitro fertilization in patients with endometriomas: is surgical removal beneficial? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 191:598–607
- Pabuccu R, Onalan G, Kaya C (2007) GnRH agonist and antagonist protocols for stage I–II endometriosis and endometrioma in in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection cycles. *Fertil Steril* 88:832–839
- Suganuma N, Wakahara Y, Ishida D, Asano M, Kitagawa T, Katsumata Y et al (2002) Pretreatment for ovarian endometrial cyst before in vitro fertilization. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 54(Suppl. 1):36–42
- Takuma N, Sengoku K, Pan B, Wada K, Yamauchi T, Miyamoto T et al (2002) Laparoscopic treatment of endometrioma-associated infertility and pregnancy outcome. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 54:30–35
- Ho HY, Lee RK, Hwu YM, Lin MH, Su JT, Tsai YC (2002) Poor response of ovaries with endometrioma previously treated with cystectomy to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 19:507–511
- Duru NK, Dede M, Acikel CH, Keskin U, Fidan U, Baser I (2007) Outcome of in vitro fertilization and ovarian response after endometrioma stripping at laparoscopy and laparotomy. *J Reprod Med* 52:805–809
- Wyns C, Donnez J (2003) Laser vaporization of ovarian endometriomas: the impact on the response to gonadotrophin stimulation. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil* 31:337–342
- Somigliana E, Arnoldi M, Benaglia L, Iemmello R, Nicolosi AE, Ragni G (2008) IVF-ICSI outcome in women operated on for bilateral endometriomas. *Hum Reprod* 23(7):1526–1530
- Bongioanni F, Revelli A, Gennarelli G, Guidetti D, Delle Piane LD, Holte J (2011) Ovarian endometriomas and IVF: a retrospective case-control study. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 17(9):81
- Lee KH, Kim CH, Lee YJ, Kim SH, Chae HD, Kang BM (2014) Surgical resection or aspiration with ethanol sclerotherapy of endometrioma before in vitro fertilization in infertile women with endometrioma. *Obstet Gynecol Sci* 57(4):297–303
- Garcia-Velasco JA, Mahutte NG, Corona J, Zuniga V, Giles J, Arici A et al (2004) Removal of endometriomas before in vitro fertilization does not improve fertility outcomes: a matched, case-control study. *Fertil Steril* 81:1194–1197
- Tinkanen H, Kujansuu E (2000) In vitro fertilization in patients with ovarian endometriomas. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 79:119–122
- Demirel A, Guven S, Baykal C, Gurgan T (2005) Effect of endometrioma cystectomy on IVF outcome: a prospective randomised study. *Reprod Biomed Online* 12:639–643
- Harada M, Takahashi N, Hirata T, Koga K, Fujii T (2015) Osga Y Laparoscopic excision of ovarian endometrioma does not exert a qualitative effect on ovarian function: insights from in vitro fertilization and single embryo transfer cycles. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 32(5):685–689
- Benaglia L, Bermejo A, Somigliana E, Scarduelli C, Ragni G, Fedele L, Garcia-Velasco JA (2012) 9. Pregnancy outcome in women with endometriomas achieving pregnancy through IVF. *Hum Reprod* 27(6):1663–1667
- Nakagawa K, Ohgi S, Kojima R, Sugawara K, Ito M, Horikawa T, Irahara M, Saito H (2007) Impact of laparoscopic cystectomy

- on fecundity of infertility patients with ovarian endometrioma. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 33(5):671–676
35. Shimizu Y, Takashima A, Takahashi K, Kita N, Fujiwara M, Murakami T (2010) Long-term outcome, including pregnancy rate, recurrence rate and ovarian reserve, after laparoscopic laser ablation surgery in infertile women with endometrioma. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 36(1):115–118
  36. Benaglia L, Somigliana E, Vighi V, Ragni G, Vercellini P, Fedele L (2010) Rate of severe ovarian damage following surgery for endometriomas. *Hum Reprod* 25(3):678–682
  37. Suzuki T, Izumi S, Matsubayashi H, Awaji H, Yoshikata K, Makino T (2005) Impact of ovarian endometrioma on oocytes and pregnancy outcome in in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 83(4):908–913
  38. Oppenheimer A, Ballester M, Mathieu d'Argent E, Morcel K, Antoine JM, Darai E (2013) Pregnancy rate after first intra cytoplasmic sperm injection—in vitro fertilisation cycle in patients with endometrioma with or without deep infiltrating endometriosis. *Int J Fertil Steril* 7(3):207–216
  39. Ashrafi M, Fakheri T, Kiani K, Sadeghi M, Akhoond MR (2014) Impact of the endometrioma on ovarian response and pregnancy rate in in vitro fertilization cycles. *Int J Fert Steril* 8(1):29–34
  40. Almog B, Shehata F, Shezaf B, Tan SL, Tulandi T (2011) Effects of ovarian endometrioma on the number of oocytes retrieved for in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 95(2):525–571
  41. Duru NK, Dede M, Acikel CH, Keskin U, Fidan U, Baser I (2007) Outcome of in vitro fertilization and ovarian response after endometrioma stripping at laparoscopy and laparotomy. *J Reprod Med* 52(9):805–809
  42. Alborzi S, Momtahan M, Parsanezhad ME, Dehbashi S, Zolghadri J, Alborzi S (2004) A prospective, randomised study comparing laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy versus fenestration and coagulation in patients with endometriomas. *Fertil Steril* 82(6):1633–1637
  43. Takashima A, Takeshita N, Otaka K, Kinoshita T (2013) Effects of bipolar electrocoagulation versus suture after laparoscopic excision of ovarian endometrioma on the ovarian reserve and outcome of in vitro fertilization. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 39(7):1246–1252
  44. Alborzi S, Ravanbakhsh R, Parsanezhad ME, Alborzi M, Alborzi S, Dehbashi S (2007) A comparison of follicular response of ovaries to ovulation induction after laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy or fenestration and coagulation versus normal ovaries in patients with endometrioma. *Fertil Steril* 88(2):507–509
  45. Beretta P, Franchi M, Ghezzi F, Busacca M, Zupi E, Bolis P (1998) Randomised clinical trial of two laparoscopic treatments of endometriomas: cystectomy versus drainage and coagulation. *Fertil Steril* 70(6):1176–1180
  46. Park H, Kim CH, Kim EY, Moon JW, Kim SH, Chae HD, Kang BM (2015) Effect of second-line surgery on in vitro fertilization outcome in infertile women with ovarian endometrioma recurrence after primary conservative surgery for moderate to severe endometriosis. *Obstet Gynecol Sci* 58(6):481–486
  47. Yu HT, Huang HY, Lee CL, Soong YK, Wang CJ (2015) Side of ovarian endometrioma does not affect the outcome of in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection in infertile women after laparoscopic cystectomy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 41(5):717–721
  48. Li Z, Zhang HY, Zhu YJ, Hu YJ, Qu PP (2014) Randomised study comparing the side effects and hormonal status of triptorelin and leuprorelin following conservative laparoscopic surgery for ovarian endometriosis in Chinese women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 183:164–168
  49. Roustan A, Perrin J, Debals-Gonthier M, Paulmyer-Lacroix O, Agostini A, Courbiere B (2015) Surgical diminished ovarian reserve after endometrioma cystectomy versus idiopathic DOR: comparison of in vitro fertilization outcome. *Hum Reprod* 30(4):840–847
  50. Tsoumpou I, Kyrgiou M, Gelbaya TA, Nardo LG (2009) The effect of surgical treatment for endometrioma on in vitro fertilization outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril* 92(1):75–87
  51. Benschop L, Farquhar C, van der Poel N, Heineman MJ (2010) Interventions for women with endometrioma prior to assisted reproductive technology. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 11:008571
  52. Elter K, Oral E (2014) Surgical treatment before assisted reproductive technologies. *Semin Reprod Med* 32(4):253–261
  53. Tang Y, Chen SL, Chen X, He YX, Ye DS, Guo W, Zheng HY, Yang XH (2013) Ovarian damage after laparoscopic endometrioma excision might be related to the size of cyst. *Fertil Steril* 100(2):464–469
  54. Somigliana E, Ragni G, Benedetti F, Borroni R, Vegetti W, Crosignani PG (2003) Does laparoscopic excision of endometriotic ovarian cysts significantly affect ovarian reserve? Insights from IVF cycles. *Hum Reprod* 18:2450–2453
  55. Somigliana E, Berlanda N, Benaglia L, Vigano P, Vercellini P, Fedele L (2012) Surgical excision of endometriomas and ovarian reserve: a systematic review on serum antimüllerian hormone level modifications. *Fertil Steril* 98(6):1531–1538
  56. Muzii L, di Tucci C, di Felicianonio M, Marchetti C, Perniola G, Benedetti Panici P (2014) The effect of surgery for endometrioma on ovarian reserve evaluated by antral follicle count: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod* 29(10):2190–2198
  57. Harb HM, Gallos ID, Chu J, Harb M, Coomarasamy A (2013) The effect of endometriosis on in vitro fertilisation outcome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG* 120(11):1308–1320
  58. Hong SB, Lee NR, Kim SK, Kim H, Jee BC, Suh CS, Kim SH, Choi YM (2017) In vitro fertilization outcomes in women with surgery induced diminished ovarian reserve after endometrioma operation: comparison with diminished ovarian reserve without ovarian surgery. *Obstet Gynecol Sci* 60(1):63–68
  59. Opøien HK, Fedorcsak P, Byholm T, Tanbo T (2011) Complete surgical removal of minimal and mild endometriosis improves outcome of subsequent IVF/ICSI treatment. *Reprod BioMed Online* 23(3):389–395
  60. Barri PN, Coroleu B, Tur R, Barri-Soldevila PN, Rodríguez I (2010) Endometriosis-associated infertility: surgery and IVF, a comprehensive therapeutic approach. *Reprod BioMed Online* 21(2):179–185
  61. Hart RJ, Hickey M, Maouris P, Buckett W (2008) Excisional surgery versus ablative surgery for ovarian endometriomata. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2):CD004992. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004992.pub3>

### Studies excluded from meta-analysis

62. Aftatoonian A, Rahmani E, Rahsepar M (2013) Assessing the efficacy of aspiration and ethanol injection in recurrent endometrioma before IVF cycle: a randomised clinical trial. *Iran J Reprod Med* 11(3):179–184
63. Esinler I, Bozdag G, Aybar F, Bayar U, Yaradi H (2006) Outcome of in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection after laparoscopic cystectomy for endometriomas. *Fertil Steril* 85:1730–1735
64. Kahyaoglu S, Ertas E, Kahyaoglu I, Mollamahmutoglu L, Batioglu S (2008) Does laparoscopic cystectomy and cauterization of endometriomas greater than 3 cm diminish ovarian response to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation during IVF-ET? A case-control study. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 34(6):1010–1013
65. Loh FH, Tan AT, Kumar J, Ng SC (1999) Ovarian response after laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy for endometriotic cysts in 132 monitored cycles. *Fertil Steril* 72:316–321

66. Loo TC, Lin M, Chen SH, Chung MT, Tang HH, Lin LY et al (2005) Endometrioma undergoing laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy: its influence on the outcome of in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer (IVF-ET). *J Assist Reprod Genet* 22:329–333
67. Matalliotakis I, Cakmak H, Mahutte N, Fragouli Y, Arici A, Sakas D (2007) Women with advanced-stage endometriosis and previous surgery respond less well to gonadotrophin stimulation, but have similar IVF implantation and delivery rates compared with women with tubal factor infertility. *Fertil Steril* 88:1568–1572
68. Nargund G, Cheng WC, Parsons J (1995) The impact of ovarian cystectomy on ovarian response to stimulation during in vitro fertilization cycles. *Hum Reprod* 11:81–83
69. Wu MH, Tsai SJ, Pan HA, Hsiao KY, Chang FM (2003) Three-dimensional power Doppler imaging of ovarian stromal blood flow in women with endometriosis undergoing in vitro fertilization. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 21:480–485