



Correction to: Prospective intra-individual blinded comparison of [¹⁸F] PSMA-1007 and [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT imaging in patients with confirmed prostate cancer

David A. Pattison^{1,2} · Maciej Debowski³ · Brook Gulhane³ · Eryn G. Arnfield¹ · Anita M. Pelecanos⁴ · Peter L. Garcia¹ · Melissa J. Latter^{1,2} · Charles Y. Lin^{2,5} · Matthew J. Roberts^{6,7,8} · Stuart C. Ramsay^{1,9} · Paul A. Thomas^{1,2}

Published online: 3 November 2021

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05520-y>

The authors regret that the figure legends in the original article are correct but the images for Figure 5 and Figure 6 need to be swapped.

The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Erratum

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05520-y>.

✉ David A. Pattison
david.pattison@health.qld.gov.au

¹ Department of Nuclear Medicine & Specialised PET Services, Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Brisbane, QLD 2006, Australia

² School of Medicine, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

³ Department of Medical Imaging, Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

⁴ Statistics Unit, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia

⁵ Department of Radiation Oncology, Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

⁶ Department of Urology, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

⁷ Department of Urology, Redcliffe Hospital, Redcliffe, Australia

⁸ Centre for Clinical Research, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

⁹ School of Medicine, James Cook University, Townsville, Australia