CORRECTION

Correction to: Comparing the diagnostic performance of radiotracers in recurrent prostate cancer: a systematic review and network meta-analysis

Ian Leigh Alberts[1] · Svenja Elizabeth Seide[2] · Clemens Mingels[1] · Karl Peter Bohn[1] · Kuangyu Shi[1] · Helle D. Zacho[3] · Axel Rominger[1] · Ali Afshar-Oromieh[1]

Correction to: Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05210-9

An error at the production stage included unnecessary software dialogue boxes in figs. 2 and 4 which has been corrected. The content of the images otherwise remains the same.

The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Erratum.

✉ Ian Leigh Alberts
ian.alberts@insel.ch

1   Department of Nuclear Medicine. Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Street: Freiburgstr. 18, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland

2   Institute of Medical Biometry and Informatics, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 130.3, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

3   Department of Nuclear Medicine and Clinical Cancer Research Center, Aalborg University Hospital, Hobrovej 18-22, DK-9000 Aalborg, Denmark

Fig. 2 The network created by
the included studies. The area of
the node represents the number of
patients in each trial; the thickness
of the edge represents the number
of studies. The distances are only
representative

| Legend	
Radiopharmaceutical	**Abbreviation**
64Cu-PSMA-617	A
68Ga-PSMA-11	B
18F-DCFPyl	C
18F-Fluciclovine	D
18F-PSMA-1007	E
18F-FCH	F
11C-Choline	G
68Ga-PSMA-I&T	H

Fig. 4 Forest plot comparing different radiotracers, including inferred comparisons from the network (random effects with informative priors)