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It was kindly pointed out to us by W. von Waldenfels that Section 3.2 of [1] contains an error when the trace operator is introduced for functions in the Sobolev space $H^{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$ : we claimed that there exists a bounded operator

$$
\left.\cdot\right|_{\left\{r_{\ell}=s\right\}}: H^{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n} ; \mathfrak{H}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)
$$

which naturally defines the trace of each $v$ in $H^{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$ as a function $\left.v\right|_{\left\{r_{\ell}=s\right\}}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$, but actually such trace $\left.v\right|_{\left\{r_{\ell}=s\right\}}$ is naturally defined only as a function in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n-1} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$ and a trace operator from $H^{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$ can only be closed, with a domain to be specified.

Nevertheless the main result of [1], Theorem 3, is correct and provable through an adjustment of the argument.

We refer to [2] for a detailed introduction of the traces $\left.\cdot\right|_{\left\{r_{\ell}=s\right\}}$ and we list below the points which require an adjustment, that is the points involving $\left.\cdot\right|_{\left\{r_{\ell}=s\right\}}$ which are to be handled taking into account domain constraints.

1. The integration by parts formula (22) needs to be generalized [2] because $\left\langle\left. u\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}\right.$ $\left.|v|_{\partial Q_{m}}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is not necessarily in $L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{m}\right)$ for every $u$ and $v$ in $H^{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$. Therefore, for $\epsilon>0$, we introduce on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the totally symmetric indicator function $I_{\epsilon}(r)=\prod_{\ell<\ell^{\prime}}\{1-$ $\left.I_{(-\infty, 0)}\left(r_{\ell} r_{\ell^{\prime}}\right) I_{[0, \epsilon]}\left(\left|r_{\ell}\right|+\left|r_{\ell^{\prime}}\right|\right)\right\}$, which vanishes when $r$ has two small coordinates of opposite sign. Then $I_{\epsilon}(r) \uparrow 1$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ and for every $u$ and $v$ in $H^{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$ the following generalized integration by parts formula holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{m}}\left\langle u \mid \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \partial_{\ell} v\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}= & -\int_{Q_{m}}\left\langle\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \partial_{\ell} u \mid v\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \\
& \left.+\left.\lim _{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial Q_{m}}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \eta_{m} \cdot e_{\ell}\right)\left\langle\left.\left(I_{\epsilon} u\right)\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}\right|\left(I_{\epsilon} v\right)\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}, \tag{22b}
\end{align*}
$$

which reduces to (22), by dominated convergence, every time $\left.\left.\left\langle\left. u\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}\right| v\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is in $L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{m}\right)$. This happens if $u$ and $v$ have traces $\left.u\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}$ and $\left.v\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}$ in $L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{m} ; \mathfrak{H}\right)$, or also if, independently of $v,\left.u\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}=\left.\left(I_{\epsilon} u\right)\right|_{\partial Q_{m}}$ for some $\epsilon$.

Analogously, for every $u$ and $v$ in $H_{\text {symm }}^{\Sigma}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}_{*} \times J\right)^{n} ; \mathcal{H}\right)$, the correct version of (23) is the following generalized integration by parts formula [2]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle u \mid \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \partial_{\ell} v\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left((\mathbb{R} \times J)^{n} ; \mathcal{H}\right)}= & -\left\langle\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \partial_{\ell} u \mid v\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left((\mathbb{R} \times J)^{n} ; \mathcal{H}\right)} \\
& +n \lim _{\epsilon \downarrow 0}\left\{\left.\left\langle\left.\left(I_{\epsilon} u\right)\right|_{\left\{r_{n}=0^{-}\right\}}\right|\left(I_{\epsilon} v\right)\right|_{\left\{r_{n}=0^{-}\right\}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{Z} \otimes L^{2}\left((\mathbb{R} \times J)^{n-1} ; \mathcal{H}\right)} \\
& \left.\left.-\left.\left\langle\left.\left(I_{\epsilon} u\right)\right|_{\left\{r_{n}=0^{+}\right\}}\right|\left(I_{\epsilon} v\right)\right|_{\left\{r_{n}=0^{+}\right\}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{Z} \otimes L^{2}\left((\mathbb{R} \times J)^{n-1} ; \mathcal{H}\right)}\right\} . \tag{23b}
\end{align*}
$$

2. The unbounded operators $a(s)$ and their domains $\mathcal{V}_{s}$ are to be defined just by Eqs. (32) and (25) of [1], which therefore imply that a vector $\Phi$ in $\mathcal{V}_{s}$ needs to have every

3. Proposition 3 can still be proved as in [1], but domain constraints for $a\left(0^{-}\right)$and $a\left(0^{+}\right)$are to be dealt with more carefully. Clearly Eq. (36) can always be extended by linearity and it can also be extended by continuity (bounded convergence) to a vector $\Phi$ in $\mathcal{V}_{0^{ \pm}}$every time there is a sequence of vectors $\Phi_{N}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{0^{ \pm}}$satisfying (36) such that $\Phi_{N} \rightarrow \Phi$ in $\mathcal{K}, E \Phi_{N} \rightarrow E \Phi$ in $\mathcal{K}$ and $a(s) \Phi_{N} \rightarrow a(s) \Phi$ in $\mathfrak{Z} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for $s=0^{-}, 0^{+}$. So the validity of (36) can be extended from $\mathcal{E}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*} ; \mathfrak{Z}\right)\right)$ to $n$-particle vectors in $\operatorname{span}\left\{v^{\otimes n} \otimes h \mid v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*} ; \mathfrak{Z}\right), h \in \mathcal{H}\right\}$ and then to $n$-particle vectors in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*} ; \mathfrak{Z}\right)^{ⓝ} \otimes \mathcal{H}$; thanks to Theorem 4 in [2], since the latter space includes $\mathfrak{D}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{n} ; \mathfrak{Z}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}\right) \cap L_{\text {symm }}^{2}\left((\mathbb{R} \times J)^{n-1} ; \mathcal{H}\right)$, Eq. (36) can be extended also to all $n$-particle vectors belonging to $\mathcal{V}_{0^{ \pm}}$and finally to all vectors in $\mathcal{V}_{0^{ \pm}}$.
4. Proposition 6 can still be proved as in [1], even if only the generalized integration by parts formula (23b) is available. The integration by parts formula is applied to prove that $U_{t} \Phi$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}_{0^{-}}$and with (23b) there is a limit w.r.t. $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ which has to be commuted with the integrations in the scalar products. Such operations can be commuted if the vector $\Upsilon$ in $\mathcal{V}_{0}$ is assumed to have components $\Upsilon_{n}$ vanishing in a neighborhood of all the coordinate hyperedges $\left\{r_{j}=r_{\ell}=0\right\}, j \neq \ell$. Then, thanks to Lemma 8 in [2], this class of vectors is large enough to get the thesis.
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