Mathematische Zeitschrift

Hankel operators induced by radial Bekollé–Bonami weights on Bergman spaces

José Ángel Peláez[1] · Antti Perälä[2] · Jouni Rättyä[3]

Abstract

We study big Hankel operators $H_f^\nu : A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q$ generated by radial Bekollé–Bonami weights ν, when $1 < p \le q < \infty$. Here the radial weight ω is assumed to satisfy a two-sided doubling condition, and A_ω^p denotes the corresponding weighted Bergman space. A characterization for simultaneous boundedness of H_f^ν and $H_{\bar{f}}^\nu$ is provided in terms of a general weighted mean oscillation. Compared to the case of standard weights that was recently obtained by Pau et al. (Indiana Univ Math J 65(5):1639–1673, 2016), the respective spaces depend on the weights ω and ν in an essentially stronger sense. This makes our analysis deviate from the blueprint of this more classical setting. As a consequence of our main result, we also study the case of anti-analytic symbols.

Keywords Hankel operator · Bekollé–Bonami weight · Bergman space · Bergman projection · doubling weight

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 47B35; Secondary 32A36

✉ Antti Perälä
  antti.perala@gu.se

  José Ángel Peláez
  japelaez@uma.es

  Jouni Rättyä
  jouni.rattya@uef.fi

[1] Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain

[2] Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Gothenburg, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

[3] Department of Physics and Mathematics, University of Eastern Finland, P.O.Box 111, 80101 Joensuu, Finland

1 Introduction and main results

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of analytic functions in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. A function $\omega : \mathbb{D} \to [0, \infty)$, integrable over the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, is called a weight. It is radial if $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For $0 < p < \infty$ and a weight ω, the Lebesgue space L_ω^p consists of (equivalence classes of) complex-valued measurable functions f in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) \, dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where $dA(z) = dx \, dy / \pi$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. The weighted Bergman space A_ω^p is the space of analytic functions in L_ω^p. As usual, A_α^p denotes the weighted Bergman space induced by the standard radial weight $(\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$. If ν is a radial weight then A_ν^2 is a closed subspace of L_ν^2, and the orthogonal projection from L_ν^2 to A_ν^2 is given by

$$P_\nu(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{B_z^\nu(\zeta)} \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where B_z^ν are the reproducing kernels of A_ν^2; $f(z) = \langle f, B_z^\nu \rangle_{A_\nu^2}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in A_\nu^2$.

The study of the boundedness of weighted Bergman projections on L^p-spaces is a compelling topic that has attracted a considerable amount of attention during the last decades. A well known result due to Bekollé and Bonami [4,5] describes the weights ω such that the Bergman projection P_η, induced by the standard weight $(\eta + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\eta$, is bounded on L_ω^q for $1 < q < \infty$. We denote this class of weights by $B_q(\eta)$, and write $B_q = \cup_{\eta > -1} B_q(\eta)$ for short. In the case of a standard weight, the Bergman reproducing kernels are given by the neat formula $(1 - \overline{z}\zeta)^{-(2+\eta)}$. However, for a general radial weight ν the Bergman reproducing kernels B_z^ν may have zeros [18] and such explicit formulas for the kernels do not necessarily exist. This is one of the main obstacles in dealing with P_ν [9,16]. Nonetheless, we shall prove in Proposition 6 below that if $\nu \in B_q$ is radial, then $P_\nu : L_\nu^q \to L_\nu^q$ is bounded for each $1 < q < \infty$. The proof of this relies on accurate estimates for the integral means of B_ν^z recently obtained in [16, Theorem 1], and the result itself plays an important role in the proof of the main discovery of this paper.

All the above makes the class of radial weights in B_q an appropriate framework for the study of the big Hankel operator

$$H_f^\nu(g)(z) = (I - P_\nu)(fg)(z), \quad f \in L_\nu^1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

on weighted Bergman spaces. For an analytic function f, the Hankel operator $H_{\overline{f}}^\beta$, induced by a standard projection, has been widely studied on Bergman spaces since the pioneering work of Axler [3], which was later extended in [1]. In the case of a rapidly decreasing weight ν and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, Galanopoulos and Pau [10] did an extensive research on $H_{\overline{f}}^\nu$ on A_ν^2; see [2] for further results. For general symbols, Zhu [21] was the first to build up a bridge between Hankel operators and the mean oscillation of the symbols in the Bergman metric, and this idea has been further developed in several contexts [6–8,22]; see [23] and the references therein for further information on the theory of Hankel operators. More recently, Pau et al. [12] described the complex valued symbols f such that the Hankel operators H_f^β and $H_{\overline{f}}^\beta$ are simultaneously bounded from A_α^p to L_β^q, provided $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. Our primary aim is to extend this last-mentioned result to the context of radial B_q-weights. To do this, some definitions are needed. For a radial weight ω, we assume throughout the paper that $\widehat{\omega}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(s) \, ds > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, for otherwise the Bergman space A_ω^p would contain

all analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. A radial weight ω belongs to the class $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ if there exists a constant $C = C(\omega) > 1$ such that $\widehat{\omega}(r) \leq C\widehat{\omega}(\frac{1+r}{2})$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. Moreover, if there exist $K = K(\omega) > 1$ and $C = C(\omega) > 1$ such that

$$\widehat{\omega}(r) \geq C\widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{1-r}{K}\right), \quad 0 \leq r < 1, \tag{1.1}$$

then $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$. We write $\mathcal{D} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}$ for short. For basic properties of these classes of weights and more, see [13,14] and the references therein. Let $\beta(z, \zeta)$ denote the hyperbolic distance between $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, $\Delta(z, r)$ the hyperbolic disc of center z and radius $r > 0$, and $S(z)$ the Carleson square associated to z. For $0 < p, q < \infty$ and radial weights ω, ν, define

$$\gamma(z) = \gamma_{\omega,\nu,p,q}(z) = \frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{1.2}$$

Further, for $f \in L^1_{\nu,\text{loc}}$, write $\widehat{f}_{r,\nu}(z) = \frac{\int_{\Delta(z,r)} f(\zeta)\nu(\zeta)\,dA(\zeta)}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))}$ and

$$\text{MO}_{\nu,q,r}(f)(z) = \left(\frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \widehat{f}_{r,\nu}(z)|^q \nu(\zeta)\,dA(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. It is worth noticing that for prefixed $r > 0$, the quantity $\nu(\Delta(z, r))$ may equal to zero for some z arbitrarily close to the boundary if $\nu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. However, if $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$, then there exists $r_0 = r_0(\nu) > 0$ such that $\nu(\Delta(z, r)) \asymp \nu(S(z)) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ if $r \geq r_0$. The space $\text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r}$ consists of $f \in L^q_{\nu,\text{loc}}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\text{MO}_{\nu,q,r}(f)(z)\gamma(z)\right) < \infty.$$

We will show that if $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r}$ does not depend on r for $r \geq r_0$. In this case, we simply write $\text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q}$. The main result of this study reads as follows and it will be proved in Sect. 5.

Theorem 1 *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$, $\nu \in B_q$ a radial weight and $f \in L^q_\nu$. Then $H^\nu_f, H^\nu_{\bar{f}} : A^p_\omega \to L^q_\nu$ are bounded if and only if $f \in \text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q}$.*

The approach employed in the proof of this result follows the guideline of [12, Thorem 4.1], however a good number of steps cannot be adapted straightforwardly and need substantial modifications. In Sect. 2 we prove some results concerning the classes of weights involved in this work and the boundedness of the Bergman projection P_ν, while in Sect. 3 we introduce and study two spaces of functions on $\mathbb{D}$. One of them is denoted as $\text{BA}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q}$, and although its initial definition depends on r, it can be described in terms of an appropriate Berezin transform or simply observing that $f \in \text{BA}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q}$ if and only the multiplication operator $M_f(g) = fg$ is bounded from A^p_ω to L^q_ν [15]. The second one, denoted by $\text{BO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q}$, consists of continuous functions on $\mathbb{D}$ such that the oscillation in the Bergman metric is bounded in terms of the auxiliary function γ given in (1.2). We show that $f \in \text{BO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q}$ if and only if

$$|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q}}(1 + \beta(z, \zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

where

$$\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta) = \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\tau+1}{q}} \widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{2|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{v}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

for an appropriate (small) constant $\tau = \tau(\omega, v) > 0$. If ω and v are standard weights, then Γ_τ does not coincide with the function playing the corresponding role in [12, Lemma 3.2]; in the latter case the function is simpler in many aspects and does not depend on the additional parameter τ. Then, we show that

$$\text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,v,p,q} = \text{BA}(\Delta)_{\omega,v,p,q} + \text{BO}(\Delta)_{\omega,v,p,q}. \tag{1.3}$$

In order to prove this decomposition, due to the complex nature of $\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta)$, we are forced to split $\mathbb{D}$ into several regions depending on z, establish sharp estimates for $\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta)$ in each region and then apply properties of weights in $\mathcal{D}$. The identity (1.3) together with a description of the boundedness of the integral operator

$$T_{b,c}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^b}{(1-z\overline{\zeta})^c} \, dA(\zeta)$$

and its maximal counterpart from A_ω^p to L_v^q, see Sect. 4 below, are key tools to prove that each $f \in \text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,v,p,q}$ induces a bounded Hankel operator from A_ω^p to L_v^q. Theorem 1 will be proved in Sect. 5.

Finally, in Sect. 6, as a byproduct of Theorem 1, we describe the analytic symbols such that $H_{\overline{f}} : A_\omega^p \to L_v^q$ is bounded. The space $\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$ consists of $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|(1-|z|)\gamma(z) + |f(0)| < \infty,$$

where γ is given by (1.2).

Theorem 2 *Let* $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$, $v \in B_q$ *a radial weight and* $f \in A_v^1$. *Then* $H_{\overline{f}}^v : A_\omega^p \to L_v^q$ *is bounded if and only if* $f \in \mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$.

Throughout the paper $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Further, the letter $C = C(\cdot)$ will denote an absolute constant whose value depends on the parameters indicated in the parenthesis, and may change from one occurrence to another. We will use the notation $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a constant $C = C(\cdot) > 0$ such that $a \leq Cb$, and $a \gtrsim b$ is understood in an analogous manner. In particular, if $a \lesssim b$ and $a \gtrsim b$, then we will write $a \asymp b$.

2 Auxiliary results

For a radial weight ω, $K > 1$ and $0 \leq r < 1$, let $\rho_n^r = \rho_n^r(\omega, K)$ be defined by $\widehat{\omega}(\rho_n^r) = \widehat{\omega}(r)K^{-n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Write $\rho_n = \rho_n^0$ for short. For $x \geq 1$, write $\omega_x = \int_0^1 r^x \omega(r) \, dr$. Denote

$$\omega^\star(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \log \frac{s}{|z|} \omega(s) s \, ds, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Throughout the proofs we will repeatedly use several basic properties of weights in the classes $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{D}}$. For a proof of the first lemma, see [13, Lemma 2.1]; the second one can be proved by similar arguments.

Lemma A *Let ω be a radial weight. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$;

(ii) *There exist $C = C(\omega) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\widehat{\omega}(r) \le C \left(\frac{1-r}{1-t} \right)^{\beta} \widehat{\omega}(t), \quad 0 \le r \le t < 1;$$

(iii) *There exist $C = C(\omega) > 0$ and $\gamma = \gamma(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{1-t}{1-s} \right)^{\gamma} \omega(s)\,ds \le C\widehat{\omega}(t), \quad 0 \le t < 1;$$

(iv) *There exists $\lambda = \lambda(\omega) \ge 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{dA(z)}{|1 - \overline{\zeta}z|^{\lambda+1}} \asymp \frac{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\lambda}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D};$$

(v) *There exist $K = K(\omega) > 1$ and $C = C(\omega, K) > 1$ such that $1 - \rho_n^r(\omega, K) \ge C(1 - \rho_{n+1}^r(\omega, K))$ for some (equivalently for all) $0 \le r < 1$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

Lemma B *Let ω be a radial weight. Then $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ if and only if there exist $C = C(\omega) > 0$ and $\alpha = \alpha(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\widehat{\omega}(t) \le C \left(\frac{1-t}{1-r} \right)^{\alpha} \widehat{\omega}(r), \quad 0 \le r \le t < 1.$$

Two more results on weights of more general nature than Lemmas A and B are also needed.

Lemma 3 *Let ω be a radial weight. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$;

(ii) *For some (equivalently for each) $v \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a constant $C = C(\omega, v) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)\widehat{v}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\,dt \le C\widehat{v}(r), \quad 0 \le r < 1;$$

(iii) *For some (equivalently for each) $v \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a constant $C = C(\omega, v) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^r \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{v}(t)}\,dt \le \frac{C}{\widehat{v}(r)}, \quad 0 \le r < 1.$$

Proof Let first $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $0 \le r < 1$, and consider $\rho_n^r = \rho_n^r(\omega, K)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then Lemma B, applied to $v \in \mathcal{D} \subset \check{\mathcal{D}}$, and Lemma A(v), applied to ω, imply

$$\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)\widehat{v}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\,dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\rho_n^r}^{\rho_{n+1}^r} \frac{\omega(t)\widehat{v}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\,dt \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{v}(\rho_n^r) \int_{\rho_n^r}^{\rho_{n+1}^r} \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\,dt$$

$$\lesssim \log K \frac{\widehat{v}(\rho_0^r)}{(1-\rho_0^r)^{\beta}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-\rho_n^r)^{\beta}$$

$$\le \widehat{v}(r) \log K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(C^{\beta})^n} = \widehat{v}(r) \log K \frac{C^{\beta}}{C^{\beta}-1}, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

for a suitably fixed $K = K(\omega) > 1$, and thus (ii) is satisfied. Conversely, (ii) implies

$$C\widehat{\nu}(r) \geq \int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\,dt \geq \int_r^{\frac{1+r}{2}} \frac{\omega(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\,dt \geq \widehat{\nu}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)\log\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{\widehat{\omega}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and since $\nu \in \mathcal{D} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis, we deduce $\widehat{\omega}(r) \lesssim \widehat{\omega}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. Thus $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$.

Let $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $0 \leq r < 1$, and consider $\rho_n = \rho_n(\omega, K)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Fix $k = k(\omega, K) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $\rho_k \leq r < \rho_{k+1}$. Then

$$\int_0^r \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}\,dt = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\int_{\rho_n}^{\rho_{n+1}} \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}\,dt + \int_{\rho_k}^r \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}\,dt, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

where, by Lemma B, applied to $\nu \in \mathcal{D} \subset \widecheck{\mathcal{D}}$, and Lemma A(v), applied to ω,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\int_{\rho_n}^{\rho_{n+1}} \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}\,dt \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\widehat{\nu}(\rho_{n+1})}\int_{\rho_n}^{\rho_{n+1}} \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\,dt$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{(1-\rho_k)^\alpha}{\widehat{\nu}(\rho_k)} \frac{1}{(1-\rho_{n+1})^\alpha} \log\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(\rho_n)}{\widehat{\omega}(\rho_{n+1})}\right)$$

$$\leq \log K \frac{(1-\rho_k)^\alpha}{\widehat{\nu}(r)} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(C^\alpha)^{k-1-n}(1-\rho_k)^\alpha}$$

$$\leq \frac{\log K}{\widehat{\nu}(r)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(C^\alpha)^n} = \frac{\log K}{\widehat{\nu}(r)} \frac{C^\alpha}{C^\alpha - 1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some $\alpha = \alpha(\nu) > 0$ and for a suitably fixed $K = K(\omega) > 1$, and similarly,

$$\int_{\rho_k}^r \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}\,dt \leq \frac{1}{\widehat{\nu}(r)} \log\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(\rho_k)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)}\right) \leq \frac{\log K}{\widehat{\nu}(r)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

The statement (iii) follows from these estimates.

Conversely, by replacing r by $\frac{1+r}{2}$ in (iii) we obtain

$$\frac{C}{\widehat{\nu}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)} \geq \int_0^{(1+r)/2} \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}\,dt \geq \int_r^{(1+r)/2} \frac{\omega(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)\widehat{\nu}(t)}\,dt \geq \frac{1}{\widehat{\nu}(r)} \log\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{\widehat{\omega}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and since $\nu \in \mathcal{D} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis, we deduce $\widehat{\omega}(r) \lesssim \widehat{\omega}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. Thus $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4 *Let $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$, and denote $\sigma = \sigma_{\omega,\nu} = \omega\widehat{\nu}/\widehat{\omega}$. Then $\widehat{\sigma} \asymp \widehat{\nu}$ on $[0, 1)$, and hence $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof Lemma 3(ii) implies $\widehat{\sigma} \lesssim \widehat{\nu}$ on $[0, 1)$. The argument used to prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in the said lemma shows that $\widehat{\sigma} \gtrsim \widehat{\nu}$ on $[0, 1)$, provided $\omega \in \widecheck{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus $\widehat{\sigma} \asymp \widehat{\nu}$, and $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$ by Lemmas A(ii) and B. $\qquad\square$

The next lemma says that in many instances concerning A^p-norms we may replace ω by $\widetilde{\omega} = \widehat{\omega}/(1 - |\cdot|)$ if $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$. This result has the flavor of radial Carleson measures and indeed can be established by appealing to the characterization of Carleson measures for the Bergman space A_ω^p induced by $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ given in [15]. That approach requires showing that the involved

weights belong to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, which is of course the case, and thus involves more calculations than the simple proof given below.

Lemma 5 *Let* $0 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ *and* $-\alpha < \kappa < \infty$, *where* $\alpha = \alpha(\omega) > 0$ *is that of Lemma* B. *Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^\kappa \omega(z) \, dA(z) \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{\kappa-1} \widehat{\omega}(z) \, dA(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

(2.1)

Proof The function $(1 - |\cdot|)^{\kappa-1} \widehat{\omega}$ is a weight for each $\kappa > -\alpha$ by Lemma B. Therefore an integration by parts shows that (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} M_p^p(r, f) \left(\int_r^1 (1-t)^\kappa \omega(t) \, dt \right) dr \asymp \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} M_p^p(r, f) \left(\int_r^1 (1-t)^{\kappa-1} \widehat{\omega}(t) \, dt \right) dr.$$

Another integration by parts reveals that both integrals from r to 1 above are bounded by a constant times $\widehat{\omega}(r)(1 - r)^\kappa$. But Lemma A(ii) implies

$$\int_r^1 (1-t)^{\kappa-1} \widehat{\omega}(t) \, dt \gtrsim \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{\beta(\omega)}} \int_r^1 (1-t)^{\kappa-1+\beta(\omega)} \, dt \asymp \widehat{\omega}(r)(1-r)^\kappa, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

and

$$\int_r^1 (1-t)^\kappa \omega(t) \, dt \gtrsim \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{\beta(\omega)}} \int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)(1-t)^{\kappa+\beta(\omega)}}{\widehat{\omega}(t)} \, dt \asymp \widehat{\omega}(r)(1-r)^\kappa, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

by Lemma 4. The assertion follows. □

The last auxiliary results shows that each radial weight in the Bekollé–Bonami class B_q belongs to $\mathcal{D}$, and for each $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$ the maximal Bergman projection

$$P_\nu^+(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) |B_z^\nu(\zeta)| \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

is bounded on L_ν^q. It is worth noticing that obviously $\mathcal{D} \not\subset \cup_{1 < q < \infty} B_q$ because $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$ may vanish on a set of positive measure.

Proposition 6 *Let* $1 < q < \infty$ *and* $\nu \in B_q$ *a radial weight. Then* $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$. *Moreover,* $P_\nu^+ : L_\nu^q \to L_\nu^q$ *is bounded for all* $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof If $\nu \in B_q$, then by [5] there exists $\beta > -1$ such that

$$\left(\int_{S(a)} \nu(z) \, dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{S(a)} \left(\frac{(1-|z|)^\beta}{\nu(z)} \right)^{\frac{q'}{q}} (1-|z|)^\beta \, dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \lesssim (1-|a|)^{(2+\beta)}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since ν is radial, this condition easily implies $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let now $1 < q < \infty$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$, and define $h = \widehat{\nu}^{-\frac{1}{qq'}}$. Then $\int_t^1 h(s)^{q'} \nu(s) \, ds \asymp \widehat{\nu}(t)^{\frac{1}{q'}}$ for all $0 \le t < 1$. Therefore Lemma B yields

$$\int_0^r \frac{\int_t^1 h(s)^{q'} \nu(s) \, ds}{\widehat{\nu}(t)(1-t)} \, dt \asymp \int_0^r \frac{dt}{\widehat{\nu}(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1-t)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\widehat{\nu}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}} = h^{q'}(r), \quad 0 \le r < 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, by symmetry, (2.2) with q' in place of q is satisfied. Since $\nu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, we may apply [16, Theorem 1] and (2.2) to deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |B_z^{\nu}(\zeta)| h^{p'}(\zeta) \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta) \lesssim h^{p'}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |B_z^{\nu}(\zeta)| h^{p}(z) \nu(z) \, dA(z) \lesssim h^{p}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It follows from Schur's test [23, Theorem 3.6] that the maximal Bergman projection P_{ν}^{+} : $L_{\nu}^{p} \to L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded. □

3 Some spaces of functions

Recall that

$$\gamma(z) = \gamma_{\omega,\nu,p,q}(z) = \frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}} (1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}} (1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{3.1}$$

and $\widehat{f}_{r,\nu}(z) = \frac{\int_{\Delta(z,r)} f(\zeta)\nu(\zeta)\,dA(\zeta)}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))}$ for $f \in L_{\nu,\text{loc}}^{1}$, and

$$\text{MO}_{\nu,q,r}(f)(z) = \left(\frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \widehat{f}_{r,\nu}(z)|^{q} \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$, then by the definition there exist $K = K(\nu) > 1$ and $C = C(\nu) > 1$ such that

$$\int_{r}^{1 - \frac{1-r}{K}} \nu(s) \, ds \geq (C-1)\widehat{\nu}\left(1 - \frac{1-r}{K}\right) > 0, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

It follows that there exists $r_{\nu} \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\nu(\Delta(z,r)) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ if $r \geq r_{\nu}$.

The space $\text{BMO}(\Delta) = \text{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r}$ consists of $f \in L_{\nu,\text{loc}}^{q}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\Delta)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\text{MO}_{\nu,q,r}(f)(z)\gamma(z) \right) < \infty.$$

The following lemma is easy to establish; see [12, Lemma 3.1] for a similar result.

Lemma 7 *Let* $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, *ω a radial weight, $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ and $r_{\nu} \leq r < \infty$. Then*

$$\text{MO}_{\nu,q,r}(f)(z) \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \lambda|^{q} \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \ f \in L_{\nu}^{q},$$

and therefore $f \in L_{\nu}^{q}$ *belongs to* $\text{BMO}(\Delta)$ *if and only if for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$ there exists $\lambda_z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\gamma(z)^{q}}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \lambda_z|^{q} \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta) \right) < \infty.$$

For $0 < p, q < \infty$, $0 \leq \tau < \infty$ and radial weights ω, ν, let

$$\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) = \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\tau+1}{q}} \widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{2|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.2)$$

with the understanding that $\widehat{\omega}(t) = \widehat{\omega}(0)$ when $t < 0$. The following lemma explains the behavior of Γ_τ near the diagonal.

Lemma 8 *Let $0 < p, q, r < \infty$, $0 \leq \tau < \infty$ and $\omega, \nu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. Then*

$$\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) \asymp \gamma(z)^{-1} \asymp \gamma(\zeta)^{-1}, \quad \beta(z, \zeta) \leq r.$$

Proof Clearly

$$|1 - \overline{z}\zeta| \asymp 1 - |z| \asymp 1 - |\zeta|, \quad \beta(z, \zeta) \leq r,$$

and hence there exist $0 < m_r < 1 < M_r < \infty$ such that

$$m_r(1 - |z|) \leq \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \leq M_r(1 - |z|), \quad \beta(z, \zeta) \leq r.$$

Since $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis, and $\widehat{\omega}(t) = \widehat{\omega}(0)$ for $t < 0$, Lemma A(ii) implies

$$\widehat{\omega}(z) \leq \frac{C}{m_r^\beta}\widehat{\omega}(1 - m_r(1 - |z|)) \leq \frac{C}{m_r^\beta}\widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}}\right), \quad \beta(z, \zeta) \leq r,$$

and

$$\widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}}\right) \leq C M_r^\beta \widehat{\omega}(1 - M_r(1 - |z|)) \leq C M_r^\beta \widehat{\omega}(z), \quad \beta(z, \zeta) \leq r,$$

for some $C = C(\omega) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$. Further, $\widehat{\nu}(z) \asymp \widehat{\nu}(\zeta)$ and $\widehat{\omega}(z) \asymp \widehat{\omega}(\zeta)$ if $\beta(z, \zeta) \leq r$ by Lemma A(ii). The assertion follows from these estimates. $\qquad\square$

For continuous $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < r < \infty$, define

$$\Omega_r f(z) = \sup\{|f(z) - f(\zeta)| : \beta(z, \zeta) < r\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and let $\mathrm{BO}(\Delta) = \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)_{\omega, \nu, p, q, r}$ denote the space of those f such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}}(\Omega_r f(z)\gamma(z)) < \infty.$$

Lemma 9 shows that the space $\mathrm{BO}(\Delta) = \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)_{\omega, \nu, p, q, r}$ is independent of r.

Lemma 9 *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $\omega, \nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\gamma(z) = \gamma_{\omega, \nu, p, q}(z) = \frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$. Let $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be continuous, and $0 < \tau < \min\{q\alpha(\omega)/p, \alpha(\nu)\}$, where $\alpha(\nu)$ and $\alpha(\omega)$ are those from Lemma B. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$;

(ii) $|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)}(1 + \beta(z, \zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta)$ for all $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proof Lemma 8 shows that (ii) implies (i). For the converse, assume (i), that is,

$$|f(z) - f(\zeta)|\gamma(z) \le \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)}, \quad \beta(z, \zeta) < r. \tag{3.3}$$

The estimate (ii) for $\beta(z, \zeta) \le r$ then follows from Lemma 8. If $\beta(z, \zeta) > r$, let $N = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \le \beta(z, \zeta)/r + 1\}$, and pick up $N + 1$ points from the geodesic joining z and ζ such that $\beta(z_j, z_{j+1}) = \beta(z, \zeta)/N < r$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, N - 1$. Then, as the hyperbolic distance is additive along geodesics, (3.3) yields

$$|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \le \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |f(z_j) - f(z_{j+1})| \le \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z_j)}{\widehat{\nu}(z_j)} (1 - |z_j|)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}.$$

Next, observe that

$$1 - |z_j| \le \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, N; \tag{3.4}$$

see the proof of [12, Lemma 3.2] for details. This together with the inequality $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \ge 0$ gives

$$|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \le \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)} \left(\frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z_j)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(z_j)^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

$$= \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)} \left(\frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z_j)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1 - |z_j|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}} \frac{(1 - |z_j|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}}{\widehat{\nu}(z_j)^{\frac{1}{q}}}.$$

The election of τ together with Lemma B shows that the functions $\widehat{\omega}(r)/(1-r)^{\frac{p\tau}{q}}$ and $\widehat{\nu}(r)/(1-r)^{\tau}$ are essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$. Therefore the inequalities (3.4) and $|z_j| \le \max\{|z|, |\zeta|\}$ yield

$$|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)} \left(\frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\tau+1}{q}}$$

$$\cdot \widehat{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(1 - |z_j|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}}{\widehat{\nu}(z_j)^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)} \Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) N \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}(\Delta)} (1 + \beta(z, \zeta)) \Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta), \quad \beta(z, \zeta) > r.$$

Therefore (ii) is satisfied. □

For $0 < p, q < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$ and radial weights ω, ν, the space $\mathrm{BA}(\Delta) = \mathrm{BA}(\Delta)_{\omega, \nu, p, q, r}$ consists of $f \in L^q_{\nu, \mathrm{loc}}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BA}(\Delta)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z, r))} \int_{\Delta(z, r)} |f(\zeta)|^q \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \gamma(z) \right) < \infty.$$

For $c, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and a radial weight ν, the general Berezin transform of $\varphi \in L^1_{\nu(1-|\cdot|)^\sigma}$ is defined by

$$B(\varphi)(z) = B_{\nu, c, \sigma}(\varphi)(z) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{c+1}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \varphi(\zeta) \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\sigma}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The next lemma shows, in particular, that the space $BA(\Delta) = BA(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r}$ is independent of r as long as r is sufficiently large depending on $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 10 *Let* $0 < p \le q < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$ *and* $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$, $\gamma(z) = \gamma_{\omega,\nu,p,q}(z) = \dfrac{\widehat{\nu}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$. *If* $f \in L_\nu^q$, *then the following statements are equivalent:*

  (i) *There exists* $r_0 = r_0(\nu) > 0$ *such that* $f \in BA(\Delta) = BA(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r}$ *for all* $r \ge r_0$;
  (ii) $|f|^q \nu dA$ *is a* q-*Carleson measure for* A_ω^p;
  (iii) *The identity operator* $Id : A_\omega^p \to L_{|f|^q \nu}^q$ *is bounded;*
  (iv) *The multiplication operator* $M_f(g) = fg$ *is bounded from* A_ω^p *to* L_ν^q;
  (v) $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \gamma(z)^q B(|f|^q)(z) < \infty$ *for all* $\sigma > 1 - \frac{q}{p}(1+\alpha)$ *and* $c > \max\{-1 - \sigma, \frac{q}{p}(1+\beta) - 2\}$, *where* $\alpha = \alpha(\omega) > 0$ *and* $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ *are those of Lemmas A(ii) and B.*

Proof It is obvious that (ii), (iii) and (iv) are equivalent by the definitions. Assume (ii) is satisfied, that is,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\zeta)|^q |f(\zeta)|^q \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}, \quad g \in A_\omega^p. \tag{3.5}$$

For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, let $g_z(\zeta) = \left(\dfrac{1-|z|}{1-\bar{z}\zeta}\right)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{p}}$, where $\lambda = \lambda(\omega) > 0$ is that of Lemma A(iv). Further, since $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis, there exists $r_\nu \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\nu(\Delta(z,r)) > 0$ for all $r \ge r_\nu$. For $g = g_z$ and $r \ge r_\nu$, (3.5) yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta)|^q \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \frac{\|g_z\|_{A_\omega^p}}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))^{\frac{1}{q}}} \lesssim \frac{(\widehat{\omega}(z)(1-|z|))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

But since $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$, applications of Lemmas A(ii) and B show that

$$\nu(\Delta(z,r)) \asymp \widehat{\nu}(z)(1-|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{3.6}$$

if r is sufficiently large. It follows that $f \in BA(\Delta) = BA(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r}$ for all such r, and thus (i) is satisfied.

Conversely, if (i) is satisfied, then by using (3.6) we deduce

$$\left(\int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta)|^q \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Therefore $|f|^q \nu dA$ is a q-Carleson measure for A_ω^p by [17, Theorem 3].

By integrating only over $\Delta(z,r)$ in (v) and using (3.6) we obtain (i) from (v). To complete the proof of the lemma, it remains to show the converse implication. To do this, pick up a sequence $\{a_j\}$ and $0 < r < \infty$ in accordance with [23, Lemma 4.7], and observe that $\widehat{\omega}$ is essentially constant in each hyperbolically bounded region by Lemma A(ii). Then by using (3.6), the hypothesis (i), the election of c and σ, and finally Lemmas A(ii) and B, we deduce

$$\frac{\widehat{v}(z)B(|f|^q)(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{c+1}} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta(a_j,r)} |f(\zeta)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|a_j|^2)^{\sigma}}{|1-z\overline{a_j}|^{2+c+\sigma}} \int_{\Delta(a_j,r)} |f(\zeta)|^q v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|a_j|^2)^{\sigma+1}\widehat{v}(a_j)}{|1-z\overline{a_j}|^{2+c+\sigma} v(\Delta(a_j,r))} \int_{\Delta(a_j,r)} |f(\zeta)|^q v(\zeta) dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|a_i|^2)^{\sigma+1}\widehat{v}(a_i)}{|1-z\overline{a_i}|^{2+c+\sigma} \gamma(a_i)^q} \asymp \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|a_j|^2)^{\sigma+\frac{q}{p}}\widehat{\omega}(a_j)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{|1-z\overline{a_j}|^{2+c+\sigma}}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|u|^2)^{\sigma+\frac{q}{p}-2}\widehat{\omega}(u)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{|1-z\overline{u}|^{2+c+\sigma}}\, dA(u)$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{(1-t)^{c+3-\frac{q}{p}}}\, dt + \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{c+\sigma+1}} \int_{|z|}^1 (1-t)^{\sigma+\frac{q}{p}-2}\widehat{\omega}(t)^{\frac{q}{p}}\, dt$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\widehat{\omega}(|z|)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{(1-|z|)^{c+2-\frac{q}{p}}} \asymp \frac{\widehat{v}(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and thus (v) is satisfied.   □

With these preparations we are ready to show that $\mathrm{BMO}(\Delta) = \mathrm{BA}(\Delta) + \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$. This follows from the case (ii) of the next theorem.

Theorem 11 *Let* $1 \le p \le q < \infty$, $\omega, v \in \mathcal{D}$, $\gamma(z) = \gamma_{\omega,v,p,q}(z) = \dfrac{\widehat{v}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ *and* $f \in L_v^q$. *Further, let* $r \ge r_v$, $\sigma > 0$ *and*

$$c > 2\frac{q}{p}(\beta(\omega) + 1) + \sigma + \max\{2\beta(v), \gamma(v)\},$$

where $\beta(\omega), \beta(v), \gamma(v) > 0$ *are associated to* v *and* ω *via Lemma* A*(ii), (iii). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists* $r_0 = r_0(v) \ge r_v$ *such that* $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta) = \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,v,p,q,r}$ *for all* $r \ge r_0$;

(ii) $f = f_1 + f_2$, *where* $f_1 \in \mathrm{BA}(\Delta)$ *and* $f_2 = \widehat{f_{r,v}} \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$;

(iii) $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(B(|f - \widehat{f_{r,v}}(z)|^q)\gamma(z)^q \right) < \infty$;

(iv) *For each* $z \in \mathbb{D}$ *there exists* $\lambda_z \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(B(|f - \lambda_z|^q)\gamma(z)^q \right) < \infty$.

Proof Obviously, (iii) implies (iv). Next assume (iv). The relation (3.6) shows that there exists $r_0 = r_0(v) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{v(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \lambda_z|^q v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta) - \lambda_z|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad r_0 \le r < \infty,$$

which together with Lemma 7 shows that (i) is satisfied.

Assume now (i), and let $f_2 = \widehat{f_{r,v}}$. Since $f \in L_v^q$, $q \ge 1$ and $r \ge r_v$, the function f_2 is well defined and continuous. Since $\omega, v \in \mathcal{D}$ by the hypothesis, one may use Lemmas A(ii)

and B together with the argument in [12, 1651–1652] with minor modifications to show that $f_2 = \widehat{f}_{r,\nu} \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$ and $f_1 = f - \widehat{f}_{r,\nu} \in \mathrm{BA}(\Delta)$. Thus (ii) is satisfied.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that (ii) implies (iii), so assume $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in \mathrm{BA}(\Delta)$ and $f_2 = \widehat{f}_{r,\nu} \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$. Since $\widehat{f}_{r,\nu} = \widehat{f}_{1r,\nu} + \widehat{f}_{2r,\nu}$, it suffices to prove the condition in (iii) for f_1 and f_2 separately. First observe that by Lemma A(iii) the constant function 1 satisfies

$$B(1)(z) \lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \left(\int_0^{|z|} \frac{\nu(t)}{(1-t)^{1+c}} \, dt + \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{1+c+\sigma}} \int_{|z|}^1 (1-t)^\sigma \nu(t) \, dt \right) \lesssim 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

because $c > \max\{\gamma(\nu), \sigma\} - 1$ by the hypothesis. This together with Hölder's inequality and Lemma 10 yields

$$B\left(|f_1 - \widehat{f}_{1r,\nu}(z)|^q \right) \gamma(z)^q \lesssim \left(B(|f_1|^q)(z) + |\widehat{f}_{1r,\nu}(z)|^q \right) \gamma(z)^q$$

$$\leq \left(B(|f_1|^q)(z) + \widehat{|f_1|^q}_{r,\nu}(z) \right) \gamma(z)^q \lesssim 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and thus (iii) for $f_1 \in \mathrm{BA}(\Delta)$ is satisfied.

To deal with $f_2 \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$, pick up τ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 9. Then

$$|f_2(\zeta) - \widehat{f}_{2r,\nu}(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} (f_2(\zeta) - f_2(u))\nu(u) \, dA(u) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f_2(\zeta) - f_2(u)|\nu(u) \, dA(u)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} (1 + \beta(\zeta,u))\Gamma_\tau(\zeta,u)\nu(u) \, dA(u)$$

$$\lesssim (1 + \beta(z,\zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta), \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

because $\Gamma_\tau(\zeta,u) \asymp \Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)$ for all $u \in \Delta(z,r)$ by Lemma A(ii); see the proof of Lemma 8 for similar estimates. Hence it suffices to show that

$$\frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(1 + \beta(z,\zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\sigma}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} \nu(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta) \lesssim 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{3.7}$$

to obtain (iii) for $f_2 \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$. The proof of (3.7) is involved and will be divided into four separate cases. Before dealing with each case, we observe that since $\beta(z,\zeta)$ grows logarithmically, we may pick up $0 < \delta < \min\left\{\sigma, \frac{q}{p}\beta(\omega) + \beta(\nu) + \frac{\sigma}{2}\right\}$ and a constant $C = C(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$1 + \beta(z,\zeta) \leq C |(1 - |\varphi_z(\zeta)|)^{-\frac{\delta}{q}} = C \left(\frac{|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{(1-|z|)(1-|\zeta|)} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{q}}, \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{3.8}$$

Case 1 If

$$\zeta \in D_1(z) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - \frac{2|1 - z\overline{w}|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \leq 0 \right\},$$

then $1 - |z| \lesssim |1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^2$ and

$$
\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)^q \leq \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2,1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}-\tau-1}}{\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{v}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}} \widehat{\omega}(0)^{\frac{q}{p}} \lesssim \left(\frac{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^2}{1-|z|^2}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}-\tau-1} \frac{(1-|z|)^\tau}{\widehat{v}(z)} \chi_{D(0,|z|)}(\zeta)
$$

$$
+ \left(\frac{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^2}{1-|z|^2}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}-\tau-1} \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)} \chi_{\mathbb{D}\setminus D(0,|z|)}(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in D_1(z),
$$

because of how τ is chosen in Lemma 9. Therefore (3.8) together with Lemmas A(ii) and 3 (ii) yields

$$
\frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{D_1(z)} |(1+\beta(z,\zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\sigma}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+2+2\tau-\delta-\frac{q}{p}}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)^2} \int_{D_1(z)\cap D(0,|z|)} \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-\delta}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{4+c+\sigma-2\left(\frac{q}{p}+\delta-\tau\right)}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)
$$

$$
+ \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+2+\tau-\delta-\frac{q}{p}}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{D_1(z)\setminus D(0,|z|)} \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-\delta+\tau}}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{4+c+\sigma-2\left(\frac{q}{p}+\delta-\tau\right)}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{\frac{c}{2}+\tau-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)^2} \int_0^{|z|} (1-s)^{\sigma-\delta} v(s)\, ds
$$

$$
+ \frac{(1-|z|)^{\frac{c}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{|z|}^1 (1-s)^{\sigma-\delta+\tau} \frac{v(s)}{\widehat{v}(s)}\, ds
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{\frac{c}{2}+\tau-\frac{\sigma}{2}+1-\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{v}(z)\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}} + \frac{(1-|z|)^{\frac{c}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{2}+1+\tau-\delta-\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}}
$$

$$
\lesssim (1-|z|)^{\frac{c}{2}+\tau-\frac{\sigma}{2}+1-\frac{q}{p}-\beta(v)-\frac{q}{p}\beta(\omega)} \lesssim 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

where the last estimate is an immediate consequence of the choices of c and δ.

Case 2 If

$$
\zeta \in D_2(z) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - \frac{2|1-z\overline{w}|^2}{1-|z|^2} \geq |z| \geq |w| \right\},
$$

then $|1 - z\overline{\zeta}| \asymp 1 - |z|^2 \leq 1 - |\zeta|^2$, which together the fact that $\frac{\widehat{v}(t)}{(1-t)^\tau}$ and $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{\tau\frac{p}{q}}}$ are essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$ gives

$$
\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)^q \lesssim \gamma(z)^{-q}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in D_2(z).
$$

Therefore (3.8) and Lemma A(iii) yield

$$
\frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{D_2(z)} |(1+\beta(z,\zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\sigma}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1-\delta}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{D_2(z)} \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-\delta}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma-2\delta}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1-\delta}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_0^{|z|} \frac{v(r)}{(1-r)^{c+1-\delta}}\, dr \lesssim 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.
$$

Case 3 If

$$\zeta \in D_3(z) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{D} : \min\left\{ 1 - \frac{2|1 - z\overline{w}|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, |w| \right\} \geq |z| \right\},$$

then $|1 - z\overline{\zeta}| \asymp 1 - |z|^2 \geq 1 - |\zeta|^2$, which together the fact that $\frac{\widehat{v}(t)}{(1-t)^\tau}$ and $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{\tau \frac{p}{q}}}$ are essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$ implies

$$\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta)^q \lesssim \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{q}{p} - 1}}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in D_3(z).$$

Therefore (3.8) and Lemma 3(ii) imply

$$\frac{(1 - |z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{D_3(z)} |(1 + \beta(z, \zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta)|^q \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\sigma}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim (1 - |z|)^{c+1-\delta} \int_{D_3(z)} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-\delta}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma-2\delta}} \frac{v(\zeta)}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim (1 - |z|)^{\delta-\sigma} \int_{|z|}^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{\sigma-\delta} v(s)}{\widehat{v}(s)}\, ds \lesssim 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Case 4 If

$$\zeta \in D_4(z) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - \frac{2|1 - z\overline{w}|^2}{1 - |z|^2} < |z| \right\},$$

then Lemma A(ii) gives

$$\widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{2|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^2}{1 - |z|^2}\right) \lesssim \left(\frac{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|}{1 - |z|}\right)^{2\beta(\omega)} \widehat{\omega}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in D_4(z),$$

and hence

$$\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta)^q \lesssim \left(\frac{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|}{1 - |z|}\right)^{2\beta(\omega)\frac{q}{p}} \widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\left(\frac{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^2}{1 - |\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{q}{p} - \tau - 1} \frac{(1 - |z|)^\tau}{\widehat{v}(z)} \chi_{D(0,|z|)}(\zeta)\right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^2}{1 - |z|}\right)^{\frac{q}{p} - \tau - 1} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|)^\tau}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)} \chi_{\mathbb{D}\setminus D(0,|z|)}(\zeta)\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in D_4(z).$$

Therefore (3.8) and Lemmas A(iii) and 3 (ii) yield

$$\frac{(1 - |z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{D_4(z)} |(1 + \beta(z, \zeta))\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta)|^q \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^\sigma}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{(1 - |z|)^{c+2-\delta-\frac{q}{p}-2\beta(\omega)\frac{q}{p}+\tau}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_{D_4(z)\cap D(0,|z|)} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-\delta-\frac{q}{p}+\tau+1}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{4+c+\sigma-2\delta-2\beta(\omega)\frac{q}{p}-2\frac{q}{p}+2\tau}} v(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$+ (1 - |z|)^{c+2-\delta-\frac{q}{p}-2\beta(\omega)\frac{q}{p}-\frac{q}{p}+\tau+1} \int_{D_4(z)\setminus D(0,|z|)} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|)^{\sigma-\delta+\tau}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{4+c+\sigma-2\delta-2\beta(\omega)\frac{q}{p}-2\frac{q}{p}+2\tau}} \frac{v(\zeta)}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{(1 - |z|)^{c+2-\delta-\frac{q}{p}-2\beta(\omega)\frac{q}{p}+\tau}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \int_0^{|z|} \frac{v(r)}{(1 - r)^{2+c-\delta-2\beta(\omega)\frac{q}{p}-\frac{q}{p}+\tau}}\, dr$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^{\sigma-\delta+\tau}} \int_{|z|}^1 \frac{(1 - r)^{\sigma-\delta+\tau} v(r)}{\widehat{v}(r)}\, dr \lesssim 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since $\mathbb{D} = \cup_{j=1}^{4} D_j(z)$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, by combining the four cases we obtain (3.7). Thus (ii) implies (iii), and the proof is complete. $\qquad\square$

4 Boundedness of integral operators

In order to deal with the boundedness of Hankel operators, we need a technical result concerning certain integral operators. For $f \in L_b^1$ and $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$T_{b,c}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^b}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^c} \, dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and

$$S_{b,c}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^b}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^c} \, dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In the analytic case the operator $T_{b,c}$ can be interpreted as a fractional differentiation or integration depending on the parameters b and c [20]. The boundedness of these operator between L^p spaces induced by standard weights has been characterized in [19].

Lemma A(ii) shows that for $\eta \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ there exists a constant $c_0 = c_0(\sigma) > 1$ such that hypotheses (i) and (ii) of the next lemma are satisfied for all $c \geq c_0$.

Lemma 12 *Let* $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $b > -1$, $c > 1$ *and* $\sigma, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$ *such that*

(i) $\displaystyle \int_r^1 \frac{(1 - t)^{c-2}}{\widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \, dt \lesssim \frac{(1 - r)^{c-1}}{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1;$

(ii) $\displaystyle \int_0^r \frac{\eta(t)}{(1 - t)^{\frac{cq}{p} - 1} \widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{p'}}} \, dt \lesssim \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1 - r)^{\frac{cq}{p} - 1}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $S_{b,c} : A_\sigma^p \to L_\eta^q$ *is bounded;*
2. $T_{b,c} : A_\sigma^p \to L_\eta^q$ *is bounded;*
3. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} (1 - r)^{2 + b - c + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \dfrac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} < \infty.$

Proof Obviously (1) implies (2). Assume now (2), and for each $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_{\zeta,N} \in H^\infty$ by $f_{\zeta,N}(z) = \dfrac{z^N}{\sigma(S(\zeta))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\dfrac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{1 - \bar{\zeta} z} \right)^{2 + b + N}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. By differentiating the reproducing formula of A_b^2 we obtain

$$g^{(N)}(z) = M_1 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\bar{u}^N g(u)(1 - |u|^2)^b}{(1 - \bar{u}z)^{2+b+N}} \, dA(u), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad g \in A_b^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where $M_1 = M_1(N, b) > 0$ is a constant. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{b,c}(f_{\zeta,N})(z) &= \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{2+b+N}}{\sigma(S(\zeta))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{u^N (1 - |u|^2)^b}{(1 - u\bar{\zeta})^{2+b+N}(1 - \bar{u}z)^c} \, dA(u) \\
&= \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{2+b+N}}{\sigma(S(\zeta))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\bar{u}^N (1 - |u|^2)^b}{(1 - \zeta\bar{u})^{2+b+N}(1 - \bar{z}u)^c} \, dA(u) \\
&= M_2 \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{2+b+N}}{\sigma(S(\zeta))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{z^N}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^{c+N}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_2 = M_2(b, c, N) > 0$. Fix $N > \max\left\{\frac{\lambda(\eta)+1}{q} - c, \frac{\lambda(\sigma)+1}{p} - b - 2\right\}$. Then Lemma A(iv) gives $\|f_{\zeta,N}\|_{L^p_\sigma} \asymp 1$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\eta(z)}{|1 - \overline{\zeta}z|^{(c+N)q}} \, dA(z) \asymp \frac{\eta(S(\zeta))}{(1 - |\zeta|)^{(c+N)q}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Therefore (2) yields

$$\infty > \|f_{\zeta,N}\|_{L^p_\sigma}^q \gtrsim \|T_{b,c}(f_{\zeta,N})\|_{L^q_\eta}^q \asymp \left(\frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{2+b+N}}{\sigma(S(\zeta))^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^q \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\eta(z)}{|1 - \overline{\zeta}z|^{(c+N)q}} \, dA(z)$$

$$\asymp (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{q(2+b-c)} \frac{\eta(S(\zeta))}{\sigma(S(\zeta))^{\frac{q}{p}}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

thus (3) holds.

Assume (3) holds and let $h(\zeta) = \widehat{\sigma}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{pp'}} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\frac{b}{p} + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\frac{1}{p'}}$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Then Hölder's inequality yields

$$|S_{b,c}f(z)| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p \frac{dA(\zeta)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^c}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^b}{h(\zeta)}\right)^{p'} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^c}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

$$= I_1(z)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot I_2(z)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

where

$$I_2(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{b - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^c \widehat{\sigma}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \, dA(\zeta) \asymp \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - r)^{b - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 - r|z|)^{c-1}} \, dr$$

$$= \int_0^{|z|} \frac{(1 - r)^{b - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 - r|z|)^{c-1}} \, dr + \int_{|z|}^1 \frac{(1 - r)^{b - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 - r|z|)^{c-1}} \, dr = J^{|z|} + J_{|z|}.$$

Lemma B together with the assumption (3) yields

$$J^{|z|} \leq \int_0^{|z|} \frac{(1 - r)^{b - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + 1 - c}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \, dr \lesssim \int_0^{|z|} \frac{dr}{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}} (1 - r)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\widehat{\eta}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

since $\eta \in \mathcal{D} \subset \check{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis. In a similar fashion, (3) together with the hypothesis (i) gives

$$J_{|z|} \leq \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^{c-1}} \int_{|z|}^1 \frac{(1 - r)^{b - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \, dr \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^{c-1}} \int_{|z|}^1 \frac{(1 - r)^{c-2}}{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \, dr \lesssim \frac{1}{\widehat{\eta}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and hence $I_2(z) \lesssim \widehat{\eta}(z)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. This estimate and Minkowski's integral inequality (Fubini's theorem in the case $q = p$) now yield

$$\|S_{b,c}(f)\|_{L^q_\eta}^p \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p \frac{dA(\zeta)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^c}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{\eta(z)}{\widehat{\eta}(z)^{\frac{1}{p'}}} \, dA(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p \widetilde{\sigma}(\zeta) I_3(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta),$$

where

$$I_3(\zeta) = \frac{h(\zeta)^p}{\widetilde{\sigma}(\zeta)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\eta(z)\,dA(z)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{\frac{cq}{p}}\,\widehat{\eta}(z)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \asymp \frac{h(\zeta)^p}{\widetilde{\sigma}(\zeta)}\left(\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(r)}{(1-r|\zeta|)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}\,\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\,dr\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

Since

$$\int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\eta(r)}{(1-r|\zeta|)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}\,\widehat{\eta}(r)^{1/p'}}\,dr \leq \int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\eta(r)}{(1-r)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}\,\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\,dr \lesssim \frac{\widehat{\eta}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

by the hypothesis (ii), and

$$\int_{|\zeta|}^1 \frac{\eta(r)}{(1-r|\zeta|)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}\,\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\,dr \leq \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}}\int_{|\zeta|}^1 \frac{\eta(r)}{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\,dr \asymp \frac{\widehat{\eta}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

we deduce

$$I_3(\zeta) \lesssim (1-|\zeta|)^{2+b-c+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\,\frac{\widehat{\eta}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \lesssim 1, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

by the assumption (3). It follows that $\|S_{b,c}(f)\|_{L_\eta^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{A_\sigma^p}$. This finishes the proof because $\|f\|_{A_{\widetilde{\sigma}}^p} \asymp \|f\|_{A_\sigma^p}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ by Lemma 5 provided $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$.   □

5 Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove the sufficiency part of Theorem 1 we shall use the next result which follows from the argument used in the proof of [12, Lemma 4.5].

Lemma 13 *Let* $1 < q < \infty$ *and* v, ω *weights such that* $P_\omega : L_v^q \to L_v^q$ *is bounded. Then*

$$\|H_f^v(g)\|_{L_v^q}^q \leq (1 + \|P_\omega\|_{L_v^q \to L_v^q})\|H_f^\omega(g)\|_{L_v^q}^q, \quad f \in L_v^q, \quad g \in H^\infty.$$

Proposition 14 *Let* $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $v \in B_q$ *a radial weight and* $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$. *If* $f \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$, *then* $H_f^v : A_\omega^p \to L_v^q$ *is bounded.*

Proof By [5] there exists a constant $s_0 = s_0(v) > -1$ such that $P_s : L_v^q \to L_v^q$ is bounded for each $s > s_0$. Let $0 < \tau < \min\{q\alpha(\omega)/p, \alpha(v)\}$, where $\alpha(v)$ and $\alpha(\omega)$ are those from Lemma B. Then Lemmas 9 and 13 yield

$$\|H_f^v(g)\|_{L_v^q}^q \lesssim \|H_f^s(g)\|_{L_v^q}^q \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(z)-f(\zeta)||g(\zeta)|}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s}}(1-|\zeta|^2)^s\,dA(\zeta)\right)^q v(z)\,dA(z)$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\zeta)|\frac{(\beta(z,\zeta)+1)\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s}}(1-|\zeta|^2)^s\,dA(\zeta)\right)^q v(z)\,dA(z), \quad g \in H^\infty.$$

Let $s > \max\{s_0, 2(\beta(\omega)+\beta(v)+2\alpha(v))\}$, $\delta < \min\{\frac{\tau}{q}, \frac{\alpha(v)}{q}\}$ and $K > 1$ to be fixed later. Then applying (3.8), we get

$$\|H_f^v(g)\|_{L_v^q}^q \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\int_{\Omega_j(z)} |g(\zeta)|\frac{\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta)\,dA(\zeta)}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s-2\delta}(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\delta-s}}\right)^q \frac{v(z)}{(1-|z|)^{q\delta}}\,dA(z) \tag{5.1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^5 I_j(g),$$

where

$$\Omega_1(z) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{D} : \frac{1}{|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2} \leq \frac{2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \right\} \cap D(0, |z|),$$

$$\Omega_2(z) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{D} : \frac{1}{|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2} \leq \frac{2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \right\} \cap (\mathbb{D}\backslash D(0, |z|)),$$

$$\Omega_3(z, K) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{D} : \frac{1 - |\zeta|}{K} \geq \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} \right\},$$

$$\Omega_4(z, K) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{D} : \frac{1 - |\zeta|}{K} < \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} < 1 \right\} \cap D(0, |z|),$$

$$\Omega_5(z, K) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{D} : \frac{1 - |\zeta|}{K} < \frac{2|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1 - |z|^2, 1 - |\zeta|^2\}} < 1 \right\} \cap (\mathbb{D}\backslash D(0, |z|)).$$

The quantities $I_j(g)$, $j = 1, \ldots, 5$, will be estimated separately.

Case $I_1(g)$ By using the definition of $\Omega_1(z)$, and the fact that $\frac{\widehat{v}(x)}{(1-x)^\tau}$ is essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$ we deduce

$$\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) \lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}}{\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{v}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}} \lesssim \left(\frac{|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{1 - |\zeta|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{(1 - |z|)^\tau}{\widehat{v}(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in \Omega_1(z).$$

Then the estimate

$$M_1(r, f) \leq M_p(r, f) \lesssim \|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \tag{5.2}$$

and Lemma 3(ii) yield

$$I_1(g) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\Omega_1(z)} |g(\zeta)| \frac{(1 - |\zeta|)^{s - \delta - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}}{|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^{2 + s - 2\delta - 2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)}} \, dA(\zeta)\right)^q \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|)^{\tau - \delta q}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \, dA(z)$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\zeta)|(1 - |\zeta|)^{\frac{s}{2} - 1} \, dA(\zeta)\right)^q \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|)^{\tau - \delta q}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \, dA(z)$$

$$\lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \left(\int_0^1 \frac{(1 - t)^{\frac{s}{2} - 1}}{\widehat{\omega}(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \, dt\right)^q \lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \left(\int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\frac{s}{2} - 1 - \frac{\beta(\omega)}{p}} \, dt\right)^q \lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q, \quad g \in H^\infty.$$

Case $I_2(g)$ The definition of $\Omega_2(z)$ and the fact that $\frac{\widehat{v}(x)}{(1-x)^\tau}$ is essentially decreasing imply

$$\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) \lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}}{\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{v}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{1/q}} \lesssim \left(\frac{|1 - \overline{z}\zeta|^2}{1 - |z|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{(1 - |\zeta|)^\tau}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in \Omega_2(z).$$

Therefore (5.2) and Lemmas A and B yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2(g) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\Omega_2(z)} |g(\zeta)| \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{s-\delta-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1+\tau}{q}}}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}} |1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s-2\delta-2\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}} \, dA(\zeta) \right)^q (1-|z|)^{-\delta q} v(z) \, dA(z) \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\zeta)| \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{s}{2}-1+\frac{\tau}{q}}}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \, dA(\zeta) \right)^q \int_0^1 (1-r)^{-\delta q} v(r) \, dr \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \left(\int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^{\frac{s}{2}-1+\frac{\tau}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}} \widehat{v}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \, dA(\zeta) \right)^q \left(\widehat{v}(0) + \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{v}(t)}{(1-t)^{1+q\delta}} \, dt \right) \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \left(\int_0^1 (1-t)^{\frac{s}{2}-1-\frac{\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{\beta(v)-\tau}{q}} \, dt \right)^q \lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q, \quad g \in H^\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $I_3(g)$ To deal with $I_3(g)$, note first that now $2K|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2 \leq (1-|\zeta|)\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\} \leq 2(\max\{1-|z|, 1-|\zeta|\})^2$ for all $\zeta \in \Omega_3(z, K)$. Hence $\zeta \in \Delta(z, R)$ for some $R = R(K) \in (0, \infty)$ if $K \geq 1$ is sufficiently large. Fix such a K, and note that then $\widehat{v}(\zeta) \asymp \widehat{v}(z)$ for all $\zeta \in \Omega(z, K)$ by Lemma A(ii). By using this and the fact that $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(x)}{(1-x)^{\frac{p\tau}{q}}}$ is essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$ we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) &\lesssim \left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}} \min\left\{ \frac{\widehat{v}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{v}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\asymp \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{v}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in \Omega_3(z, K),
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3(g) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\Delta(z, R)} \left(|g(\zeta)| \widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \frac{\left(1-|\zeta|^2\right)^{s-\delta+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{q}} \, dA(\zeta)}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s-2\delta}} \right)^q \frac{v(z)(1-|z|)^{1-q\delta}}{\widehat{v}(z)} \, dA(z) \\
&\asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\Delta(z, R)} \left(|g(\zeta)| \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \frac{\left(1-|\zeta|^2\right)^{s+\frac{2}{p}-\frac{2}{q}} \, dA(\zeta)}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s}} \right)^q \frac{v(z)(1-|z|)}{\widehat{v}(z)} \, dA(z) \\
&\asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\Delta(z, R)} \left(|g(\zeta)| \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \frac{\left(1-|\zeta|^2\right)^s \, dA(\zeta)}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}}} \right)^q \frac{v(z)(1-|z|)}{\widehat{v}(z)} \, dA(z) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(|g(\zeta)| \widetilde{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \frac{\left(1-|\zeta|^2\right)^s \, dA(\zeta)}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}}} \right)^q \frac{v(z)(1-|z|)}{\widehat{v}(z)} \, dA(z) \\
&= \left\| S_{s, s+2\left(1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}\right)} \left(|g| \widetilde{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \right\|_{L_\eta^q}^q = \left\| S_{b, c} \left(|g| \widetilde{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \right\|_{L_\eta^q}^q, \quad g \in H^\infty,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.3}
$$

where $\eta(z) = \frac{v(z)(1-|z|)}{\widehat{v}(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. To apply Lemma 12 with $\sigma \equiv 1$, we must check that its hypotheses are satisfied. To do this, first observe that $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\widehat{\eta}(r) \asymp (1-r)$ for all

$0 \leq r < 1$ by Lemma 4. Hence

$$\int_r^1 \frac{(1-t)^{c-2}}{\widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \, dt \asymp \int_r^1 (1-t)^{s-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} \, dt \asymp (1-r)^{1+s-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} \asymp \frac{(1-r)^{c-1}}{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and, by Lemma 3(iii),

$$\int_0^r \frac{\eta(t)}{(1-t)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}\widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{p'}}} \, dt \asymp \int_0^r \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)(1-t)^{\frac{q}{p}\left(s+2\left(1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}\right)\right)-1-\frac{1}{p}}} \, dt$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\frac{q}{p}\left(s+2\left(1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}\right)\right)-1-\frac{1}{p}}} \asymp \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-r)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

so the hypotheses of Lemma 12 are satisfied. Moreover,

$$(1-r)^{2+b-c+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \asymp 1, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and consequently (5.3) and Lemmas 12 and 5 yield $I_3(g) \lesssim \|g\|_{A_{\widetilde{\omega}}^p}^q \asymp \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q$ for all $g \in H^\infty$.

Case $I_4(g)$ By using the definition of $\Omega_4(z, K)$, Lemma A(ii) and the fact that $\frac{\widehat{\nu}(x)}{(1-x)^\tau}$ is essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$, we deduce

$$\Gamma_\tau(z, \zeta) \lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{2|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}} \min\left\{\frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^2}{1-|\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{K2|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2, 1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}} \min\left\{\frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}} \lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^2}{1-|\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|}{1-|\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}} \widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}} \min\left\{\frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau}, \frac{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}+\frac{2}{p}-\frac{2}{q}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}} \left(\frac{(1-|z|)^\tau}{\widehat{\nu}(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in \Omega_4(z, K).$$

Therefore

$$I_4(g) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(|g(\zeta)|\widetilde{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{s-\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{\tau}{q}}}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}}} \, dA(\zeta)\right)^q \frac{\nu(z)(1-|z|)^{\tau-\delta q}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \, dA(z)$$

$$= \left\|S_{b,c}\left(|g|\widetilde{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right\|_{L_\eta^q}^q, \quad g \in H^\infty,$$

(5.4)

where $b = s - \delta - \frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\tau}{q}$, $c = 2 + s - 2\delta - \frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p} - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{2}{q}$ and $\eta(z) = \frac{\nu(z)(1-|z|)^{\tau-\delta q}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We will appeal to Lemma 12 with $\sigma \equiv 1$. First observe that $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\widehat{\eta}(r) \asymp (1-r)^{\tau-\delta q}$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$ by Lemma 4. Hence

$$\int_r^1 \frac{(1-t)^{c-2}}{\widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \, dt \asymp \int_r^1 (1-t)^{s-\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{\tau}{q}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}} \, dt \asymp (1-r)^{1+s-\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{\tau}{q}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}}$$

$$\asymp \frac{(1-r)^{c-1}}{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and, by Lemma 3(iii),

$$\int_0^r \frac{\eta(t)}{(1-t)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}\widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\, dt \asymp \int_0^r \frac{\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)(1-t)^{\frac{q}{p}\left(2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right)-1-\frac{\tau-q\delta}{p}}}\, dt$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\frac{q}{p}\left(2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right)-1-\frac{\tau-q\delta}{p}}} \asymp \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-r)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}}, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

so the hypotheses of Lemma 12 are satisfied. Moreover,

$$(1-r)^{2+b-c+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \asymp 1, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

and hence (5.4) and Lemmas 12 and 5 imply $I_4(g) \lesssim \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \asymp \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q$ for all $g \in H^\infty$.

Case $I_5(g)$ By using the definition of $\Omega_5(z, K)$, Lemma A(ii) and the fact that $\frac{\widehat{\nu}(x)}{(1-x)^\tau}$ is essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$ we deduce

$$\Gamma_\tau(z,\zeta) \lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2,1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{2|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2,1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau},\frac{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{1-|\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{K2|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{\max\{1-|z|^2,1-|\zeta|^2\}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau},\frac{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}} \lesssim \frac{\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{1-|\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|}{1-|\zeta|^2}\right)^{\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}}\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-|\zeta|)^{\frac{\tau}{q}}\min\left\{\frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)}{(1-|z|)^\tau},\frac{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^\tau}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^2}{1-|\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|}{1-|\zeta|}\right)^{\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}}\frac{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta \in \Omega_5(z, K).$$

Therefore Lemma A(ii) yields

$$I_5(g) \lesssim \int_\mathbb{D}\left(\int_{\Omega_5(z,K)}\left(|g(\zeta)|\widetilde{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{s-\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}+\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{q}}|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}}}dA(\zeta)\right)^q \frac{\nu(z)\, dA(z)}{(1-|z|)^{q\delta}}$$

$$\lesssim \int_\mathbb{D}\left(\int_\mathbb{D}\left(|g(\zeta)|\widetilde{\omega}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{s-\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{\beta(\nu)}{q}}}{|1-\overline{z}\zeta|^{2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}}}dA(\zeta)\right)^q \frac{\nu(z)\, dA(z)}{(1-|z|)^{q\delta-\beta(\nu)}\widehat{\nu}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \quad (5.5)$$

$$= \left\|S_{b,c}\left(|g|\widetilde{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right\|_{L_\eta^q}^q, \quad g \in H^\infty,$$

where $b = s-\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{\beta(\nu)}{q}, c = 2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}$ and $\eta(z) = \frac{\nu(z)(1-|z|)^{\beta(\nu)-\delta q}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Again we will appeal to Lemma 12 with $\sigma \equiv 1$. First observe that $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\widehat{\eta}(r) \asymp (1-r)^{\beta(\nu)-\delta q}$ for all $0 \le r < 1$ by Lemma 4. Hence

$$\int_r^1 \frac{(1-t)^{c-2}}{\widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}}\, dt \asymp \int_r^1 (1-t)^{s-\delta+\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{\beta(\nu)}{q}}\, dt \asymp (1-r)^{1+s-\delta+\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{\beta(\nu)}{q}}$$

$$\asymp \frac{(1-r)^{c-1}}{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

and, by Lemma 3(iii),

$$\int_0^r \frac{\eta(t)}{(1-t)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}\widehat{\eta}(t)^{1/p'}}\,dt \asymp \int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\widehat{v}(t)(1-t)^{\frac{q}{p}\left(2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right)-1-\frac{\beta(v)-q\delta}{p}}}\,dt$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\frac{q}{p}\left(2+s-2\delta-\frac{2\beta(\omega)}{p}-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right)-1-\frac{\beta(v)-q\delta}{p}}} \asymp \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-r)^{\frac{cq}{p}-1}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

so the hypotheses of Lemma 12 are satisfied. Moreover,

$$(1-r)^{2+b-c+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\sigma}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \asymp 1, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and hence (5.5) together with Lemmas 5 and 12 imply $I_5(g) \lesssim \|g\|_{A_{\widehat{\omega}}^p}^q \asymp \|g\|_{A_\omega^p}^q$ for all $g \in H^\infty$. This finishes the proof of the proposition. $\qquad\square$

In order to prove the necessity part of Theorem 1 some definitions are needed. For $\eta > -1$ and a radial weight ω, let $b_{z,\omega}^\eta = B_z^\eta / \|B_z^\eta\|_{A_\omega^p}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $B_z^\eta(\zeta) = (1-\bar{z}\zeta)^{-(2+\eta)}$. For each $f \in L_v^1$, define

$$g_{z,\omega}^\eta(\zeta) = \frac{P_v(\overline{f}b_{z,\omega}^\eta)(\zeta)}{b_{z,\omega}^\eta(\zeta)}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

and note that $g_{z,\omega}^\eta$ is a well-defined analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ because the standard Bergman kernel $b_{z,\omega}^\eta$ has no zeros. If v, ω are weights, $\eta > -1$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$, let us consider the global mean oscillation

$$\|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_v^q}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Proposition 15 *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $f \in L_v^q$, $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, $v \in B_q$ a radial weight and $\gamma(z) = \gamma_{\omega,v,p,q}(z) = \frac{\widehat{v}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$. If $H_f^v, H_{\overline{f}}^v : A_\omega^p \to L_v^q$ are bounded, then there exists $\eta_0 = \eta_0(v, \omega) > -1$ such that*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_v^q} \leq \|H_f^v\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_v^q} + \|P_\eta\|_{L_v^q \to L_v^q}\left(\|H_f^v\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_v^q} + \|H_{\overline{f}}^v\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_v^q}\right).$$

for each $\eta \geq \eta_0$. Moreover, there exists $r_0 = r_0(v) > 0$ such that for each fixed $r \geq r_0$ and $\eta \geq \eta_0$,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_v^q} \gtrsim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \gamma(z)\left(\frac{1}{v(\Delta(z,r))}\int_{\Delta(z,r)}|f(\zeta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}|^q v(\zeta)\,dA(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof The definition of the Hankel operator along with triangle inequality gives

$$\|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_v^q} \leq \|H_f^v(b_{z,\omega}^\eta)\|_{L_v^q} + \|P_v(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_v^q}$$

$$\leq \|H_f^v\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_v^q}\|b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{A_\omega^p} + \|P_v(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_v^q}$$

$$= \|H_f^v\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_v^q} + \|P_v(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_v^q}.$$

If $g \in A_\eta^1$, then the reproducing formula for the standard weighted Bergman projection yields $\overline{g(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta = P_\eta(\overline{g}b_{z,\omega}^\eta)$. Since $v \in B_q$ is radial and $f \in L_v^q$, we have $v \in \mathcal{D}$ and $P_v(fb_z^\eta) \in A_v^q$

by Proposition 6. Therefore $g_z^\eta \in A_\nu^q$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Moreover, $A_\nu^q \subset A_\eta^q \subset A_\eta^1$ if $\eta > \frac{\beta(\nu)}{q} - 1$ by Lemma A(ii). It follows that

$$\|P_\nu(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q} = \|P_\nu(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - P_\eta(\overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta}b_{z,\omega}^\eta)\|_{L_\nu^q}$$

$$= \|P_\eta(P_\nu(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta}b_{z,\omega}^\eta)\|_{L_\nu^q}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

By [5], there exists $\eta_1 = \eta_1(\nu) > \frac{\beta(\nu)}{q} - 1$ such that $P_\eta : L_\nu^q \to L_\nu^q$ is bounded if $\eta \geq \eta_1$. Therefore

$$\|P_\nu(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q} \leq \|P_\eta\|_{L_\nu^q \to L_\nu^q}\|P_\nu(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \eta \geq \eta_1.$$

The triangle inequality yields

$$\|P_\nu(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q} \leq \|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - P_\nu(fb_{z,\omega}^\eta)\|_{L_\nu^q} + \|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q}$$

$$= \|H_f^\nu(b_{z,\omega}^\eta)\|_{L_\nu^q} + \|\overline{f}b_{z,\omega}^\eta - g_{z,\omega}^\eta b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q}$$

$$\leq \|H_f^\nu\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q}\|b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{A_\omega^p} + \|\overline{f}b_{z,\omega}^\eta - P_\nu(\overline{f}b_{z,\omega}^\eta)\|_{L_\nu^q}$$

$$= \|H_f^\nu\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q} + \|H_{\overline{f}}^\nu(b_{z,\omega}^\eta)\|_{L_\nu^q} \leq \|H_f^\nu\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q} + \|H_{\overline{f}}^\nu\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q}.$$

By combining the above estimates we deduce

$$\|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q} \leq \|H_f^\nu\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q} + \|P_\eta\|_{L_\nu^q \to L_\nu^q}\left(\|H_f^\nu\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q} + \|H_{\overline{f}}^\nu\|_{A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q}\right),$$

for any $\eta \geq \eta_1(\nu)$.

To see the second one, first observe that [16, Corollary 2] and Lemma A(ii) give

$$\|B_z^\eta\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \asymp \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)^{p(2+\eta)}} dt \lesssim \frac{\widehat{\omega}(|z|)}{(1-|z|)^{\beta(\omega)}} \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{p(2+\eta)-\beta(\omega)}} dt$$

$$\asymp \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)}{(1-|z|)^{p(2+\eta)-1}}, \quad |z| \to 1^-,$$

provided $\eta > \frac{\beta(\omega)+1}{p} - 2$. Moreover, by (3.6) there exists $r_0 = r_0(\nu) > 0$ such that $(1 - |z|)\widehat{\nu}(z) \asymp \nu(\Delta(z, r_0))$ for any $r \geq r_0$. Hence, for each $r \geq r_0$ we have

$$\|fb_{z,\omega}^\eta - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}b_{z,\omega}^\eta\|_{L_\nu^q}^q \geq \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}|^q |b_z^\eta(\zeta)|^q \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\asymp \frac{1}{\|B_z^\eta\|_{A_\omega^p}^q (1-|z|)^{q(2+\eta)}} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}|^q \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\asymp \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}|^q \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta),$$

$$\asymp \frac{\widehat{\nu}(z)(1-|z|)}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{q}{p}}} \frac{1}{\nu(\Delta(z,r))} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - \overline{g_{z,\omega}^\eta(z)}|^q \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta).$$

The second claim for $\eta_0 = \max\{\eta_1, \frac{\beta(\omega)+1}{p} - 2\}$ is now proved.   □

Proof of Theorem 1 If $H_f^\nu, H_{\overline{f}}^\nu : A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q$ are bounded, then $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)$ by Proposition 15 and Theorem 11.

Conversely, let $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)$. Then f can be decomposed as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in \mathrm{BA}(\Delta)$ and $f_2 \in \mathrm{BO}(\Delta)$, by Theorem 11(ii). Proposition 14 shows that $H_{f_2}^\nu, H_{\overline{f_2}}^\nu :$

$A^p_\omega \to L^q_\nu$ are bounded. Moreover, since $\nu \in B_q$ is radial, $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$ and $P_\nu : L^q_\nu \to L^q_\nu$ is bounded by Proposition 6. Therefore Lemma 10 yields

$$\|H^\nu_{f_1}(g)\|^q_{L^q_\nu} \leq \|f_1 g\|_{L^q_\nu} + \|P_\nu(f_1 g)\|_{L^q_\nu} \lesssim \|f_1 g\|_{L^q_\nu} \lesssim \|g\|_{A^p_\omega} \quad g \in H^\infty.$$

It follows that $H^\nu_f, H^\nu_{\bar{f}} : A^p_\omega \to L^q_\nu$ are bounded. $\qquad\square$

6 Anti-analytic symbols

Recall that the space $\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$ consists of $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|(1-|z|)\gamma(z) + |f(0)| < \infty,$$

where $\gamma(z) = \dfrac{\widehat{\nu}(z)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proposition 16 *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$ and $r \geq r_0$, where $r_0 = r_0(\nu) > 0$ is that of Theorem 11(i). Then* $\mathrm{BMO}(\Delta) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)_{\omega,\nu,p,q,r} \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$.

Proof Let first $f \in \mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$. By Theorem 11(iv) to deduce $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)$ it is enough to prove

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_\mathbb{D} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^\sigma}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} \nu(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta) < \infty \qquad (6.1)$$

for some $\sigma > 0$ and

$$c > 2\frac{q}{p}(\beta(\omega)+1) + \sigma + \max\{2\beta(\nu), \gamma(\nu)\}. \qquad (6.2)$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, the function $(f(\zeta)-f(z))(1-\zeta\overline{z})^{-\frac{2+c+\sigma}{q}}$ is an analytic function in ζ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore Lemma 5 shows that (6.1) is equivalent to

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_\mathbb{D} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-1}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} \widehat{\nu}(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta) < \infty. \qquad (6.3)$$

Further, Lemma A(ii) yields

$$\frac{(1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_\mathbb{D} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-1}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}} \widehat{\nu}(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\lesssim (1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus D(0,|z|)} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-1}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}}\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$+ (1-|z|)^{c+1-\beta(\nu)}\gamma(z)^q \int_{D(0,|z|)} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma+\beta(\nu)-1}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}}\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$\leq (1-|z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q \int_\mathbb{D} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma-1}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}}\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$+ (1-|z|)^{c+1-\beta(\nu)}\gamma(z)^q \int_\mathbb{D} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{\sigma+\beta(\nu)-1}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{2+c+\sigma}}\, dA(\zeta)$$

$$= I_1(z) + I_2(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Fix $\sigma > \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{q}{p}(1 + \alpha(\omega)) + q\beta(\nu)\right\}$ and c satisfying (6.2). Then

$$c > \max\left\{\beta(\nu) - 1, -2 + \beta(\nu) + \frac{q}{p}(1 + \beta(\omega)) - q\alpha(\nu)\right\}.$$

Therefore, [11, Lemma 7] together with Lemmas A(ii) and B gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1(z) &\lesssim (1 - |z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\zeta)|^q \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\sigma + q - 1}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2 + c + \sigma}}\, dA(\zeta) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q \int_{\mathbb{D}} \gamma(\zeta)^{-q} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\sigma - 1}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2 + c + \sigma}}\, dA(\zeta) \\
&\asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(s)} \frac{(1 - s)^{\frac{q}{p} + \sigma - 2}}{(1 - s|z|)^{1 + \sigma + c}}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1 - |z|)^{\alpha(\nu)}}{(1 - |z|)^{\frac{q}{p}\beta(\omega)}\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_0^{|z|} \frac{ds}{(1 - s)^{3 + c - \frac{q}{p} - \frac{q}{p}\beta(\omega) + \alpha(\nu)}} \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{-\sigma}\gamma(z)^q \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1 - |z|)^{\beta(\nu)}}{(1 - |z|)^{\frac{q}{p}\alpha(\omega)}\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_{|z|}^1 (1 - s)^{\frac{q}{p} + \sigma - 2 + \frac{q}{p}\alpha(\omega) - \beta(\nu)}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{-1 + \frac{q}{p}}\gamma(z)^q \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2(z) &\lesssim (1 - |z|)^{c + 1 - \beta(\nu)}\gamma(z)^q \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\zeta)|^q \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\sigma + \beta(\nu) + q - 1}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2 + c + \sigma}}\, dA(\zeta) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{c + 1 - \beta(\nu)}\gamma(z)^q \int_{\mathbb{D}} \gamma(\zeta)^{-q} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\beta(\nu) + \sigma - 1}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2 + c + \sigma}}\, dA(\zeta) \\
&\asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{c + 1 - \beta(\nu)}\gamma(z)^q \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(s)} \frac{(1 - s)^{\beta(\nu) + \frac{q}{p} + \sigma - 2}}{(1 - s|z|)^{1 + \sigma + c}}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{c + 1 - \beta(\nu)}\gamma(z)^q \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1 - |z|)^{\alpha(\nu)}}{(1 - |z|)^{\frac{q}{p}\beta(\omega)}\widehat{\nu}(z)^q} \int_0^{|z|} \frac{ds}{(1 - s)^{3 + c - \beta(\nu) - \frac{q}{p} - \frac{q}{p}\beta(\omega) + \alpha(\nu)}} \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{-\sigma - \beta(\nu)}\gamma(z)^q \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1 - |z|)^{\beta(\nu)}}{(1 - |z|)^{\frac{q}{p}\alpha(\omega)}\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_{|z|}^1 (1 - s)^{\beta(\nu) + \frac{q}{p} + \sigma - 2 + \frac{q}{p}\alpha(\omega) - \beta(\nu)}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q (1 - |z|)^{-1 + \frac{q}{p}}\gamma(z)^q \frac{\widehat{\omega}(z)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(z)^q} \asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By combining these estimates we deduce $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)$, and thus $\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma} \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)$.

Assume now that $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta)$. Then (6.3) holds for some $\sigma > 1$ and c satisfying (6.2). Therefore (3.6) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\infty &> \sup \frac{(1 - |z|)^{c+1}\gamma(z)^q}{\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\sigma - 1}}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{2 + c + \sigma}}\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)dA(\zeta) \\
&\gtrsim \frac{\gamma(z)^q}{(1 - |z|)^2\widehat{\nu}(z)} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q\widehat{\nu}(\zeta)\, dA(\zeta) \\
&\asymp \frac{\gamma(z)^q}{|\Delta(z,r)|} \int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^q\, dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By arguing as in [12, 1653–1654] we deduce $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathrm{BMO}(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$. □

The space $\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$ consists of constant functions only if $\limsup_{|z|\to 1^-}((1-|z|)\gamma(|z|))^{-1} = 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$ is a subset of the disc algebra if $((1-x)\gamma(x))^{-1} \in L^1(0,1)$, and $\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$ coincides with a Bloch-type space if γ is decreasing.

Proof of Theorem 2 Since $f \in A_\nu^1$, the operator H_f^ν is densely defined. If $H_f^\nu : A_\omega^p \to L_\nu^q$ is bounded, choosing $g \equiv 1$ it follows that $f \in A_\nu^q$, and therefore $f \in \mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$ by Theorem 1 and Proposition 16.

Conversely, assume $f \in \mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}$. Since $\nu \in B_q$ is radial, Proposition 6 implies $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore Lemmas A(ii) and B yield

$$\|f\|_{A_\nu^q}^q \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_0^{|z|} \left| f'\left(s\frac{z}{|z|}\right) \right| ds \right)^q \nu(z)\, dA(z) + |f(0)|^q$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \left(1 + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t \frac{ds}{(1-s)\gamma(s)} \right)^q \nu(t)\, dt \right)$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \left(1 + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t \frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1-s)^{1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}} ds \right)^q \nu(t)\, dt \right)$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(t)(1-t)^{\frac{q\alpha(\omega)}{p}-\beta(\nu)}} \left(\int_0^t \frac{ds}{(1-s)^{1+\frac{1+\beta(\nu)}{q}-\frac{1+\alpha(\omega)}{p}}} \right)^q \nu(t)\, dt \right)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. If $\frac{1+\beta(\nu)}{q} - \frac{1+\alpha(\omega)}{p} > 0$, Lemma 3(ii) gives

$$\|f\|_{A_\nu^q}^q \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1-t)^{\frac{q}{p}-1}}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} \nu(t)\, dt \right)$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \left(1 + \widehat{\omega}(0)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)\nu(t)}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} dt \right) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q.$$

If $\frac{1+\beta(\nu)}{q} - \frac{1+\alpha(\omega)}{p} = 0$, then Lemmas B and 3(ii) yield

$$\|f\|_{A_\nu^q}^q \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1-t)^{\frac{q}{p}-1}}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} \log\frac{e}{1-t} \nu(t)\, dt \right)$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \widehat{\omega}(0)^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{\alpha(\omega)\frac{q}{p}+\frac{q}{p}-1}}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} \log\frac{e}{1-t} \nu(t)\, dt$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{\alpha(\omega)\frac{q}{2p}+\frac{q}{p}-1}}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} \nu(t)\, dt \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q.$$

Finally, if $\frac{1+\beta(\nu)}{q} - \frac{1+\alpha(\omega)}{p} < 0$, then Lemma 3(ii) gives

$$\|f\|_{A_\nu^q}^q \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)^{\frac{q}{p}}(1-t)^{\frac{q}{p}-1}}{\widehat{\nu}(t)} \nu(t)\, dt \right) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma}}^q.$$

Therefore $f \in A_\nu^q$, and thus $\mathcal{B}_{d\gamma} \subset A_\nu^q$. This together with Theorem 1 and Proposition 16 finishes the proof. □

References

1. Arazy, J., Fisher, S.D., Peetre, J.: Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces. Am. J. Math. **110**(6), 989–1053 (1988)
2. Arrousi, H.: Function and Operator Theory on Large Bergman Spaces. PhD. Thesis, Univ. of Barcelona (2016)
3. Axler, S.: The Bergman space, the Bloch space, and commutators of multiplication operators. Duke Math. J. **53**(2), 315–332 (1986)
4. Bekollé, D.: Inégalités á poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de C^n [Weighted inequalities for the Bergman projection in the unit ball of C^n]. Stud. Math. **71**(3), 305–323 (1981/82)
5. Bekollé, D., Bonami, A.: Inégalités á poids pour le noyau de Bergman (French). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286**(18), 775–778 (1978)
6. Bekollé, D., Berger, C., Coburn, L.A., Zhu, K.: BMO in the Bergman metric on bounded symmetric domains. J. Funct. Anal. **93**(2), 310–350 (1990)
7. Berger, C., Coburn, L .A., Zhu, K.: BMO on the Bergman spaces of the classical domains. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **17**(1), 133–136 (1987)
8. Berger, C., Coburn, L.A., Zhu, K.: Function theory on Cartan domains and the Berezin–Toeplitz symbol calculus. Am. J. Math. **110**(5), 921–953 (1988)
9. Constantin, O., Peláez, J.A.: Boundedness of the Bergman projection on L^p-spaces with exponential weights. Bull. Sci. Math. **139**, 245–268 (2015)
10. Galanopoulos, P., Pau, J.: Hankel operators on large weighted Bergman spaces. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **37**(2), 635–648 (2012)
11. Pau, J., Zhao, R.: Weak factorization and Hankel forms for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball. Math. Ann. **363**(1–2), 363–383 (2015)
12. Pau, J., Zhao, R., Zhu, K.: Weighted BMO and Hankel operators between Bergman spaces. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **65**(5), 1639–1673 (2016)
13. Peláez, J.A.: Small weighted Bergman spaces. In: Proceedings of the Summer School in Complex and Harmonic Analysis, and Related Topics (2016)
14. Peláez, J.A., Rättyä, J.: Weighted Bergman Spaces Induced by Rapidly Increasing Weights, vol. 227. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. (2014)
15. Peláez, J.A., Rättyä, J.: Embedding theorems for Bergman spaces via harmonic analysis. Math. Ann. **362**(1–2), 205–239 (2015)
16. Peláez, J.A., Rättyä, J.: Two weight inequality for Bergman projection. J. Math. Pures Appl. **105**, 102–130 (2016)
17. Peláez, J.A., Sierra, K., Rättyä, J.: Atomic decomposition and Carleson measures for weighted Mixed norm spaces. arXiv:1709.07239
18. Perälä, A.: Vanishing Bergman kernels on the disk. J. Geom. Anal. **28**(2), 1716–1727 (2018)
19. Zhao, R.: Generalization of Schur's test and its application to a class of integral operators on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory **82**(4), 519–532 (2015)
20. Zhao, R., Zhu, K.: Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Mem. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) no. 115 (2008), p. vi+103 (2009)
21. Zhu, K.: VMO, ESV, and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **302**(2), 617–646 (1987)
22. Zhu, K.: BMO and Hankel operators on Bergman spaces. Pac. J. Math. **155**(2), 377–395 (1992)
23. Zhu, K.: Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138, 2nd edn. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2007)