ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Refining the genetic architecture of flag leaf glaucousness in wheat

Tobias Würschum¹ · Simon M. Langer^{1,3} · C. Friedrich H. Longin¹ · Matthew R. Tucker² · Willmar L. Leiser¹

Received: 25 September 2019 / Accepted: 20 December 2019 / Published online: 17 January 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Key message The cuticle is the plant's barrier against abiotic and biotic stresses, and the deposition of epicuticular wax crystals results in the scattering of light, an effect termed glaucousness. Here, we dissect the genetic architecture of flag leaf glaucousness in wheat toward a future targeted design of the cuticle.

Abstract The cuticle serves as a barrier that protects plants against abiotic and biotic stresses. Differences in cuticle composition can be detected by the scattering of light on epicuticular wax crystals, which causes a phenotype termed glaucousness. In this study, we dissected the genetic architecture of flag leaf glaucousness in a panel of 1106 wheat cultivars of global origin. We observed a large genotypic variation, but the geographic pattern suggests that other wax layer characteristics besides glaucousness may be important in conferring tolerance to abiotic stresses such as heat and drought. Genome-wide association mapping identified two major quantitative trait loci (QTL) on chromosomes 3A and 2B. The latter corresponds to the *W1* locus, but further characterization revealed that it is likely to contain additional QTL. The same holds true for the major QTL on 3A, which was also found to show an epistatic interaction with another locus located a few centiMorgan distal to it. Genome-wide prediction and the identification of a few additional putative QTL revealed that small-effect QTL also contribute to the trait. Collectively, our results illustrate the complexity of the genetic control of flag leaf glaucousness, with additive effects and epistasis, and lay the foundation for the cloning of the underlying genes toward a more targeted design of the cuticle by plant breeding.

Introduction

The plant cuticle is at the surface of aerial plant organs and thus represents the plants' outermost point of interaction with their environment. It is an extracellular matrix consisting of a lipophilic cuticular layer and epicuticular depositions that form a continuous hydrophobic sheet on epidermal cell walls (von Wettstein-Knowles 1976; Samuels et al.

Communicated by Melchinger.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03522-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Tobias Würschum tobias.wuerschum@uni-hohenheim.de

- State Plant Breeding Institute, University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany
- ² School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide, Waite Campus, Urrbrae, SA, Australia
- ³ Present Address: BASF Agricultural Solutions GmbH, Gatersleben, Germany

2008; Yeats and Rose 2013; Adamski et al. 2013). Glaucousness refers to the bluish-silverish-gray appearance of organs, such as the flag leaf, stem or spikes, and is caused by the scattering of light due to deposition of wax crystals on the plant's surfaces (Fig. 1a). The opposite form is referred to as non-glaucous or glossy. In wheat, the glaucous appearance is due to the presence of β -diketones. Only glaucous organs show an accumulation of tubular/rod-shaped wax structures typical for β -diketone-rich wax, whereas glossy organs are completely devoid of any visible wax protruding from the surface (Adamski et al. 2013; Hen-Avivi et al. 2016). Glaucousness has been associated with several traits and physiological processes, mainly related to an increased drought and heat tolerance and thus higher yield under dry conditions (e.g., Johnson et al. 1983; Richards et al. 1986; Febrero et al. 1998; Samuels et al. 2008; Bi et al. 2017).

Early genetic studies in wheat revealed two loci for wax production, termed *W1* and *W2*, and two *Inhibitor of wax* loci, *Iw1* and *Iw2*, that inhibit glaucousness (Tsunewaki and Ebana 1999). *W1* and *Iw1* are located on chromosome 2B and *W2* and *Iw2* on chromosome 2D. Both wax production loci *W1* and *W2* can produce a glaucous appearance. The

Fig. 1 Flag leaf glaucousness in wheat. a Examples of a glossy and a glaucous flag leaf. b Histogram of flag leaf glaucousness in the panel of 1106 winter wheat cultivars. c Boxplots showing glaucousness dependent on the cultivars' country of origin. AT Austria, BE Belgium, CN China, CSK former Czechoslovakia. DE Germany, DK Denmark, FR France, GB Great Britain, IT Italy, NL The Netherlands, PL Poland, SE Sweden, US United States of America. YUG former Yugoslavia, Serbia, Croatia



two wax inhibitor loci act dominantly and the presence of either one is sufficient to inhibit W1 and/or W2 and thus result in a glossy phenotype. Adamski et al. (2013) showed that Iw1 inhibits the formation of β -diketones and hydroxyl- β -diketones in the cuticle. Further analyses revealed the genetic control of glaucousness to be more complex, as several quantitative trait loci (QTL) were identified (Börner et al. 2002; Kulwal et al. 2003; Mason et al. 2010; Bennett et al. 2012). The A genome progenitor *Triticum urartu* is non-glaucous and lacks β-diketones, and only the B and D genomes were thought to contain major glaucousness loci (Tsunewaki and Ebana 1999). Interestingly, Bennett et al. (2012), studying a biparental population of two Australian wheat lines, identified a major QTL on chromosome 3A that accounted for 5-49% of the genetic variation in glaucousness.

The biosynthesis of major cuticle components consists of three major steps within epidermal cells, starting with the de novo synthesis of C_{16} fatty acids in plastids. These are converted into acyl-CoAs, which are exported to the endoplasmic reticulum before being further elongated to very-long-chain C_{20} - C_{34} fatty acyl-CoAs. These can become free fatty acids or be further modified by either the alcohol-forming pathway resulting in the formation of evennumbered primary alcohols and alkyl esters, by the alkaneforming pathway leading to secondary alcohols, aldehydes, ketones and odd-numbered alkanes, or by the β -diketone pathway (Hen-Avivi et al. 2016; Bi et al. 2017). The latter has been proposed in barley based on extensive genetic and biochemical studies of eceriferum (cer) mutants and proposed to include three enzymatic steps (von Wettstein-Knowles 2012). However, it had remained unclear whether β -diketone biosynthesis is controlled by three very tightly linked genes (*Cer-c*, -q and -u) or by a single gene encoding a multifunctional protein. Schneider et al. (2016) recently resolved this and showed that the Cer-cqu locus consists of a cluster of three genes. The Cer-cqu locus is in a syntenic position to the wheat W1 locus (Varshney et al. 2006), and Hen-Avivi et al. (2016) showed that both the W1 and the Cer-cqu loci incorporate similar metabolic gene clusters required for β-diketone biosynthesis. Both comprise genes encoding three types of enzymes: chalcone synthase-like type-III polyketide synthase (PKS), putative lipase/carboxylesterase or hydrolase (HYD) and cytochrome P450 (P450). In wheat, the locus appears to be highly dynamic resulting in several duplications of some of those genes. In addition,

a putative wax ester synthase (WES), belonging to the membrane-bound O-acyl transferase family, is also located in the W1 cluster.

Moreover, the recently identified W3 locus (Zhang et al. 2015) is linked to WI and also involved in β -diketone biosynthesis, suggesting that it may be part of the identified metabolic cluster. Hen-Avivi et al. (2016) also showed that the dominant wax inhibitor Iw1 is not only genetically close to W1, as both loci were completely linked to the same marker, but also physically close. However, the genomic interval between flanking markers, particularly the W1 locus, was found to be completely different between a glaucous and a glossy emmer wheat. Huang et al. (2017) recently showed that Iw1 encodes a long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) from which a miRNA is produced that targets and down-regulates a carboxylesterase within the W1 locus. A similar sequence was found on chromosome 2D at the location to which Iw2 has been fine-mapped. Thus, Iw1 and Iw2 produce miRNAs that repress expression of the carboxylesterase gene at the W loci required for the production of β-diketone waxes, indicating a key role of the carboxylesterase gene(s). Interestingly, Huang et al. (2017) also observed a down-regulation of other genes from the W1 locus, suggesting that there is likely another mechanism inactivating multiple genes in this region.

In this study, we revisited the genetic control underlying flag leaf glaucousness in wheat by performing association mapping in a large panel of 1106 wheat cultivars of global origin. We identified two major QTL on chromosomes 3A and 2B. The 2B QTL corresponds to the W1/Iw1 locus but fine-mapping revealed it to be more complex, likely comprising several QTL. Two potentially homoeologous putative QTL were found on chromosomes 2A and 2D. The 3A QTL may also be complex and in addition was found to display an epistatic interaction with another locus located ~6 cM apart. Collectively our results expand our understanding of the genetic architecture of flag leaf glaucousness and lay the foundation for the cloning of the underlying genes.

Materials and methods

Plant material and experimental design

This study is based on a panel of soft winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars that has been described previously (Boeven et al. 2016a; Würschum et al. 2015, 2017a, 2018a, b). It includes 1106 cultivars from worldwide origin released during the past decades, but with a focus on cultivars from Europe. The test locations were Hohenheim (HOH, 48°42′54.4″ N, 9°11′22.6″ E, 400 m above sea level (asl)), Ihinger Hof (IHO, 48°44′42.6″ N, 8°55′30.8″ E, 493 m asl) and Oberer Lindenhof (OLI, 48°28′25.5″ N,

 $9^{\circ}18'17.9''$ E, 700 m asl). The experiment was conducted in the 2012/2013 growing season in a partially replicated design with a replication rate of 1.25 per location (Williams et al. 2011). Entries were sown in observation plots of two rows and 1.25 m length.

Glaucousness was assessed on flag leaves on a 1–6 scale (Fig. S1a). A score of 1 was given when no glaucousness was visible on the abaxial and adaxial sides of the flag leaves, 2 for a light glaucousness on the abaxial side, 3 for a strong glaucousness on the proximal half of the abaxial leaf surface, 4 for a strong glaucousness on the entire abaxial leaf surface, 5 for a strong glaucousness on the entire abaxial and half of the adaxial leaf surface, and 6 refers to fully glaucous flag leaves, i.e., the entire abaxial and adaxial leaf surfaces show a strong glaucousness.

Phenotypic data were analyzed as described previously (Würschum et al. 2017b). In brief, best linear unbiased estimates (BLUEs) were estimated across all three locations, assuming fixed effects for the genotype. Heritability (h^2) was estimated following the approach suggested by Piepho and Möhring (2007). All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software R (R Development Core Team 2014) and ASReml-R 3.0 (Gilmour et al. 2009).

Genotypic and genomic analyses

All lines were genotyped by genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) at Diversity Arrays Technology (Yarralumla, Australia) using the Wheat GBS 1.0 assay (DArTseq). Markers with a minor allele frequency < 0.05 were removed resulting in a total of 44,500 markers, of which for 23,720 markers a genetic map position was available (Li et al. 2015). The CloneIDs of the silico DArT markers were given a 'D' and that of the SNP markers a 'S' prefix. The physical positions of the markers were taken from the wheat reference genome sequence IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 (The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium 2018).

Three carboxylesterase/hydrolase (HYD) genes (TraesCS2B01G006100, TraesCS2B01G006500 and TraesCS2B01G007100) located at the *W1* locus were sequenced by Sanger sequencing. The identified polymorphisms were converted into KASP markers (Fig. S13). All KASP assays were run using the standard KASP PCR conditions (https://www.lgcgroup.com/LGCGroup/media/PDFs/ Products/Genotyping/KASP-thermal-cycling-conditions-allprotocols.pdf). In addition, a TaqMan[®] assay was developed to assess copy number variation of TraesCS2B01G006100 and TraesCS2B01G006500. The TaqMan[®] probe was designed to ensure a very high specificity to these two genes and the forward and reverse primers to bind only to the two target genes. *TaCO2* was used as reference gene, and the assay was run with four replications per genotype (Würschum et al. 2017a). All primer sequences and PCR conditions can be found in Supplementary Table S8.

For association mapping, an additive genetic model was chosen and mapping was done with a mixed model incorporating a kinship matrix as described previously (Würschum et al. 2017b). To control for multiple testing, a Bonferronicorrected threshold of P < 0.05 was applied. The total proportion of genotypic variance (p_G) explained by the detected QTL was calculated by fitting the significantly associated markers in the order of the strength of their association simultaneously in a linear model. The ratio $p_{\rm G} = R_{\rm ad}^2/h^2$, where R_{adi}^2 refers to the adjusted R^2 from the linear model and h^2 to the heritability of the trait, yielded the proportion of genotypic variance (Utz et al. 2000). The $p_{\rm G}$ values of individual QTL were accordingly derived from the sums of squares of the QTL (SS_{OTI}) in this linear model. The allele substitution (α) effects were derived as the regression coefficient from models with only the marker under consideration.

Genomic prediction was done by Ridge Regression-BLUP employing the R package 'rrBLUP' (Endelmann 2011) and fivefold cross-validation. In addition, identified QTL were included as fixed effects in the model (Boeven et al. 2016b). The prediction ability of either QTL-based or genomic prediction was estimated as the Pearson's correlation coefficient between observed and predicted trait values.

Results

Phenotypic variation of flag leaf glaucousness in winter wheat

The analysis of flag leaf glaucousness in a panel of 1106 winter wheat cultivars revealed a large amount of variation, ranging from glossy, non-glaucous to fully glaucous flag leaves with the entire abaxial and adaxial leaf surfaces showing strong glaucousness (Fig. 1a, b, Table S1). The genotypic variance of flag leaf glaucousness and the genotype-by-location interaction variance were both significant. However, the ratio between the two was 10:1, indicating a much stronger influence of the genotype on the expression of glaucousness than its interaction with the environment. Consistent with this, the heritability was high at 0.87.

For most of the cultivars, the country of origin was known, and we therefore analyzed flag leaf glaucousness dependent on the geographic origin (Fig. 1c). While there was no real difference between most European countries, cultivars from the southern European countries Italy and former Yugoslavia, as well as from the USA and China were on average the least glaucous. This was surprising, as owing to the presumed role of glaucousness in conferring drought and heat tolerance, an increasing glaucousness toward lower latitudes with their warmer and dryer climates might have been expected. While this may be an artifact of panel composition, it does not appear to be linked to cultivars of different ages representing the different geographic origins (Fig. S1b). Alternatively, it may also indicate that glaucousness is less influential in conferring tolerance to these abiotic stresses. On the other hand, the variation observed among cultivars from within all other countries was rather large, covering the entire phenotypic range. This may simply reflect the variation present in breeding germplasm without any selection pressure, but may also indicate a natural or artificial selection due to an advantage of either glaucous or glossy types under certain environmental conditions not specific to geographic origins.

Deciphering the genetic architecture of flag leaf glaucousness

To dissect the genetic control underlying the variation in flag leaf glaucousness, we performed genome-wide association mapping (Table S2). All lines were genotyped by a genotyping-by-sequencing approach yielding 44,500 polymorphic markers, with 23,720 of them having a known genetic map position (Li et al. 2015). The genome-wide scan yielded 40 significant (Bonferroni-corrected P < 0.05) markertrait associations that all stem from the two major peaks on chromosomes 3A and 2B discernible in the Manhattan plot (Fig. 2a, Tables 1, S3, S4). Additional putative QTL were defined as peaks in the Manhattan plot that reached just slightly below the significance threshold. Four of these putative QTL were identified on chromosomes 2A and 2D, as well as on 6A and 7B. The proportion of genotypic variance explained jointly by all QTL amounted to 46.4%. Individually, a marker for the QTL on chromosome 3A explained 13.5% and thus the largest proportion of the genotypic variance, followed by a marker for the QTL on 2B with 6.8%. Notably, even after correction for collinearity by a joint fit of all markers in a linear model, other markers besides these two also captured genotypic variance from the 3A and 2B QTL. This indicated that the two major QTL identified here for flag leaf glaucousness may genetically be more complex. Interestingly, the additional putative QTL explained between 3.7 and 6.1% of the genotypic variance. The genetic map and physical positions of the putative QTL on 2A and 2D suggest that they may be homoeologous to the major QTL on chromosome 2B (Figs. S2, S3).

The allele substitution effect of the QTL on chromosome 3A was approximately 0.5 and that of the 2B QTL approximately 0.3 (Table 1). Genotypes carrying the glaucousness-increasing allele at these two QTL were generally among the most glaucous, and glaucousness decreased with fewer glaucousness-increasing alleles at the 3A, 2B, 2A and 2D



Fig.2 Identification of glaucousness QTL. **a** Manhattan plot showing the results from the genome-wide scan for flag leaf glaucousness. The dashed horizontal line indicates the significance threshold (Bonferroni-corrected P < 0.05). The regions of the two major QTL on chromosomes 3A and 2B are shown based on the genetic map and

QTL (Fig. 3). This indicates that the effects of these glaucousness loci are at least to some extent additive.

The identified QTL explained approximately half of the genotypic variance, indicating that the remainder might be attributable to epistatic effects or to QTL with effects too small to be detected in association mapping. We therefore performed a genome-wide prediction, an approach

physical positions of the markers (IWGSC RefSeq v1.0). **b** Linkage disequilibrium (r^2) among the significantly associated markers. **c** Polymorphisms in the three carboxylesterase/hydrolase (HYD) genes at the *W1* locus. The genes are shown with 5' and 3' UTR

that estimates effects for all markers simultaneously and thereby also captures the effects of small-effect QTL. The prediction ability of this genome-wide approach was substantially higher (mean ~ 0.75) than that based solely on identified QTL (mean 0.42 for the 3A and 2B QTL, and 0.58 for the 3A and 2B QTL plus four putative QTL), illustrating that additional small-effect additive genetic loci
 Table 1
 Mapped markers

 identified as significantly
 associated with flag leaf

 glaucousness in winter wheat
 identified as in the second se

MarkerChr.Pos. (cM)Pos. (bp)cP value p_G^a Effect p^d S11258923A104.6609,909,6093.1e-70.12 -0.06 0.89S30231493A106.9621,586,7594.6e-90.770.410.23D39567363A106.9 ^b 621,515,6704.6e-90.060.400.24S22642983A109.3624,928,8791.1e-73.19 -0.39 0.39D12198753A109.5608,499,0592.6e-1813.480.530.19D10958843A109.5 ^b 605,990,8248.0e-100.48 -0.38 0.23D11163232B7.3 $-$ 1.2e-92.610.320.43S16756632B7.44,482,6558.9e-166.79 -0.28 0.51D12125832B8.6 $-$ 2.8e-90.26 -0.21 0.67D10759452B20.211,207,5951.7e-110.010.230.62D23364412B22.411,898,5482.8e-130.080.270.63D12281112B24.717,493,4863.8e-71.79 -0.75 0.61S9834076A92.5283,030,6303.3e-54.22 -0.60 0.90D10922867B196.0701,866,2026.9e-66.08 -0.34 0.64D11131292D5.82,873,5728.0e-63.77 -0.42 0.77								
S11258923A104.6 $609,909,609$ $3.1e-7$ 0.12 -0.06 0.89 S30231493A106.9 $621,586,759$ $4.6e-9$ 0.77 0.41 0.23 D39567363A106.9 ^b $621,515,670$ $4.6e-9$ 0.06 0.40 0.24 S22642983A109.3 $624,928,879$ $1.1e-7$ 3.19 -0.39 0.39 D12198753A109.5 $608,499,059$ $2.6e-18$ 13.48 0.53 0.19 D10958843A109.5 ^b $605,990,824$ $8.0e-10$ 0.48 -0.38 0.23 D11163232B 7.3 $ 1.2e-9$ 2.61 0.32 0.43 S16756632B 7.4 $4,482,655$ $8.9e-16$ 6.79 -0.28 0.51 D12125832B 8.6 $ 2.8e-9$ 0.26 -0.21 0.67 D10759452B 20.2 $11,207,595$ $1.7e-11$ 0.01 0.23 0.62 D2364412B 22.4 $11,898,548$ $2.8e-13$ 0.08 0.27 0.63 D12281112B 24.7 $17,493,486$ $3.8e-7$ 1.79 -0.07 0.49 S30231252B 40.7 $15,410,148$ $5.4e-8$ 0.11 0.38 0.29 Additional putative QTL ^e UUU $23,030,630$ $3.3e-5$ 4.22 -0.60 0.90 D1922867B196.0701,866,202 $6.9e-6$ 6.08 -0.34 0.64 <	Marker	Chr.	Pos. (cM)	Pos. (bp) ^c	P value	$p_{ m G}^{ m a}$	Effect	p^{d}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S1125892	3A	104.6	609,909,609	3.1e-7	0.12	-0.06	0.89
D39567363A 106.9^{b} $621,515,670$ $4.6e-9$ 0.06 0.40 0.24 S22642983A 109.3 $624,928,879$ $1.1e-7$ 3.19 -0.39 0.39 D12198753A 109.5 $608,499,059$ $2.6e-18$ 13.48 0.53 0.19 D10958843A 109.5^{b} $605,990,824$ $8.0e-10$ 0.48 -0.38 0.23 D11163232B 7.3 $ 1.2e-9$ 2.61 0.32 0.43 S16756632B 7.4 $4,482,655$ $8.9e-16$ 6.79 -0.28 0.51 D12125832B 8.6 $ 2.8e-9$ 0.26 -0.21 0.67 D10759452B 20.2 $11,207,595$ $1.7e-11$ 0.01 0.23 0.62 D23364412B 22.4 $11,898,548$ $2.8e-13$ 0.08 0.27 0.63 D12281112B 24.7 $17,493,486$ $3.8e-7$ 1.79 -0.07 0.49 S30231252B 40.7 $15,410,148$ $5.4e-8$ 0.11 0.38 0.29 Additional putative QTL ^e UUUUUUUD10922867B 196.0 $701,866,202$ $6.9e-6$ 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D11131292D 5.8 $2,873,572$ $8.0e-6$ 3.77 -0.42 0.77	S3023149	3A	106.9	621,586,759	4.6e-9	0.77	0.41	0.23
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D3956736	3A	106.9 ^b	621,515,670	4.6e-9	0.06	0.40	0.24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S2264298	3A	109.3	624,928,879	1.1e-7	3.19	-0.39	0.39
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D1219875	3A	109.5	608,499,059	2.6e-18	13.48	0.53	0.19
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D1095884	3A	109.5 ^b	605,990,824	8.0e-10	0.48	-0.38	0.23
S1675663 2B 7.4 4,482,655 8.9e-16 6.79 -0.28 0.51 D1212583 2B 8.6 - 2.8e-9 0.26 -0.21 0.67 D1075945 2B 20.2 11,207,595 1.7e-11 0.01 0.23 0.62 D2336441 2B 22.4 11,898,548 2.8e-13 0.08 0.27 0.63 D1228111 2B 24.7 17,493,486 3.8e-7 1.79 -0.07 0.49 S3023125 2B 40.7 15,410,148 5.4e-8 0.11 0.38 0.29 Additional putative QTL ^e D 2731,273 5.1e-6 3.57 -0.25 0.61 S983407 6A 92.5 283,030,630 3.3e-5 4.22 -0.60 0.90 D1092286 7B 196.0 701,866,202 6.9e-6 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	D1116323	2B	7.3	-	1.2e-9	2.61	0.32	0.43
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S1675663	2B	7.4	4,482,655	8.9e-16	6.79	-0.28	0.51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D1212583	2B	8.6	-	2.8e-9	0.26	-0.21	0.67
D2336441 2B 22.4 11,898,548 2.8e-13 0.08 0.27 0.63 D1228111 2B 24.7 17,493,486 3.8e-7 1.79 -0.07 0.49 S3023125 2B 40.7 15,410,148 5.4e-8 0.11 0.38 0.29 Additional putative QTL ^e 0.61 0.93 0.29 S983407 6A 92.5 283,030,630 3.3e-5 4.22 -0.60 0.90 D1092286 7B 196.0 701,866,202 6.9e-6 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	D1075945	2B	20.2	11,207,595	1.7e-11	0.01	0.23	0.62
D1228111 2B 24.7 17,493,486 3.8e-7 1.79 -0.07 0.49 S3023125 2B 40.7 15,410,148 5.4e-8 0.11 0.38 0.29 Additional putative QTL ^e 2,731,273 5.1e-6 3.57 -0.25 0.61 S983407 6A 92.5 283,030,630 3.3e-5 4.22 -0.60 0.90 D1092286 7B 196.0 701,866,202 6.9e-6 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	D2336441	2B	22.4	11,898,548	2.8e-13	0.08	0.27	0.63
S3023125 2B 40.7 15,410,148 5.4e-8 0.11 0.38 0.29 Additional putative QTL ^e 2731,273 5.1e-6 3.57 -0.25 0.61 S983407 6A 92.5 283,030,630 3.3e-5 4.22 -0.60 0.90 D1092286 7B 196.0 701,866,202 6.9e-6 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	D1228111	2B	24.7	17,493,486	3.8e-7	1.79	-0.07	0.49
Additional putative QTLeD22582662A33.72,731,2735.1e-63.57-0.250.61S9834076A92.5283,030,6303.3e-54.22-0.600.90D10922867B196.0701,866,2026.9e-66.08-0.340.64D11131292D5.82,873,5728.0e-63.77-0.420.77	\$3023125	2B	40.7	15,410,148	5.4e-8	0.11	0.38	0.29
D2258266 2A 33.7 2,731,273 5.1e-6 3.57 -0.25 0.61 \$983407 6A 92.5 283,030,630 3.3e-5 4.22 -0.60 0.90 D1092286 7B 196.0 701,866,202 6.9e-6 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	Additional pu	tative QTI	e					
\$\$83407 6A 92.5 283,030,630 3.3e-5 4.22 -0.60 0.90 D1092286 7B 196.0 701,866,202 6.9e-6 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	D2258266	2A	33.7	2,731,273	5.1e-6	3.57	-0.25	0.61
D1092286 7B 196.0 701,866,202 6.9e-6 6.08 -0.34 0.64 D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	S983407	6A	92.5	283,030,630	3.3e-5	4.22	-0.60	0.90
D1113129 2D 5.8 2,873,572 8.0e-6 3.77 -0.42 0.77	D1092286	7B	196.0	701,866,202	6.9e-6	6.08	-0.34	0.64
	D1113129	2D	5.8	2,873,572	8.0e-6	3.77	-0.42	0.77

For chromosome 2B, one marker for each of the seven LD blocks (Fig. S5b) was used for this analysis ^aProportion of explained genotypic variance

^bUnmapped marker placed on the genetic map based on LD with mapped markers

^cPhysical positions of the markers based on IWGSC RefSeq v1.0

^dFrequency of the allele increasing glaucousness

^eNot significant at the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold of P < 0.05



Fig. 3 Effects of flag leaf glaucousness QTL. Boxplots showing the effects of individual QTL (*qFLG*), as well as combinations thereof on flag leaf glaucousness. *qFLG.3A*, D1219875; *qFLG.2A*, D2258266; *qFLG.2B*, S1675663; *qFLG.2D*, D1113129

also contribute to the genetic architecture of flag leaf glaucousness (Fig. S4). Taken together, our analyses revealed that flag leaf glaucousness is a complex trait that besides small-effect loci is controlled by some medium- to majoreffect QTL.

The major QTL on 2B is the W1 locus

The significantly associated markers from chromosome 2B cover a region approximately 20 cM in length without a clearly defined peak (Fig. 2a). The same markers plotted

according to their physical position, however, revealed two possibly even four peaks, indicating this locus to be more than a single gene. This was further substantiated by the analysis of linkage disequilibrium (LD) among these markers, which revealed blocks of markers in high LD, but rather low LD among these blocks (Figs. 2b, S5, S6). Genome analysis revealed that the region on chromosome 2B between 3 and 5 Mbp corresponds to the W1 locus, harboring the P450, PKS, HYD and WES genes (Fig. S7). Notably, the seven significant markers from that region were not all in high LD. This might be due to some of them being actually associated with Iw1, or to the complexity of the W1 locus with several genes affecting wax synthesis. Exome capture data from 31 cultivars revealed no polymorphism in the WES gene, but in one of the carboxylesterase/hydrolase (HYD) genes located ~10.5 kb from the most strongly associated marker S1675663. We therefore sequenced all three HYD genes to assess these primary targets of the *Iw1* miRNA for polymorphisms. This revealed the same polymorphism (A43V) in TraesCS2B01G006100 and TraesCS2B01G006500, while one polymorphism resulting in an amino acid change (V182I) and a 1 bp InDel resulting in a frameshift were found in TraesCS2B01G007100 (Figs. 2c, S7). We genotyped a subset of 185 lines for these four polymorphisms and found them to be in high LD with each other as well as with the two most strongly associated markers from the W1 region (Fig. S8). The two genes TraesCS2B01G006100 and TraesCS2B01G006500 are completely identical in their coding sequence and also the A43V polymorphism was in complete LD, indicating a gene duplication with the mutation resulting in the polymorphism predating the duplication event. Accordingly, the four polymorphisms each explained a comparable proportion of genotypic variance, and consequently, none of them can be ruled out as being causal (Table S5). Their explained genotypic variance was slightly higher than that of the two markers, which may indicate that they are closer to the causal polymorphism(s), but may also be due to a more accurate genotyping as compared to the genome-wide marker data.

Intrigued by the potential duplication of TraesCS2B01G006100 and TraesCS2B01G006500, we designed a TaqMan[®] assay targeting both genes, in order to assess them for copy number variation (CNV). The assay revealed no clearly defined copy number variants, but the ratio of the target genes to the internal control gene explained 5.85% of the genotypic variance in the same subset of 185 lines (Table S5). This is unlikely for an artifact produced by the assay and consequently indicates that there is copy number variation of these two HYD genes. As for the identified polymorphisms in the three HYD genes, it is not possible to determine if the presumed copy number variation is causal for the effect of this locus or not. Collectively, these results corroborate the complex

nature of the *W1* locus with several polymorphisms in different genes likely involved in wax biosynthesis, several resulting haplotypes, as well as potentially copy number variations of some of these genes. Thus, whether the identified polymorphisms are causal and contribute to natural variation in flag leaf glaucousness in wheat cannot be unambiguously determined with a diversity panel and requires further research on the molecular level.

Another group of significantly associated markers comprises 16 markers located between 11 and 12 Mbp (Fig. 2a, b). However, it was not possible to further pinpoint this QTL and genome analysis revealed no obvious candidates. Two further potential peaks in the Manhattan plot are at around 15 and 17 Mbp with one and two significant markers, respectively, and several P450 genes are located in those regions. In summary, the major QTL identified on chromosome 2B represents the *W1* locus, but resolved into at least one additional locus distal to *W1*. Further work on a genetic and molecular level is required to confirm the presence of these putative QTL and to identify the underlying genes contributing to glaucousness in wheat.

Characterization of the major QTL on 3A

The second major QTL was identified on chromosome 3A (Figs. 2a, S9). Bennett et al. (2012) recently reported a major glaucousness QTL in a biparental population, located on chromosome 3A close to the SSR marker wmc264. The physical position of this SSR marker is at 625.8 Mbp and thus in the same chromosomal region as the QTL identified here, indicating that they are identical. Most of the six significantly associated markers, however, were in low LD with each other, with at least two of them capturing a substantial proportion of genotypic variance in a joint fit (Fig. 2b, Table S3). Such a pattern can be explained in several ways. It is possible that there is indeed only a single gene underlying the QTL, but in the absence of a marker in high LD with the causal polymorphism and a more complex LD structure in the region, several markers could capture the variance of that gene. Alternatively, copy number variation has also been shown to result in this kind of pattern, as single biallelic markers cannot fully capture the variance of a multiallelic QTL (Würschum et al. 2017a). Another explanation is that, as observed for the 2B QTL and the W1 locus, several genes and causal polymorphisms underlie the association signals. Notably, three of the markers stem from the region between 605 and 610 Mbp, two from ~621.5 Mbp and one from ~625 Mbp, with non-associated markers interspersed, which may support the latter explanation (Fig. S10). Again, future work is required to further characterize this major glaucousness locus and clone the underlying gene(s), for which this study has laid the foundation.

Epistatic interactions contribute to the genetic control of glaucousness

The interactions known for the *W* and *Iw* loci prompted us to investigate epistasis among the significantly associated

markers. This revealed that marker D1095884 at ~606 Mbp

markers from the 3A and 2B QTL, the strongest interaction was observed between marker S3023149 at ~ 621.5 Mbp on 3A and D1081314 at ~11.2 Mbp on 2B. We next focused on these markers that potentially are part of epistatic QTL and assessed them for interactions with all genome-wide markers. For both D1095884 and S3023149, this revealed an

а 2B 3A 6 608.50 621.59 g 66 609.91 52 624.93 1.35 1.35 1.42 1.42 1.66 -log₁₀(P value) 3.61 1.21 1.42 1.52 1.58 17.49 7.49 5.4 5 605.9 dio. 621. 4 3 2 D1219875 D1241725 D3385538 D1241439 S1030010 D1081314 D1075945 D1095884 D3956736 S3023149 S2264298 D1202966 D1027993 D1212109 D1111017 S2262475 D1093540 D3384809 D2375305 S3023125 D1228111 S1125892 S1675663 D1123363 S3034152 D1176950 D1138871 D1087672 D353282 D123785 D233644 D985075 1 0 Mio. bp 605.99 D1095884 608.50 D1219875 S1125892 609.91 æ 621.52 D3956736 621.59 S3023149 624.93 S2264298 D1095884 S3023149 b 10 D1669440 D1095884 - Epistasis Chr. 3A 8 -log₁₀(*P* value) 6 4 2 0 10 D1669440 S3023149 - Epistasis Chr. 3A 8 -log₁₀(*P* value) 6 4 2 0 Mio. bp 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 С **3A** 605.99 **3A** 605.99 **3A** 621.59 2B 11.19 D1095884 D1095884 S3023149 D1081314 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 2 82 2.87 2.60 2.96 2 96 2.31 2.93 2 70 3 34 621.59 2.86 2.93 638.50 621.59 2.86 0 638.50 0 0 0 S3023149 D1669440 D1669440 S3023149 (86) (752)(54) (532)(552)(32)(624) (214)4.06 2.95 3.91 2.73 3.20 2.67 3.86 3.20 3.42 4.40 3.67 3.67 ¥ 2 2 2 æ ЗA 2 ЗA (286) (64) (89) (315) (221) (164)(205)(189)3.63 2.88 3.63 2.88 2.86 3.67 2.87 3.59

Fig.4 Epistatic interactions in flag leaf glaucousness. **a** Epistasis among the significantly associated markers for the two major QTL on chromosomes 3A and 2B. **b** Results from the genome-wide scan for interactions of markers D1095884 or S3023149, shown for chromo-

some 3A. The common region with marker D1669440 is highlighted. **c** Four-way tables showing epistatic interactions among loci. The numbers in brackets indicate the number of individuals in each genotypic class

on chromosome 3A shows an epistatic interaction with the two closely linked markers at ~621.5 Mbp on the same chromosome (Figs. 4a, S11). Regarding interactions among

interacting locus on the same chromosome, 3A, at approximately 638.5 Mbp, represented by the most strongly associated marker D1669440 (Tables S6, S7, Figs. 4b, S12). This is reminiscent of the *W1* and *W2* loci with their *Iw1* and *Iw2* loci located close by on the same chromosomes.

Analyzing these epistatic interactions in 4-way tables revealed that the interaction between D1095884 and S3023149, as well as those between these two markers and D1669440, has three genotypic classes with comparable, low glaucousness and one genotypic class with a much higher glaucousness (Fig. 4c). Thus, within the 3A QTL, a combination of certain alleles is required for a high glaucousness, which might resemble a certain haplotype if several genes are involved. The interaction with D1669440 shows that the 3A QTL glaucousness allele will only lead to a high glaucousness in the presence of one of the alleles of this epistatic locus, whereas the other allele results in a glaucousness as low as that of the non-glaucous allele at the 3A QTL. By contrast, the nonsignificant interaction between the 3A and 2B QTL resembles an additive interaction, with the effects of the two QTL being additive and thus the lowest glaucousness for the genotypic class with the non-glaucous allele at both loci, the highest glaucousness if both glaucous alleles are present in a genotype, and an intermediate glaucousness for those carrying only one of the glaucous OTL alleles. Taken together, just as for the W1 and W2 loci, the 3A QTL appears to depend on epistatic interactions, illustrating the importance of epistasis in the expression of glaucousness.

Discussion

The consequences of climate change, already witnessed now, will not only increase average temperatures, but also the occurrence of extreme weather conditions. Confronting the latter with cultivars capable of tolerating such events is a major challenge for plant breeding. Therefore, understanding the genetic basis of traits potentially related to improved stress tolerance is an important step.

Glaucousness has been implicated in conferring tolerance against abiotic stresses in small grain cereals. It is conceivable that the epicuticular wax layer can affect the plants' physiological response to environmental stimuli, in particular to heat and drought stress. Our study revealed a large phenotypic variation for flag leaf glaucousness in a panel of wheat cultivars of worldwide origin. Surprisingly, we did not observe the expected pattern of a higher glaucousness in cultivars from the more heat and drought-prone countries of origin. It must be noted that the results reported for the effect of glaucousness on drought tolerance are ambiguous (e.g., Johnson et al. 1983; Merah et al. 2000; Simmonds et al. 2008). Johnson et al. (1983), for example, investigated near-isogenic wheat lines (NILs) that differed in the presence or absence of glaucousness. They showed that the glaucous lines yielded significantly more grain and dry matter than their non-glaucous counterparts in the higher yielding

environments, but not in the dry environment. Moreover, no consistent differences were observed for leaf water potential or gas exchange of flag leaves. Thus, while the variation observed in our study among, as well as within, countries of origin might simply reflect certain preferences of the breeders, it could also indicate selection on glaucousness enforced by traits other than heat or drought stress. This observation does not mean, however, that glaucousness is not involved in conferring heat and drought tolerance. Both are complex traits, and at most, glaucousness is only one of the components. Johnson et al. (1983) also reported a higher surface reflectance of the abaxial side of glaucous flag leaves, which is the leaf side often displayed to the sun, particularly when the leaves roll in response to drought. Interestingly, the glaucous NILs did not necessarily have greater amounts of epicuticular wax, indicating that it may be variations in the strength and the composition of the wax layer that are important for stress tolerance and this may often be confounded with glaucousness or may in part even be genetically linked to it. Thus, additional work is required to disentangle the role of glaucousness and the different wax layer characteristics in conferring heat and drought tolerance in wheat.

The genetics underlying glaucousness have so far been studied in biparental mapping populations. Here, genomewide association mapping identified two major peaks in the Manhattan plot, on chromosomes 3A and 2B. Further characterization revealed the 2B QTL to contain the W1 locus (Hen-Avivi et al. 2016), but also to be more complex, likely comprising additional loci. Zhang et al. (2015) have recently described the W3 locus as being also involved in β -diketone biosynthesis and linked to W1. Thus, one of the additional peaks identified in the 2B QTL region might be W3. The other two peaks could be a consequence of the LD structure and, despite being physically separated, might be markers associated with W1 or W3. Alternatively, these peaks might be separate QTL, which would mean that besides W1 and W3, other genes or gene clusters in that chromosomal region also contribute to glaucousness. Validation of these gene(s) and whether they belong to the same metabolic cluster or act independently requires further research. In addition to the major QTL on chromosome 2B, we identified two putative QTL on chromosomes 2A and 2D. The QTL on 2D likely corresponds to W2, while the identification of the putative QTL on chromosome 2A indicates that this locus may be present in a homoeologous series on all three genomes.

Moreover, we identified a major QTL on chromosome 3A, confirming previous findings from a biparental mapping population of two Australian wheat lines (Bennett et al. 2012). Thus, besides the B and D genomes, also the A genome of wheat harbors major glaucousness loci. As observed for WI, the locus may be genetically more complex and in addition might show an epistatic interaction with another locus located a few centiMorgan distal to it. While

this requires validation, it is consistent with the picture emerging for the genetic control underlying glaucousness, with wax synthesis loci and other, often dominant-acting loci that inhibit them. Thus, the unexplained proportion of genotypic variance may in part be attributable to epistatic interactions, but as revealed by the genome-wide prediction, also to additional small- or even medium-effect QTL. Examples for the latter may be the putative QTL identified on chromosomes 6A and 7B.

Taken together, we identified several promising targets for a further characterization and cloning of the underlying genes, which can certainly be assisted by utilization of the wheat tilling population and thus by a combination of forward and reverse genetics (Krasileva et al. 2017). Collectively, this will further improve our understanding of the genetic architecture of this trait in small grain cereals, toward a targeted design of the epicuticular wax layer in plant breeding.

Acknowledgements Open Access funding provided by Projekt DEAL. This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Grant Number WU 658/1-1. The authors would like to thank the International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (www.wheat genome.org) for providing pre-publication access to IWGSC RefSeq v1.0.

Author contribution statement TW designed the study; SML and CFHL collected phenotypic data; TW and WLL performed the analyses; TW, MRT and WLL wrote the paper.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical standard The authors declare that the experiments comply with the current laws of Germany.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Adamski NM, Bush MS, Simmonds J, Turner AS, Mugford SG, Jones A, Findlay K, Pedentchouk N, von Wettstein-Knowles P, Uauy C (2013) The *Inhibitor of wax 1* locus (*Iw1*) prevents formation of b- and OH-b-diketones in wheat cuticular waxes and maps to a sub-cM interval on chromosome arm 2BS. Plant J 74:989–1002

- Bennett D, Izanloo A, Edwards J, Kuchel H, Chalmers K, Tester M, Reynolds M, Schnurbusch T, Langridge P (2012) Identification of novel quantitative trait loci for days to ear emergence and flag leaf glaucousness in a bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) population adapted to southern Australian conditions. Theor Appl Genet 124:697–711
- Bi H, Kovulchuk N, Langridge P, Tricker PJ, Lopato S, Borisjuk N (2017) The impact of drought on wheat leaf cuticle properties. BMC Plant Biol 17:85
- Boeven PHG, Longin CFH, Würschum T (2016a) A unified framework for hybrid breeding and the establishment of heterotic groups in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 129:1231–1245
- Boeven PHG, Longin CFH, Leiser WL, Kollers S, Ebmeyer E, Würschum T (2016) Genetic architecture of male floral traits required for hybrid wheat breeding. Theor Appl Genet 129:2343–2357
- Börner A, Schumann E, Fürste A, Cöster H, Leithold B, Röder M, Weber W (2002) Mapping of quantitative trait loci determining agronomic important characters in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 105:921–936
- Endelman JB (2011) Ridge regression and other kernels for genomic selection with R package rrBLUP. Plant Genome 4:250–255
- Febrero A, Fernández S, Molina-Cano JL, Araus JL (1998) Yield, carbon isotope discrimination, canopy reflectance and cuticular conductance of barley isolines of differing glaucousness. J Exp Bot 49:1575–1581
- Gilmour AR, Gogel B, Cullis B, Thompson R (2009) ASReml user guide release 3.0. VSN International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead
- Hen-Avivi S, Savin O, Racovita RC, Lee W-S, Adamski NM, Malitsky S, Almekias-Siegl E, Levy M, Vautrin S, Bergès H, Friedlander G, Kartvelishvily E, Ben-Zvi G, Alkan N, Uauy C, Kanyuka K, Jetter R, Distelfeld A, Aharoni A (2016) A metabolic cluster in the wheat W1 and the barley Cer-cqu loci determines β-diketone biosynthesis and glaucousness. Plant Cell 28:1440–1460
- Huang D, Feurtado JA, Smith MA, Flatman LK, Koh C, Cutler AJ (2017) Long noncoding miRNA gene represses wheat β-diketone waxes. Proc Nat Acad Sci 114:E3149–E3158
- Johnson DA, Richards RA, Turner NC (1983) Yield, water relations, gas exchange, and surface reflectances of near-isogenic wheat lines differing in glaucousness. Crop Sci 23:318–325
- Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross HA, Howell T, Bailey P, Paraiso F, Clissold L, Simmonds J, Ramirez-Ganzalez RH, Wang X, Borrill P, Fosker C, Ayling S, Phillips AL, Uauy C, Dubcovsky J (2017) Uncovering hidden variation in polyploidy wheat. Proc Nat Acad Sci 114:E913–E921
- Kulwal PL, Roy JK, Balyan HS, Gupta PK (2003) QTL mapping for growth and leaf characters in bread wheat. Plant Sci 164:267–277
- Li H, Vikram P, Singh RP, Kilian A, Carling J, Song J, Burgueno-Ferreira JA, Bhavani S, Huerta-Espino J, Payne T et al (2015) A high density GBS map of bread wheat and its application for dissecting complex disease resistance traits. BMC Genomics 16:216
- Mason RE, Mondal S, Beecher FW, Pacheco A, Jampala B, Ibrahim AMH, Hays DB (2010) QTL associated with heat susceptibility index in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under short-term reproductive stage heat stress. Euphytica 174:423–436
- Merah O, Dellens E, Souyris I, Monneveux P (2000) Effect of glaucousness on carbon isotope discrimination and grain yield in durum wheat. J Agron Crop Sci 185:259–265
- Piepho HP, Möhring J (2007) Computing heritability and selection response from unbalanced plant breeding trials. Genetics 177:1881–1888
- R Development Core Team (2014) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org

- Richards R, Rawson H, Johnson D (1986) Glaucousness in wheat: its development and effect on water-use efficiency, gas exchange and photosynthetic tissue temperatures. Funct Plant Biol 13:465–473
- Samuels L, Kunst L, Jetter R (2008) Sealing plant surfaces: cuticular wax formation by epidermal cells. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59:683–707
- Schneider LM, Adamski NM, Christensen CE, Stuart DB, Vautrin S, Hansson M, Uauy C, von Wettstein-Knowles P (2016) The *Cercqu* gene cluster determines three key players in a β-diketone synthase polyketide pathway synthesizing aliphatics in epicuticular waxes. J Exp Bot 67:2715–2730
- Simmonds JR, Fish LJ, Leverington-Waite MA, Wang Y, Howell P, Snape JW (2008) Mapping of a gene (Vir) for a non-glaucous, viridescent phenotype in bread wheat derived from *Triticum dicoccoides*, and its association with yield variation. Euphytica 159:333–341
- Tsunewaki K, Ebana K (1999) Production of near-isogenic lines of common wheat for glaucousness and genetic basis of this trait. Genes Genet Syst 74:33–41
- The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (2018) Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. Science 361:eaar7191
- Utz HF, Melchinger AE, Schön CC (2000) Bias and sampling error of the estimated proportion of genotypic variance explained by quantitative trait loci determined from experimental data in maize using cross validation and validation with independent samples. Genetics 154:1839–1849
- Varshney RK, Balyan HS, Langridge P (2006) Wheat. In: Kole C (ed) Genome mapping and molecular breeding in plants. Cereals and millet, vol 1. Springer, Berlin, pp 79–134
- von Wettstein-Knowles P (1976) Biosynthetic relationships between b-diketones and esterified alkan-2-ols deduced from epicuticular wax of barley mutants. Mol Gen Genet 144:43–48
- von Wettstein-Knowles P (2012) Plant Waxes. Wiley, Chichester

- Williams E, Piepho H-P, Whitaker D (2011) Augmented p-rep designs. Biom. J. 53:19–27
- Würschum T, Boeven PH, Langer SM, Longin CFH, Leiser WL (2015) Multiply to conquer: copy number variations at *Ppd-B1* and *Vrn-A1* facilitate global adaptation in wheat. BMC Genet 16:96
- Würschum T, Longin CFH, Hahn V, Tucker MR, Leiser WL (2017a) Copy number variations of CBF genes at the Fr-A2 locus are essential components of winter hardiness in wheat. Plant J 89:764–773
- Würschum T, Langer SM, Longin CFH, Tucker MR, Leiser WL (2017b) A modern Green Revolution gene for reduced height in wheat. Plant J 92:892–903
- Würschum T, Langer SM, Longin CFH, Tucker MR, Leiser WL (2018a) A three-component system incorporating *Ppd-D1*, copy number variation at *Ppd-B1*, and numerous small-effect QTL facilitates adaptation of heading time in winter wheat cultivars of worldwide origin. Plant Cell Environ 41:1407–1416
- Würschum T, Leiser WL, Langer SM, Tucker MR, Longin CFH (2018b) Phenotypic and genetic analysis of spike and kernel characteristics in wheat reveals long-term genetic trends of grain yield components. Theor Appl Genet 131:2071–2084
- Yeats TH, Rose JKC (2013) The formation and function of plant cuticles. Plant Physiol 163:5–20
- Zhang Z, Wei W, Zhu H, Challa GS, Bi C, Trick HN, Li W (2015) *W3* is a new wax locus that is essential for biosynthesis of β-diketone, development of glaucousness, and reduction of cuticle permeability in common wheat. PLoS ONE 10:e0140524

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.