

Spherical twists, stationary paths and harmonic maps from generalised annuli into spheres

Ali Taheri

Abstract. Let $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a *generalised* annulus and consider the Dirichlet energy functional

$$\mathbb{E}[u; \mathbf{X}] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx,$$

on the space of admissible maps

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) : u|_{\partial\mathbf{X}} = \varphi \right\}.$$

Here $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}(\partial\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is *fixed* and $\mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X})$ is non-empty. In this paper we introduce a class of maps referred to as *spherical* twists and examine them in connection with the Euler–Lagrange equation associated with $\mathbb{E}[\cdot, \mathbf{X}]$ on $\mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X})$ [the so-called harmonic map equation on \mathbf{X}]. The main result here is an interesting discrepancy between *even* and *odd* dimensions. Indeed for even n subject to a compatibility condition on φ the latter system admits *infinitely* many smooth solutions modulo isometries whereas for odd n this number reduces to *one* or *none*. We discuss qualitative features of the solutions in view of their novel and *explicit* representation through the exponential map of the compact Lie group $\mathbf{SO}(n)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 58E20, 22CXX, 35RXX.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a < |x| < b\}$ with $0 < a < b < \infty$ and consider the Dirichlet energy functional

$$\mathbb{E}[u; \mathbf{X}] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx, \tag{1.1}$$

on the space of admissible maps

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) : u|_{\partial\mathbf{X}} = \varphi \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here \mathbb{S}^{n-1} represent the Euclidean unit sphere and as customary we have set

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{R}^n) : u(x) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \text{ for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbf{X} \right\}.$$

Moreover $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}(\partial\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is *fixed* while the space $\mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X})$ is non-empty. In view of $\partial\mathbf{X} = \partial\mathbf{X}_a \cup \partial\mathbf{X}_b := a\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup b\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ it is convenient to set

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_a = \varphi|_{\partial\mathbf{X}_a} \circ \delta_a, \\ \varphi_b = \varphi|_{\partial\mathbf{X}_b} \circ \delta_b, \end{cases}$$

where δ_a, δ_b are space dilatations by factors a and b respectively. As a result we speak of $\varphi_a, \varphi_b \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. The Euler–Lagrange equation associated with $\mathbb{E}[\cdot, \mathbf{X}]$ on $\mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X})$ takes the form¹

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{X}, \\ |u| = 1 & \text{in } \mathbf{X}, \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\mathbf{X}, \end{cases}$$

which is the well-known harmonic map equation on \mathbf{X} and into \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Motivated by the significance of *Dehn* twists in the study of mapping class groups of surfaces (see, e.g., [2]) and the interesting role played by *generalised* twists in the multiple solution problems of nonlinear elasticity (cf., e.g., [12]) in this article we introduce their \mathbb{S}^{n-1} -valued counterparts, the *spherical* twists, and out of pure curiosity examine them in connection with the above system of Euler–Lagrange equations. Indeed a spherical twist by definition is a map $u \in \mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X})$ in the form

$$u : x = r\theta \mapsto \mathbf{Q}(r)\theta,$$

where $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $r = |x|$, $\theta = x/|x|$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in W^{1,2}([a, b], \mathbf{SO}(n))$. It is evident that subject to this assumption φ must take the form

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_a(\theta) = \mathbf{R}_a\theta, \\ \varphi_b(\theta) = \mathbf{R}_b\theta, \end{cases}$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ where $\mathbf{R}_a, \mathbf{R}_b \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$ (in fact $\mathbf{Q}(a) = \mathbf{R}_a$ and $\mathbf{Q}(b) = \mathbf{R}_b$). Now by restricting the energy to the space of *spherical* twists we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Q}(r)\theta, \mathbf{X}] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \left[(n-1) + r^2 |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta|^2 \right] \right\} r^{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_n \int_a^b \left\{ n(n-1) \frac{1}{r^2} + |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}|^2 \right\} r^{n-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

¹For a comprehensive treatment of harmonic maps and some fundamental results cf. [5]. Also [6, 10, 11] for regularity, [9] for the role of domain topology and the monographs [3, 8] and the references therein.

As this last expression has no explicit θ dependence a natural starting point is to analyse the resulting Euler–Lagrange equation, a *second* order differential equation on the compact Lie group $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ and integrate the latter to classify all *extremals* of this restricted energy. Interestingly with the aid of the exponential map of $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ these take the form (see Theorems 2.1 and 2.2)

$$\mathbf{Q} : [a, b] \ni r \mapsto e^{\beta(r)\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{Q}_o \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$$

with $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}$ skew-symmetric, $\mathbf{Q}_o \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$ and $\beta = \beta(|x|)$ the *fundamental* solution of $-\Delta$ on \mathbb{R}^n . The next step is to extract from within this class those *spherical* twists that grant solutions to the original harmonic map equation on \mathbf{X} and this requires a careful analysis of the *full* versus the restricted Euler–Lagrange equations. The result points at a discrepancy between even and odd dimensions. Indeed subject to a compatibility condition between \mathbf{R}_a and \mathbf{R}_b (cf. (3.1) in Remark 3.1) for even n the latter system of equations admits *infinitely* many solutions all in the form

$$u(x) = u(r\theta) = \mathbf{R}_a \mathbf{P}_u e^{g(r)\mathcal{J}_n} \mathbf{P}_u^t \theta = \mathbf{R}_a \mathbf{P}_u \mathcal{R}_n[g](r) \mathbf{P}_u^t \theta,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_n = \text{diag}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}, \dots, \mathcal{J})$ and $\mathcal{R}_n[g](r) = \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[g](r), \mathcal{R}[g](r), \dots, \mathcal{R}[g](r))$ with the 2×2 skew-symmetric matrix \mathcal{J} and the *rotation* (by angle g) matrix $\mathcal{R}[g]$ as in (4.1) and (4.2) while $\mathbf{P}_u \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$ is suitably related to \mathbf{R}_a and \mathbf{R}_b . Furthermore the *rotation* angle g is related to $\beta = \beta(|x|)$ and depending on n can be expressed as:

[1] ($n = 2$)

$$g(r) = \frac{\log r/a}{\log b/a} (\eta + 2\pi m).$$

[2] ($n \geq 4$)

$$g(r) = \frac{(r/a)^{2-n} - 1}{(b/a)^{2-n} - 1} (\eta + 2\pi m).$$

Here $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ is as in Remark 3.1 while $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In sharp contrast for odd n the number of such solutions severely reduces to *one*, i.e.,

$$u(x) = u(r\theta) = \mathbf{R}\theta,$$

when $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_a = \mathbf{R}_b$ and *none* otherwise (cf. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). As $\mathbb{E}[\cdot, \mathbf{X}]$ attains its infimum on \mathcal{A}_ϕ it follows in particular that here the energy minimizer does not have the rotational *symmetry* one intuitively expects, i.e., is *not* a spherical twists (cf. [12] for further results).

Finally it is well-known that $\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = 0$ for liftings $u = e^{i\phi}$ is equivalent to $\Delta\phi = 0$. The result here gives a generalisation of this to all *even* dimensions. This observation seems to have gone unnoticed before.

2. Spherical twists on annuli

Let $\mathbf{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a < |x| < b\}$ and for $x \in \overline{\mathbf{X}}$ put $r = |x|$ and $\theta = x/|x|$. Then a *continuous* map u on $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ into \mathbb{S}^{n-1} in the form

$$u : x \mapsto \mathbf{Q}(r)\theta,$$

with $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{C}([a, b], \mathbf{SO}(n))$ is referred to as a *spherical twist* on \mathbf{X} ; \mathbf{Q} is the twist *path* and when $\mathbf{Q}(a) = \mathbf{Q}(b)$ the twist loop.²

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that u is a spherical twist on \mathbf{X} . Then $u \in \mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X})$ provided that the following hold.*

[1] $\mathbf{Q}(a) = \mathbf{R}_a,$

[2] $\mathbf{Q}(b) = \mathbf{R}_b,$

[3] $\mathbf{Q} \in W^{1,2}([a, b], \mathbf{SO}(n)).$

Proof. Evidently for u as described $u \in \mathcal{A}_\varphi(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if the following hold:

[a] $\|u\|_{1,2} < \infty,$

[b] $u = \varphi$ on $\partial\mathbf{X}.$

Now anticipating on [a] a straight-forward *differentiation* gives

$$\nabla u = \frac{1}{r} \left(\mathbf{Q} + (r\dot{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q})\theta \otimes \theta \right) \quad (2.1)$$

with $x = r\theta \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{Q}} := d\mathbf{Q}/dr$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u|^2 &= \text{tr} \left\{ [\nabla u][\nabla u]^t \right\} = \frac{1}{r^2} \text{tr} \left\{ \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{Q}\theta \otimes (r\dot{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q})\theta + (r\dot{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q})\theta \otimes \mathbf{Q}\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[(r\dot{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q})\theta \otimes \theta \right] \left[\theta \otimes (r\dot{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q})\theta \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} \text{tr} \left\{ \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q}\theta \otimes \mathbf{Q}\theta + r^2 \dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta \otimes \dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} [n - \langle \mathbf{Q}\theta, \mathbf{Q}\theta \rangle + r^2 \langle \dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta, \dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta \rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} [(n-1) + r^2 |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta|^2] \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where in concluding the *last* identity we have used $\langle \mathbf{Q}\theta, \mathbf{Q}\theta \rangle = 1$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Thus recalling that $|u|^2 = 1$ in \mathbf{X} we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{X}} |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 &= \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left[(n-1) + r^2 |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta|^2 \right] \right) r^{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta) dr \\ &= \int_a^b \omega_n \left[n + \frac{1}{r^2} n(n-1) + |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}|^2 \right] r^{n-1} dr \end{aligned}$$

and so [a] results from [3]. Finally [b] \iff ([1],[2]) and the proof is complete. \square

²In view of $\mathbf{Q}(r) \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$ on $[a, b]$ we have $|u(x)|^2 = |\mathbf{Q}(r)\theta|^2 = |\theta|^2$ and so u is \mathbb{S}^{n-1} -valued and thus *well-defined*.

Proposition 2.2. *Let u be a spherical twist with twist path $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{C}^2(]a, b[, \mathbf{SO}(n))$. Then*

$$\Delta u = \frac{1}{r^2}[(n - 1)(r\dot{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q}) + r^2\ddot{\mathbf{Q}}]\theta \tag{2.3}$$

and subsequently

$$\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = \left[\ddot{\mathbf{Q}} + \frac{n - 1}{r}\dot{\mathbf{Q}} + |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta|^2 \mathbf{Q} \right] \theta \tag{2.4}$$

in \mathbf{X} .

Proof. Indeed (2.3) follows by a further differentiation of (2.1) and (2.4) follows upon substitution from (2.2) and (2.3). We abbreviate the details. \square

It is plain that energy of a spherical twist with the aid of (2.2) in Proposition 2.1 can be described by the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u; \mathbf{X}] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \left[(n - 1) + r^2 |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}\theta|^2 \right] \right\} r^{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_n \int_a^b \left\{ n(n - 1) \frac{1}{r^2} + |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}|^2 \right\} r^{n-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Upon denoting the integral on the right by $e[\mathbf{Q}]$ in what follows we proceed by computing the first variation of this energy on the space of admissible paths on the pointed space $(\mathbf{SO}(n), \mathbf{I}_n)$, that is,³

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}[a, b] := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Q} \in W^{1,2}([a, b], \mathbf{SO}(n)) \\ \mathbf{Q}(a) = \mathbf{I}_n \\ \mathbf{Q}(b) = \mathbf{R} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.3. (Stationary paths) *The Euler–Lagrange equation associated with $e[\cdot]$ on \mathcal{E} takes the form*

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left\{ \left[r^{n-1} \frac{d}{dr} \mathbf{Q} \right] \mathbf{Q}^t \right\} = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

on $]a, b[$.

Proof. First fix \mathbf{Q} as described and for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ put $\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{Q} + \varepsilon(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^t)\mathbf{Q}$ where $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(]a, b[, \mathbb{M}_{n \times n})$ is arbitrary. Then

$$\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon^t = [\mathbf{Q} + \varepsilon(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^t)\mathbf{Q}][\mathbf{Q}^t - \varepsilon\mathbf{Q}^t(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^t)] = \mathbf{I}_n - \varepsilon^2(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^t)^2$$

³In view of the *trivial* identity $\Delta(\mathbf{R}u) + |\nabla(\mathbf{R}u)|^2 \mathbf{R}u = \mathbf{R}(\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u)$ (here $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{O}(n)$ is fixed) in what follows we assume without loss of generality that $\mathbf{R}_a = \mathbf{I}_n$ while $\mathbf{R}_b = \mathbf{R}$.

and so to the first order in ε the perturbation \mathbf{Q}_ε takes values on $\mathbf{SO}(n)$. Now with a slight abuse of notation we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} e[\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon] \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \int_a^b \langle \dot{\mathbf{Q}}, (\dot{\mathbf{F}} - \dot{\mathbf{F}}^t) \mathbf{Q} + (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^t) \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \rangle r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \int_a^b (\langle \dot{\mathbf{Q}}, (\dot{\mathbf{F}} - \dot{\mathbf{F}}^t) \mathbf{Q} \rangle + \langle \dot{\mathbf{Q}}, (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^t) \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \rangle) r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \int_a^b \langle \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}^t, (\dot{\mathbf{F}} - \dot{\mathbf{F}}^t) \rangle r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \int_a^b -\langle \frac{d}{dr} [r^{n-1} \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}^t], (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}^t) \rangle dr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in concluding the *last* line we have used the integration by parts formula together with the *boundary* conditions $\mathbf{F}(a) = \mathbf{F}(b) = 0$. The conclusion now follows in view of $\dot{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}^t$ being *skew-symmetric*. \square

Remark 2.1. For the sake of convenience in what follows we often assume the orthogonal matrix \mathbf{R} (see the definition of $\mathcal{E}[a,b]$ preceding Proposition 2.3) to have been expressed in *block* diagonal forms (cf. the Appendix for notation), specifically,

[1] ($n = 2k$)

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k]) \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t.$$

[2] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k], 1) \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t.$$

The sequences $(e^{\pm i\eta_j})_{j=1}^k$ in [1] and $(1, e^{\pm i\eta_j})_{j=1}^k$ in [2] consist of *eigenvalues* of \mathbf{R} (here $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k \in [0, \pi]$) while $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbf{O}(n)$. (Note that there is *no* uniqueness associated with the choices of $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}$ yet in what follows we pick one such pair and assume them *fixed* throughout.)

Theorem 2.1. (Stationary paths) *The general solution to (2.5) is given by the matrix exponential*

$$\mathbf{Q}(r) = e^{\beta(r)\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Q}_\circ. \quad (2.6)$$

Here $\mathbf{Q}_\circ \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$, \mathbf{A} is *skew-symmetric* and

$$\beta(r) = \begin{cases} \log r & n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{2-n} r^{2-n} & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Moreover subject to $\mathbf{Q}(a) = \mathbf{I}_n$ and $\mathbf{Q}(b) = \mathbf{R}$, depending on the dimension n being even or odd the following hold.

[a] ($n = 2k$)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{A}}^t = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t,$$

[b] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{A}}^t = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}, 0) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t.$$

In either of the cases [a] or [b] the sequence $(\zeta_j)_{j=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}$ must satisfy the set of conditions

$$\zeta_j = \frac{1}{s} \left[\eta_j + 2\pi m_j \right], \tag{2.7}$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ where $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s = \beta(b) - \beta(a)$ and $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}]$ the centraliser of $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}$ in $\mathbf{O}(n)$. Finally for each such \mathbf{A} the choice of \mathbf{Q}_o is unique; in fact one precisely has $\mathbf{Q}_o = e^{-\beta(a)\mathbf{A}}$.⁴

Proof. Since \mathbf{Q} is a solution to (2.5), integrating once, there exists a constant and skew-symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} such that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathbf{Q} = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}.$$

Integrating again gives (2.6). Note that here we have absorbed a constant resulting from integrating r^{1-n} into the special orthogonal matrix \mathbf{Q}_o . Next enforcing the boundary conditions $\mathbf{Q}(a) = \mathbf{I}_n$ and $\mathbf{Q}(b) = \mathbf{R}$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{R} = e^{[\beta(b) - \beta(a)]\mathbf{A}}.$$

Thus with $s = \beta(b) - \beta(a)$ it remains to characterise all skew-symmetric matrices \mathbf{A} for which

$$e^{s\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{R}. \tag{2.8}$$

In order to solve this equation for \mathbf{A} consider expressing \mathbf{A} in block diagonal form as described in Proposition 4.1. Denoting the spectrum of \mathbf{A} by $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = (\pm i\zeta_j)_{j=1}^k$ in [a] and $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = (0, \pm i\zeta_j)_{j=1}^k$ in [b], (2.8) and the spectral mapping theorem (see, e.g., [4]) lead to the identities:

[a] ($n = 2k$)

$$e^{s\sigma(\mathbf{A})} = (e^{\pm is\zeta_j})_{j=1}^k = (e^{\pm i\eta_j})_{j=1}^k = \sigma(\mathbf{R}).$$

[b] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$e^{s\sigma(\mathbf{A})} = (1, e^{\pm is\zeta_j})_{j=1}^k = (1, e^{\pm i\eta_j})_{j=1}^k = \sigma(\mathbf{R}).$$

Thus up to re-labeling and a possible re-naming upon sign differences in either of the cases [a] or [b] we have

$$e^{s\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{R} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e^{is\zeta_1} = e^{i\eta_1}, \\ e^{is\zeta_2} = e^{i\eta_2}, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ e^{is\zeta_k} = e^{i\eta_k}, \end{array} \right\} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} s\zeta_1 = \eta_1 + 2\pi m_1, \\ s\zeta_2 = \eta_2 + 2\pi m_2, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ s\zeta_k = \eta_k + 2\pi m_k. \end{array} \right\}$$

⁴Recall that in a group \mathbf{G} the centraliser of an element $g \in \mathbf{G}$ denoted $\mathbf{C}[g]$ is the subgroup consisting of all elements in \mathbf{G} commuting with g , i.e., $\mathbf{C}[g] = \{h \in \mathbf{G} : g = hgh^{-1}\}$.

[a] ($n = 2k$) Here without loss of generality using the above set of identities we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{s\mathbf{A}} &= e^{s\mathfrak{P}_A \mathfrak{D}_A \mathfrak{P}_A^t} \\
&= e^{s\mathfrak{P}_A \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}) \mathfrak{P}_A^t} \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_A \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[s\zeta_1], \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_k]) \mathfrak{P}_A^t \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_A \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k]) \mathfrak{P}_A^t \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_R \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k]) \mathfrak{P}_R^t \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_R \mathfrak{D}_R \mathfrak{P}_R^t \\
&= \mathbf{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result the above chain of equalities enforces the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{P}_R^t \mathfrak{P}_A \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{D}_R] &\iff \mathfrak{D}_R = [\mathfrak{P}_R^t \mathfrak{P}_A] \mathfrak{D}_R [\mathfrak{P}_R^t \mathfrak{P}_A]^t \\
&\iff \mathfrak{P}_R \mathfrak{D}_R \mathfrak{P}_R^t = \mathfrak{P}_A \mathfrak{D}_R \mathfrak{P}_A^t.
\end{aligned}$$

[b] ($n = 2k + 1$) Again without loss of generality using (2.7) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{s\mathbf{A}} &= e^{s\mathfrak{P}_A \mathfrak{D}_A \mathfrak{P}_A^t} \\
&= e^{s\mathfrak{P}_A \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}, 0) \mathfrak{P}_A^t} \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_A \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[s\zeta_1], \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_k], 1) \mathfrak{P}_A^t \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_A \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k], 1) \mathfrak{P}_A^t \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_R \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k], 1) \mathfrak{P}_R^t \\
&= \mathfrak{P}_R \mathfrak{D}_R \mathfrak{P}_R^t \\
&= \mathbf{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the argument can be completed as in the previous case. Plainly once \mathbf{A} has been *fixed* as described \mathbf{Q}_\circ can be *uniquely* expressed as the value of $e^{-\beta(a)\mathbf{A}}$. \square

Remark 2.2. Note that apart from a *scaling* factor the function β is the fundamental solution for the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^n (see, e.g., [7], p. 51). Indeed, by utilising (2.5) this can be justified since here

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Delta_x \beta] \mathbf{A} &= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left\{ r^{n-1} \frac{d\beta}{dr} \right\} \mathbf{A} \\
&= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left\{ \left[r^{n-1} \frac{d\beta}{dr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} \right] \mathbf{Q}^t \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left\{ \left[r^{n-1} \frac{d}{dr} \mathbf{Q} \right] \mathbf{Q}^t \right\} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{Q}(r) = e^{\beta(r)\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Q}_\circ$.

Theorem 2.2. *The solution \mathbf{Q} described in Theorem 2.1 can be alternatively expressed in the following form.*

[a] ($n = 2k$)

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(r; a, b, \mathbf{m}) = \mathfrak{P}_R \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[g_1](r), \mathcal{R}[g_2](r), \dots, \mathcal{R}[g_k](r)) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_R^t,$$

[b] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(r; a, b, \mathbf{m}) = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \mathit{diag}(\mathcal{R}[g_1](r), \mathcal{R}[g_2](r), \dots, \mathcal{R}[g_k](r), 1) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t.$$

In either of the cases [a] and [b] above we have $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}]$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ with $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ while

$$g_j(r) = \frac{\beta(r) - \beta(a)}{\beta(b) - \beta(a)} \left[\eta_j + 2\pi m_j \right].$$

Proof. Let \mathbf{Q} denote the solution as described in Theorem 2.1. Then substituting for \mathbf{Q}_\circ we have that

$$\mathbf{Q}(r) = e^{\beta(r)\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Q}_\circ = e^{\beta(r)\mathbf{A}} e^{-\beta(a)\mathbf{A}} = e^{[\beta(r) - \beta(a)]\mathbf{A}}.$$

Now suppose that $(m_j)_{j=1}^k$ is an arbitrary sequence of integers. Then referring to Theorem 2.1 and using the block diagonal form of \mathbf{A} whilst observing the identity

$$\zeta_j = s^{-1}(\eta_j + 2\pi m_j)$$

we obtain the following expressions for the solution \mathbf{Q} .

[a] ($n = 2k$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q} &= \mathbf{Q}(r; a, b, \mathbf{m}) \\ &= e^{\beta(r)\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Q}_\circ \\ &= e^{[\beta(r) - \beta(a)]\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \mathit{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t} \\ &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \mathit{diag}(\mathcal{R}[g_1](r), \mathcal{R}[g_2](r), \dots, \mathcal{R}[g_k](r)) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t, \end{aligned}$$

[b] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q} &= \mathbf{Q}(r; a, b, \mathbf{m}) \\ &= e^{\beta(r)\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Q}_\circ \\ &= e^{[\beta(r) - \beta(a)]\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \mathit{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}, 0) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t} \\ &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \mathit{diag}(\mathcal{R}[g_1](r), \mathcal{R}[g_2](r), \dots, \mathcal{R}[g_k](r), 1) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t. \end{aligned}$$

In either of the cases [1] and [2] above we have set

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(r) &:= [\beta(r) - \beta(a)]\zeta_j \\ &= \frac{\beta(r) - \beta(a)}{\beta(b) - \beta(a)} (\eta_j + 2\pi m_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in [a, b]$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$. This completes the proof. □

Note that by referring to the definition of the function β given in Theorem 2.1 we can alternatively express the twist angles g_j in the following more suggestive form.

[1] ($n = 2$) As $k = 1$ setting $g := g_1$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in [a, b]$ we have that

$$g(r) = \frac{\log r/a}{\log b/a} (\eta + 2\pi m). \tag{2.9}$$

[2] ($n \geq 3$) For the sequence of integers $(m_j)_{j=1}^k$ and $r \in [a, b]$ we have that

$$g_j(r) = \frac{(r/a)^{2-n} - 1}{(b/a)^{2-n} - 1} (\eta_j + 2\pi m_j). \quad (2.10)$$

We end the section by giving explicit expressions for the energies of the solutions to the Euler–Lagrange equation associated with $e[\cdot]$ on \mathcal{E} from Theorem 2.1. To this end we now proceed by considering the cases corresponding to $n = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ separately.

[1] ($n = 2$) Here we have that

$$e[\mathbf{Q}] = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_a^b \left\{ \frac{2}{r^2} + |\dot{\mathbf{Q}}(r)|^2 \right\} r \, dr = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_a^b (2 + |\mathbf{A}|^2) \frac{dr}{r} = \pi(1 + \zeta^2) \log \frac{b}{a}. \quad (2.11)$$

where $\pm i\zeta$ denote the eigen-values of the *skew*-symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} .

[2] ($n \geq 3$) Here, again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} e[\mathbf{Q}] &= \frac{\omega_n}{2} \int_a^b \left\{ n(n-1) \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^{2(n-1)}} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}|^2 \right\} r^{n-1} \, dr \\ &= n \frac{\omega_n}{2} \left[\frac{n-1}{n-2} (b^{n-2} - a^{n-2}) + \frac{1}{n} \int_a^b \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} |\mathbf{A}|^2 \, dr \right] \\ &= n \frac{\omega_n}{2} \left[(n-1) + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{(ab)^{n-2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \zeta_j^2 \right] \frac{b^{n-2} - a^{n-2}}{n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where depending on n being *even* ($n = 2k$) or *odd* ($n = 2k + 1$) the quantities $\pm i\zeta_1, \dots, \pm i\zeta_k$ or $\pm i\zeta_1, \dots, \pm i\zeta_k, 0$ denote the eigen-values of the *skew*-symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} .

Alternatively using Theorem 2.2 we can re-write the energy $e[\mathbf{Q}]$ in both [1] and [2] above in the forms:

[1] ($n = 2$) with $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(r; a, b, m)$ we have $e[\mathbf{Q}] = \pi s [1 + (\eta + 2\pi m)^2 s^{-2}]$ where $s = \beta(b) - \beta(a) = \log b/a$,

[2] ($n \geq 3$) with $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(r; a, b, \mathbf{m})$ we have $e[\mathbf{Q}] = \omega_n s / 2 [n(n-1)(ab)^{n-2} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (\eta_j + 2\pi m_j)^2 s^{-2}]$ where $s = \beta(b) - \beta(a) = (a^{2-n} - b^{2-n}) / (n-2)$.

3. Harmonic twists as solutions to the harmonic map equation

We begin this section by introducing the notion of a *harmonic* twists, that is, a *twice* continuously differentiable *spherical* twist that is a harmonic map.

Definition 3.1. (*Harmonic twist*)

Let $\mathbf{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a < |x| < b\}$. A *harmonic* twist u on \mathbf{X} is a *spherical* twist on \mathbf{X} that satisfies the following:

- [1] $u \in C(\overline{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$,
- [2] $u \in C^2(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$,
- [3] $\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = 0$ in \mathbf{X} .

Here we aim to extract from amongst solutions in Theorem 2.1 those that constitute the twist *path* of a *harmonic* twist. Before confronting this however we find it helpful to discuss a condition on the matrix \mathbf{R} that will indeed turn to be both *necessary* and *sufficient* for the existence of such *harmonic* twists (cf. Remark 3.1 below).

Remark 3.1. As seen the Euler–Lagrange equation (2.5) admits *infinitely* many solutions (cf. Theorem 2.2). The situation is completely different for harmonic twists. Indeed it will become clear that here solvability and multiplicity depend crucially on a structural property of \mathbf{R} . In fact a necessary and sufficient condition for this can be formulated depending on the dimension being *even* or *odd* as follows.

- [1] ($n = 2k$) It must be that $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \dots = \eta_k := \eta$ (with $\eta \in [0, \pi]$) and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t \\ &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k]) \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t \\ &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta], \mathcal{R}[\eta], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta]) \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

- [2] ($n = 2k + 1$) It must be that $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \dots = \eta_k = 0$ and hence

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_n \tag{3.2}$$

(See Remark 2.1 for notation.)

Theorem 3.1. *Let u be the spherical twist on \mathbf{X} with twist path \mathbf{Q} described in Theorem 2.1. Then u is a harmonic twist if and only if the following conditions hold.*

- [1] ($n = 2k$) \mathbf{R} must be as in (3.1) while

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t \\ &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta \mathcal{J}, \zeta \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta \mathcal{J}) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here $\mathbf{P} \in C(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}})$ and the sequence $(\zeta_j)_{j=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}$ is so that $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_k =: \zeta$ where

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{s} \left[\eta + 2\pi m \right].$$

As before, $s = \beta(b) - \beta(a)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- [2] ($n = 2k + 1$) \mathbf{R} must be as in (3.2) while

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Let u be a spherical twist on \mathbf{X} with twist path \mathbf{Q} as in Theorem 2.1. We show in order for u to be a *harmonic* twist the *skew-symmetric* matrix \mathbf{A}

has to be *further* restricted as described in [1] and [2] above. Indeed to begin note that a straight-forward differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{Q}} &= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} \\ \ddot{\mathbf{Q}} &= \frac{1-n}{r^n} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{r^{2(n-1)}} \mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{Q}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore in light of Proposition 2.2 upon substituting for these quantities we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u &= \left(\ddot{\mathbf{Q}} + \frac{n-1}{r} \dot{\mathbf{Q}} + |\dot{\mathbf{Q}} \theta|^2 \mathbf{Q} \right) \theta \\ &= \left(\frac{1-n}{r^n} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{r^{2(n-1)}} \mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{Q} + \frac{n-1}{r^n} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{r^{2(n-1)}} |\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} \theta|^2 \mathbf{Q} \right) \theta \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{2(n-1)}} (\mathbf{A}^2 + |\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} \theta|^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \mathbf{Q} \theta = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\omega = \mathbf{Q} \theta$ it is then evident that the above is *equivalent* to the identity

$$[\mathbf{A}^2 + |\mathbf{A} \omega|^2 \mathbf{I}_n] \omega = 0,$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Hence an application of Proposition 4.3 to this gives $\mathbf{A}^2 = -s \mathbf{I}_n$ for some $s \geq 0$. Now in order to proceed further we consider the cases of *even* and *odd* dimensions separately.

[1] ($n = 2k$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^2 = -s \mathbf{I}_n &\iff [\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t]^2 = -s \mathbf{I}_n \\ &\iff \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta_1^2 \mathbf{I}_2, \zeta_2^2 \mathbf{I}_2, \dots, \zeta_k^2 \mathbf{I}_2) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t = s \mathbf{I}_n \\ &\iff \text{diag}(\zeta_1^2 \mathbf{I}_2, \zeta_2^2 \mathbf{I}_2, \dots, \zeta_k^2 \mathbf{I}_2) = s \mathbf{I}_n \\ &\iff \zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = \dots = \zeta_k^2 = s.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

As a result for $1 \leq j, j' \leq k$ we have that either $\zeta_j = \zeta_{j'}$ or $\zeta_j = -\zeta_{j'}$. We now describe the implication of each of these *two* identities separately. Indeed using (2.7) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_j = \zeta_{j'} &\iff \eta_j + 2\pi m_j = \eta_{j'} + 2\pi m_{j'} \\ &\iff \eta_j - \eta_{j'} = -2\pi(m_j - m_{j'}) \\ &\iff m_j = m_{j'} \\ &\iff \eta_j = \eta_{j'},\end{aligned}$$

as $\eta_j - \eta_{j'} \in [-\pi, \pi]$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_j = -\zeta_{j'} &\iff \eta_j + 2\pi m_j = -(\eta_{j'} + 2\pi m_{j'}) \\ &\iff \eta_j + \eta_{j'} = -2\pi(m_j + m_{j'}) \\ &\iff \eta_j = \eta_{j'} \in \{0, \pi\},\end{aligned}$$

as $\eta_j + \eta_{j'} \in [0, 2\pi]$ and so

$$\zeta_j = -\zeta_{j'} \iff \begin{cases} \eta_j = \eta_{j'} = 0, \\ m_j = -m_{j'}, \\ \text{or,} \\ \eta_j = \eta_{j'} = \pi, \\ m_j = -(m_{j'} + 1). \end{cases}$$

Hence, *summarising*, in either of these cases we have that $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \dots = \eta_k := \eta$ with $\eta \in [0, \pi]$. As a consequence depending on η we have the following *three* distinct possibilities.

Case 1. ($\eta = 0$)

Here $m_j \in \{\pm m\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $|\zeta_1| = |\zeta_2| = \dots = |\zeta_k| = |\zeta|$ with

$$\zeta = 2\pi s^{-1}m.$$

Evidently $\eta = 0 \iff \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_n$. Therefore here $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}] = \mathbf{O}(n)$. In particular as $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{O}(n)$ in (3.3) is arbitrary we can arrange without any loss of generality that $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_k = \zeta$.

Case 2. ($\eta \in]0, \pi[$)

Here $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_k =: m$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_k = \zeta$ with

$$\zeta = s^{-1}(\eta + 2\pi m).$$

Evidently $\eta \in]0, \pi[\iff \mathbf{R} \notin \{\pm \mathbf{I}_n\}$ and therefore here $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}] \subsetneq \mathbf{O}(n)$.

Case 3. ($\eta = \pi$)

Here $m_j \in \{m, -(m + 1)\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $|\zeta_1| = |\zeta_2| = \dots = |\zeta_k| = |\zeta|$ with

$$\zeta = s^{-1}(\pi + 2\pi m).$$

Evidently $\eta = \pi \iff \mathbf{R} = -\mathbf{I}_n$. Therefore as in [1a], $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}] = \mathbf{O}(n)$. Again as $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{O}(n)$ in (3.3) is arbitrary we can arrange without any loss of generality that $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_k = \zeta$.

[2] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^2 = -s\mathbf{I}_n &\implies 0 = (\det \mathbf{A})^2 = \det \mathbf{A}^2 \\ &\implies s = 0 \\ &\implies \mathbf{A} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that in *odd* dimensions any *skew*-symmetric matrix has zero determinant.) The proof is thus complete. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let u be the spherical twist on \mathbf{X} with twist path $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(r; a, b, \mathbf{m})$ as given in Theorem 2.2. Then u is a harmonic twist if and only if the following conditions hold.*

[1] ($n = 2k$) \mathbf{R} must be as in (3.1) and then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}(r) &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[g_1](r), \mathcal{R}[g_2](r), \dots, \mathcal{R}[g_k](r)) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t \\ &= \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[g](r), \mathcal{R}[g](r), \dots, \mathcal{R}[g](r)) \mathbf{P}^t \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{R}}]$ and the sequence $(g_j)_{j=1}^k$ is such that $g_1 = g_2 = \dots = g_k =:$ g where depending on $n = 2$ or $n \geq 4$ we have that

[1a] ($n = 2$)

$$g(r) = \frac{\log r/a}{\log b/a}(\eta + 2\pi m).$$

[1b] ($n \geq 4$)

$$g(r) = \frac{(r/a)^{2-n} - 1}{(b/a)^{2-n} - 1}(\eta + 2\pi m).$$

[2] ($n = 2k + 1$) \mathbf{R} must be as in (3.2) and then

$$\mathbf{Q}(r) = \mathbf{I}_n,$$

i.e., the twist path \mathbf{Q} is the constant path at \mathbf{I}_n .

Proof. This follows at once from Theorem 3.1 by substituting for \mathbf{A} from (3.3) or (3.4) into (2.6) and evaluating the corresponding *exponential* term as in Theorem 2.2. \square

4. Appendix

Recall from linear algebra that *all* eigen-values of a [real] *skew-symmetric* matrix have zero *real* parts. Hence they *either* appear as *purely* imaginary conjugate pairs *or* zero. In particular when n is *odd* there is necessarily a zero eigen-value. Thus distinguishing between the cases when n is *even* and *odd* respectively we can bring every *skew-symmetric* matrix to a *block diagonal* form. In what follows we set

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}$ be skew-symmetric. There exist $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{O}(n)$ and $(\zeta_j)_{j=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the following hold.*

[1] ($n = 2k$)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}) \mathbf{P}^t,$$

[2] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\zeta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \zeta_k \mathcal{J}, 0) \mathbf{P}^t.$$

Proof. Indeed, here, \mathbf{A} is *normal* (i.e., it commutes with its *transpose* $\mathbf{A}^t = -\mathbf{A}$) and so the conclusion follows from the the well-known *spectral* theorem.⁵ \square

⁵Note that the choices of \mathbf{P} and $(\zeta_j)_{j=1}^k$ are in general *non-unique*. Indeed it is a *trivial* matter to see that by suitably adjusting \mathbf{P} one can replace *any* ζ_j with $-\zeta_j$.

With the aid of the above representation evaluating the exponential function for skew-symmetric matrices becomes remarkably convenient. In what follows we set

$$\mathcal{R}[s] := \begin{bmatrix} \cos s & -\sin s \\ \sin s & \cos s \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}$ be skew-symmetric. Then using the notation in Proposition 4.1 we have that*

[1] ($n = 2k$)

$$e^{s\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[s\zeta_1], \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_k]) \mathbf{P}^t,$$

[2] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$e^{s\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{P} \text{diag}(\mathcal{R}[s\zeta_1], \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[s\zeta_k], 1) \mathbf{P}^t.$$

Proof. A straight-forward calculation gives

$$e^{s\mathcal{J}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} s^n \mathcal{J}^n = \mathcal{R}[s].$$

The conclusion now follows by noting that for any block *diagonal* matrix \mathbf{D} (as, e.g., in Proposition 4.1) we can write

$$e^{\mathbf{A}} = e^{\mathbf{PDP}^t} = \mathbf{P}e^{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{P}^t.$$

□

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}$ be skew-symmetric. Then the following are equivalent.*

[1] $\mathbf{A}^2 = -s\mathbf{I}_n$ for some $s \geq 0$.

[2] $[\mathbf{A}^2 + |\mathbf{A}\omega|^2\mathbf{I}_n]\omega = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Proof. The implication ([1] \implies [2]) follows by direct verification. Now for the *reverse* implication consider re-writing [2] in the form

$$\mathbf{A}^2\omega = -|\mathbf{A}\omega|^2\omega.$$

Then for any $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the quantity $-|\mathbf{A}\omega|^2$ is the associated eigen-value. However since \mathbf{A}^2 has at most n distinct eigen-values it follows from the continuity of $\omega \mapsto |\mathbf{A}\omega|^2$ that the latter must be *constant* (say s) and this gives [1]. □

Similar to the case of *skew-symmetric* matrices we can bring any *orthogonal* matrix to a block diagonal form. Below we specialise to the case of the *special orthogonal* group.⁶

⁶Note that the exponential map acts between the Lie algebra of *skew-symmetric* matrices in $\mathbb{M}_{n \times n}$ onto its corresponding Lie group $\mathbf{SO}(n)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$. There exist $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{O}(n)$ and $(\eta_j)_{j=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the following hold.*

[1] ($n = 2k$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{P} \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k]) \mathbf{P}^t \\ &= \mathbf{P} e^{\operatorname{diag}(\eta_1 \mathcal{J}, \eta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \eta_k \mathcal{J})} \mathbf{P}^t \end{aligned}$$

[2] ($n = 2k + 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{P} \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{R}[\eta_1], \mathcal{R}[\eta_2], \dots, \mathcal{R}[\eta_k], 1) \mathbf{P}^t \\ &= \mathbf{P} e^{\operatorname{diag}(\eta_1 \mathcal{J}, \zeta_2 \mathcal{J}, \dots, \eta_k \mathcal{J}, 0)} \mathbf{P}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Again, \mathbf{R} , here, is normal and so the conclusion follows from the *spectral* theorem. \square

References

- [1] Ball, J.M.: Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **63**, 337–403 (1977)
- [2] Birman, J.S.: Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups. Annals of Mathematics Studies, Study, vol. 82. Princeton University Press, NJ (1975)
- [3] Chang, K.C.: Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems. PNLDE, vol. 6. Birkhäuser, Basel (1993)
- [4] Dunford, N., Schwartz, J.T.: Linear Operators, vol. I. Wiley Interscience, London (1988)
- [5] Eells, J., Lemaire, L.: Two reports on harmonic maps. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **10** & **20**, 1–68 & 385–524 (1978 & 1988)
- [6] Evans, L.C.: Partial regularity for stationary harmonic maps into spheres. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **116**, 101–113 (1991)
- [7] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N.S.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Springer, Berlin (1998)
- [8] Helein, F.: Harmonic Maps, Conservation Laws and Moving Frames. CUP, Cambridge (2002)
- [9] Lemaire, L.: Applications harmoniques des surfaces Riemanniennes. J. Differ. Geom. **13**, 51–87 (1978)
- [10] Riviere, T.: Everywhere discontinuous harmonic maps into sphere. Acta Math. **175**, 197–226 (1995)
- [11] Simon, L.: Theorems on Regularity and Singularity of Energy Minimizing Maps. Birkhäuser, Basel (1996)
- [12] Taheri, A.: Homotopy classes of self-maps of annuli, generalised twists and spin degree. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **197**, 239–270 (2010)

Ali Taheri
Department of Mathematics
University of Sussex
Falmer
Brighton BN1 9RF
UK
e-mail: a.taheri@sussex.ac.uk

Received: 4 October 2010.

Accepted: 7 May 2011.