

Erratum: Refining the boundaries of the classical de Sitter landscape

David Andriot^{a,b} and Johan Blåbäck^c

^a *Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14467 Potsdam-Golm, Germany*

^b *Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, IRIS-Adlershof, Zum Großen Windkanal 6, 12489 Berlin, Germany*

^c *Institut de Physique Théorique, Université Paris Saclay, CEA, CNRS, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France*

E-mail: david.andriot@aei.mpg.de, johan.blaback@cea.fr

ERRATUM TO: [JHEP03\(2017\)102](#)

ARXIV EPRINT: [1609.00385](#)

Summary and consequences

In the trace of the Einstein equation along internal parallel flat directions, namely equations (4.14) and (4.15), a few terms have been missed. As a consequence the corrected equations will have additional terms which depend on specific components of fluxes, such as $|H^{(2)}|^2 + 2|H^{(3)}|^2$ which are the squares of the components $H_{a_{||}b_{||}c_{\perp}}$ and $H_{a_{||}b_{||}c_{||}}$. These terms are then absent in the final $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_4$ expression (4.21) and (4.29). The only change that impacts our conclusion, (4.36), is that the curvature terms $2\mathcal{R}_{||} + 2\mathcal{R}_{||}^{\perp}$ should be replaced by

$$2\mathcal{R}_{||} + 2\mathcal{R}_{||}^{\perp} - |H^{(2)}|^2 - 2|H^{(3)}|^2. \quad (1)$$

As in (4.36), this combination gets bounded by two inequalities, in order to get classical de Sitter solutions for parallel $p = 4, 5, 6$ sources. While this change modifies the final expression, it has little impact on the physics result: we obtain tight constraints on a combination of fields for de Sitter solutions to exist with parallel $p = 4, 5, 6$ sources. The no-go theorems for parallel $p = 3, 7, 8$ sources are not affected at all.

The combination (1) is better motivated than the curvature terms alone, as it now appears to be T-duality invariant, on geometric backgrounds. This statement can be made more precise by considering group manifolds, where the $f^a{}_{bc}$, building the curvature terms,

are constant, and some are set to zero by the orientifold projection. In addition, the H -flux is odd under an orientifold involution, imposing $H^{(3)} = 0$ for a constant flux; avoiding the Freed-Witten anomaly also sets $H^{(3)}$ to zero. The (opposite sign of the) combination (1) then reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta^{ab} f^{d_{||} c_{||} a_{||}} f^{c_{||} d_{||} b_{||}} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ch} \delta^{dj} \delta_{ab} f^{a_{||} c_{||} j_{||}} f^{b_{||} h_{||} d_{||}} + \delta^{ab} f^{d_{\perp} c_{\perp} a_{||}} f^{c_{\perp} d_{\perp} b_{||}} \\ & + \delta^{ab} \delta^{dg} \delta_{ch} f^{h_{\perp} g_{\perp} a_{||}} f^{c_{\perp} d_{\perp} b_{||}} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ad} \delta^{be} \delta^{cf} H_{a_{||} b_{||} c_{\perp}} H_{d_{||} e_{||} f_{\perp}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and the first and third terms vanish on nilmanifolds. The H -flux component schematically transforms under T-duality into one or the other structure constant, depending on the T-duality direction

$$H_{a_{||} b_{||} c_{\perp}} \rightarrow f^{c_{||} a_{||} b_{||}} \text{ or } -f^{a_{\perp} c_{\perp} b_{||}}, \quad (3)$$

showing the T-duality invariance of the combination (1) in that setting.

A practical consequence for the paper is that several occurrences of ‘‘curvature terms’’ should be replaced by the above ‘‘field combination’’: it is the case for equations (1.2), (1.3), (4.30), and the text of the Outlook. The discussed consequences of the results are unchanged: to start with, the remark on the solutions T-dual to one with an O_3 , at the end of section 4.2, remains valid. The requirement of having $f^{a_{||} b_{\perp} c_{\perp}} \neq 0$ for a de Sitter solution still holds, from the constraints on the new combination, implying the no-go theorem for $p = 8$ (footnote 6) and the impossibility to embed a specific monodromy inflation mechanism, as mentioned in the Outlook.

Corrected equations

For a p -dimensional source, any internal flux F_q was decomposed in (4.11) as $F_q = \sum_{n=0}^{p-3} F_q^{(n)}$, where the components of $F_q^{(n)}$ have n internal parallel flat indices, and $F_q^{(0)} = F_q|_{\perp}$. As a consequence, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |F_q|^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{p-3} |F_q^{(n)}|^2, \text{ where } |F_q|^2 = \frac{1}{q!} F_q^{a_1 \dots a_q} F_q^{a_1 \dots a_q}, \\ |F_q^{(n)}|^2 &= \frac{1}{n!(q-n)!} F_q^{a_1 || \dots a_n || a_{n+1 \perp} \dots a_{q \perp}} F_q^{a_1 || \dots a_n || a_{n+1 \perp} \dots a_{q \perp}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

the indices being lifted by the flat internal metric. We now consider the trace of the Einstein equation along the internal parallel directions. An internal flux F_q appears in it as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{ab} \frac{1}{(q-1)!} F_q^{a_1 || a_2 \dots a_q} F_q^{a_2 \dots a_q}_{b_1 ||} &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{p-3} \delta^{ab} \frac{1}{(n-1)!(q-n)!} F_q^{(n)}_{a_1 || a_2 || \dots a_n || a_{n+1 \perp} \dots a_{q \perp}} \\ &\quad \times F_q^{(n)}_{a_2 || \dots a_n || a_{n+1 \perp} \dots a_{q \perp}}_{b_1 ||} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{p-3} n |F_q^{(n)}|^2 = |F_q|^2 - |F_q|_{\perp}|^2 + \sum_{n \geq 2}^{p-3} (n-1) |F_q^{(n)}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The last sum is absent of (4.14) and (4.15). These two equations are corrected towards

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{R}_{6\parallel} + 2(\nabla\partial\phi)_{6\parallel} &= \frac{p-3}{4} \left(\mathcal{R}_4 + 2(\nabla\partial\phi)_4 + 2e^{2\phi}|F_6|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(|H|^2 - |H|_{\perp}|^2 + e^{2\phi}(|F_2|^2 - |F_2|_{\perp}|^2 + |F_4|^2 - |F_4|_{\perp}|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 2}^{p-3} (n-1) \left(|H^{(n)}|^2 + e^{2\phi}(|F_2^{(n)}|^2 + |F_4^{(n)}|^2) \right) \\
 \mathcal{R}_{6\parallel} + 2(\nabla\partial\phi)_{6\parallel} &= \frac{p-3}{4} \left(\mathcal{R}_4 + 2(\nabla\partial\phi)_4 + e^{2\phi}|F_5|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(|H|^2 - |H|_{\perp}|^2 + e^{2\phi}(|F_1|^2 - |F_1|_{\perp}|^2 + |F_3|^2 - |F_3|_{\perp}|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\phi} \left(|F_5|^2 - |F_5|_{\perp}|^2 - |*_6 F_5|^2 + |(*_6 F_5)|_{\perp}|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 2}^{p-3} (n-1) \left(|H^{(n)}|^2 + e^{2\phi} \left(|F_3^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|F_5^{(n)}|^2 \right) \right), \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where in IIB, the one-form fluxes, F_1 and $*_6 F_5$, do not contribute to the new terms because the sum starts with $n \geq 2$. For the same reason, these new terms only contribute for $p \geq 5$. A general rewriting of these two equations, correcting equation (4.16), is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\mathcal{R}_{6\parallel} + 4(\nabla\partial\phi)_{6\parallel} - \frac{p-3}{2} (\mathcal{R}_4 + 2(\nabla\partial\phi)_4) &= |H|^2 - |H|_{\perp}|^2 + e^{2\phi} (|F_{k-2}|^2 - |F_{k-2}|_{\perp}|^2) \\
 &\quad + e^{2\phi} \left(|F_k|^2 - |F_k|_{\perp}|^2 + |F_{k+2}|^2 + (9-p)|F_{k+4}|^2 + 5|F_{k+6}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (|(*_6 F_5)|_{\perp}|^2 - |F_5|_{\perp}|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 2}^{p-3} (n-1) \left(|H^{(n)}|^2 + e^{2\phi} \left(|F_k^{(n)}|^2 + |F_{k+2}^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{p-6}{2} |F_{k+4}^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{p-7}{4} |F_5^{(n)}|^2 \right) \right), \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the F_5 terms should only be considered in IIB. Equation (4.17) gets corrected by adding the same new line, while the final formula (4.21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 2e^{-2A}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_4 &= - \left| *_\perp H|_{\perp} + \varepsilon_p e^{\phi} F_{k-2}|_{\perp} \right|^2 - 2e^{2\phi} \left| g_s^{-1} \tilde{*}_{\perp} d e^{-4A} - \varepsilon_p F_k^{(0)} \right|^2 \\
 &\quad - \sum_{a_{\parallel}} \left| *_\perp (d e^{a_{\parallel}})|_{\perp} - \varepsilon_p e^{\phi} (\iota_{\partial_{a_{\parallel}}} F_k^{(1)}) \right|^2 - 2\mathcal{R}_{\parallel} - 2\mathcal{R}_{\parallel}^{\perp} \\
 &\quad - 2e^{-2A} \left(d \left(e^{8A} \tilde{*}_{\perp} d e^{-4A} - e^{8A} \varepsilon_p g_s F_k^{(0)} \right) \right)_{\perp} \\
 &\quad - e^{2\phi} \left(|F_k|^2 - |F_k^{(0)}|^2 - |F_k^{(1)}|^2 + 2|F_{k+2}|^2 + (p-5)|F_{k+4}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (|F_5|_{\perp}|^2 - |(*_6 F_5)|_{\perp}|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 2}^{p-3} (n-1) \left(|H^{(n)}|^2 + e^{2\phi} (|F_k^{(n)}|^2 + |F_{k+2}^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{p-6}{2} |F_{k+4}^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{p-7}{4} |F_5^{(n)}|^2) \right). \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

We now detail the last two lines of (8): they are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 p=3: & 0 \\
 p=4: & -2e^{2\phi}|F_6|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p=5: & \quad |H^{(2)}|^2 - e^{2\phi} \left(2|F_5|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|(*_6 F_5)|_{\perp}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|F_5^{(2)}|^2 \right) \\
 p=6: & \quad |H^{(2)}|^2 + 2|H^{(3)}|^2 - e^{2\phi} \left(2|F_4|^2 - |F_4^{(2)}|^2 - 2|F_4^{(3)}|^2 + |F_6|^2 \right) \\
 p=7: & \quad |H^{(2)}|^2 + 2|H^{(3)}|^2 - e^{2\phi} \left(2|F_3|^2 - |F_3^{(2)}|^2 - 2|F_3^{(3)}|^2 + 2|F_5|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|(*_6 F_5)|_{\perp}|^2 \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 2}^4 (n-1) |F_5^{(n)}|^2 \right) \\
 p=8: & \quad |H^{(2)}|^2 + 2|H^{(3)}|^2 - e^{2\phi} \left(2|F_2|^2 - |F_2^{(2)}|^2 + 3|F_4|^2 - \sum_{n \geq 2}^4 (n-1) |F_4^{(n)}|^2 \right). \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

We used (4), that leads to the cancelation of all F_k terms. That equation, together with $|F_5|^2 = |*_6 F_5|^2 \geq |(*_6 F_5)|_{\perp}|^2$, allows us to prove that the Ramond-Ramond contributions to these lines are always negative (semi-)definite. We rewrite the final equation (8) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 2e^{-2A} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_4 = & - \left| *_\perp H|_{\perp} + \varepsilon_p e^{\phi} F_{k-2}|_{\perp} \right|^2 - 2e^{2\phi} \left| g_s^{-1} \tilde{*_\perp} d e^{-4A} - \varepsilon_p F_k^{(0)} \right|^2 \\
 & - \sum_{a_{\parallel}} \left| *_\perp (d e^{a_{\parallel}})|_{\perp} - \varepsilon_p e^{\phi} (\iota_{\partial_{a_{\parallel}}} F_k^{(1)}) \right|^2 - 2\mathcal{R}_{\parallel} - 2\mathcal{R}_{\parallel}^{\perp} + |H^{(2)}|^2 + 2|H^{(3)}|^2 \\
 & - 2e^{-2A} \left(d \left(e^{8A} \tilde{*_\perp} d e^{-4A} - e^{8A} \varepsilon_p g_s F_k^{(0)} \right) \right)_{\perp} \\
 & - e^{2\phi} \left(2|F_{k+2}|^2 + (p-5)|F_{k+4}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|F_5|_{\perp}|^2 - |(*_6 F_5)|_{\perp}|^2) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \left. - \sum_{n \geq 2}^{p-3} (n-1) \left(|F_{k+2}^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{p-6}{2} |F_{k+4}^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{p-7}{4} |F_5^{(n)}|^2 \right) \right), \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last two lines are a negative (semi-)definite contribution. The new combination (1) now appears. The integral version of this expression, (4.29), is similarly corrected. Turning to the no-go theorems, equations (4.33), (4.34) and (4.35) still hold in view of (7), the corrected version of (4.16). They can however be refined with the new H -flux terms, towards

$$2\mathcal{R}_{6\parallel} + 4(\nabla \partial \phi)_{6\parallel} - \frac{p-3}{2} (\mathcal{R}_4 + 2(\nabla \partial \phi)_4) - |H^{(2)}|^2 - 2|H^{(3)}|^2 \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

for (4.33). We deduce the following version of the main result, correcting (4.36)

There is no de Sitter vacuum for $p=4, 5$, or 6 , if the inequalities

$$- \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \widetilde{\text{vol}}_6 e^{2A} \sum_{a_{\parallel}} |(d e^{a_{\parallel}})|_{\perp}|^2 < \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \widetilde{\text{vol}}_6 e^{2A} \left(2\mathcal{R}_{\parallel} + 2\mathcal{R}_{\parallel}^{\perp} - |H^{(2)}|^2 - 2|H^{(3)}|^2 \right) < 0 \quad (12)$$

are *not* satisfied.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.