Erratum

C.P. Schnorr: Security of 2^t-Root Identification and Signatures, Proceedings CRYPTO'96, Springer LNCS 1109, (1996), pp. 143-156 page 148, section 3, line 5 of the proof of Theorem 3. Correction. The proposed factoring method

Check whether $\{\gcd(Y^{2^i} \pm Z^{2^{i+\ell}}, N)\} = \{p, q\}$ holds for some i with $0 \le i < t$

fails if $Y^{2^i} = -Z^{2^{i+\ell}}$ holds for some *i* with $0 \le i < t$, otherwise it factors *N* with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. In the first case continue the factoring algorithm as follows until it factors *N* with probability $\frac{1}{2}$:

Supplemental steps to the factoring algorithm. Repeat the entire algorithm using independent coin flips and construct independent pairs (Y, Z) with $Y^{2^t} = Z^{2^{t+\ell}} \mod N$ until either of the following two cases arises.

- **Case I.** $Y^{2^i} \neq -Z^{2^{i+\ell}}$ for all *i* with $0 \le i < t$ holds for some (Y, Z). Then terminate as the proposed factoring method succeeds using Y, Z with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.
- **Case II.** $Y^{2^{i}} = -Z^{2^{i+\ell}}$ holds for two independent pairs (Y, Z), (Y', Z'). Then replace these pairs by $(Y_{\text{new}}, Z_{\text{new}})$ with $Y_{\text{new}} := YY', Z_{\text{new}} := ZZ'$. If $Y_{\text{new}}^{2^{i_{\text{new}}}} = -Z_{\text{new}}^{2^{i_{\text{new}}+\ell}}$ holds for some i_{new} then we have $i_{\text{new}} < i$, otherwise terminate (as the proposed factoring method succeeds using $Y_{\text{new}}, Z_{\text{new}}$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$). Continue the repetitions of the entire algorithm using idependent coin flips and continue to decrease i until the algorithm either terminates in Case I or

and continue to decrease *i* until the algorithm either terminates in Case I or enters Case II with i = 1. In the latter case the proposed factoring method succeeds using $Y_{\text{new}}, Z_{\text{new}}$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, in particular $\{ \text{gcd}(Y_{\text{new}} \pm Z_{\text{new}}, N) \} = \{p, q\}$ holds with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.

With the supplemental steps the algorithm factorizes N with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. The supplemental steps increase the time bound for factoring by a factor $O(\ell)$. The correctness proof of the amended factoring method uses the following observation

We see from $Y^{2^t} = Z^{2^{t+\ell}} \mod N$ that $Z^{2^{\ell}}/Y$ is a 2^t -root of $1 \mod N$. This root is not necessarily uniformly distributed over all 2^t -roots of $1 \mod N$. But it is uniformly distributed within certain cosets.

Fact. Let $Y = Y(Z^{2^t})$ be a function of Z^{2^t} that solves $Y^{2^t} = Z^{2^{t+\ell}} \mod N$ with $\ell < t$. Then $Z^{2^{\ell}}/Y$ takes the roots in $c_0 R_N(2^t)^{2^{\ell}}$ with equal probability for all $c_0 \in R_N(2^t)$, where $R_N(2^t)$ denotes the group of 2^t -roots of $1 \mod N$ and $R_N(2^t)^{2^{\ell}} \subset R_N(2^t)$ denotes the subgroup of 2^{ℓ} -powers.

All subsequent factoring algorithms in the paper have to be amended in the same way.