

Correction to “On the real exponential field with restricted analytic functions”

BY

LOU VAN DEN DRIES AND CHRIS MILLER

Israel Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 85, 1994, pp. 19–56.

We retract the claims made in subsection 9.9 of [3]. For example, the purported “relative” version of Lemma 9.5 is not true. Let D be the ring of all real analytic functions on \mathbb{R} definable in \mathbb{R}_{an} . Now $f(x) := \Gamma(1/(1+x^2))$ is an element of this ring, where Γ denotes the gamma function. Note that the function $g: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x) := \sqrt{(1-x)/x}$ is analytic, algebraic and definable in \mathbb{R}_{an} . However, the function $f \circ g = \Gamma \upharpoonright (0, 1)$ is not differentially algebraic over the differential domain $\{u \upharpoonright (0, 1): u \in D\}$; see [4].

Subsection 9.9 was used in [3] solely for the proof of Proposition 9.10, which gives an iterated exponential bound for the growth at $+\infty$ of one-variable functions definable in $(\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}, \exp)$. Proposition 9.10 is nevertheless true. Indeed, there are two different methods of proof; see 4.8 of [1] for a brief indication of one method and [2] for a full proof using the other.

We also take this opportunity to correct the definition of “regular at a ” (also of “critical point”) on page 23: “ $\min\{m, n\}$ ” should be “ m ”.

References

- [1] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre and D. Marker, *The elementary theory of restricted analytic fields with exponentiation*, *Annals of Mathematics* **140** (1994), 183–205.
- [2] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre and D. Marker, *Logarithmic-exponential power series*, preprint.
- [3] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, *On the real exponential field with restricted analytic functions*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **85** (1994), 19–56.
- [4] C. Miller, *An extension of Hölder’s theorem on the gamma function*, preprint.

Received February 19, 1995