Definition 10. A one-to-one mapping of ($\mathbf{M}_i$, ϕ_i, $i \varepsilon A$) onto itself is a *Menger-automorphism* if and only if for each $i \varepsilon A$ there is a permutation ϱ_i of $1, 2, \ldots, i$ such that for all $F \varepsilon \mathbf{M}_i$, $G_1, \ldots, G_i \varepsilon \mathbf{M}_j$ we have

$$\alpha\phi_i(F, G_1, \ldots, G_i) = \phi_i(\alpha F, \alpha G_{\varrho_i(1)}, \ldots, \alpha G_{\varrho_i(i)}) .$$

Menger-isomorphisms are similarly defined.

Theorem 9. *The Menger-automorphisms of a Menger algebra form a group which is the direct product of the automorphisms and the permutomorphisms.*

Theorem 9 follows from the preceding remarks and the definition of the direct product of groups. Note that Menger-automorphisms are not anti-automorphisms! For exemple, Theorem 9 becomes invalid for associative systems in general if Menger-automorphisms are replaced by automorphisms and anti-automorphisms.

References

[1] KUROSH, A. G.: The Theory of Groups. 90—95, Vol. 1. New York: Chelsea. 1955
[2] MENGER, K.: General algebra of analysis. Reports Math. Coll., Notre Dame, Ind. 7, 46—60 (1946).
[3] — Axiomatic Theory of Functions and Fluents. The Axiomatic Method, 454—473. Ed. by L. Henkin et al. Amsterdam: North-Holland Pub. Co. 1959.
[4] — Algebra of functions: Past, Present, Future. Rend. Seminar. Mat. Univ. Roma 20, 409—430 (1961).
[5] — Function Algebra and Propositional Calculus. Self-Organizing Systems 1962. Washington: Spartan Books 1962.
[6] — A group in the substitutive algebra of the calculus of propositions. Arch. Math. 12, 471—478 (1962).

(Received December 28, 1963)

Errata
to the contribution KHYSON SWONG in Seoul (Korea): A Representation Theory of Continuous Linear Maps

Math. Ann. 155, 270—291 (1964)

P. 278. Line eight from the bottom: read

(3.1) $u(\mathfrak{f}) = \int \langle \mathfrak{f}, d\psi_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{f} \in \mathbf{B}(T, E)$

instead of $u(\mathfrak{f}) = \int \langle \mathfrak{f} \rangle \, d\psi_u, \quad \mathfrak{f} \in \mathbf{B}(T, E)$

P. 280. The last line: read

(4.5) $|U|_{(\mathfrak{p}, q)}$

instead of $|U|_{(\mathfrak{p}, p)}$

P. 286. The thirteenth line: read

(c) $U(f) = \int f \, d\psi, \quad f \in \mathbf{C}(T)$

instead of $U(f) = \int d f \psi, \quad f \in \mathbf{C}(T)$