Erratum

In the article "Explicit Examples of Theories Satisfying Bell's Inequalities: Do They Miss Their Goal Proir to Contradicting Experiments?" by S. Bergia, F. Cannata, and V. Monzoni [Found. Phys. 15, 145 (1985)], the following corrections should be noted:

Page 145, first line of the text: Delete footnote superscript 3.

Page 146, line 6: "suggested" should read "requested."

Page 149, line 15: "a" should read "α."

Page 150, footnote 10: "Equation (10)" should read "Equation (18)."

Page 151, formula (22): "P" should read " P_0 ."

Page 152, line 16: "suggested" should read "requested."

Page 152, line 17 should read: "dependence of the density matrix on \hat{a} and \hat{b} , in the forms discussed above, may affect the general validity of this result."

Reference 2, after line 4, should read: see however: A. Garuccio and F. Selleri, *Phys. Lett. A* 103, 99 (1984); T. W. Marshall, E. Santos, and F. Selleri, *Phys. Lett. A* 98, 5 (1983); T. W. Marshall, *Phys. Lett. A* 99, 163 (1983); T. W. Marshall, E. Santos, and F. Selleri, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* 38, 417 (1983); F. Selleri, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* 39, 258 (1984).

Ref. 9: The second reference should read: A. Baracca, S. Bergia, F. Cannata, S. Ruffo, and M. Savoia, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 16, 491 (1977).

Ref. 15 should read: J. Ellis, J. S. Hagelin, D. V. Nanopoulos, and M. Srednicki, *Nucl. Phys. B* **244**, 125 (1984).