## Erratum and Addendum

In the paper, "New Four-Dimensional Symmetry," by J. P. Hsu Found. phys. 6, 317 (1976)], Eqs. (3a) and (3b) hold only for the two events, that satisfy $\mathbf{r} / t=\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}} / t_{1}$. In general, for two arbitrary events specified by $(x, y, z, c t)$ and ( $\left.x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1},(c t)_{1}\right)$, Eqs. (3a) and (3b) should read

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta s^{2}=\left[c t-(c t)_{1}\right]^{2}-\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)^{2}=\Delta(c t)^{2}-\Delta \mathbf{r}^{2}  \tag{E1}\\
\Delta s^{\prime 2}=\left[c^{\prime} t-\left(c^{\prime} t\right)_{1}\right]^{2}-\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)^{2}=\Delta\left(c^{\prime} t\right)^{2}-\Delta \mathbf{r}^{\prime 2}
\end{gather*}
$$

When the interval between these two events is infinitesimal, we have $d s^{\prime 2}=$ $d\left(c^{\prime} t\right)^{2}-d r^{\prime 2}$.

Equation (9) and the condition " $V(\Delta t)=0$ for $\Delta t=0$ " in the note added at the end of the paper are unnecessary extra conditions and should be discarded. The velocity $V$ in the space-light transformation (8) is a constant. Suppose universal clock systems in both $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ frames are set up in such a way that $c$ is a constant in the transformation (8), i.e., the speed of light is isotropic in the $f$ frame. Then the interval $\Delta s^{2}$ in ( El ) can be written as $\Delta s^{2}=$ $c^{2} \Delta t^{2}-\Delta \mathbf{r}^{2}$ and $c^{\prime}$ will be a function of $x / t$, as shown in (8). Furthermore, the function $c^{\prime}(x / t)$ corresponds to the value of the one-way speed of light measured in $f^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathbf{r}$ and $t$ satisfy the condition $r / t=c, r=|\mathbf{r}|$. When $x=$ const $\neq 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0$, the function $c^{\prime}(x / t)$ diverges, as one can see from (8). We stress that in this case the value $c^{\prime}(x / t) \rightarrow \infty$ does not correspond to any speed of observable objects.

The frequency shift (26) and that in Section 9 should be understood as the radar-pulse frequency rather than the electromagnetic frequency shift. We note that the observer in the laboratory frame $f$ cannot measure $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\omega^{\prime} / c^{\prime}$ in Eq. (22). He can only compare the shifted quantities $\lambda$ and $\omega / c$ with the unshifted quantities $\lambda_{u}$ and $\omega_{u} / c$ associated with the same atom at rest in $f$ in the Doppler shift experiment. Suppose the atom is at rest in the $f^{\prime}$ frame, and $k^{\prime}$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ in (22) are the unshifted quantities observed in $f^{\prime}$. In this case, one has $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda_{u}$ and $\omega^{\prime} / c^{\prime}=\omega_{u} / c$, and (22) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{u}}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1+\beta}{\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \quad \omega_{u}=\omega \frac{1+\beta}{\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{E2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=2 \pi / \lambda$ and $\cos \theta=-1$.

The decay width (31) or (33) of an unstable particle is the same for the particle at rest in any frame. Suppose the pions $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are at rest in $f$ and $f^{\prime}$, respectively; one then has $\Gamma\left(\pi_{1}\right)=\Gamma^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$. Their lifetimes $\tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)$ and $\tau\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ measured in $f$ are related by $c \tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)=\bar{h} / \Gamma\left(\pi_{1}\right)=c^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}\right)=c^{\prime} \tau\left(\pi_{2}\right)$. Suppose $\pi_{2}$ is at rest at the origin, for simplicity; one then has $c=\gamma c^{\prime}$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\pi_{2}\right)=\gamma \tau\left(\pi_{1}\right), \quad \gamma=\left(1-v^{2} / c^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{E3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time dilation experiment is explained directly by this relation (E3) rather than the relations (34) and (35). Note that this does not contradict the scalar property of the lifetime $\tau$, which implies only that all observers in different frames measures the same value of $\tau$ when they observe the same unstable particle, e.g., $\tau\left(\pi_{2}\right)=\tau^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$, where $\tau^{\prime}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ is the pion lifetime measured in $f^{\prime}$.

The concept of universal time discussed in this paper is actually different from Newtonian time. For a discussion of the relation between universal clocks in this paper and practical atomic clocks, we refer to a recent paper by C. B. Chiu, J. P. Hsu, and T. N. Sherry, Phys. Rev. D, in press (Univ. of Texas preprint, ORO 288, 1976).

