

# Proposition 25

## On Resilient Organizations

**In a Word** Organizations must be resilient if they are to survive and thrive in turbulent times. Learning from experience, investments in leadership and culture, networks, and change readiness can help them move from denial and paralysis to acceptance and practical solutions.



### Darwin Semper Vivens

Vulnerability has few friends. Naturally, the ability to cope with and recover readily from adversity is the preferred attribute of living organisms and their environments: in the face of shocks that threaten existence or viability, it enables them to bounce back to a previous state of normal functioning, or simply not show deleterious effects. Indeed, the ability of an entity or system to maintain and renew itself—or not—in the presence of stressors is of paramount significance to life on Earth.

*Life is like a game of cards. The hand you are dealt is determinism; the way you play it is free will.*

—Jawaharlal Nehru

As you would have thought, resilience has a long history in ecology and, when persons are the subject, psychology. Additionally, of late, it has been used in the context of communities, hence, organizations, where it is more and more defined in active, not passive, terms to connote deliberate efforts to deal with—perhaps even thrive on—hardship. For communities and organizations, resilience must surely stand for more than mere survival.

As a matter of fact, with an initial focus on tragedies in the wake of 9/11,<sup>1</sup> the notion of organizational resilience has burgeoned because of the need to manage uncertainty and ambiguity in modern societies and their economies, all of them complex and increasingly interconnected.<sup>2</sup> Where once-isolative system boundaries rupture or wear away, applying the concept of resilience to organizations—the fundamental building blocks of collective human endeavor—is vital to ensure enduring social well-being and economic prosperity in the twenty-first century. Because of its intimate, assimilative, relationship with such fields as enterprise risk management and business continuity management,<sup>3</sup> organizational resilience is now considered an outcome—and a fundamental concern—of corporate governance.

## The Case for Organizational Resilience

*When, in an epoch of change, tomorrow is necessarily different from yesterday, and so new things need to be done, what are the questions to be asked before the solutions are sought? Action learning differs from normal training (education, development) in that its primary objective is to learn how to ask questions in conditions of risk, rather than to find the answers to questions that have already been precisely defined by others—and that do not allow ambiguous responses because the examiners have all the approved answers.*

—Reginald Revans

<sup>1</sup>From that perspective, resilience was defined as the ability of organizations to deal with catastrophes such as a natural disaster, e.g., an earthquake, a tsunami, or a volcanic eruption; a power outage; a fire; a bomb; or similar event after they have become manifest. This led many governmental emergency response systems to adopt the “4Rs” approach to risk management—reduction, readiness, response, and recovery.

<sup>2</sup>The forces that drive structural transformations in the globalizing economy comprise, for example, shifting demographics; fast advances in science and technology; shrinking markets; the explosion of digital networks; the thinning out of accountability, governance, and power; the realignment of economic influence in a polycentric world; and rising concern for environmental sustainability.

<sup>3</sup>Other practices of organizations seeking to protect themselves are emergency management, crisis management, physical security, and cybersecurity.

Certainly, in the private sector, success has never been so fragile<sup>4</sup>: large organizations fail more often than in the past.<sup>5</sup> Every day, the sustainability of organizations is tested in a world that constantly changes and offers new challenges: in deference to the Red Queen hypothesis, depending of course on the environment they operate in, it is as if they must get better just to keep in the same place.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, with respect to organizational resilience, beyond unrewarding portrayals of maladaptive processes and on a par with concepts of the learning organization, emerging ideas evolve ways to accommodate environmental turbulence and effectively manage disruptive change<sup>7</sup> and its pace<sup>8</sup> to engage, adjust, adapt, and recover; capture or realize opportunity; and in some cases actually morph to become stronger on account of the experience.

*Do I contradict myself?  
Very well then I contradict myself,  
(I am large, I contain multitudes).*

—Walt Whitman

Every organization faces a unique risk landscape and resilience thinking must embrace learning. Achieving desirable outcomes amid strain requires creativity and innovation, aka, competencies borne of practiced skill at harnessing internal and external resources<sup>9</sup> and authority productively to address issues at the edge of chaos.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>4</sup>The average lifespan of a company listed in Standard & Poor's 500 index of leading companies in the United States has shrunk by more than 50 years over the last century, from 67 years in the 1920s to only 15 years of late.

<sup>5</sup>Irrespective of an organization's lifespan, dysfunctions in sectors such as business, disaster assistance, energy, environment, healthcare, humanitarian aid, international relations, or transport can beget terrible upshots.

<sup>6</sup>Organizational evolution would have it thus: faced with competition, an organization is likely to look for ways to raise its performance; when successful, generative learning boosts its competitive strength, which in turn triggers adaptive learning in resistant rivals and makes them more robust, thereby inciting the first organization to continue to learn more.

<sup>7</sup>Here, disruptive change is brought about by surprises that destabilize organizational performance and can even threaten viability.

<sup>8</sup>The pace of change is driven by variations in the kind, number, and recurrence of conditions an organization experiences.

<sup>9</sup>Here, the term "resource" is taken in its broadest sense, meaning, a financial, human, informational, and material resource, including a service, made available to a system so that it can function.

<sup>10</sup>Complexity thinking helps analyze what has become a key descriptor of our world. Eschewing reductionism, which interprets data and information in simple terms, it offers pointers where organizations find it difficult to predict, control, and influence because of self-organization and co-evolution. When a system risks decline or collapse—stagnation is not an option in a competitive world, double-loop and triple-loop learning can remedy inadequacies and leapfrog the (inexorably declining) curve of organizational performance over time.

Importantly, given the developmental properties of resilience, the capacity to constantly adapt, improvise, and even shape change can only spring from latent potential at individual, group, and organizational levels, nurtured over a history of prior experience.<sup>11</sup> As organizations build on the past to expand their behavioral repertoires across new competencies the range of possible actions they can take to meet hazards grows in breadth and depth. They are not error-free, yet errors do not disable them: they become high-reliability organizations that continuously focus on performance.

*There exist some evils so terrible and some misfortunes so horrible that we dare not think of them, whilst their very aspect makes us shudder; but if they happen to fall on us, we find ourselves stronger than we imagined; we grapple with our ill luck, and behave better than we expected we should.*

—Jean de La Bruyère

Responding without delay, thoroughly, and constructively with minimal stress to unexpected events and circumstances is now an organizational imperative, alongside client-orientation and agility.<sup>12</sup> In times of change, organicity<sup>13</sup> trumps the day

<sup>11</sup>To overcome the innate cognitive, ideological, political, and strategic barriers to organizational sustainability that crises expose, three basic questions would need answering after each experience: (i) what have we learned? (ii) how—or in what conditions—did we learn? and (iii) how can we integrate what we learned in individual and collective capabilities to understand complex, interdependent issues; engage in reflective, generative conversations; and cultivate personal and shared aspirations?

<sup>12</sup>Agility is the twin of resilience. It connotes with the means to move rapidly and flexibly to reap benefits from opportunities or adapt to threats arising from volatile environments. Fleetness of foot or, better, the ability to change the rules of the game typifies agility. For latitude, by and large, organizations need to develop: (i) financial agility—this means having liquidity with which to absorb downturns and buy the freedom to venture, (ii) operational agility—this equates with being lean and efficient, (iii) organizational agility—this entails having less hierarchical and more empowering structures that involve and engage personnel, and (iv) portfolio agility—this signifies being able to reallocate, reshape, or reinvent resources with all necessary speed. From such broad-based capability, they can then—as a matter of orchestrated routine—strategize dynamically, correctly perceive environmental transformations, test possible responses, and implement changes in, say, capabilities, methods of delivery, operations, policy, processes, products, services, strategy, structures, systems and their interactions, and technology.

<sup>13</sup>Burns and Stalker (1961) contrasted what they termed “organic” and “mechanistic” forms of organization more than 50 years ago. A mechanistic management system befits stable conditions; an organic form meets changing conditions. In a closed system design, the former exhibits low levels of uncertainty typified by centralized decision making, low integration of offices and departments and functional areas, standardization and formalization, and low differentiation of tasks; in an open system design, the latter exhibits higher levels of uncertainty characterized by decentralized decision making, high integration of offices and departments and functional areas, little standardization and formalization, and high differentiation of tasks. This said, there is no optimum way of organizing: configuration is conditioned by the efforts a venture deploys to achieve landscape fitness.

through structural flexibility, viz, relaxation of command and control; expansive processing of information; and opportunistic appropriation of redundancy, slack resources, and capabilities for improvisation.<sup>14</sup>

## Dimensions of Organizational Resilience

*Happiness is not the absence of problems but the ability to deal with them.*

—H. Jackson Brown

Resilience is neither a series of principles nor an end state: it is a never-ending journey that, within a risk landscape, is conditioned as much else by organization, people, knowledge, and technology, as well as the interactions<sup>15</sup> among these. Notwithstanding, in the here and now of recurrent crises, resilience must be an act of mindful,<sup>16</sup> strategic anticipation and active waiting spanning day-to-day, exceptional, and emergency management.

Interest in organizational resilience grows daily but, sorry to say, the state of the art still leaves much to be desired—to date, most of the literature propounds generic traits. They include such recommendations as: avoid being overprotective; bounce back from adversity; build a culture of commitment and accountability; entertain the inconceivable; foster trust between leaders and teams; listen to complainers; make sure employees engage with the strategy of the business; move the goal posts every three years; put your motivators where your mouth is; refuse to rest on your laurels; self-correct; shore up your decision-making processes; show the courage of your convictions; think horizontally; use people to change people; etc.

Yes, certainly ... But, what specifically might it be that makes some organizations survive and thrive in turbulent times? Helpfully, Resilient Organizations suggest that the resilience of an organization is a function of three interdependent attributes: (i) leadership and culture—which define the adaptive capacity of the

---

<sup>14</sup>To improvise is to do something on the spur of the moment without preparation and with what resources are available.

<sup>15</sup>An aside on organizational silos is warranted. The *Knowledge Solutions* on bridging organizational silos explain that large organizations rely on teams to develop and deliver products and services. However, the defining characteristics of specialized units often hamper collaboration among different parts of an organization. Promoting intra-organizational resilience demands that an enabling environment be built for effective cross-functional teamwork.

<sup>16</sup>The *Knowledge Solutions* on knowledge behaviors showcase 16 habits of mind that attend to value, inclination, sensitivity, capability, and commitment—all defined toward behaving intelligently when confronted with problems.

organization; (ii) networks—which amount to the internal and external relationships fostered and developed for the organization to leverage when needed; and (iii) change readiness—which signifies the planning undertaken and direction established to enable the organization to be change-ready.<sup>17</sup>

## Reference

Burns T, Stalker G (1961) *The management of innovation*. Tavistock

The opinions expressed in this chapter are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Asian Development Bank, its Board of Directors, or the countries they represent.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 IGO license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/igo/>) which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the Asian Development Bank, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

Any dispute related to the use of the works of the Asian Development Bank that cannot be settled amicably shall be submitted to arbitration pursuant to the UNCITRAL rules. The use of the Asian Development Bank's name for any purpose other than for attribution, and the use of the Asian Development Bank's logo, shall be subject to a separate written license agreement between the Asian Development Bank and the user and is not authorized as part of this CC-IGO license. Note that the link provided above includes additional terms and conditions of the license.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.



<sup>17</sup>Resilient Organizations also provides a resilience benchmark tool and associated questionnaire to gauge the resilience of an organization, monitor progress over time, and compare resilience strengths and weaknesses against other organizations in the sector of interest or of a similar size.