Correction to: Linear Programming Using MATLAB®



Nikolaos Ploskas and Nikolaos Samaras

Correction to:

N. Ploskas, N. Samaras, Linear Programming Using MATLAB®, Springer Optimization and Its Applications 127, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65919-0

The original version of the book was inadvertently published without updating the following corrections:

Preface:

On page ix, the last line reads:

April 2017

It should read:

November 2017

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65919-0_2

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65919-0_4

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65919-0_8

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65919-0_10

Chapter 1:

On page 5, 11th line from top reads:

A more efficient approach is the Primal-Dual Exterior Point Simplex Algorithm (PDEPSA) proposed by Samaras [23] and Paparrizos [22].

It should read:

A more efficient approach is the Primal-Dual Exterior Point Simplex Algorithm (PDEPSA) proposed by Samaras [23] and Paparrizos et al. [22].

Chapter 2:

On page 68, alignment of the following equations in Table 2.8 were as follows:

$$s_0 = \sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j s_j$$

and the direction
 $d_B = -\sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j h_j$, where $h_j = A_B^{-1} A_{.j}$.

It should be as follows:

$$s_0 = \sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j s_j$$

and the direction
 $d_B = -\sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j h_j$, where $h_j = A_B^{-1} A_j$.

And

```
if d_B \ge 0 then if s_0 = 0 then STOP. The LP problem is optimal. else choose the leaving variable x_{B[r]} = x_k using the following relation: a = \frac{x_{B[r]}}{-d_{B[r]}} = \min \left\{ \frac{x_{B[i]}}{-d_{B[i]}} : d_{B[i]} < 0 \right\}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, m if a = \infty, the LP problem is unbounded.
```

It should be as follows:

```
if d_B \ge 0 then if s_0 = 0 then STOP. The LP problem is optimal. else choose the leaving variable x_{B[r]} = x_k using the following relation: a = \frac{x_{B[r]}}{-d_{B[r]}} = \min \left\{ \frac{x_{B[i]}}{-d_{B[i]}} : d_{B[i]} < 0 \right\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m if a = \infty, the LP problem is unbounded.
```

Chapter 4:

On page 211, 15th line from top, the sentence reads:

The column "Total size reduction" in Table 4.2 is calculated as follows: $-(m_{new} + n_{new} - m - n)/((m + n))$.

It should read:

The column "Total size reduction" in Table 4.2 is calculated as follows: $-(m_{new} + n_{new} - m - n)/(m + n)$.

Chapter 8:

On page 345, 7th line from bottom, the sentence reads:

There are elements in vector h_1 that are greater than 0, so we perform the minimum ratio test (where the letter x is used below to represent that $h_i l \leq 0$, therefore $\frac{x_{B[i]}}{h_{il}}$ is not defined):

It should read:

There are elements in vector h_1 that are greater than 0, so we perform the minimum ratio test (where the letter x is used below to represent that $h_{il} \leq 0$, therefore $\frac{x_{B[i]}}{h_{il}}$ is not defined):

Chapter 10:

On page 439, alignment of the following equation in Table 10.1 was as follows:

```
s_0 = \sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j s_j and the direction d_B = -\sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j h_j, where h_j = A_B^{-1} A_j. Step 2.1. (Test of Optimality). if P = \emptyset then STOP. (LP.1) is optimal. else if d_B \ge 0 then if s_0 = 0 then STOP. (LP.1) is optimal.
```

It should be as follows:

```
s_0 = \sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j s_j
and the direction
d_B = -\sum_{j \in P} \lambda_j h_j, where h_j = A_B^{-1} A_j.
Step 2.1. (Test of Optimality).
if P = \emptyset then STOP. (LP.1) is optimal.
else
if d_B \ge 0 then
if s_0 = 0 then STOP. (LP.1) is optimal.
```