

# Customer Service Experience Through Technology-Enabled Social CRM – An Exploratory Analysis in the Automotive Industry

Aaron W. Baur<sup>1</sup>(✉), Johannes S. Henne<sup>2</sup>, and Markus Bick<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ESCP Europe Business School Berlin, Berlin, Germany  
{abaur, mbick}@escpeurope.eu

<sup>2</sup> City & Bits GmbH, Berlin, Germany  
johannes.henne@gmx.de

**Abstract.** In recent years, social media and the Web 2.0 have rapidly gained an increasing popularity. Companies have recognized this development and anticipate higher customer satisfaction, customer loyalty and customer lifetime value through the use of social media for commercial purposes. Social customer relationship management (SCRM) professionalizes the use of social media and aims at value cocreation of companies and their customers. Through a combination of high tech and high touch, this new way of interaction with customers also offers plenty of opportunities to enhance customer service experience.

In this inductive, exploratory study, eighteen interviews were held with professionals from several vertical levels of the automotive industry (original equipment manufacturers, suppliers, market research agencies, and strategy consultancies). We aimed at exploring which opportunities, pitfalls and success factors organizations report when using technology-based Social CRM to leverage customer service experience. The findings are then discussed and practical recommendations given.

**Keywords:** Customer relationship management · CRM · Customer service experience · Social CRM · Value cocreation · Social media · Service economy

## 1 Introduction

A constantly growing number of activities of private and public organizations are engineered and managed as services, often times creating innovations for economic prosperity and social welfare. This development is mirrored in the Information Systems (IS) discipline. Examples include the use of services as the organizing logic for providing information systems, the use of services as an architectural paradigm (Service Oriented Architecture, SOA), and the development of Cloud/Internet-based services for information, processes, applications, and IT-infrastructures [1].

Along with a service-oriented economy in a highly competitive environment, there is an ever growing pressure to deliver customers a unique service experience to ensure customer satisfaction, retention and referrals. This necessity to deliver outstanding

service can be supported through a mixture of technology and personal interaction, that is, by ‘high tech and high touch’ [2, 3]. Likewise, only few people can imagine their life without the daily involvement in social media services like Facebook, Twitter, or YouTube. Social media and Web 2.0 have advanced to an important part of economic, social, and technology concept of the Internet, which enables users to create content and build a network with other users [4]. The results from user participation, such as posts, friend lists, and profiles, are accessible by other parties of the community [5]. This development attracts attention of companies that aim to take advantage of the opportunities that come with them, such as improving reputation, influencing the purchase decision process of potential buyers, increasing marketing efficiency, supporting cost reductions, receiving post-purchase feedback, and innovating their products through cocreation [6–9].

Combining the developments of a predominantly service-based economy with the pervasiveness of social media customer interactions, the relatively new term social customer relationship management (SCRM) was born [10]. Social customer relationship management is a philosophy and business strategy that professionalizes the affiliation to customers using social media and aims at realizing mutual benefits. The relevance of the opportunities and challenges are underpinned by the reports of the renowned Marketing Science Institute (MSI), which biennially issues its ‘Research Priorities’. The Research Priorities papers capture “the areas of most interest and importance to MSI member companies” [11]. The number one ranked priority, *Understanding Customers and the Customer Experience*, explicitly emphasize the importance of more research of the customer service experience.

In this paper, we apply a qualitative interview approach to answer the following research question:

*RQ: Which opportunities, pitfalls and success factors do companies on several vertical levels of the automotive industry report when applying technology-based Social Customer Relationship Management to enhance Customer Service Experience?*

The intention is to build a better understanding of the interaction between Social CRM and the intended delivery of ‘memorable events’ [12] of commercial firms when operating in a business-to-consumer (B2C) or business-to-business (B2B) context.

In the remainder of this research paper, we first draw a picture of the interactions between a service economy, a necessary high level of customer service experience and social media/Social CRM. We then explain the research methodology, before we present and discuss the results. A conclusion, also explaining limitations and avenues of further research, close the paper.

## **2 The Interplay of Service, Customer Service Experience and Social Technology**

For decades, marketing was primarily constructed around physical products. Traditional understandings following the historic goods-dominant logic identify value as something that could be manufactured or created within a business and then distributed to customers, thus, making value at the point of exchange the prime issue. With the

advent of the ‘service-economy’ [13, 14], however, the services that go along with products and services as stand-alone offerings moved into the focus of most researchers. The service-dominant logic thinking diffused into ICT development. The Information Systems discipline contributes to the interdisciplinary research stream of Service Science since its first inception about 10 years ago.

However, even in the service-dominant logic, research in marketing focused on defining value from a company’s perspective [15]. More recent approaches have been to explain the notion of value from the customers’ point of view [16, 17]. As most services can only be delivered in conjunction with the customer, the ensuring and managing of the customer service experience became the center of thought.

Customer experience is the subjective, internal response customers have to any direct or indirect contact with a firm [18]. Customer service experience entails any aspect of a firm’s offering, such as the quality of customer care, product and service features, usability, advertising, and packaging. As CEOs oftentimes focus on costs rather than on the customer value, literature is full of bad customer service experiences, such as mystifying phone carrier minutes to discourage comparison shopping and thus price war, hard-to-obtain rebates to stimulate a purchase, or offering electronic surrogates on company hotlines to slash staffing costs. These customer experiences provoke regrets and then the determination to do business elsewhere [19]. At the same time, the advent and success of the service paradigm challenges previously established separations between B2B and B2C relationships, corporate IS and consumer IS, or internal IS and external services.

**The Paradigm Shift from Web 1.0 to Web 2.0.** In parallel, profound changes in society, business and technology took place, revolutionizing (online) communication [20]. Central keywords in this context are Web 2.0 and Social Media [4]. Web 2.0 describes second generation web technologies [21] focused on the active, simultaneous and bi-directional involvement of professional and non-professional users [22]. Social Media are corresponding internet-based applications that enable participation in creating, communicating, and sharing content such as text messages, posts, images, or short clips [23]. The availability of easy-to-use forums, blogs, special interest groups, and other social media channels has opened up opportunities for ordinary people to engage easily with large governmental or corporate bodies by creating user-generated content (UGC). UGC was the main factor responsible for the massive growth of Web 2.0 and the unprecedented availability of information-rich content. Commercial companies have actively gathered and analyzed these customer reviews, ideas, and opinions since the early years of this century [24, 25]. This ‘wisdom-of-the-crowd’ [26], however, was not only received, but also actively triggered, shaped, and influenced [27]. Through value cocreation, companies have harnessed their own customers in reducing product flaws or developing completely new products and services [28]. More and more, manufacturers and users of products are entering a symbiosis, with the Web 2.0 being a “key piece of organizational infrastructure that links and engages employees, customers, and suppliers as never before” [29].

Customer relationship management (CRM, [30]) is another promising field of application of Web 2.0, based on this more intimate relationship. Web 2.0 can provide “the means to facilitate dialogue and bonding not simply with individual consumers,

but with multiple participants. This in turn may allow these various participants to benefit from an internet dialogue which is based upon community” [31]. In other words, Web 2.0 enables consumer-centric management and offers novel opportunities for direct interaction and collaboration and thus an increased exchange. This ‘Web 2.0 supported CRM’ is termed Social CRM (SCRM), addresses the potential for advanced customer integration, and deals with the deployment of Web 2.0 principles and practices in CRM [32]. According to Greenberg [33], SCRM is “[...] a philosophy and a business strategy, supported by a technology platform, business rules, processes and social characteristics, designed to engage the customer in a collaborative conversation in order to provide mutually beneficial value in a trusted and transparent business environment.”

The term social customer service consequently generally refers to customer service offered via social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, or YouTube [34]. Examples of user activities are joining groups, placing like- or dislike flags, adding others to the friend list, reading specific texts, watching videos, and changing profile information. With these activities, consumers receive a better customer service experience and benefit from participating companies through relationship advantages, first hand reviews, product updates, brand interaction and exchange, and influence on business processes [35]. Examples are discounts, special promotions, and the acceleration of the fulfilment of support requests. Business opportunities arise in the form of leads (i.e., potential customers), enriched customer profile information, and a better understanding of interests and markets [36]. Fliess et al. [8] state that “activities of customers can be considered as an economic resource”. User activities in social media create value and stimulate companies’ revenues [37].

Social customer service is more than establishing a channel for customers’ requests and complaints, but rather a complete realignment of the online communication philosophy and strategy [38]. The objective is to provide companies and their customer service organizations with the tools needed to move customers from a satisfied and loyal experience to avid brand advocates [39]. It combines personal interaction between company staff and the customer with state-of-the-art technology, also referred to as ‘high tech, high touch’ [2, 3]. This technology-employee pair is especially suitable to create a high customer service experience [40].

### 3 Research Methodology

The review of literature in the abovementioned areas revealed that applications of Social CRM actually used in practice for the purpose of enhancing customer service experience are not well researched. We therefore chose an explorative, inductive, interview-based method, as this is deemed most suitable for discovering new ideas and arguments [41, 42]. Three constituting considerations led us to the choice of the automotive industry as our research subject: first, automobiles are very complex products [43]. They therefore – in theory – yield abundant motives and possibilities for customers to engage with the manufacturers or suppliers. Second, the high relative value people assign to automobiles being status symbols leads – in practice – to a massive amount of automobile-related online user-generated content [44] as a basis for

**Table 1.** Question blocks of interview guideline

Block Number	Area of Questions
1	Demographics & role of interviewee; general situation of the company
2	Overview CRM landscape and offline service channels
3	Social media channels
4	Relevance of social media services for CRM
5	Implementation of social media strategy
6	Customer focus and customer service experience excellence
7	Overall assessment

future increases customer service experience. And third, the automobile industry still plays a decisive role in many countries' economies, making the findings highly relevant for practical purposes.

After the target industry had been fixed, we developed an interview guideline comprising seven question blocks that adhered to common qualitative research standards [45]. The guideline was first pre-tested with six final year Master's students enrolled in management-related degrees (Table 1) [46].

Eighteen semi-structured practitioner interviews were then carried out on the practitioners' own premises (*field research*, [47]). The selection of the interview partners and the eleven companies which they work for, respectively, was based on a diligent, purposive, theory-driven sampling strategy, that is, relevance was more decisive than representativeness [48]. This ensured the inclusion of most aspects of the underlying theories in the evidence gathered from the informants [45, 49]. It also enabled comparisons as well as theoretical and literal replication [50]. The inclusion criteria were 'automotive company' or 'professional service firm working for the automotive industry', the interviews were continued until a theoretic saturation had been reached [51]. The literature confirms that multiple cases enhance validity [49, 52], and the convergence of statements and observations increases confidence in the findings [49]. The interviews lasted between 51 and 96 min. More than one interviewee was questioned at most companies to avoid a respondent bias and so that a first triangulation of findings could be done (see the descriptions of companies and interview partners in Appendix A). The inclusion of managers from different hierarchical ranks, working for firms at several vertical levels of the industry (original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), suppliers and service providers), should ensure the formation of a holistic picture and mitigate the possibility of missing important insights [53, 54]. The interviews were transcribed [45] and coded following an open coding process [55]. Relevant passages were identified and categories were subsequently refined in an axial coding phase. The analysis was diligently carried out to fulfill the common requirements for qualitative research (e.g., [48, 56, 57]). The interpretation process was rule-driven and documented ("procedural validity"). Finally, consensus was derived through discussions with several of the interviewees [58].

## 4 Results and Recommendations

The analysis of the coded interview transcripts gives a solid picture of current practices of using Social CRM to enhance customer service experience. The research categories and codes used for analysis were not based on a certain framework or theory, but emerged completely out of the interview data. We then iteratively rearranged them until we got seven rather homogenous concepts. Besides *pros* and *pitfalls*, we derived *processes*, *plans*, *proactivity*, *personnel*, and *personality*.

In the following section, we summarize and discuss the findings (see Table 2 for sample evidence). As the topic is especially relevant for practitioners trying to leverage the technology for the benefit of their clients and their own bottom line results, we decided to deviate from standard procedures and present the findings in the form of practical recommendations.

First of all, personnel need to understand the overall meaning and significance of customer service experience for their clients and of Social CRM for their company. Both managers and employees should attend workshops and training sessions to obtain the necessary social media and customer centricity awareness. Only under these circumstances the use of Facebook, Twitter and other services will increase the service experience and add value to the company. Otherwise, the services will be ends in themselves and can even be a threat. All interviewees confirmed that Social CRM offered through social media has taken a center stage. Resources in form of capital and man power need to be allocated.

If the company has decided to offer Social CRM, it has to make sure to align it with the overall business model, corporate vision, mission, and strategy. This is the task of the top tier of managers, who then have to convey the new thinking to the whole organization.

Another responsibility of the top management is to ensure that all divisions are involved in equal measure. One key challenge is to reply to customers' request virtually in

**Table 2.** Sample qualitative evidence from interviews

Research Constructs	Codes	Findings	Exemplifying Quotes O = OEM   S = Supplier M = Market Research C = Strategy Consultancy
Pros	Competitive Advantage	competitive advantage through a relationship based on better understanding customers' needs	<b>C2:</b> "we really do believe we attain superior customer service experience through our Social CRM initiatives"
	Reach	potentially millions of contact points reachable with a mouse-click, penetration of smartphones/mobile devices important driver	<b>O1:</b> "we reach more customers with Social CRM than with all other communication channels combined" <b>O2:</b> "about every second a customer uses a Social Media channel"

(Continued)

**Table 2.** (Continued)

	Monetary Benefit	almost no variable costs, very effective communication channel (many customer replies possible in short amount of time) increase of customer equity	<b>S2:</b> “the application of successful social customer service has almost doubled the sales compared to other communication channels”
	Timeliness	fast, almost synchronous communication without the disadvantages of phone calls; great customer service experience higher frequency of interaction possible	<b>M1:</b> “we can get back to our client without any delays, and can give expert advice due to real-time access to user-related data”
	(e-) word-of-mouth	word-of-mouth for free, one Twitter follower can stipulate hundreds of other ones; loyalty and increased customer-lifetime value; improved image	<b>O2:</b> “many of our satisfied customers share their experience over the Web” <b>C2:</b> “we experience higher revenue of customers who also use Social Media channels”
	Data Access	customer interactions yield massive amount of data, which can be mined to create up-selling opportunities	<b>O3:</b> “our marketing campaigns are much more tailor-made and reach exactly the specified target group”
<b>Pitfalls</b>	Non-Use	massive competitive disadvantage, threat of ‘unofficial’ company representation (e.g., fake Twitter account)	<b>S1:</b> “today, non-use is a no-go. There is only one worse thing: doing Social CRM sloppily or infrequently”
	Speed	sheer speed of information dissemination threatening, moderated replies need to be posted within 24 hours	<b>O1:</b> “one of the biggest hurdles to responding to social media customer feedback is the challenge of operating in real time” <b>M2:</b> “our policy is to react within two hours in 95% of cases, which is hard, but doable”
	Negative comments	people even more likely to talk about negative experience; anonymity of internet lowers threshold	<b>O2:</b> “moderated, but open discussion helps” <b>S2:</b> “we capitalize in negative tweets by solving the issue promptly” <b>O3:</b> “the risk of shitstorms is always there”
	Data Privacy	public channels not suitable for the exchange of customer data; here, the switch to more secure, private channels is mandatory	<b>C1:</b> “we had to transfer certain traffic to other channels due to data privacy concerns”
<b>Processes</b>	Comprehension & realization of value-ad	all hierarchical levels need to be aware of the ‘why’ and the associated opportunities, risks and technical requirements	<b>S1:</b> “we realized that top-management needs to show deep commitment to customer service experience supported by Social CRM”

(Continued)

**Table 2.** (Continued)

	Integration of 'social' into strategy	Inclusion of social media in corporate vision, mission & strategy; right social media approach match business model simple, integrated solutions, not fragmented, burdensome ones	<b>S2:</b> "customer service experience and satisfaction are central parts of our corporate self-image, which is codified in our mission. Social CRM is a way to get there."
	Collaboration of all departments	free flow of information between departments crucial to enable short customer response times; one 'voice' to the outside world sales and marketing do not monopolize points of contact with customers	<b>M3:</b> "our social media, PR and marketing departments closely coordinate their actions with virtually any other function to gain maximum alignment and credibility"
	Technology as enabler	powerful technology as a necessary commodity, but not as the means to an end technology enables monitoring, closed-loop process required	<b>C3:</b> "a proprietary tool helps us to track all action on Facebook" <b>S2:</b> "we use the fastest hardware and online connection, this is out of question"
	Key Performance Indicators	easy to collect and quantify data information from data then needs to be acted on	<b>M1:</b> "gathering business-relevant data, like degree of customer satisfaction, is now constantly monitored"
<b>Plans</b>	Knowledge Management	guidelines and handbook need to codify Social CRM strategy, voice and tone of voice	<b>S1:</b> "in a large corporate like us, there have to be rules pertaining to how we express and present ourselves in social media channels; this has worked well in the past"
	Escalation- and crisis plans	Emergency rules as how to handle sensitive data, criteria for incoming messages, exemplary replies and information of relevant contact persons	<b>O1:</b> "speed is decisive, even if things go wrong. We therefore developed a set of explicit emergency do's and don'ts, available and known to all employees"
<b>Proactivity</b>	Anticipation of trends and customer demands	constant monitoring of trends and developments ensure a fast adaption of the company, e.g., through new products or services delivered to the customer	<b>O3:</b> "indeed, we today get more ideas and suggestions from outsiders via social media channels than what we actively generate with internal product development"

(Continued)



**Table 2.** (Continued)

	Reduction of support need of customers	best-of-class Social CRM not only reacts, but proactively creates content that helps customers getting the most out of the company’s products or services, without support.	<b>O2:</b> “for us, this idea of proactively trying to avoid customer requests – in its best sense – and to deliver an extraordinary service experience was very innovative”
<b>Personnel</b>	Recruiting	job descriptions, recruiting ads and hiring policies have to completely match the actual job and skill requirements; outsourcing of Social CRM not advisable completely new philosophy for employees: more authority, more rewarding job position, enhanced team work, higher salary	<b>C2:</b> “our CEO is personally responsible for hiring new employees and takes specific care to employ friendly, honest and integer colleagues, especially in the outside-facing departments. We developed an additional code of behavior for new employees as well”
	Training and qualification	training is key, especially in topics such as adherence to communication policies, service level agreements, user post handling, or response times.; well-trained personnel boosts motivation and leads to competitive advantage	<b>S1:</b> “our full-time staff responsible for Facebook are very well qualified and constantly trained” <b>M2:</b> “the manual is permanently updated and communicated” <b>C1:</b> “the fast pace of change in the social media landscape necessitates perpetual training”
<b>Personality</b>	First Contact Resolution	speed and credibility of reply to customer inquiry decisive, no automated answers if possible, solution-oriented thinking	<b>S2:</b> “we specifically stress the importance of a satisfactory solution on the first attempt” <b>O2:</b> “we really strive for making our customers feel appreciated”
	Treatment of Customer	professional etiquette, even if customers are impolite or insulting always take the customer’s view point	<b>O1:</b> “we would never use inexperienced interns or part-timers to handle our Social CRM inquiries – what better asset than our customers do we have?”

real-time and thus, every division needs a designated person being responsible for the process [59]. Regular office hours will not necessarily meet the actual user behavior in the social media environment and additional resources have to be allocated to guarantee quick response rates at all time.

As part of the Social CRM strategy, risk planning has to be considered in possible cases of extraordinary situations. Again, this is important for all divisions because the company has to appear as one coherent entity. Every member should document interactions with customers to simplify the review process afterwards.

All previous recommendations make great demands on employees and, therefore, every company should have appropriate recruiting and training procedures. Within the recruiting process, demands regarding social competence and service-oriented qualities as well as communication skills should be assured. Another option would be to out-source Social CRM to an external partner [60]. However, for the most part, the managers interviewed do not recommend this as customer relationship management, be it with traditional or with new means and technologies, has been and will remain a crucial success factor for the entire company.

In terms of continuous process improvement, the company needs to offer constant, advanced training to be able staff staying ahead of the fast moving evolution of social media services [61]. Software product updates and new internal policies have to be effectively communicated to every employee involved in the social customer service process.

Finally, the decision which social media service to adopt first to establish Social CRM is important. It is nearly impossible for companies to enter multiple services at the same time [39]. Thus, they should focus on the most dominant service in the desired national market. Facebook has a leadership role and was deemed to be a reasonable starting point for most of the companies. Customers' expectations, which are of high importance, should be weighed up with the firm's own resources and capabilities.

## 5 Conclusion

In this research paper, the authors analyzed how Social CRM can interact with and be beneficial to customer service experience. Our study took an inductive, explorative stance with qualitative interviews as the method of data collection and coding as the means of data analysis. We advanced the body of research in the Service Science field from an IS academic viewpoint by answering the following research question:

*Which opportunities, pitfalls and success factors do companies on several vertical levels of the automotive industry report when applying technology-based Social Customer Relationship Management to enhance Customer Service Experience?*

As customers have a greater number of choices than ever before, more complex choices, and more channels through which to pursue them, companies need to focus on delivering an excellent customer service experience [62]. Spreading important clues that address all five senses of the customer, that is, seeing, smelling, tasting, hearing or touching, can at least partially be supported through Social CRM methods [63, 64]. However, many companies just seem to follow a trending social media path everyone is using without adding significant elements to their overall business model [65]. They have not realized until now how to integrate social media service into their strategic concept in order to differentiate themselves and create unique selling propositions [66], as well as igniting value cocreation [28].

The rapidly developing and changing social media environment and the hitherto changing relationship between companies and its customers can be regarded as the rationale for conducting this study (cf. also [67]). As more and more power shifts from the companies to the customers, new concepts, technologies and recommendations

have to be created and provided [68]. This explorative approach with first empirical elements helps to set the research agenda for upcoming studies.

In conclusion, we could identify opportunities, pitfalls and success factors of Social CRM when it comes to enhancing customer service experience. In the end we agree with March [36, 69] who states that “by choosing social media over other communications channels, millions of customers have given voice to their concerns in what has become an increasingly public arena.”

However, some limitations occur in this study which we would like to address. As is common with qualitative research, the number of data points gathered is limited. Eighteen interviews from eleven firms are a good start, but it remains unclear whether talking to eighteen other managers would yield the same or similar results. Cultural differences were also not taken into consideration. In addition, the interviewees were all related to the automotive industry, which can be counted as very mature. Questions of external validity, that is, whether the results can be transferred to other, potentially more dynamic industries, remain unacknowledged.

Further research can address more companies of various sizes, industries and cultural backgrounds. Switching from a commercial context to the public or non-profit sector may be worthwhile. Looking at the issue from the other side, the customer’s point of view, could also bring up new perspectives and triangulate the findings. The increasing ubiquity of a service society calls for more relevant and rigorous research that reaches across traditional geographical and disciplinary boundaries.

## Appendix A: Description of Interview Partners and Companies<sup>1</sup>

Group	Company	Number of Interviews	Company Type	Interview Partner
Automotive OEM	O1	1	European premium OEM	Head of Communication Insights
	O2	3	European mass OEM	Head of Strategy
				Senior Manager Digital/ Brand Marketing
				Team Lead Communication Strategy/ Branding & Marketing Management
	O3	2	European premium OEM	Associate Brand Strategies, Market Research and Competitor
				Associate Product Management, Small Vehicles
Automotive Supplier	S1	1	European supplier of steering systems, top 50 of global suppliers	Vice President Personnel and Services
	S2	2	European supplier of driveline and chassis technology, top 20 of global suppliers	Head of Market Development, Market Intelligence & Sales Coordination Project Manager Car Powertrain Technology
Market Research Agency	M1	1	European branch of a global leader in (market) research, insight, consultancy	Director Automotive
	M2	1	Leading agency for content marketing & social media strategy	Director Social Media Strategies
	M3	1	Specialized agency for innovation, product development, idea generation & idea management	Team Lead Social Media Research
Strategy Consultancy	C1	4	Global strategy consultancy (top 10)	Partner, EMEA Head of Digital Business Practice
				Partner, EMEA Head of Automotive Practice
				Partner, EMEA & Global Head of Strategic IT Practice, Global Head of Digital Business Practice
				Partner, Vice President Knowledge Services
	C2	1	Global strategy consultancy (top 10)	Manager Technology Practice
C3	1	Global strategy consultancy (top 10)	Manager Digital Services	
<b>Sum</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18</b>		

<sup>1</sup> Industry rankings (e.g., 'top 50') are based on the fiscal year 2015.

## References

1. Poeppelbuss, J., Tuunanen, T. and Wijnhoven, F.: CfP ECIS 2016: Service Innovation, Engineering, and Management (2016). <http://www.ecis2016.eu/files/downloads/Tracks/T27.pdf>
2. Wunderlich, N.V., Wangenheim, F.V., Bitner, M.J.: High tech and high touch: a framework for understanding user attitudes and behaviors related to smart interactive services. *J. Serv. Res.* **16**, 3–20 (2013)
3. Davis, M.M., Spohrer, J.C., Maglio, P.P.: Guest editorial: how technology is changing the design and delivery of services. *Oper. Manag. Res.* **4**, 1–5 (2011)
4. Musser, J., O'Reilly, T.: *Web 2.0 Principles and Best Practices*. O'Reilly Media, Sebastopol (2007)
5. Ang, L.: Is SCRM really a good social media strategy? *J. Database Mark. Cust. Strategy Manag.* **18**, 149–153 (2011)
6. Smith, T.: Conference notes – the social media revolution. *Int. J. Mark. Res.* **51**, 559 (2009)
7. Baird, C.H., Parasnis, G.: From social media to social customer relationship management. *Strategy Leaders.* **39**, 30–37 (2011)
8. Fliess, S., Nadzeika, A., Nesper, J.: Understanding patterns of customer engagement – how companies can gain a surplus from a social phenomenon. *J. Mark. Dev. Compet.* **6**, 81–93 (2012)
9. Jahn, B., Kunz, W.: How to transform consumers into fans of your brand. *J. Serv. Manag.* **23**, 344–361 (2012)
10. Lehmkuhl, T., Jung, R.: Value creation potential of web 2.0 for SME - insights and lessons learnt from a European producer of consumer electronics. *Int. J. Coop. Inf. Syst.* **22**, 1–22 (2013)
11. Keller, K.L.: MSI 2014–2016 Research Priorities. [http://www.msi.org/uploads/files/MSI\\_RP14-16.pdf](http://www.msi.org/uploads/files/MSI_RP14-16.pdf)
12. Pine, B.I., Gilmore, J.H.: Welcome to the experience economy. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* **76**, 97–105 (1999)
13. Vargo, S.L., Lusch, R.F.: Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *J. Mark.* **68**, 1–17 (2004)
14. Vargo, S.L., Lusch, R.F.: Service-dominant logic: continuing the evolution. *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.* **36**, 1–10 (2008)
15. Tynan, C., McKechnie, S., Hartley, S.: Interpreting value in the customer service experience using customer-dominant logic. *J. Mark. Manag.* **30**, 1058–1081 (2014)
16. Heinonen, K., Strandvik, T., Voima, P.: Customer dominant value formation in service. *Eur. Bus. Rev.* **25**, 104–123 (2013)
17. Heinonen, K., Strandvik, T., Mickelsson, K., Edvardsson, B., Sundström, E., Andersson, P.: A customer-dominant logic of service. *J. Serv. Manag.* **21**, 531–548 (2010)
18. Kuepper, T., Lehmkuhl, T., Wieneke, A., Jung, R.: Technology use of social media within customer relationship management: an organizational perspective. In: *Proceedings of the 19th Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems*, pp. 1–17, Singapore (2015)
19. Meyer, C., Schwager, A.: Understanding customer experience. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* **85**, 116–126 (2007)
20. Georgi, S., Jung, R., Lehmkuhl, T.: Die große Veränderung – Social Media revolutioniert die Online-Kommunikation. *Controlling* **23**, 632–637 (2011)
21. DiNucci, D.: Fragmented future. *Print* **53**, 32–35 (1999)
22. Dellarocas, C.: The digitization of word of mouth: promise and challenges of online feedback mechanisms. *Manag. Sci.* **49**, 1407–1424 (2003)

23. Kaplan, A.M., Haenlein, M.: Users of the world, unite! the challenges and opportunities of social media. *Bus. Horiz.* **53**, 59–68 (2010)
24. Berry, A.J., Otley, D.: Case-based research in accounting. In: Humphrey, C., Lee, B. (eds.) *The Real Life Guide To Accounting Research: A Behind-The-Scenes View of Using Qualitative Research Methods*, pp. 231–256. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2004)
25. Bryman, A.: *Social Research Methods*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012)
26. Berthon, P.R., Pitt, L.F., Plangger, K., Shapiro, D.: Marketing meets Web 2.0, social media, and creative consumers: implications for international marketing strategy. *Bus. Horiz.* **55**, 261–271 (2012)
27. Janssen, M., Matheus, R., Zuiderwijk, A.: Big and open linked data (BOLD) to create smart cities and citizens: insights from smart energy and mobility cases. In: Tambouris, E., Janssen, M., Scholl, H.J., Wimmer, M.A., Tarabanis, K., Gascó, M., Klievink, B., Lindgren, I., Parycek, P. (eds.) *EGOV 2015. LNCS*, vol. 9248, pp. 79–90. Springer, Heidelberg (2015)
28. Akaka, M.A., Corsaro, D., Kelleher, C., Maglio, P.P., Seo, Y., Lusch, R.F., Vargo, S.L.: The role of symbols in value cocreation. *Mark. Theor.* **14**, 311–326 (2014)
29. Bughin, J., Chui, M., Manyika, J.: Ten IT-enabled business trends for the decade ahead. McKinsey Global Institute, pp. 1–13 (2013)
30. Payne, A., Frow, P.: A strategic framework for customer relationship management. *J. Mark.* **69**, 167–176 (2005)
31. Szmigin, I., Canning, L., Reppel, A.E.: Online community: enhancing the relationship marketing concept through customer bonding. *Int. J. Serv. Ind. Manag.* **16**, 480–496 (2005)
32. Askool, S., Nakata, K.: A conceptual model for acceptance of social CRM systems based on a scoping study. *AI & Soc.* **26**, 205–220 (2011)
33. Greenberg, P.: The impact of CRM 2.0 on customer insight. *J. Bus. Ind. Mark.* **25**, 410–419 (2010)
34. Ryals, L.: Making customer relationship management work: the measurement and profitable management of customer relationships. *J. Mark.* **69**, 252–261 (2005)
35. Gallagher, J., Ransbotham, S.: Social media and customer dialog management at starbucks. *MIS Q. Exec.* **9**, 197–212 (2010)
36. March, J.: Five Ways To Socialize Your Customer Service Team. <http://www.conversocial.com/blog/entry/five-ways-to-socialize-your-customer-service-team>, <http://www.conversocial.com/blog/entry/five-ways-to-socialize-your-customer-service-team>
37. Yang, C.C., Tang, X., Dai, Q., Yang, H., Jiang, L.: Identifying implicit and explicit relationships through user activities in social media. *Int. J. Electron. Commer.* **18**, 73–96 (2013)
38. Brinsmead, A.: Create a successful social customer service strategy. *CRM Mag.* **17**, 11 (2013)
39. Malthouse, E.C., Haenlein, M., Skiera, B., Wege, E., Zhang, M.: Managing customer relationships in the social media era: introducing the social CRM house. *J. Interact. Mark.* **27**, 270–280 (2013)
40. Sashi, C.M.: Customer engagement, buyer-seller relationships, and social media. *Manag. Decis.* **50**, 253–272 (2012)
41. Sonnenberg, C., vom Brocke, J.: Evaluation Patterns for Design Science Research Artefacts. In: Helfert, M., Donnellan, B. (eds.) *EDSS 2011. CCIS*, vol. 286, pp. 71–83. Springer, Heidelberg (2012)
42. Sonnenberg, C., vom Brocke, J.: Evaluations in the science of the artificial – reconsidering the build-evaluate pattern in design science research. In: Peffers, K., Rothenberger, M., Kuechler, B. (eds.) *DESRIST 2012. LNCS*, vol. 7286, pp. 381–397. Springer, Heidelberg (2012)

43. Abrahams, A.S., Jiao, J., Fan, W., Wang, G., Zhang, A.: What's buzzing in the blizzard of buzz? Automotive component isolation in social media postings. *Decis. Support Syst.* **55**, 871–882 (2013)
44. Eastin, M.S., Daugherty, T., Burns, N.M.: *Handbook of Research on Digital Media and Advertising. User Generated Content Consumption*. Information Science Reference. IGI Global, Hershey (2011)
45. Kvale, S., Brinkmann, S.: *InterViews. Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing*. Sage, Thousand Oaks (2015)
46. Moody, D.L., Shanks, G.G.: Improving the quality of data models: empirical validation of a quality management framework. *Inf. Syst.* **28**, 619–650 (2003)
47. Abraham, R., Aier, S., Winter, R.: Fail early, fail often: towards coherent feedback loops in design science research evaluation. In: *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Information Systems*. Auckland, New Zealand (2014)
48. Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M.: *Qualitative Data Analysis*. Sage, Thousand Oaks (1994)
49. Eisenhardt, K.M.: Building theories from case study research. *Acad. Manag. Rev.* **14**, 532–550 (1989)
50. Yin, R.K.: *Case Study Research. Design and Methods*. Sage, Beverly Hills (2003)
51. Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M., Saldaña, J.: *Qualitative Data Analysis. A Methods Sourcebook*. Sage, Thousand Oaks (2013)
52. Eisenhardt, K.M., Graebner, M.E.: Theory building from cases: opportunities and challenges. *Acad. Manag. J.* **50**, 25–32 (2007)
53. Creswell, J.W., Plano Clark, V.L.: *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. Sage, Thousand Oaks (2007)
54. Ghauri, P.N., Grønhaug, K.: *Research Methods in Business Studies. A Practical Guide*. Financial Times Prentice Hall, Harlow (2005)
55. Corbin, J., Strauss, A.: Grounded theory research: procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. *Qual. Sociol.* **13**, 3–21 (1990)
56. Flick, U.: *Managing Quality in Qualitative Research*. Sage, London (2008)
57. Hesse-Biber, S.N., Leavy, P.: *The Practice of Qualitative Research*. Sage, Thousand Oaks (2006)
58. Wrona, T.: *The case study analysis as a scientific research method*. ESCP-EAP European School of Management, Berlin, Germany (2005)
59. Kuepper, T., Jung, R., Lehmkuhl, T., Walter, S., Wieneke, A.: Performance measures for social CRM: a literature review. In: *Proceedings of the 27th Bled eConference*, Bled, Slovenia (2014)
60. Trainor, K.J., Andzulis, J., Rapp, A., Agnihotri, R.: Social media technology usage and customer relationship performance: a capabilities-based examination of social CRM. *J. Bus. Res.* **67**, 1201–1208 (2014)
61. Kuepper, T., Wieneke, A., Lehmkuhl, T., Jung, R.: Evaluating social CRM performance: an organizational perspective. In: *Proceedings of the 19th Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems*, Singapore (2015)
62. Sheth, J.N., Parvatiyar, A., Shainesh, G.: *Customer Relationship Management: Emerging Concepts, Tools, and Applications*. Tata McGraw-Hill Pub Co., New Delhi (2001)
63. Berry, L.L., Carbone, L.P.: Build loyalty through experience management. *Qual. Prog.* **40**(9), 26–32 (2007)
64. Ding, D.X.: Clues, flow channels, and cognitive states: an exploratory study of customer experiences with e-brokerage services. *Serv. Sci.* **3**, 182–193 (2011)
65. Boulding, W., Staelin, R., Ehret, M., Johnston, W.J.: A customer relationship management roadmap: what is known, potential pitfalls, and where to go. *J. Mark.* **69**, 155–166 (2005)
66. Kotler, P., Keller, K.: *Marketing Management*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River (2011)

67. Haenlein, M., Kaplan, A.M.: The impact of unprofitable customer abandonment on current customers' exit, voice, and loyalty intentions: an empirical analysis. *J. Serv. Mark.* **26**, 458–470 (2012)
68. Ordenes, F.V., Theodoulidis, B., Burton, J., Gruber, T., Zaki, M.: Analyzing customer experience feedback using text mining: a linguistics-based approach. *J. Serv. Res.* **17**, 278–295 (2014)
69. March, J.: 5 Reasons Why Your Business Needs Social Customer Service. <http://www.ddw.us.com/5-reasons-why-your-business-needs-social-customer-service>, <http://www.ddw.us.com/5-reasons-why-your-business-needs-social-customer-service>