

Erratum: Competitive Analysis for Multi-Objective Online Algorithms

Morten Tiedemann (✉), Jonas Ide, and Anita Schöbel

DFG RTG 1703, Institute for Numerical and Applied Mathematics,
University of Göttingen, Lotzestr. 16-18, D-37083 Göttingen, Germany
{m.tiedemann,j.ide,schoebel}@math.uni-goettingen.de

M.S. Rahman and E. Tomita (Eds.): WALCOM 2015, LNCS 8973, pp. 210–221, 2015.
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-15612-5_31

We identify an error in the analysis of the online algorithm RPP-MULT for the bi-objective time series search problem presented in [1]. The strong competitive ratio with respect to $f_2(c) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k c_i$ of RPP-MULT stated in [1, Theorem 3] does not hold due to an error in the proof. In the following, we point out this error. For details about the problem and the terminology, we refer to the original paper.

In the course of the proof of [1, Theorem 3], the expression

$$\max_{x \in \mathcal{I}_1} \left\{ \frac{M_1}{x} + \frac{M_2 x}{z^*} \right\}$$

is considered (see Equation (9)). Here, the maximum is falsely determined as $x^* = \sqrt{(M_1 z^*)/M_2}$. In fact, $x^* \notin \mathcal{I}_1$, and, thus, Equation (9) does *not* hold. The same mistake has been made in Equation (11), i.e., the chosen maximum is not a point in \mathcal{I}_2 .

Note that the proof *cannot* be modified such that the stated competitive ratio is proven. However, in [2], a best possible algorithm for the bi-objective time series search problem is presented, as outlined below.

Hasegawa and Itoh define the online algorithm *Balanced Price Policy* BPP_k for the k -objective time series search problem with respect to an arbitrary monotone continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, see Algorithm 1.

for $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ **do**
 | Accept $\mathbf{p}_t = (p_t^1, \dots, p_t^k)^\top$ if $f\left(\frac{M_1}{p_t^1}, \dots, \frac{M_k}{p_t^k}\right) \leq f\left(\frac{p_t^1}{m_1}, \dots, \frac{p_t^k}{m_k}\right)$.
end

Algorithm 1: Balanced Price Policy BPP_k

Let $z_k^f = \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in S_f^k} f\left(\frac{M_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{M_k}{x_k}\right)$, where

$$S_f^k = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in I_1 \times \dots \times I_k : f\left(\frac{M_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{M_k}{x_k}\right) = f\left(\frac{x_1}{m_1}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{m_k}\right) \right\}$$

with $I_i = [m_i, M_i]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Hasegawa and Itoh prove that, for any integer $k \geq 1$ and any monotone continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the competitive ratio of BPP_k with respect to f is given by z_k^f and this is the best possible competitive ratio, see [2, Section 4.1].

By means of this result, the following theorem gives the best possible competitive ratio with respect to f_2 and $k = 2$:

Theorem 1 (Hasegawa and Itoh, [2, Theorem 6.1]). *With respect to the function f_2 for $k = 2$, the following holds:*

$$z_{f_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_2}{m_2} - 1\right)\right)^2 + \frac{M_1}{m_1}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_2}{m_2} + 1\right) \right].$$

References

1. Tiedemann, M. and Ide, J. and Schöbel, A.: Competitive Analysis for Multi-Objective Online Algorithms. In: Proceedings of the 9th Workshop on Algorithms and Computation (WALCOM). LNCS, vol. 8973, pp. 210–221 (2015)
2. Hasegawa, S. and Itoh, T.: Optimal Online Algorithms for the Multi-Objective Time Series Search Problem. arXiv:1506.04474v4 [cs.DS] (2015)