Errata and Addenda to

R. B. Burckel:

An Introduction to Classical Complex Analysis I

p. 101 lines –4 and –1.	"+" to "–"
p. 133 line –4.	Change closed interval to open interval.
p. 161 line –12.	"(iii)" to "(ii)"
p. 163 lines –4 and –3.	"γ" to "$(\gamma \cap \bar{S}_r)$"
p. 183 line 5.	Replace this remark with the following: It is easy to show, using 5.33, that continuity of F and existence of D_2F already imply the continuity of the latter needed in 5.93.
p. 186 line 17.	5.27 was earlier noted by LINDELÖF [1909], p. 10.
p. 187 line 8ff.	Fourier methods of deducing Cauchy's theorem were used much earlier. See, for example, A. HARNACK *Math. Annalen* 21 (1883), 305–326. **FM** 15, p. 185.
p. 212 line 13.	Theorem 6.34 is due to K. LÖWNER [1917].
p. 249 line 23.	WOLFF [1926a] is a very elegant proof of the theorem in full generality.
p. 255 line 4.	A nice treatment may also be found in J. J. ANDREWS and R. C. LACHER *Aequationes Math.* 16 (1977), 137–147. **MR** 57 #6331.
p. 264 line 19.	The proof based on Fubini occurs also in the 3rd edition (1978) of the book AHLFORS [1966a].
p. 265 line 10.	This result occurs already in WALSH [1928].
p. 278 line 18.	A direct proof of (ix), independent of (vii) and (viii), follows from 7.15.
p. 281 line –1.	For an alternative elegant proof of 8.33 see E. PHRAGMÉN *Acta Math.* 14 (1890–91), 225–232. **FM** 23, p. 890.
p. 289 line 18.	An important precursor of Runge was P. APPELL *Acta Math.* 1 (1882–83), 145–152 and *Math. Annalen* 21 (1883), 118–124. **FM** 15, pp. 323, 324.
p. 289 line –14.	Add: See also JULIA [1927a].
p. 292 line 1.	Delete "149–151"
p. 292 line 19.	The integral representation is due independently to F. RIESZ *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* (3) 28 (1911), 33–62. **FM** 42, p. 374.
p. 339 line 5.	Add MAITLAND [1939].
p. 340 line –13.	"simply-connected" to "bounded, simply-connected"
p. 341 line –5.	Add BESICOVITCH [1930].
p. 361 line –6.	For detailed history of this theorem see E. NEUENSCHWANDER *Historia Math.* 5 (1978), 139–166. **Zbl** 374 #01010.
p. 408 line 17ff.	Different treatments of the Fresnel integrals occur in FEYEL and PRADELLE [1973], problem [6.25] and in M. GODEFROY *Nouvelles Annales de Math.* (3) 17 (1898), 205–206. **FM** 29, p. 245.
p. 449 line –12.	Delete period.
p. 457 line –15.	The $(1-r)^{-1}$ dependence was shown earlier by H. BOHR and E. LANDAU *Göttingen Nachr.* (1910), 303–330. **FM** 41, p. 290.
p. 466 line –1.	Delete "and 1353."
p. 495 line 28.	"245–255" to "246–266"
p. 511 line 8.	After "and" add: *C. R. des Séances Soc. Math. France*
p. 519 line 14.	"(1899)" to "(1900)"
p. 519 line –3.	Before "(1923)" insert volume numbers "176"
p. 522 line –7.	Delete "(Russian)."
p. 526 line 6.	After "(1931)" add page numbers "18–38."
p. 569 line 3.	"$(-1)^n$" to "$(-1)^k$"