Adversarial Queueing Theory Revisited (Invited Talk)

Marcos Kiwi*

Depto. Ing. Matemática & Ctr. Modelamiento Matemático UMI 2807, Universidad de Chile. Blanco Encalada 2120, piso 5, Santiago, Chile. www.dim.uchile.cl/~mkiwi.

Abstract

We survey over a decade of work on a classical Queueing Theory problem; the long-term equilibrium of routing networks. However, we do so from the perspective of Adversarial Queueing Theory where no probabilistic assumptions about traffic patterns are made. Instead, one considers a scenario where an adversary controls service requests and tries to congest the network. Under mild restrictions on the adversary, one can often still guarantee the network's stability. We illustrate other applications of an adversarial perspective to standard algorithmic problems. We conclude with a discussion of new potential domains of applicability of such an adversarial view of common computational tasks.

Background

In 1996 Borodin et al. [9] proposed a robust model of queueing theory in network traffic. The gist of their proposal is to replace stochastic assumptions about the packet traffic by restrictions on the packet arrival rate, which otherwise can be under the control of an adversary. Thus, they gave rise to what is currently termed Adversarial Queueing Theory (AQT). In it, the time-evolution of the routing network is viewed as a game between an adversary and a packet scheduling protocol.

The AQT framework originally focussed on the issue of stability of queueing policies and network topologies. Characterizations and efficient algorithms were developed for deciding stability of a collection of networks for specific families of scheduling policies. Generalizations of the AQT framework were proposed. End-to-end packet delay issues were addressed. Time-dependent network topology variants were considered, etc.

We survey a decade of results in AQT. We point to other work where a similar adversarial approach has been successfully developed. We conclude with a discussions of other computational domains where a similar adversarial approach might be fruitfully applied.

^{*} Gratefully acknowledges the support of CONICYT via FONDAP in Applied Mathematics and Anillo en Redes.

Please use the following format when citing this chapter:

Kiwi, M., 2006, in International Federation for Information Processing, Volume 209, Fourth IFIP International Conference on Theoretical Computer Science-TCS 2006, eds. Navarro, G., Bertossi, L., Kohayakwa, Y., (Boston: Springer), pp. 9–10.

References

- 1. W. Aiello, E. Kushilevitz, R. Ostrovsky, and A. Rosén. Adaptive packet routing for bursty adversarial traffic. In *Proc. of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 359–368, 1998.
- C. Alvarez, M. Blesa, J. Díaz, A. Fernández, and M. Serna. Adversarial models for priority based networks. In Proc. of the International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science, 142–151, Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- 3. C. Alvarez, M. Blesa, and M. Serna. A characterization of universal stability in the adversarial queueing model. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 34(1):41–66, 2004.
- M. Andrews, B. Awerbuch, A. Fernández, J. Kleinberg, T. Leighton, and Z. Liu. Universal stability results and performance bounds for greedy contention resolution protocols. J. of the ACM, 48(1):39–69, 2001.
- 5. M. Andrews, A. Fernández, A. Goel, and L. Zhang. Source route and scheduling in packet networks. In *Proc. of the IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2001.
- E. Anshelevich, D. Kempe, and J. Kleinberg. Stability of load balancing algorithms in dynamic adversarial systems. In Proc. of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 399-406, 2002.
- 7. B. Awerbuch, P. Berenbrink, A. Brinkmann, and C. Scheideler. Simple routing strategies for adversarial systems. In *Proc. of the IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 158–167, 2001.
- R. Bhattacharjee, A. Goel, and Z. Lotker. Instability of FIFO at arbitrarily low rates in the adversarial queueing model. SIAM J. Comput., 34(2):318–332, 2005.
- 9. A. Borodin, J. Kleinberg, P. Raghavan, M. Sudan, and D. Williamson. Adversarial queueing theory. J. of the ACM, 48(1):13-38, 2001.
- A. Borodin, R. Ostrovsky, and Y. Rabani. Stability preserving transformations: Packet routing nertworks with edge capacity and speed. In Proc. of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 601–610, 2000.
- 11. A. Charny and J.-Y. Le Boudec. Delay bounds in a network with aggregate scheduling. In Proc. of the International Workshop on Quality of Future Internet Services, 1–13. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- I. Chlamtac, A. Farago, H. Zhang, and A. Fumagalli. A deterministic approach to the end-to-end analysis of packet flows in connection-oriented networks. *IEEE*-ACM T. Network., 6(4):422–431, 1998.
- D. Gamarnik. Stability of adversarial queues via fluid model. In Proc. of the IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 60-70, 1998.
- M. Kiwi and A. Russell. The chilean highway problem. Theor. Comput. Sci., 326(1-3):329-342, 2004.
- 15. M. Kiwi, M. Soto, and C. Thraves. Adversarial queueing theory with setups. Technical report, Center for Mathematical Modelling, U. Chile, 2006.
- P.R. Kumar and T.I. Seidman. Dynamic instabilities and stabilization methods in distributed real-time scheduling of manufacturing systems. *IEEE Trans. on Automat. Contr.*, 35(3):289–298, 1990.
- J.-Y Le Boudec and G. Hebuterne. Comments on "A deterministic approach to the end-to-end analysis of packet flows in connection oriented network". *IEEE*-ACM T. Network., 8(1):121-124, 2000.
- Z. Lotker, B. Patt-Shamir, and A. Rosén. New stability results for adversarial queuing. In Proc. of the ACM Symposium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures, 192–199, 2002.