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#### Abstract

We mainly show that the cutwidth of the mesh of $d$-ary trees $M T(d, n)$ satisfies $\frac{d^{n-2}(d+1)^{2}}{8}-1 \leq c(M T(d, n)) \leq \frac{d^{n+3}}{d-1} ;$ if $d>$ 2, we also show that the bandwidth of this graph $b(M T(d, n))$ is in $\theta\left(d^{n+1} \frac{d^{n}-1}{n(d-1)}\right)$.


## 1 Introduction and definitions

In this paper, we focus on the bandwidth and the cutwidth of a graph, two well known graph parameters. They are defined as follows. We use graph theory notations of [3]; let $G$ be a graph, $V(G)$ (resp. $E(G)$ ) the set of vertices (resp. edges) of $G$. Consider $\mathcal{L}(G)$ the set of all the labelings of $V(G)$; a labeling of $V(G)$ is a bijection $l$ between $V(G)$ and $\{0, \ldots,|V(G)|-1\}$. The bandwidth of $G$ is defined by $b(G)=\min _{l \in \mathcal{L}(G)}\left(\max _{\left[X, X^{\prime}\right] \in E(G)}\left|l(X)-l\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$.
The cutwidth of $G$ is $c(G)=\min _{l \in \mathcal{L}(G)}\left(\max _{X \in V(G)} c_{l}(X)\right)$, where

$$
c_{l}(X)=\left|\left\{\left[Y, Y^{\prime}\right] \in E(G): l(Y) \leq l(X)<l\left(Y^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|
$$

Finding the bandwidth and the cutwidth of a graph are known to be NPcomplete problems [5, 7]. These parameters are useful to determine good VLSI designs for interconnection networks, by considering the Thompson grid model of VLSI layout [8]. We deal here with the mesh of $d$-ary trees. This graph is an interesting interconnection network for parallelism, since it uses both tree and grid structures (see section 2). Good parallel algorithms have been developed in it [ 1,6 ], and it has been proposed as a good parallel computer topology for some applications to images analysis [4].
Let us first precise that in all the following, we use some language theory notations. Let $\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ be an alphabet, with $d \geq 2$, and $v$ be a word on it. We denote by $|v|$ the length of $v$, i.e. the number of letters in $v$; we denote by $e$ the empty word, i.e. $|e|=0$. Each letter $x \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ is also considered as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{d}$.

[^0]The mesh of $d$-ary trees, introduced in [1], is a generalization of the mesh of binary trees (i.e. $M T(2, n)$ ) [6]. It is defined as follows.

- The vertices of $M T(d, n)$ are all the couples $(u ; v)$ of words of $\{0, . ., d-1\}^{*}$, such that $|u|=n$ and $|v|<n$, or $|v|=n$ and $|u| \leq n$.
- There is an edge between two vertices $(u ; v)$ and $(w ; z)$ in $M T(d, n)$ iff $|u|=$ $n, u=w$ and $[v, z] \in E(T(d, n))$, or $|v|=n, v=z$ and $[u ; w] \in E(T(d, n))$. This edge is denoted by the pair $[(u ; v),(w ; z)]$.
By definition, for any $u \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{n}$, the subgraph of $M T(d, n)$ induced by the vertices of the form $(u ; v)$ (resp. $(v ; u)$ ), with $v \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{*}$, is isomorphic to $T(d, n)$. In $M T(d, n)$, the column tree (resp. line tree), isomorphic to $T(d, n)$ with root $(e ; u)$ (resp. $(u ; e)$ ), is denoted by $T_{(e ; u)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.T_{(u ; e)}\right)$.
The number of vertices of $M T(d, n)$ is $|V(M T(d, n))|=d^{n}\left(d^{n}+2 \frac{d^{n}-1}{d-1}\right)$.We will also use the following recursive construction of $M T(d, n)$ from $M T(d, n-1)$. 1. Consider first $d^{2}$ disjoint copies of $M T(d, n-1)$, each one denoted by $M T_{i, j}(d, n-$ 1) with $(i, j) \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{2}$. A vertex $(u ; v)$ in $M T_{i, j}(d, n-1)$ is denoted by $(u ; v)_{i, j}$.

2. We add $2 d^{n}$ new vertices : $d^{n}$ vertices $(w ; e)$, with $w \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{n}$ and $d^{n}$ vertices $(e ; w)$. Then, we add the set of edges

$$
\bigcup_{(i, j) \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{2}}\left\{\left[(e ; w),(e ; u)_{i, j}\right]: w=u i\right\} \bigcup\left\{\left[(w ; e),(u ; e)_{i, j}\right]: w=u i\right\} .
$$

By associating each vertex $(u ; v)_{i, j}$ to the vertex $(u i ; v j)$ in $M T(d, n)$, it is easy to see that the graph we obtain is isomorphic to $M T(d, n)$.

We also give the notations and the definitions we use in the next section, similar to the ones of [2]. Let $G$ be a graph and $\Pi$ be a partition of $V(G)$. For each element $\pi \in \Pi$, we define $\omega(\pi)$ as the cocycle of $\pi$, i.e. the set $\left\{\left[X, X^{\prime}\right] \in\right.$ $\left.E(G): X \in \pi, X^{\prime} \notin \pi\right\}$. We note $\max _{\Pi}=\max _{\pi \in \Pi}|\pi|$ and $\max _{\omega}=\max _{\pi \in \Pi}|\omega(\pi)|$. We also denote by $G[\pi]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $\pi$.

- The quotient graph of $G$ by $I$, denoted by $Q=G / \Pi$, is defined by

$$
-V(Q)=\Pi
$$

$$
-\left[\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right] \in E(Q) \Leftrightarrow\left(\pi \neq \pi^{\prime}, \exists X \in \pi, \exists X^{\prime} \in \pi^{\prime}:\left[X, X^{\prime}\right] \in E(G)\right)
$$

- Let $l_{Q}$ be a labeling of $V(Q)$ and $l_{G}$ be a labeling of $V(G)$. For each $\pi \in \Pi$, we represent by $l_{G}[\pi]$ the set of all the labels of vertices in $\pi$ by $l_{G}$. We say that $l_{G}$ is compatible with $l_{Q}$ if for any $\pi \in \Pi$, we have $l_{G}[\pi]$ is an interval $\left[m_{\pi}, \ldots, M_{\pi}\right]$ and if for each $\pi^{\prime} \in \Pi$ such that $l_{Q}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)<l_{Q}(\pi)$ (resp. $\left.l_{Q}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)<l_{Q}(\pi)\right), M_{\pi^{\prime}}<m_{\pi}$ (resp. $m_{\pi^{\prime}}>M_{\pi}$ ).
- For each $\pi \in \Pi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\omega}^{+}(\pi)=\min _{X \in \pi} \mid\left\{\left[X, X^{\prime}\right] \in E(G): X^{\prime} \in \pi^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime} \text { such that } l_{Q}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)>l_{Q}(\pi)\right\} \mid \\
& \delta_{\omega}^{-}(\pi)=\min _{X \in \pi} \mid\left\{\left[X, X^{\prime}\right] \in E(G): X^{\prime} \in \pi^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime} \text { such that } l_{Q}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)<l_{Q}(\pi)\right\} \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

The edge-bissection of $G$ is denoted by bis $_{e}(G)$ (see [3]).
With a general result of [2] and with an original construction, we show in [1] the next result.

Proposition 1. $\quad b(M T(d, n))$ is in $\theta\left(d^{n+1} \frac{d^{n}-1}{n(d-1)}\right)$
In the next section, we also use the following result from [2].
Theorem 2. Let $l_{Q}$ be a labeling of $V(Q)$ achieving the cutwidth of $Q$, and let $l_{G}$ be a labeling of $V(G)$ compatible with $l_{Q}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (1.) } c(G) \leq & \left(c(Q)-\left[\frac{\delta(Q)}{2}\right]\right) \cdot \max _{\omega} \\
& +\max _{\pi \in \Pi}\left(c(G[\pi])+\left(|\omega(\pi)|-|\pi| \cdot \min \left(\delta_{\omega}^{+}(\pi), \delta_{\omega}^{-}(\pi)\right)\right)\right) \\
\text { (2.) } c(G) \geq & b i s_{e}(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2 The cutwidth of $M T(d, n)$

Proposition 3. If $n \geq 2$, then $c(M T(2, n))$ is in $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ and if $d \geq 3$,
$-c(M T(d, n)) \geq \frac{d^{n+2}\left(d^{n}(d+1)-2\right)^{2}}{\left.4\left(d^{2 n}\left(\left(d^{3}-d\right)(d+2)+1\right)-d^{n}\left(d^{4}+2 d^{3}+3 d^{2}-4 d+1\right)+d^{3}+3 d^{2}-d\right)\right)}$
$-c(M T(d, n)) \leq \frac{d^{n}\left(d^{3}+d^{2}+4\right)-\left(d^{4}-3 d+2\right)}{2(d-1)}$
Corollary 4. If $n \geq 2$, then if $d \geq 3, \frac{d^{n-2}(d+1)^{2}}{8}-1 \leq c(M T(d, n)) \leq \frac{d^{n+3}}{d-1}$.

## Proof of the proposition.

1. Let us show the upper bound.
a. Let us first define a partition $\Pi$ of $V(M T(d, n))$, with $d \geq 2$ and $n>1$. $\Pi$ contains $d^{2}+2 d$ parts : $d^{2}$ parts $\pi_{i, j}$ with $(i, j) \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{2} ; d$ other parts, each one denoted by $\pi_{i, e}$, and $d$ last parts $\pi_{e, j}$. They are defined by
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}-\pi_{i, j} \text { is the set of all the vertices }\left(m ; m^{\prime}\right) \in V(M T(d, n)) \text { such that } i \text { is the } \\ \text { first letter of } m \text { and } j \text { the first letter of } m^{\prime} . \\ \left.-\pi_{i, e} \text { (resp } \pi_{e ; j}\right) \text { is the set of all the vertices }(m ; e)\left(\text { resp }\left(e ; m^{\prime}\right)\right) \text { where } i \\ \left.\text { (resp. } j) \text { is the first letter of } m \text { (resp. } m^{\prime}\right)\end{array}\right.$
By definition, $\pi_{i ; e}$ and $\pi_{e ; j}$ are two independant sets of vertices of $M T(d, 1)$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\Pi$ is a partition of $V(M T(d, n))$. Let us denote by $Q$ the graph $G / \pi$. We now consider a couple $(i, j) \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{2}$.

- Consider $(i u ; j v)$ a vertex in a part $\pi_{i, j}$. If $X$ is a vertex in $M T(d, n)$, with $X \notin \pi_{i, j}$, and if $[(i u ; j v), X] \in E(M T(d, n))$, then $v=e$ and $X=(i u ; e) \in \pi_{i, e}$, or $u=e$ and $X=(e ; j v) \in \pi_{e, j}$.
Hence, the edges of $Q$ are pairs $\left[\pi_{i, j}, \pi_{i ; e}\right]$ and $\left[\pi_{i, j}, \pi_{e ; j}\right]$. Then, by associating to each part $\pi_{i, j}$ the couple ( $i ; j$ ), and to each part $\pi_{i, e}$ (resp. $\pi_{e, j}$ ) the couple $(i ; e)$ (resp. $(e ; j)$ ), we can conclude that $Q$ is isomorphic to $M T(d, 1)$.
- The subgraph of $M T(d, n)$ induced by $\pi_{i, j}$ is isomorphic to $M T(d, n-1)$. This can be directly deduced from the definition of $\Pi$ and by following the recursive construction of $M T(d, n)$ from $M T(d, n-1)$ given in section 1: we associate to each vertex $(i u ; j v)$ in $\pi_{i, j}$ the vertex $(u ; v) \in V(M T(d, n-1))$. Since $\left|\pi_{i, e}\right|=\left|\pi_{e, j}\right|=d^{n}$, then $\max _{I I}=|V(M T(d, n-1))|$.
- $\left|\omega\left(\pi_{i, j}\right)\right|=\left|\left\{(i u ; j) \in \pi_{i, j}\right\} \cup\left\{(i ; v j) \in \pi_{i, j}\right\}\right|=2 d^{n-1}$. Moreover, in $M T(d, n)$
the degree of each vertex from $\pi_{i ; e}$ and from $\pi_{e, j}$ is equal to $d$. Hence, since $\left|\pi_{i, e}\right|=\left|\pi_{e, j}\right|=d^{n-1}$, then $\left|\omega\left(\pi_{i, e}\right)\right|=\left|\omega\left(\pi_{e, j}\right)\right|=d\left|\pi_{i, e}\right|=d^{n}$. So $\max _{\omega}=d^{n}$.
b. To use Theorem 2, we give an upper bound for $c(M T(d, 1))$ by using a labelling of $T(d, 1)$ (see [1]), for $d>2$. Hence, we show that if $d>2, c(M T(d, 1)) \leq$ $(d+2)\left\lceil\frac{d}{2}\right\rceil-d$. If $d=2, M T(2,1)$ is a cycle of length 8 and so $c(M T(2,1))=2$.
c. We can now apply Theorem 2. Assume $d>2$ and $n>1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(M T(d, n)) \leq & \left((d+2)\left\lceil\frac{d}{2}\right\rceil-d-1\right) d^{n}+ \\
& \max \left(c(M T(d, n-1))+2 d^{n-1} ; d^{n}-\left(d^{n-1} \cdot\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We then deduce from this inequality an upper bound for $c(M T(d, n))$, i.e. $c(M T(d, n)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{d^{n}\left(d^{3}+d^{2}+4\right)-\left(d^{4}+3 d+2\right)}{2(d-1)}\right\rceil$. If $d=2$, we show by the same way that $c(M T(2, n)) \leq 2^{n+2}-6$.
2. We now deal with the lower bound. We give a detailed sketch of the proof. We know that $b i s_{e}(M T(2, n))$ is in $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ [6]. To determine $b i s_{e}(M T(d, n))$ with $d>2$, we give a routing function $R$ in $M T(d, n)$. Then, $\operatorname{bis}_{e}(M T(d, n)) \geq$ $\frac{|V(M T(d, n))|^{2}+1}{2 \cdot c g(R)}$, with $c g(R)$ the congestion of $R$ (see [1]). Thus, we show that $b i s_{e}(M T(d, n)) \geq$

$$
\frac{d^{n+2}\left(d^{n}(d+1)-2\right)^{2}}{\left.4\left(d^{2 n}\left(\left(d^{3}-d\right)(d+2)+1\right)-d^{n}\left(d^{4}+2 d^{3}+3 d^{2}-4 d+1\right)+d^{3}+3 d^{2}-d\right)\right)}
$$

We conclude with Theorem 2.2 .
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