

MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING APPROACH TO A MINIMAX THEOREM  
OF STATISTICAL DISCRIMINATION APPLICABLE  
TO PATTERN RECOGNITION

K. ISII, Osaka University

Y. TAGA, Shizuoka University

1. Let  $\mathcal{F}_i$ 's be two families of all possible  $p$ -variate distribution functions with specified mean vectors  $\underline{\mu}_i$  and non-degenerate variance-covariance matrices  $\Sigma_i$ , and  $\pi_i$  be prior probability or weight assigned to  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for  $i=1,2$  ( $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$ ). We are supposed to discriminate whether an observation  $\underline{x}$  is from a (true) distribution  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$  or  $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . A randomized decision rule is represented by a pair of functions  $\phi_1(\underline{x})$  and  $\phi_2(\underline{x}) = 1 - \phi_1(\underline{x})$  ( $0 \leq \phi_1(\underline{x}) \leq 1$ ), based on which one decides, with probability  $\phi_i(\underline{x})$ , that an observed value  $\underline{x}$  is a sample from some  $F_i$  in  $\mathcal{F}_i$  ( $i=1,2$ ). If the pair  $F = (F_1, F_2)$  is known, the error probability or classification error for the decision rule  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  is clearly given by

$$(1.1) \quad e(\phi, F) = \pi_1 \int_{R^p} \phi_2(\underline{x}) dF_1(\underline{x}) + \pi_2 \int_{R^p} \phi_1(\underline{x}) dF_2(\underline{x}).$$

The aim of the present paper is to give the values of  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} e(\phi, F)$  and  $\inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F)$  together with a saddle point of  $e(\phi, F)$ , using the mathematical programming method given in one of the authors [3], where  $\Phi$  denotes the set of all possible classification rule  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$  is the set of all pairs  $F = (F_1, F_2)$  with  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  ( $i=1,2$ ).

2. Some necessary quantities and results used in the main theorems are introduced in the following lemma.

Lemma Suppose  $1 \leq \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} < 1 + (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)' \Sigma_2^{-1} (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ . Then, for every vector  $\underline{x}$  in  $R^p$  satisfying  $\frac{\underline{x}'(\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)}{\sqrt{\underline{x}' \Sigma_2 \underline{x}}} \geq \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} - 1$ , there

exists a unique real number  $t = t(\underline{x})$  which satisfies the equation

$$(2.1) \quad \sqrt{\underline{x}' \Sigma_1 \underline{x}} \sqrt{\pi_1 t - 1} + \sqrt{\underline{x}' \Sigma_2 \underline{x}} \sqrt{\pi_2 t - 1} - \underline{x}'(\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2) = 0.$$

Further, there exists a vector  $\underline{x} = \underline{b}$  attaining the maximum value, say  $t_0$ , of  $t(\underline{x})$ . The vector  $\underline{b}$  is unique up to a positive multiplier, and  $t_0 > \frac{1}{\pi_1}$ .

In the following the vector  $\underline{b}$  and real number  $t_0$  should be understood to represent those introduced above.

It may be assumed without loss of generality that  $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2$ .

We have then

Theorem 1 (i) When  $1 \leq \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} < 1 + (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)' \Sigma_2^{-1} (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} e(\phi, F) = \min_{\phi \in \Phi} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F) = \frac{1}{t_0}.$$

A saddle point  $(\phi^*, F^*)$  of  $e(\phi, F)$  is given by any  $F^* = (F_1^*, F_2^*)$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad F_i^* = \frac{1}{\pi_i t_0} G_0 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi_i t_0}\right) G_i \quad (i=1,2),$$

where  $G_0$  is the one-point distribution concentrated at  $\underline{m}_0 = \underline{\mu}_1 -$

$\frac{\sqrt{\pi_1 t_0 - 1}}{\sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_1 \underline{b}}} \Sigma_1 \underline{b}$ ,  $G_i$  any distribution with mean

$\underline{m}_i = \underline{\mu}_i - \frac{(-1)^i \Sigma_i \underline{b}}{\sqrt{\pi_i t_0 - 1} \sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_i \underline{b}}}$ , variance-covariance matrix

$$\Gamma_i = \frac{\pi_i t_0}{\pi_i t_0 - 1} (\Sigma_i - \frac{1}{\underline{b}' \Sigma_i \underline{b}} \Sigma_i \underline{b} \underline{b}' \Sigma_i), \text{ and by any } \phi^* = (\phi_1^*, \phi_2^*)$$

such that  $0 \leq \phi_{3-i}^*(\underline{x}) \leq g_i(\underline{x})$  ( $i=1,2$ ) and  $\phi_1^*(\underline{x}) + \phi_2^*(\underline{x}) = 1$ , where  $g_i(\underline{x}) = \sigma_i(\underline{x} - \underline{m}_i)' \underline{b} \underline{b}' (\underline{x} - \underline{m}_i)$  with

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_i} = \frac{\pi_i \sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_i \underline{b}}}{\sqrt{\pi_i t_0 - 1}} \left( \frac{\pi_1 \sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_1 \underline{b}}}{\sqrt{\pi_1 t_0 - 1}} + \frac{\pi_2 \sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_2 \underline{b}}}{\sqrt{\pi_2 t_0 - 1}} \right) t_0^2.$$

(11) When  $\frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \geq 1 + (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)' \Sigma_2^{-1} (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} e(\phi, F) = \min_{\phi \in \Phi} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F) = \pi_1.$$

In this case,  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F)$  is minimized by  $\phi_1^*(\underline{x}) \equiv 0$  and  $\phi_2^*(\underline{x}) \equiv 1$ , while a maximizing  $F$  of  $\inf_{\phi \in \Phi} e(\phi, F)$  does not always exist. Hence a saddle point does not always exist.

If we restrict the classification rule to (non-randomized) "linear discrimination", that is, to the case where  $\phi_i$  is the indicator function of a half space (open or closed), we obtain the following theorem. Denote by  $\Phi_0$  the set of all linear classification rule  $\phi$  and, in particular, by  $\Phi_{\underline{\beta}}$  the set of all  $\phi$  such that  $\phi_1$  is the indicator function of a half space of the form  $\{\underline{x} \mid \underline{\beta}' \underline{x} \geq c\}$  or  $\{\underline{x} \mid \underline{\beta}' \underline{x} > c\}$  ( $c$  being arbitrary) for a  $p$ -dimensional vector  $\underline{\beta}$ . Clearly  $\Phi_0 = \bigcup_{\underline{\beta}} \Phi_{\underline{\beta}}$ . Then we have

Theorem 2 (i) When  $1 \leq \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} < 1 + (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)' \Sigma_2^{-1} (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ , the value of  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\phi \in \Phi_{\underline{b}}} e(\phi, F)$  (hence also  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\phi \in \Phi_0} e(\phi, F)$ ) is the same

as  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} e(\phi, F)$  given in Theorem 1, where  $\underline{b}$  is the vector defined in the Lemma, while  $\inf_{\phi \in \Phi_0} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F)$  is in general larger than

$$\inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F).$$

(ii) When  $\frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} > 1 + (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)' \Sigma_2^{-1} (\underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ ,  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\phi \in \Phi_0} e(\phi, F)$  and

$\inf_{\phi \in \Phi_0} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F)$  coincide with those in the non-restricted case

(hence the value is  $\pi_1$ ).

Various explicit results are obtained under additional assumptions. Particularly, in the simplest case that  $p=1$  and  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , the results coincide with those in Chernoff [1].

3. The formal proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 need not bear any direct reference to the theory of mathematical programming, if once a saddle point  $(\phi^*, F^*)$  has been found. The essentials of our method may lie rather in how to find such a saddle point. For this purpose a mathematical programming approach is useful. For fixed  $\phi$ , the problem to obtain  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F)$  is regarded as to maximize a linear functional  $e(\phi, F)$  in  $F$  subject to the linear constraints described in terms of specified  $\underline{\mu}_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$ . The assumption of non-degeneracy allows us to make use of the duality theorem given in [3] (the essential part is contained in [2]), and the problem is transformed into a minimization problem. Then  $\inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} e(\phi, F)$  problem is reduced to a simple minimization problem, and we can obtain the minimizing  $\phi^*$  as well as the minimum value. For this  $\phi^*$  the maximizing  $F = F^*$  of  $e(\phi^*, F)$  is easily obtained, and the pair  $(\phi^*, F^*)$  thus obtained is introduced in Theorem 1. It remains to verify that  $(\phi^*, F^*)$  is actually a saddle point. Some formal and elementary calculations assure in fact that  $(\phi^*, F^*)$  is a saddle point.

## References

- [1] Chernoff, H. (1971), "A bound on the classification error for discriminating between populations with specified means and variances," *Studi di probabilità, statistica e ricerca operativa in onore di Giuseppe Pompilj*.
- [2] Isii, K. (1964), "Inequalities of the types of Chebyshev and Cramér-Rao and mathematical programming," *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 16, 277-293.
- [3] Isii, K. (1969- ), "Lecture Notes on Optimization Theory and its Applications (unpublished)."