



Osama A. Naji

On classical n -absorbing submodules

Received: 4 October 2018 / Accepted: 2 April 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract Let R a commutative ring with identity and M be a unitary R -module. In this paper, we investigate some properties of n -absorbing submodules of M as a generalization of 2-absorbing submodules. We also define the classical n -absorbing submodule, a proper submodule N of an R -module M is called a classical n -absorbing submodule if whenever $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} m \in N$ for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and $m \in M$, there are n of a_i 's whose product with m is in N . Furthermore, we give some characterizations of n -absorbing and classical n -absorbing submodules under some conditions.

Mathematics Subject Classification 13C05 · 13C13 · 13C99

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that all rings are commutative with $1 \neq 0$. Let R be a commutative ring. An ideal I of R is said to be proper if $I \neq R$. Let M a unitary module over R and N be a submodule of M . The residual of N by M , $(N :_R M)$ or simply $(N : M)$, denotes the ideal $\{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$. For any element x of M , the ideal $(N : x)$ is defined by $(N : x) = \{r \in R : rx \in N\}$. Let $a \in R$. Then, $N_a = \{x : x \in M \text{ and } ax \in N\}$ is a submodule of the R -module M . Let $m \in M$, a cyclic submodule that is generated by m is a submodule of M has the form $Rm = \{rm : r \in R\}$. A proper submodule N of M is said to be irreducible if N is not an intersection of two submodules of M that properly contain it. The set of zero divisors of M , denoted by $Zd(M)$ is defined by $Zd(M) = \{r \in R : \text{for some } x \in M \text{ and } x \neq 0, rx = 0\}$. An R -module M is called a multiplication module if every submodule N of M has the form IM for some ideal I of R . Prime ideals play a crucial role in ring theory, since they interfere with many branches of algebra and they represent an important role in understanding the structure of ring. A proper ideal I of a ring R is called a prime ideal if, whenever $ab \in I$ for $a, b \in R$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. A proper submodule N of an R -module M is said to be a prime submodule if, whenever $a \in R$, $m \in M$, and $am \in N$, then $m \in N$ or $a \in (N : M)$.

In [5], Badawi introduced a new generalization of prime ideals in a commutative ring R . He defined a nonzero proper ideal I of R to be a 2-absorbing ideal of R if, whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. The concept of 2-absorbing ideal has been transferred to modules. A proper submodule N of an R -module M is a 2-absorbing submodule of M [6] if, whenever $abm \in N$ for $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N : M)$. The class of 2-absorbing submodules of modules was introduced as a generalization of the class of 2-absorbing ideals of rings. Then, many generalizations of 2-absorbing submodules were studied such as primary 2-absorbing [8], almost 2-absorbing [3], almost 2-absorbing primary [2], and classical 2-absorbing [9]. In this article, we investigate some properties of n -absorbing submodules of M as a generalization of 2-absorbing submodules. We also define the classical n -absorbing submodule.

O. A. Naji (✉)
Department of Mathematics, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey
E-mail: onaji14@gmail.com



Furthermore, we give some characterizations of n -absorbing and classical n -absorbing submodules under some conditions. In addition, we investigate the sufficient and necessary conditions for a submodule N to be classical n -absorbing submodule of M .

2 n -Absorbing submodules

The concept of 2-absorbing has been extended to n -absorbing in ideals and submodules, where n is any positive integer. In this section, we investigate some properties of n -absorbing submodules.

Definition 2.1 [1] A proper ideal I of a ring R is said to be an n -absorbing ideal if, whenever $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, then there are n of a_i 's whose product is in I .

Definition 2.2 [7] A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called an n -absorbing submodule if, whenever $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $m \in M$, then either $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$ or there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose product with m is in N .

Proposition 2.3 If N is an n -absorbing submodule of an R -module M , then $(N : m)$ is an n -absorbing ideal in R for all $m \in M - N$.

Proof For $m \in M - N$, $(N : m)$ is a proper ideal of R . Assume that $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in (N : m)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$. Then, $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} m = a_1 \dots a_n (a_{n+1} m) \in N$. Since N is an n -absorbing submodule, then $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M) \subseteq (N : m)$ or there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$ whose product with $a_{n+1} m$ in N , the latter case means that there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$ whose product with a_{n+1} belongs to $(N : m)$. Thus, $(N : m)$ is an n -absorbing ideal in R . \square

Proposition 2.4 [4] Let M an R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . Then, $Zd(M/N) = \bigcup_{x \in M - N} (N : x)$.

Proposition 2.5 Let N be an n -absorbing submodule of M . If the set of all zero divisors of M/N , $Zd(M/N)$, forms an ideal in R , then it is an n -absorbing ideal of R .

Proof Let $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in Zd(M/N)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, and then, by Proposition 2.4, $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in (N : m')$ for some $m' \in M - N$. Since N is an n -absorbing submodule, then $(N : m')$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R . Therefore, there are n of a_i 's whose product belongs to $(N : m')$, and hence, there are n of a_i 's whose product belongs to $Zd(M/N)$. \square

Remark 2.6 The set of all zero divisors may not be an ideal. For example, consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $M = \mathbb{Z}_6$, we have $2, 3 \in Zd(M)$ but $2 + 3 \notin Zd(M)$.

The following theorem characterizes n -absorbing submodule in terms of submodules.

Theorem 2.7 Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . The following are equivalent:

- (1) N is an n -absorbing submodule.
- (2) For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, such that $a_1 \dots a_n \notin (N : M)$, $N_{a_1 \dots a_n} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\hat{a}_i}$, where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $m \in N_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ and assume that $a_1 \dots a_n \notin (N : M)$, and then, $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$. Since N is an n -absorbing submodule, then there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\hat{a}_i m \in N$, $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$, and hence, $m \in N_{\hat{a}_i}$. For the other containment, let $m \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\hat{a}_i}$, then $\hat{a}_j m \in N$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $a_j \hat{a}_j m = a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$, so $m \in N_{a_1 \dots a_n}$.

(2) \Leftarrow (1) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$. Assume that $a_1 \dots a_n \notin (N : M)$, then $m \in N_{a_1 \dots a_n} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\hat{a}_i}$ then $m \in N_{\hat{a}_j}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, implies that $\hat{a}_j m = a_1 \dots a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \dots a_n m \in N$. Thus, N is an n -absorbing submodule. \square

The following example shows that if N is not an n -absorbing submodule of M , then the second statement in the previous theorem does not hold.

Example 2.8 Take $n = 2$. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}$ be a module over itself, and let $N = 8\mathbb{Z}$, N is not a 2-absorbing submodule of M and $N_{2,2} = 2\mathbb{Z} \neq N_2 = 4\mathbb{Z}$.



Now, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for capability of reducing (by 1) the index of the residual $(N : M)$ of the proper submodule N of M .

Theorem 2.9 *Let N be an n -absorbing submodule of an R -module M . Then, $(N : M)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R if and only if $(N : m)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R for all $m \in M - N$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R, m \in M - N$ and $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : m)$. Then, $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$. Since N is an n -absorbing submodule of M , then $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$ or there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's whose product with m is in N . If $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$, then, by assumption, there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$, whose product belongs to $(N : M)$, and hence, there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$, whose product belongs to $(N : m)$. In the other case, if there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's whose product with m is in N , and hence, there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$, whose product belongs to $(N : m)$ and we are done.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and assume that, for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $m_i \in M$, such that $\hat{a}_i m_i \notin N$, where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$. By $a_1 \dots a_n m_i \in N$, it follows that $\hat{a}_j m_i \in N$, where $j \neq i$ and $\hat{a}_j = a_1 \dots a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \dots a_n$, since $(N : m_i)$ is $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal. If $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \in N$, then $\hat{a}_j m_j \in N$, since $\hat{a}_j m_i \in N, \forall i \neq j$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \notin N$. Now, by $a_1 \dots a_n \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \in N$, we have $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : \sum_{i=1}^n m_i)$, and then, there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's whose product is in $(N : \sum_{i=1}^n m_i)$, and hence, there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's whose product with $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ belongs to N , and then, we must have $\hat{a}_k m_k \in N$, for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, there are $n - 1$ of the a_i 's whose product with M is contained in N . Therefore, $(N : M)$ is $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . \square

Proposition 2.10 *Let N be an n -absorbing submodule of an R -module $M, y \in M$, and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$. If $a_1 \dots a_n \notin (N : M)$, then*

$$(N : a_1 \dots a_n y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (N : \hat{a}_i y),$$

where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$.

Proof Let $r \in (N : a_1 \dots a_n y)$, and then, $ra_1 \dots a_n y = a_1 \dots a_n (ry) \in N$. Since N is an n -absorbing submodule and $a_1 \dots a_n \notin (N : M)$, then $\hat{a}_i (ry) \in N$, where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$, for some i , and hence, $r \in (N : \hat{a}_i y)$. For the reverse inclusion, let $r \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n (N : \hat{a}_i y)$, and then, $r \in (N : \hat{a}_j y)$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, $ra_j \hat{a}_j y = ra_1 \dots a_n y \in N$ implies $r \in (N : a_1 \dots a_n y)$. \square

In the following two propositions, we study the absorbing property under the homomorphism and localization.

Proposition 2.11 *Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism of R -modules.*

- (1) *If N' is an n -absorbing submodule of M' , then $f^{-1}(N')$ is an n -absorbing submodule of M .*
- (2) *If N is an n -absorbing submodule of M containing $\ker(f)$, then $f(N)$ is an n -absorbing submodule of M' .*

Proof (1) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $m \in M$, such that $a_1 \dots a_n m \in f^{-1}(N')$ then $a_1 \dots a_n f(m) \in N'$, but N' is n -absorbing submodule of M' , so $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N' : M')$ or $\hat{a}_i f(m) \in N'$, where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$. If $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N' : M')$, then $a_1 \dots a_n M' \subseteq N'$, then $a_1 \dots a_n M \subseteq f^{-1}(N')$, so $a_1 \dots a_n \in (f^{-1}(N') : M)$. If $\hat{a}_i f(m) \in N'$, then $f(\hat{a}_i m) \in N'$ so $\hat{a}_i m \in f^{-1}(N')$. Thus, $f^{-1}(N')$ is an n -absorbing submodule of M .

(2) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R, m' \in M'$, and $a_1 \dots a_n m' \in f(N)$. Then, there exists $t \in N$, such that $a_1 \dots a_n m' = f(t)$. Since f is an epimorphism therefore for some $m \in M$, we have $f(m) = m'$. Thus, $a_1 \dots a_n f(m) = f(t)$. This implies that $f(a_1 \dots a_n m - t) = 0$, so $a_1 \dots a_n m - t \in \ker(f) \subseteq N$. Thus, $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$. Now, since N is an n -absorbing, therefore, $\hat{a}_i m \in N$ or $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$. Thus, $\hat{a}_i m' \in f(N)$ or $a_1 \dots a_n \in (f(N) : M')$. Hence, $f(N)$ is an n -absorbing submodule of M' . \square

Proposition 2.12 *Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and $S^{-1}M$ be the module of fraction of M . Then, the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If N is an n -absorbing submodule of M , then $S^{-1}N$ is an n -absorbing submodule of $S^{-1}M$.*
- (2) *If $S^{-1}N$ is an n -absorbing submodule of $S^{-1}M$ such that $Zd(M/N) \cap S = \phi$, then N is an n -absorbing submodule of M .*

Proof (1) Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R, s_1, \dots, s_n, l \in S, m \in M$ and $\frac{a_1 \dots a_n m}{s_1 \dots s_n l} \in S^{-1}N$. Then, there exists $s' \in S$, such that $s'a_1 \dots a_n m = a_1 \dots a_n (s'm) \in N$. By assumption, N is an n -absorbing submodule of M , and thus, $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$ or $\hat{a}_i s'm \in N$, where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\hat{a}_i s'm \in N$, then $\frac{\hat{a}_i s'm}{s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_{i+1} \dots s_n s' l} = \frac{\hat{a}_i m}{s_i l} \in S^{-1}N$, and if $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$, then $\frac{a_1 \dots a_n}{s_1 \dots s_n} \in S^{-1}(N : M) \subseteq (S^{-1}N : S^{-1}M)$. Therefore, $S^{-1}N$ is an n -absorbing submodule of $S^{-1}M$.

(2) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $m \in M$ be such that $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$. Then, $\frac{a_1 \dots a_n m}{1} \in S^{-1}N$. Since $S^{-1}N$ is an n -absorbing submodule of $S^{-1}M$, either $\frac{a_1 \dots a_n}{1} \in (S^{-1}N :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M)$ or $\frac{\hat{a}_i m}{1} \in S^{-1}N$, where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, there exists $s \in S$, such that $s\hat{a}_i m \in N$. This implies $\hat{a}_i m \in N$, since $S \cap Zd(M/N) = \emptyset$. Now, consider the case when $\frac{a_1 \dots a_n}{1} \in (S^{-1}N :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M)$, then $a_1 \dots a_n S^{-1}M \subseteq S^{-1}N$. Now, we have to show $a_1 \dots a_n M \subseteq N$. Assume that $m' \in M$, and then, $\frac{a_1 \dots a_n m'}{1} \in a_1 \dots a_n S^{-1}M \subseteq S^{-1}N$, so there exists $t \in S$, such that $ta_1 \dots a_n m' \in N$. Since $S \cap Zd(M/N) = \emptyset$, then $a_1 \dots a_n m' \in N$, and therefore, $a_1 \dots a_n M \subseteq N$. Hence, N is an n -absorbing submodule of M . \square

3 Classical n -absorbing submodules

In this section, we introduce and study the concept of classical n -absorbing submodules as a generalization of n -absorbing submodules.

Definition 3.1 A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called a classical n -absorbing submodule if, whenever $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} m \in N$ for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and $m \in M$, there are n of a_i 's whose product with m is in N .

Example 3.2 (1) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = R \times R$. The submodule $N = \{(k, k) : k \in R\}$ is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M .

(2) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Take $n = 2$, the submodule $N = \bar{0} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is a classical 2-absorbing submodule of M . To see this, let $a, b, c, z \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ such that $abc(\bar{x}, w, z) \in N$. Hence, $\overline{abcx} = \bar{0}$ and $abcw = 0$. If $abcz \neq 0$, then $w = 0$. We have $3|abcx$, then $3|ab$ or $3|cx$, if $3|ab$, then $ab(\bar{x}, w, z) = (\overline{abx}, 0, abz) = (0, 0, abz) \in N$. Similarly if $3|cx$, then $c(\bar{x}, w, z) = (\overline{cx}, 0, cz) = (0, 0, cz) \in N$. Now, if $abcz = 0$, then one of a, b, c, z is zero; first, we take one of the scalars which is zero, say a , then $a(\bar{x}, w, z) = (\bar{0}, 0, 0) \in N$, and hence $ab(\bar{x}, w, z) \in N$. if $a, b, c \neq 0$ and $z = 0$, since $abcw = 0$, then $w = 0$ (this was a previous case). If $a, b, c \neq 0, z = 0$ and $w \neq 0$, then $abcw \neq 0$ so $abc(\bar{x}, w, z) \notin N$, a contradiction. Thus, N is a classical 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Proposition 3.3 Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M .

- (i) If N is an n -absorbing submodule of M , then N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M .
- (ii) If N is an n -absorbing submodule of M and $(N : M)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R , then N is a classical $(n - 1)$ -absorbing submodule of M .

Proof (i) Assume that N is an n -absorbing submodule of M . Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and $m \in M$, such that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n a_{n+1} m = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n (a_{n+1} m) \in N$. Then, either there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose product with $a_{n+1} m$ is in N or $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$. The first case leads us to the claim. In the second case, we have that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n m \in N$. Consequently, N is a classical n -absorbing submodule.

(ii) Assume that N is an n -absorbing submodule of M and $(N : M)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Let $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n m \in N$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $m \in M$, such that there are no $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose product with m is in N . Then, $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$, and so, there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose product is in $(N : M)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, N is a classical $(n - 1)$ -absorbing submodule of M . \square

Remark 3.4 The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 3.3(i) is not true. Take $n = 2$, and let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. The zero submodule of M is a classical 2-absorbing submodule, but is not 2-absorbing, since $3.5(1, 1, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$, but $3(1, 1, 0) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, $5(1, 1, 0) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, and $3.5 \notin (0 : \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

The following theorem characterizes classical n -absorbing submodule in terms of n -absorbing ideals.

Theorem 3.5 Let M an R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . Then, the followings are equivalent:

- (i) N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M .



(ii) $(N : m)$ is a n -absorbing ideal of R for every $m \in M - N$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that N is a classical n -absorbing submodule. $(N : m)$ is a proper ideal, since $m \in M - N$. Let $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in (N : m)$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$. Since N is a classical n -absorbing submodule and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} m \in N$, then there are n of a_i 's whose product with m is in N , and hence, there are n of a_i 's whose product is in $(N : m)$. Thus, $(N : m)$ is n -absorbing ideal.

(ii) \Leftarrow (i) Assume that $(N : m)$ is a n -absorbing ideal of R for every $m \in M - N$. let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} m \in N$. If $m \in N$, we are done. Assume that $m \notin N$, since $(N : m)$ is a n -absorbing ideal and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in (N : m)$, then there are n of a_i 's whose product is in $(N : m)$, and hence, there are n of a_i 's whose product with m is in N . Therefore, N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M . \square

Theorem 3.6 Let M a cyclic R -module and N be a submodule of M . If N is a classical n -absorbing submodule, then N is an n -absorbing submodule of M .

Proof Let $M = Rm$ for some $m \in M$. Suppose that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n x \in N$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $x \in M$. Then, there exists an element $a_{n+1} \in R$, such that $x = a_{n+1} m$. Therefore, $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n a_{n+1} m \in N$, and since N is a classical n -absorbing submodule, then there are n of a_i 's whose product with m is in N . Since M is cyclic, $(N : m) = (N : M)$; hence, there are n of a_i 's whose product with m is in N or $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in (N : M)$. Thus, N is an n -absorbing submodule of M . \square

Now, in the following two corollaries, we characterize the classical n -absorbing submodules in terms of n -absorbing submodules and n -absorbing ideal.

Corollary 3.7 Let M a cyclic R -module and N be a submodule of M . Then, the followings are equivalent:

- (i) N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M .
- (ii) N is an n -absorbing submodule of M .

Corollary 3.8 Let M a cyclic multiplication R -module and N be a submodule of M . Then, the followings are equivalent:

- (i) N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M .
- (ii) $(N : M)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .

Proof Directly by Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 2.4 in [7]. \square

Here, in the next theorem, we investigate a submodule to be classical n -absorbing under some conditions.

Theorem 3.9 Let M an R -module and N be a proper irreducible submodule of M , such that $N_r = N_{r^n}$ for all $r \in R$, and then, N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M .

Proof Let $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n+1} \in R$ and $m \in N$ with $r_1 r_2 \dots r_{n+1} m \in N$, and assume that N is not a classical n -absorbing submodule of M , and so, there are no n of a_i 's whose product with m is in N . We have $N \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n (N + R\hat{r}_i m)$, where $\hat{r}_i = r_1 r_2 \dots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \dots r_n$. Let $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (N + R\hat{r}_i m)$, then $x = x_1 + s_1 \hat{r}_1 m = x_2 + s_2 \hat{r}_2 m = \dots = x_n + s_n \hat{r}_n m$ where $x_i \in N$ and $s_i \in R$ for every i , then $r_1^{n-1} x = r_1^{n-1} x_1 + s_1 r_1^{n-1} \hat{r}_1 m = r_1^{n-1} x_2 + s_2 r_1^{n-1} \hat{r}_2 m = \dots = r_1^{n-1} x_n + s_n r_1^{n-1} \hat{r}_n m$, since $r_1^{n-1} x_n, s_n r_1^{n-1} \hat{r}_n m \in N$, so $s_1 r_1^{n-1} \hat{r}_1 m \in N$ which implies that $s_1 (r_2 r_3 \dots r_n) m \in N_{r_1^n}$, but $N_{r_1^n} = N_{r_1}$, and hence, $s_1 \hat{r}_1 m \in N$, and so, $x \in N$. Therefore, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (N + R\hat{r}_i m) \subseteq N$; consequently, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (N + R\hat{r}_i m) = N$, a contradiction, because N is an irreducible. Hence, N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M . \square

Theorem 3.10 Let M an R -module and N be a classical n -absorbing submodule of M , such that $(N : y)$ is a prime ideal of R for $y \in M - N$. For $x \in M$, if $(N : x) - \bigcup_{x_i \in M - N} (N : x_i) \neq \phi$, then $N = (N + Rx) \cap \bigcap_{x_i \in M - N} (N + Rx_i)$.

Proof Suppose that N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M . Let $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in (N : x) - \bigcup_{x_i \in M - N} (N : x_i)$, where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$, then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n x \in N$ and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n x_i \notin N$ for every $x_i \in M - N$. It is Clear that $N \subseteq (N + Rx) \cap \bigcap_{x_i \in M - N} (N + Rx_i)$. For the reverse inclusion, let $n \in (N + Rx) \cap \bigcap_{x_i \in M - N} (N + Rx_i)$, then $n = n' + r'x = n_i + r_i x_i$ for every $x_i \in M - N$, where $n', n_i \in N$ and $r', r_i \in R$. Now, $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n n = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n n' + a_1 a_2 \dots a_n r' x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n n_i + a_1 a_2 \dots a_n r_i x_i$ and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n r' x, a_1 a_2 \dots a_n n', a_1 a_2 \dots a_n n_i \in N$, so $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n r_i x_i \in N$. Since N is a classical n -absorbing submodule and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n x_i \notin N$, then there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose product with $r_i x_i$ is in N . Hence, there are

$n - 1$ of a_i 's whose product with r_i is in $(N : x_i)$. If $x_i \in N$, then $r_i x_i \in N$, and so $n = n_i + r_i x_i \in N$. Assume that $x_i \notin N$, so, by hypothesis, $(N : x_i)$ is a prime, and hence, either there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose product is in $(N : x_i)$ or $r_i \in (N : x_i)$. From the first case, we have $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n x_i \in N$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $r_i \in (N : x_i)$, and hence, $r_i x_i \in N$. Thus, we have $n = n_i + r_i x_i \in N$, so $(N + Rx) \cap \bigcap_{x_i \in M - N} (N + Rx_i) \subseteq N$. Hence, $N = (N + Rx) \cap \bigcap_{x_i \in M - N} (N + Rx_i)$. \square

Corollary 3.11 *Let M an R -module and N be a classical n -absorbing submodule of M , such that $(N : y)$ is a prime ideal of R for $y \in M - N$. For $x \in M - N$, if $(N : x) - \bigcup_{x_i \in M - N} (N : x_i) \neq \phi$, then N is not irreducible.*

Proof By Theorem 3.10, $N = (N + Rx) \cap \bigcap_{x_i \in M - N} (N + Rx_i)$. Since $x \in M - N$, we have $N \subset (N + Rx)$ and $N \subset \bigcap_{x_i \in M - N} (N + Rx_i)$. Thus, N is not irreducible. \square

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Anderson, D.F.; Badawi, A.: On n -Absorbing Ideal of Commutative Rings. *Commun. Algebra* **39**, 1646–1672 (2011)
2. Ashour, A.E.; Al-Ashker, M.M.; Naji, O.A.: On almost 2-absorbing primary sub-modules. *IUG J. Nat. Stud.* (2017)
3. Ashour, A.E.; Al-Ashker, M.M.; Naji, O.A.: Some results on almost 2-absorbing sub-modules. *J. Al Azhar Univ.-Gaza (Nat. Sci.)* **18**, 1–13 (2016)
4. Azizi, A.: On prime and weakly prime submodules. *Vietnam J. Math.* **36**(3), 315–325 (2008)
5. Badawi, A.: On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **75**, 417–429 (2007)
6. Darani, A.; Soheilnia, F.: 2-Absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules. *Thai J. Math.* **9**(3), 577–584 (2011)
7. Darani, A.; Soheilnia, F.: On n -absorbing submodules. *Math. Commun.* **17**, 547–557 (2012)
8. Dubey, M.; Aggarwal, P.: On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **8**(4), 335–351 (2015)
9. Mostafanasab, H.; Tekir, U.; Oral, K.: Classical 2-absorbing submodules of modules over commutative rings. *Eur. J. Pure Math.* **8**(3), 417–430 (2015)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

