#### SHORT COMMUNICATION



# Convenient method to obtain homoallylic thioethers from aromatic dithioacetal derivatives

Natalia Buszta<sup>1</sup> · Wojciech J. Depa<sup>1</sup> · Agata Bajek<sup>1</sup> · Grażyna Groszek<sup>1</sup>

Received: 8 February 2019 / Accepted: 28 May 2019 / Published online: 3 June 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

#### Abstract

A new, convenient, and efficient method for the synthesis of homoallylic aromatic thioethers was developed. The present method consists of the formation of arylthionium intermediates from aromatic dithioacetals, which are trapped by a  $\pi$ -nucleophile. Screening of diverse aromatic substrates, selected Lewis acids, and allylstannane and allylsilane were evaluated. The method was found suitable for the preparation of homoallylic aryl thioethers bearing electron donating *o*- and *p*-groups only, as substrates with electron withdrawing groups were found unreactive to this system.

Keywords Aromatic dithioacetals · Allylation · Lewis acid · Homoallyl sulfides

Addition of  $\pi$ -nucleophiles to Lewis acids (LA)-activated carbonyl compounds or oxonium cations is one of the fundamental methods of construction of a new carbon-carbon bond (Yamamoto and Asao 1993; Masse and Panek 1995; Marshall 1996). As such, in a highly regioselective manner, in case of chiral substrates or catalysts with strong asymmetric induction, it is possible to obtain relevant alcohols or homoallylic ethers which are applicative building blocks in the synthesis of natural and biologically active products (Yus et al. 2013). Allyl metal reagents used in such process are allylborons, allylstannanes, allylsilanes, allyl halides, allyl acetates, allylindium (Yus et al. 2013; Shen et al. 2013; Kaib et al. 2016), or allyl transition metal complexes (e.g., Pd and Pt) that feature  $\eta^1$ -allyl or  $\eta^3$ -allyl bonding mode, respectively (Sone et al. 1995). Introduction of allyl function to the organic compounds gives opportunity for further double-bond transformations such as ozonolysis, epoxidation, dihydroxylation, cycloaddition hydroformylation, and olefin metathesis.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11696-019-00837-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Grażyna Groszek ggroszek@prz.edu.pl

Limited literature data are available for the appropriate reactions of sulfur analogs of carbonyl compounds such as dithioacetal derivatives. Trost group (Trost and Sato 1985; Trost et al. 2012) used a thiocarbocation, generated in a cleavage of dithioacetal by dimethyl(methylthio)sulfonium fluoroborate (DMTSF), as electrophiles in the intramolecular reaction with allylic tin compound or silyl enol ether. Also Padwa and Waterson (2000) used the same LA for functionalization of electron-rich arenes with thionium cations. Mori et al. (1990) described method for the conversion of aldehydes into arylthionium ions using (mesitylthio) trimethylsilane or DMTSF. These short-lived and reactive intermediates were in situ picked up by  $\pi$ -nucleophiles to produce expected homoallylic thioethers.

The main goal of our work was the development of a LA catalyzed nucleophilic displacement of a sulfanyl group on arene dithioacetals, using  $\pi$ -nucleophiles such as allyl metals reagents. We screened diverse substrates bearing both electron withdrawing and electron donating groups, with different substitution patterns.

We noticed that a direct precedent of our work was developed by Saigo et al. (1990), where homoallylic sulfides were prepared from dithioacetals with gallium chloride, which served as a catalyst. For the (phenylmethylene) bis(ethylsulfane), **1a**, using allyltributylstannane as an allyl reagent, homoallyl sulfide **2a** was obtained with 87% yield. The molar ratio of the reagents dithioacetal:allylstannane:LA was 1:2:2 and the process was conducted at -45 °C (Scheme 1a). We found that such a process can be carried

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Chemistry, Rzeszów University of Technology, 6 Powstańców Warszawy Ave., 35-959 Rzeszow, Poland





(a) According to Saigo [Saigo et al. 1990]: M=Sn; L=Bu; LA=GaCl<sub>3</sub>; -45 °C, 2h; Ar,
(b) M=Sn or Si; L=Bu or Me; LA=TiCl<sub>4</sub> or Sc/Yt(OTf)<sub>3</sub> or BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O; rt; from 0.3 to 24 h.

| Entry | LA                                 | ML <sub>3</sub>   | Time (h) | Yield (%)       |
|-------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1     | Sc(OTf) <sub>3</sub>               | SnBu <sub>3</sub> | 3.5      | 57 <sup>a</sup> |
| 2     | Yt(OTf) <sub>3</sub>               | SnBu <sub>3</sub> | 24       | 52 <sup>a</sup> |
| 3     | Sc(OTf) <sub>3</sub>               | SiMe <sub>3</sub> | 24       | $20^{a}$        |
| 4     | TiCl <sub>4</sub>                  | SiMe <sub>3</sub> | 0.3      | 56              |
| 5     | BF <sub>3</sub> .Et <sub>2</sub> O | SiMe <sub>3</sub> | 24       | 21 <sup>a</sup> |

Table 1 Tested Lewis acids in allylation reaction of dithioacetal 1a

<sup>a</sup>Substrate was recovered

out in mild conditions using smaller amount of substrates: dithioacetal:allyl reagent:LA in molar ratio 1:1.1:1.1 at room temperature (Scheme 1b).

At first, we examined selected LA using [phenylmethylene)bis(ethylsulfane), **1a**, as a probe and allyltributylstannane and allyltrimethylsilane as allyl reagents (Scheme 1b) and Table 1].

From tested LA (Table 1), scandium triflate (entry 1) and titanium tetrachloride (entry 4) gave satisfactory results at a short time. Usage of ytterbium triflate (entry 2) can be suitable for conducting our process (Kobayashi et al. 2002), but required longer reaction time. For further study, we selected scandium triflate, because the use of  $TiCl_4$  is less friendly and required greater attention when conducting the experiment (degradation by-products may increase). As an allyl reagent allyltributylstannane was selected, since allyltrimethylsilane performed poorly, as the yield decreased drastically to 20%, and this can be understood by its inferior nucleophilicity (Mayr and Patz 1994).

For study of the feasibility of generating and trapping thionium cation by  $\pi$ -nucleophile, we selected range of aromatic dithioacetal derivatives with various activating nature of substituents on the aromatic ring (Scheme 2). Moreover, we decided to evaluate the effect of thionium cation stabilization as a function of the substituent position in the aromatic ring (Scheme 2 and Table 2).

Considering the effect of substituents on the stabilization of thionium cation, according to the Crum Brown–Gibson rule (1892) and later contribution of Hammett (1937) and Taft group (Hansch et al. 1991), we obtained good results



R: a = H; b = OCH<sub>3</sub>; c = OH; d = Cl or Br; e = NO<sub>2</sub>; f = CN; g = CF<sub>3</sub>

Scheme 2 Allylation reaction of dithioacetal 1a-g substituted on *ortho*, *meta*, and *para* positions

Table 2 Reaction of substituted aromatic dithioacetals 1a-g with allyltributylstannane and scandium triflate in DCM at rt

| Entry | Substrate        | Product          | Yield (%)        |
|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1     | <b>1</b> a       | 2a <sup>a</sup>  | 57 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 2     | <i>o</i> -1b     | <i>o</i> -2b     | 65 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 3     | <i>o</i> -1c     | <i>o</i> -2c     | 80 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 4     | o-1d(Cl)         | <i>o</i> -2d(Cl) | 25 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 5     | <i>p</i> -1b     | <i>p</i> -2b     | 59 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 6     | <i>p</i> -1c     | <i>p</i> -2c     | 15 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 7     | <i>p</i> -1d(Br) | <i>p</i> -2d(Br) | 32 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 8     | <i>p</i> -1e     | <i>p</i> -2e     | _ <sup>b,c</sup> |
| 9     | <i>p</i> -1f     | <i>p</i> -2f     | _ <sup>b,c</sup> |
| 10    | <i>p</i> -1g     | <i>p</i> -2g     | _ <sup>b,c</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Obtained and described by Ando et al. (1972)

<sup>b</sup>Substrate recovered

<sup>c</sup>No reaction

for (phenylmethylene)bis(ethylsulfane), **1a** (Table 2, entry 1). Phenyl group shows very good effect for  $\pi$ -nucleophile addition to thionium cation and yield of product **2a** was 57%.

On the other hand, strongly electron donating groups (+M effect) should give better yield of addition reaction, and so it is for the OCH<sub>3</sub> and OH substituents. We obtained the regioisomer o-2b with 65% yield (entry 2). The best results observed for the regioisomer o-1c, where yield was up to 80% (entry 3).

For a series of substituents in the *para* position, we obtained homoallyl thioether p-2b with yield of 59% (entry

5). We expected to get a similar result for the substrate with OH substituent, but *p*-2c was obtained with only 15% yield (entry 6). Moreover *p*-hydroxybenzaldehyde was isolated from postreaction mixture. Presumably, complexation of the hydroxyl substituent by LA took place. Therefore, we decided to carry out the  $\pi$ -nucleophile addition process in the presence of superstoichiometric amount of LA (3 eq.) at higher temperatures. We improved the efficiency of the process up to 39%. This fact could also explain the high yield for OH substituent in *ortho* position (*o*-1c), since, due to steric hindrance, complexation of the OH substituent by LA is restrained.

Weakly deactivating substituent such as Cl gave modest yield of only 25% for product o-2d (entry 4). The reason is a poor stabilization of the thionium cation due to inductive effect of chlorine substituent. We obtained moderate 32% yield of product p-2d (entry 7) what is consistent with the result for Cl substituent in *ortho* position (o-2d).

For strongly electron withdrawing groups, where inductive effect (-I) dominates,  $\pi$ -nucleophile addition to thionium cation did not take place (entry 8–10) due to lack of stability.

For better understanding of the process, we carried out the described procedure with *meta* regioisomers of **1b–e**. The reaction did not take place and substrates were recovered.

In conclusion, we described a new, convenient, and efficient method for the synthesis of homoallylic aromatic thioethers. It appears that the allylation of aromatic dithioacetal derivatives, substituted in *para* or *ortho* position, is limited only for electron donating groups in terms of resonance such as OCH<sub>3</sub> or OH and for Cl/Br. The yield of the process depends strongly on the nature and position of substituents and on the applied conditions, what is going to be foundation for the next experiments in this subject in the future.

Substrates, dithioacetal derivatives **1a–g**, were prepared according to the Ali procedure (Ali and Gomes 2005) from commercially available aldehyde derivatives. All described above products were obtained using general procedure: dithioacetal derivative (1.0 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (5 mL) in a round bottom flask, equipped with a magnetic stirring bar. Then, Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (1.1 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. Next, the allyl-SnBu<sub>3</sub> (1.1 mmol) was added and reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After 24 h, the reaction mixture was poured into water (30 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 20$  mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After solvent evaporation, product was purified or isolated by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate). Structures of new obtained products were confirmed by spectral analysis (see Electronic Supplementary Material).

Acknowledgements The project was supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Grant DS.CM.19.001.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** There authors declare no competing financial interest.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

### References

- Ali MH, Gomes GM (2005) A simple and efficient heterogeneous procedure for thioacetalization of aldehydes and ketones. Synthesis 8:1326–1332. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2005-865303
- Ando W, Yamada M, Matsuzaki E, Migita T (1972) Photolysis of phenol- and diphenyldiazomethanes in alkyl and allylic sulfides. J Org Chem 37(24):3791–3797. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00796a006
- Crum Brown A, Gibson J (1892) XXX.—a rule for determining whether a given benzene mono-derivative shall give a *meta*-diderivative or a mixture of *ortho*- and *para*-di-derivatives. J Chem Soc 61:367–369. https://doi.org/10.1039/CT8926100367
- Hammett LP (1937) The effect of structure upon the reactions of organic compounds. Benzene derivatives. J Am Chem Soc 59(1):96. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01280a022
- Hansch C, Leo A, Taft RW (1991) A survey of Hammett substituent constants and resonance and field parameters. Chem Rev 91(2):165–195. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0002a004
- Kaib PSJ, Schreyer L, LeeS Properzi R, Liszt B (2016) Extremely active organocatalysts enable a highly enantioselective addition of allyltrimethylsilane to aldehyde. Angew Chem Int Ed 55:13200– 13203. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201607828
- Kobayashi S, Sugiura M, Kitagawa H, Lam WW-L (2002) Rare-earth metal triflates in organic synthesis. Chem Rev 102(6):2227–2302. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr010289i
- Marshall JA (1996) Chiral allylic and allenic stannanes as reagents for asymmetric synthesis. Chem Rev 96(1):31–48. https://doi. org/10.1021/cr950037f
- Masse CE, Panek JS (1995) Diastereoslective reactions of chiral allyl- and allenyl silanes with activated C=X π-bonds. Chem Rev 95(5):1293–1316. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00037a008
- Mayr H, Patz M (1994) Scales of nucleophilicity and electrophilicity: a system for ordering polar organic and organometallic reactions. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 33(9):938–957. https://doi.org/10.1002/ anie.199409381
- Mori I, Bartlett PA, Heathcock CH (1990) Stereoselective additions of nucleophilic alkenes to chiral thionium iones. J Org Chem 55(24):5966–5977. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00311a013
- Padwa A, Waterson AG (2000) Studies dealing with thionium ion promoted Mannich cyclization reactions. J Org Chem 65(1):235–244. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo991414h
- Saigo K, Hashimoto Y, Kihara N, Hara K, Hasegawa M (1990) Gallium chloride-mediated allylation of dithioacetals with allylstannanes. Chem Lett 19(7):1097–1100. https://doi.org/10.1246/ cl.1990.1097

- Shen Z-L, Wang S-Y, Chok Y-K, Xu Y-H, Loh T-P (2013) Organoindium reagents: the preparation an application in organic synthesis. Chem Rev 113(1):271–401. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300051y
- Sone T, Ozaki S, Kasuga NC, Fukuoka A, Komiya S (1995) Synthesis, structure, and reactivities of *cis*-dimethyl(η<sup>1</sup>-allyl)gold(III) complex. Diastereoselective allylation of aromatic aldehyde. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 68(6):1523–1533. https://doi.org/10.1246/bcsj.68.1523
- Trost BM, Sato T (1985) Dimethyl(methylthio)sulfonium tetrafluoroborate initiated organometallic additions to and macrocyclizations of thioketals. J Am Chem Soc 107(3):719–721. https://doi. org/10.1021/ja00289a043
- Trost BM, Burns AC, Bartlett MJ, Tautz T, Weiss AH (2012) Thionium ion initiated medium sized ring formation: total synthesis

of asteriscunolide D. J Am Chem Soc 134(3):1474–1477. https:// doi.org/10.1021/ja210986f

- Yamamoto Y, Asao N (1993) Selective reactions using allylic metals. Chem Rev 93(6):2207–2293. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00022a010
- Yus M, Gonzales-Gómez JC, Foubelo F (2013) Diastereoselective allylation of carbonyl compounds and imines: application to the synthesis of natural products. Chem Rev 113(7):5595–5698. https ://doi.org/10.1021/cr400008h

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.