

TIME-SPACE TRADE-OFFS IN A PEBBLE GAME

W.J. Paul<sup>1)</sup>  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
der Universität Bielefeld  
D-4800 Bielefeld 1  
Germany

R.E. Tarjan<sup>2)</sup>  
Computer Science Department  
Stanford University  
Stanford, Ca. 94305  
USA

**Abstract:** A certain pebble game on graphs has been studied in various contexts as a model for time and space requirements of computations [1,2,3,7].

In this note it is shown that there exists a family of directed acyclic graphs  $G_n$  and constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  such that

- 1)  $G_n$  has  $n$  nodes and each node in  $G_n$  has indegree at most 2.
- 2) Each graph  $G_n$  can be pebbled with  $c_1\sqrt{n}$  pebbles in  $n$  moves.
- 3) Each graph  $G_n$  can also be pebbled with  $c_2\sqrt{n}$  pebbles,  $c_2 < c_1$ , but every strategy which achieves this has at least  $2^{c_3\sqrt{n}}$  moves.

Let  $S(k,n)$  be the set of all directed acyclic graphs with  $n$  nodes where each node has indegree at most  $k$ . On graphs  $G \in S(n,k)$  the following one person game is considered. The game is played by putting pebbles on the nodes of  $G$  according to the following rules:

- i) an input node (i.e. a node without ancestor) can always be pebbled.
- ii) if all immediate ancestors of a node  $c$  have pebbles one can put a pebble on  $c$ .
- iii) one can always remove a pebble from a node.

Goal of the game is to put according to the rules a pebble on some output node (i.e. a node without successor) of  $G$  in such a way, that the total number of pebbles which are simultaneously on the graph is minimized.

The game models time and space requirements of computations in the following sense. The nodes of  $G$  correspond to operations and the pebbles correspond to storage locations. If a pebble is on a node this means that the result of the operation to which the node corresponds is stored in some storage location. Thus the rules have the following meaning:

- 
- 1) Research partially supported by DAAD (German Academic Exchange Service) Grant No. 430/402/653/5.
  - 2) Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. MCS 75-22870 and by the Office of Naval Research, Contract No. N 0014-76-C-0688.

- i) input data are always accessible.
- ii) if all operands of an operation are known and stored somewhere the operation can be carried out and the result be stored in a new location.
- iii) storage locations can always be freed. By the rules a single node can be pebbled many times. This corresponds to recomputation of intermediate results.

In particular the game has been used to model time and space of Turing machines [1,2] as well as length and storage requirements for straight line programs [7].

Known results about the pebble game include

A: Every graph  $G \in S(k,n)$  can be pebbled with  $c_k n / \log n$  pebbles where the constant  $c_k$  depends only on  $k$  [2].

B: There is a constant  $c$  and a family of graphs  $G_n \in S(2,n)$  such that for infinitely many  $n$   $G_n$  cannot be pebbled with less than  $cn / \log n$  pebbles [4].

For more results see [1,3,4,7].

By putting pebbles on the nodes of a graph  $G$  in topological order (i.e. if there is an edge from node  $c$  to node  $c'$  then  $c$  is pebbled first) one can pebble each graph  $G \in S(k,n)$  with  $n$  pebbles and  $n$  moves. However the strategy known to achieve  $O(n / \log n)$  pebbles on every graph uses exponential time. Thus it is a natural question to ask if there are graphs  $G_n \in S(k,n)$  such that every strategy which achieves a minimal number of pebbles requires necessarily exponential time. This is indeed the case.

Theorem: There exists a family of graphs  $G_n \in S(2,n)$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$  and constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ ,  $c_2 < c_1$  such that for infinitely many  $n$

- 1)  $G_n$  can be pebbled with  $c_1 \sqrt{n}$  pebbles in  $n$  moves.
- 2)  $G_n$  can also be pebbled with  $c_2 \sqrt{n}$  pebbles.
- 3) Every strategy which pebbles  $G_n$  using only  $c_2 \sqrt{n}$  pebbles has at least  $\frac{c_3 \sqrt{n}}{2}$  moves.

Thus even saving only a constant fraction of the pebbles already forces the time from linear to exponential.

Proof of the theorem: as building blocks for the graphs  $G_n$  we need certain special graphs: A directed bipartite graph is a graph whose nodes can be partitioned into two disjoint sets  $N_1, N_2$  such that all edges go from nodes in  $N_1$  to nodes in  $N_2$ . A directed bipartite graph is an  $n$ - $i$ / $j$ -expander if

$|N_1| = |N_2| = n$  ( $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ ) and for all subsets  $N'$  of  $N_2$  of size  $n/j$  holds:

$$|\{c | c \in N_1 \text{ and there is an edge from } c \text{ to a node in } N'\}| > n/j .$$

**Lemma 1:** For big enough  $n$  there exist  $n/2$ -expanders where the indegree of each node in  $N_2$  is exactly 16.

**Proof of Lemma 1:** With every function  $f: \{1, \dots, cn\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  we associate a bipartite graph  $G_f \in S(c, 2n)$  with  $n$  inputs and  $n$  outputs in the following way: The inputs and outputs are numbered from 1 to  $n$  and if  $f(j) = i$  then there is an edge from input  $i$  to output  $(j \bmod n)$ . Different functions may produce the same graph. A function  $f$  is bad if there is a set  $I$  of  $n/2$  inputs and a set  $O$  of  $n/8$  outputs such that all edges into  $O$  come from  $I$ . Otherwise the function  $f$  is called good. Clearly if  $f$  is good  $G_f$  is an  $n/2$ -expander with the desired properties.

In order to prove the existence of a good function we prove that the fraction of bad functions to all such functions tends with growing  $n$  to zero <sup>5,6</sup>.

There are  $n^{cn}$  functions  $f: \{1, \dots, cn\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ . There are  $\binom{n}{n/2} \binom{n}{n/8}$  ways to choose  $n/2$  inputs  $I$  and  $n/8$  outputs  $O$ . For every choice of  $I$  and  $O$  there are  $\binom{n/2}{n/8} \cdot n^{7cn/8}$  functions  $f$  such that  $f$  is bad because in  $G_f$  all edges into  $O$  come from  $I$ . Hence there are at most  $\binom{n}{n/2} \binom{n}{n/8} \cdot \binom{n/2}{n/8} \cdot n^{7cn/8}$  bad functions. Thus the fraction we want to estimate is

$$\binom{n}{n/2} \binom{n}{n/8} \cdot \binom{n/2}{n/8} \cdot n^{7cn/8} / n^{cn} = \binom{n}{n/2} \binom{n}{n/8} / 2^{cn/8} = o(1) \text{ for } c \geq 16 .$$

Let  $E_n^i$  be an  $n/2$ -expander as in lemma 1. Construct  $E_n$  from  $E_n^i$  by replacing for every output node  $v$  the 16 incoming edges by a complete binary tree with 16 leaves, identifying  $v$  with the root of the tree and the ancestors of  $v$  with the leaves. Obviously  $E_n \in S(2, 16n)$ .

Let  $H_{b,d}$  be the graph consisting of  $d$  copies of  $E_b: E_b^1, \dots, E_b^d$  where for  $2 \leq i \leq d$  the input nodes of  $E_b^i$  are identified with the output nodes of  $E_b^{i-1}$ . Thus  $H_{b,d} \in S(2, (15d+1)b)$ .

The set of output nodes of  $E_b^i$  is called the  $i$ th level. The input nodes of  $E_b^1$  form level 0.

**Lemma 2:**  $H_{b,d}$  can be pebbled with  $2b+16$  pebbles and  $(15d+1)b$  moves.

**Proof:** We say level  $i$  is full if all nodes of level  $i$  have pebbles. The strategy is to fill the levels one after another. Each level is a cut set. Thus once a new level  $i$  has been filled all pebbles above level  $i$  can be

removed. Hence at most  $2b$  pebbles have to be kept on two successive levels. In the process of filling level  $i+1$  if level  $i$  is full the 16 extra pebbles are used on the trees between the levels. Because all trees are disjoint except for the leaves each node is pebbled exactly once.

Lemma 3:  $H_{b,d}$  can be pebbled with  $4d+2$  pebbles.

Proof: The depth of a node  $v$  is the number of edges in the longest path into  $v$ . In a graph  $G \in S(2,n)$  every node of depth  $t$  can be pebbled with  $t+2$  pebbles (this follows easily by induction on  $t$ ). Every node in  $H_{b,d}$  has depth at most  $4d$ .

The crucial point is

Lemma 4: For all  $i \in \{0,1,\dots,d\}$  holds: If  $C$  is any configuration of at most  $b/8$  pebbles on  $H_{b,d}$ ,  $N$  is any subset of level  $i$  s.t.  $|N| = b/4$ , and  $M$  is any sequence of moves, which starts in configuration  $C$ , uses never more than  $b/8$  pebbles, and during the execution of this sequence of moves each node in  $N$  has a pebble at least once, then  $M$  has at least  $2^i$  moves.

Proof: by induction on  $i$ . For  $i=0$  there is nothing to prove. Suppose the lemma is true for  $i-1$ . In configuration  $C$  at most  $b/8$  pebbles are on the graph. Thus for at least  $b/8$  of the nodes  $v$  in  $N$  no pebble is on  $v$  nor anywhere on the tree which joins  $v$  with level  $i-1$  except possibly on the leaves. Let  $N'$  be a subset of these nodes of size  $b/8$  and let  $P$  be the set of nodes in level  $i-1$  which are connected to  $N'$ . By construction of  $H_{b,d}$ :  $|P| \geq b/2$ . Because none of the nodes in  $N'$  nor any node of their trees have pebbles except for the leaves, during the execution of  $M$  each node in  $P$  must have a pebble at some time (possibly right at the start).

Divide the strategy  $M$  into two parts  $M_1, M_2$  at the earliest move such that during  $M_1$  some  $b/4$  nodes of  $P$  have or have had pebbles and the remaining  $b/4$  or more nodes of  $P$  have never had a pebble. For  $M_1$  the hypothesis of the lemma applies, thus  $M_1$  has at least  $2^{i-1}$  moves. Because  $M_1$  leaves at most  $b/8$  pebbles on the graph and  $M_2$  also never uses more than  $b/8$  pebbles the hypothesis also applies to  $M_2$ . Hence  $M_2$  has at least  $2^{i-1}$  moves too and the lemma follows.

Choose  $b$  such that  $4d+2 \leq b/8$ , e.g.  $b = 32d + 16$ . Then any strategy which pebbles any  $b/4$  output nodes of  $H_{b,d}$  using at most  $4d+2$  pebbles has at least  $2^d$  moves. Thus for at least one of these nodes  $v$  pebbling  $v$  alone with  $4d+2$  pebbles must require  $2^{d/(b/4)} \geq 2^{(1-\epsilon)d}$  moves as  $b=O(d)$ . Now  $n=(15d+1)b$  is the number of nodes of  $H_{b,d}$ . Hence  $d=O(\sqrt{n})$  and

$b=O(\sqrt{n})$  and the theorem follows.

The above construction also yields:

**Corollary:** There exists a family of graphs  $G_n \in S(2,n)$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  holds: any strategy which pebbles  $G_n$  using  $n^{1-\epsilon}$  pebbles has more than polynomially many moves.

**Proof:** Choose  $G_n = H_{b,d}$  with  $b=n^{1-1/\log \log n}$  and  $d=O(n^{1/\log \log n})$ .

An interesting open problem is: does there exist a family of graphs  $G_n \in S(2,n)$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$  such that pebbling the graphs  $G_n$  with  $O(n/\log n)$  pebbles requires more than polynomially many moves?

### References:

- 1 S.A. Cook: An observation on time-storage trade off  
Proceedings 5<sup>th</sup> ACM-STOC 1973, 29-33
- 2 J. Hopcroft, W. Paul:  
and L. Valiant : On time versus space and related problems  
16<sup>th</sup> IEEE-FOCS 1975, 57-64
- 3 M.S. Paterson and : Comparative schematology  
C.E. Hewitt : Record of Project MAC Conf. on Concurrent  
Systems and Parallel Computation 1970,  
119-128
- 4 W. Paul, R.E. Tarjan : Space bounds for a game on graphs  
and J.R. Celoni : 8<sup>th</sup> ACM-STOC 1976, 149-160
- 5 M.S. Pinsky: On the complexity of a concentrator  
7<sup>th</sup> International Teletraffic Congress,  
Stockholm 1973
- 6 N. Pippenger: Superconcentrators  
Technical Report IBM Yorktown Heights 1976
- 7 R. Sethi: Complete register allocation problems  
Proceedings 5<sup>th</sup> ACM-STOC 1973, 182-195