RESEARCH



Clinical and immunological characterisation of patients with common variable immunodeficiency related immune thrombocytopenia

Nadia Somasundaram¹ · Oliver Meyer² · Carmen Scheibenbogen¹ · Leif Gunnar Hanitsch¹ · Anna Stittrich³ · Uwe Kölsch³ · Kirsten Wittke¹

Received: 6 April 2023 / Accepted: 8 August 2023 / Published online: 5 September 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Primary Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is an autoimmune disease. Secondary ITP occurs in patients with underlying diseases such as common variable immunodeficiency (CVID). CVID is one of the most common symptomatic primary immunodeficiencies in adults, characterised by infectious and non-infectious symptoms. Amongst CVID patients, ITP is the most frequent autoimmune manifestation. In this single-centre study, we performed a clinical and immunological characterisation of 20 patients with CVID-related ITP and 20 ITP patients without CVID to compare severity and remission rates. We found that patients with CVID-related ITP had a higher WHO Bleeding Scale at initial diagnosis yet showed higher remission rates and required less treatment. Patients with ITP needed up to seven therapy options and were often treated with second-line drug therapy, whilst only one CVID-related ITP patient required second-line drug therapy. Therefore, we show that the course of thrombocytopenia in patients with CVID-related ITP is milder. Furthermore, we show that soluble interleukin-2 receptor (sIL-2R, CD25) was higher in CVID-related ITP compared to ITP patients and could accurately classify patient cohorts with an Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic of 0.92. Whilst none of the ITP patients had a history of immunodeficiency, we found immunological abnormalities in 12 out of 18 patients. Therefore, we recommend screening ITP patients for CVID and other immunodeficiencies to detect immune abnormalities early, as we found patients with reduced immunoglobulin levels as well as severe lymphocytopenia in our ITP cohort.

Keywords CVID · ITP · Autoimmunity · Primary immunodeficiency

Introduction

Primary Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is an acquired autoimmune disease characterised by increased destruction and impaired production of platelets leading to isolated thrombocytopenia (<100/nL) and subsequently to an increased bleeding risk [1–3]. Around 67% of adult patients with acute ITP develop a chronic ITP [4].

- ¹ Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Medical Immunology, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany
- ² Red Cross Blood Service NSTOB, Eldagsener Straße 38, 31832 Springe, Germany
- ³ Labor Berlin Charité Vivantes GmbH, Sylter Str. 2, 13353 Berlin, Germany

The severity of bleeding varies, and many patients may present with either no symptoms, minimal bruising, or petechiae. However, ITP patients may also present with episodes of severe bleeding such as hypermenorrhoea or mucosal bleeding, and potentially gastrointestinal or intracranial haemorrhages. Primary ITP is a diagnosis of exclusion [1, 5]. Secondary ITP occurs in the course of other diseases such as infections (e.g. hepatitis C virus, human immunodeficiency virus) or common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) [6].

CVID is a primary immunodeficiency (PID) with a prevalence of about 2–4:100,000 [7–9]. Amongst primary immunodeficiencies, CVID is particularly often accompanied by autoimmunity and other non-infectious manifestations [9–12]. According to the diagnostic criteria of the European Society for Immunodeficiency (ESID), CVID is defined by a reduced level of immunoglobulin G (IgG) and a failure to respond to immunisation [10], as well as decreased concentrations of IgA \pm IgM [13, 14]. This results in a broad range

Kirsten Wittke kirsten.wittke@charite.de

of symptoms, mainly bacterial infections of the respiratory tract, such as chronic sinusitis, recurrent bronchitis, and pneumonia. Still, similarly to ITP, CVID remains a diagnosis of exclusion [13–15]. CVID patients often experience a significant diagnostic delay from the onset of initial symptoms until they receive the diagnosis and therefore treatment, typically in the range of 4 to 6 years. This delay is a major cause for morbidity in these patients [7, 8, 16].

Symptoms often begin between the ages of 20 and 50 [10, 17], yet can start at any age although CVID cannot be diagnosed before the age of four [10, 18]. In addition to recurrent infections, autoimmunity occurs in up to 30% of CVID patients [10, 14, 17, 19], with autoimmune cytopenias developing in approximately 10–15% of CVID patients [8, 20]. In the largest study on autoimmune cytopenias in CVID, a frequency of 7.4% was shown for ITP [8].

Currently, an increase in CD21^{low} B cells is the bestknown predictor of autoimmune cytopenias in CVID patients [14, 21]. In patients with both CVID and ITP, autoimmune cytopenias are often diagnosed years earlier than the immunodeficiency [14, 19, 22]. They have also been reported to have a later age of onset of their immunodeficiency than those CVID patients without ITP [23]. This presents an opportunity to screen for immunodeficiencies amongst ITP patients, to reduce diagnostic delay.

The aim of this study was to analyse and compare the clinical course, WHO Bleeding Scale and immunological statuses of patients diagnosed with ITP and patients with CVID-related ITP. Hereby, we aimed to identify key characteristics in these patient cohorts.

Methods

Patient enrolment and clinical data

This monocentric, combined retrospective, and prospective study was performed at the Immunodeficiency Outpatient Clinic and the Institute of Transfusion Medicine of the Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow Klinikum (Berlin, Germany).

We enrolled all 20 patients diagnosed with CVIDrelated ITP from the outpatient clinic starting in May 2019. Differential diagnoses of thrombocytopenia in CVID-related ITP patients were extensively assessed by experts for immunodeficiency and a hematooncologist with expertise for ITP in order to rule out other causes for thrombocytopenia. Additionally, 20 patients with ITP were consecutively enrolled, as shown in Fig. 1. ITP was diagnosed in accordance with the German ITP Guideline (https://www.onkopedia.com/de/onkopedia/guidelines/ immunthrombozytopenie-itp/@@guideline/html/index. html) [2]. At the time of inclusion in this study, none of the patients with ITP were suspected of having CVID or any other immunodeficiency. A later diagnosis of immunodeficiency after enrolment, however, did not lead to exclusion from the trial.

Simplified flow-chart of cohort design. Patients with CVID-related ITP were enrolled from the immunodeficiency outpatient clinic, whilst patients with ITP were consecutively enrolled from the institute for transfusion medicine. CVID = Common variable immunodeficiency; ITP = Immune thrombocytopenia; OPC = outpatient clinic.

CVID was diagnosed following the ESID criteria for CVID (https://esid.org/Education/Common-Variable-Immun odeficiency-CVI-diagnosis-criteria) [18] and classified using the EUROclass classification [23]. Patients who presented to the outpatient clinic whilst already under immunoglobulin therapy were re-evaluated, taking the effects of treatment on immunoglobulin levels into account.

Retrospective clinical data collection

All available patient records from disease onset until the end of data collection on March 12, 2021 were reviewed for complete medical histories, treatments, laboratory and genetic results, and results of radiological or physical examinations.



Laboratory data

Retrospective laboratory data were collected where available in the electronic medical record. From patients with incomplete retrospective laboratory data, further blood samples were collected for testing. All laboratory parameters were analysed in Labour Berlin Charité-Vivantes GmbH (Sylter Straße 2, 13,353 Berlin). Leucocytes were surface-stained and measured according to standard procedures. Briefly, whole blood was stained for CD3 (clone UCHT1), CD4 (clone SFCI12T4D11), CD8 (clone B9.11), CD16 (clone3G8), CD56 (clone N901), CD19 (clone J3-119), TCR α/β (clone IP26A), TCR γ/δ (clone IMMU510), CD45RA (clone J33), CD45R0 (clone UCHL1), and CD45 (J33) with monoclonal antibodies by Beckman Coulter and were measured analysed on a Navios-EX FACS (Beckman Coulter GmBH Krefeld Germany) Flow Cytometer.

Genetic testing

We aimed to collect genetic data from all patients with CVID enrolled in the study. We used panel sequencing using a panel of immune genes and whole exome sequencing. DNA was extracted from the patient's blood according to standard protocol. Target regions were enriched from ultrasound fragmented DNA (SureSelect Human All Exon V6, Agilent), and sequencing was performed as pairedend next generation sequencing (NGS) (Illumina, Inc. CA USA). Sequence data were analysed using our in-house NGS data analysis pipeline and aligned to the human reference genome (hg19). Variant prioritisation was based on allele frequency, variant type, location in the gene, bioinformatic prediction tools (MutationTaster, PolyPhen and CADD) [24–26], evidence from literature, classification in ClinVar [27] and, where applicable, segregation within the family.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in R version 3.6.1 [28]. For comparisons of laboratory parameters between pairs of groups, we performed a Mann–Whitney U Test. For diagnostic accuracy, the Areas Under the Receiver Operating Characteristics (AUROC) with 95% confidence intervals using DeLong's method [29] were calculated.

In tables, medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) are shown in all continuous data, and nominal variables are shown with frequencies and column percentages. For variables with missing data, the number of cases with valid data is shown.

Results

General characteristics

A cohort of 20 patients with CVID-related ITP, and 20 patients with ITP, was enrolled into the study, as shown in Fig. 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the total cohort are shown in Table 1. The median age at diagnosis for CVID amongst patients with CVID-related ITP was 35 years (interquartile range: 31.0 - 42.2) whereas they were diagnosed for ITP at 24.5 years (21.5-35.8). Patients with ITP tended to be older at initial diagnosis, with a median age of 37.5 years (25.5-46.0). The median retrospective observation period for the entire cohort was 15.7 years (9.1-19.0). This was defined as the period from which regular clinical and laboratory assessments were included in the analysis, starting from the initial diagnosis of either CVID or ITP until the end of the study in March 2021.

Fourteen of 20 (70%) patients with CVID-related ITP received the ITP diagnosis earlier than the CVID diagnosis, with a median delay of 7.5 years (-0.5 to 13.0). Sex was distributed similarly between both groups (CVID-related ITP: 60% female, ITP: 65% female).

Course and therapy of ITP

Detailed characteristics, comparing patients with ITP and CVID-related ITP, are shown in Table 2. The WHO Bleeding Scale was used to classify the severity of bleeding symptoms at initial diagnosis. In our cohort, most patients exhibited only mild bleeding symptoms (WHO Grades 0–1). Patients with CVID-related ITP tended to have more frequently WHO Grade 1 at initial diagnosis than the patients with ITP (79 vs 50%).

As described by Grimaldi-Bensouda et al. [30], remission of ITP is defined as a platelet count of > 100/nL, no further episode of ITP for more than 12 months and without specific treatment for the last eight weeks. Of the 20 patients with CVID-related ITP, 19 had sufficient laboratory and clinical assessments to apply the Grimaldi-Bensouda ITP remission criteria, including follow-ups over ≥ 12 months. Of these, 16 (84%) reached remission at least once during the study. Amongst these patients who experienced remission of ITP, 8 (50%) had at least one relapse during the observation period from beginning of their ITP until the end of the study. Of these 8 patients, only 3 (38%) received regular IgG substitution therapy at the time of the relapse. At the end of the study, all the included 19 patients with CVID-related ITP received regular immunoglobulin substitution-dose therapy. In comparison, none of the patients with ITP reached remission during the study observation period.

 Table 1
 Demographic and

 clinical characteristics at study
 inclusion

CharacteristicCVID-related ITP $(n=20)$ ITP $(n=20)$ p-ValuesRetrospective observation period [years]13.8 (9.7, 17.8)15.8 (7.5, 19.3)0.57Age [years]40.6 (38.2, 49.2)47.4 (39.0, 63.1)0.23Sex [Female]12 (60%)13 (65%)1.0Age at CVID diagnosis [years]35.0 (31.0, 42.2)Age at ITP diagnosis [years]24.5 (21.5, 35.8)37.5 (25.5, 46.0)0.10Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years]7.5 (-0.5, 13.0)Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis3 (15%)0 (0%)0.23AIHA5 (25%)4 (20%)1.0Accompanying autoimmunity ^a 7 (35%)3 (15%)0.27Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia4 (20%)0 (0%)0.11Enteropathy6 (30%)0 (0%)0.05Bronchiectasis1 (5%)1/19 (5%)1.0Granulomatous lesions4 (20%)0/19 (0%)0.11Splenomegaly14 (70%)2 (10%)0.05Splenetomy1 (5%)1.00.05Splenetomy1 (5%)1.00.05Splenetomy1 (5%)1.00.05Splenetomy5 (25%)0 (0%)0.01Lymphadenopathy1 (5%)1.00.05Recurrent pneumonia6 (30%)0 (0%)1.0Recurrent sinusitis13 (65%)1 (5%)0.02Recurrent sinusitis13 (65%)1 (5%)0.01				
Retrospective observation period [years]13.8 (9.7, 17.8)15.8 (7.5, 19.3)0.57Age [years]40.6 (38.2, 49.2)47.4 (39.0, 63.1)0.23Sex [Female]12 (60%)13 (65%)1.0Age at CVID diagnosis [years]35.0 (31.0, 42.2)Age at ITP diagnosis [years]24.5 (21.5, 35.8)37.5 (25.5, 46.0)0.10Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years]7.5 (-0.5, 13.0)Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis3 (15%)0 (0%)0.23AIHA5 (25%)4 (20%)1.0Accompanying autoimmunity ^a 7 (35%)3 (15%)0.27Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia4 (20%)0 (0%)0.11Enteropathy6 (30%)0 (0%)0.02GLILD5 (25%)0/19 (0%)0.11Splenochiectasis1 (5%)1/19 (5%)1.0Granulomatous lesions4 (20%)0 (0%)0.11Splenoteday14 (70%)2 (10%)0.05Splenoteday1 (5%)0 (0%)0.01Lymphadenopathy12 (60%)1 (5%)<0.01	Characteristic	CVID-related ITP $(n=20)$	ITP $(n=20)$	p-Values
Age [years]40.6 (38.2, 49.2)47.4 (39.0, 63.1)0.23Sex [Female]12 (60%)13 (65%)1.0Age at CVID diagnosis [years]35.0 (31.0, 42.2)Age at ITP diagnosis [years]24.5 (21.5, 35.8)37.5 (25.5, 46.0)0.10Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years]7.5 (- 0.5, 13.0)Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis3 (15%)0 (0%)0.23AIHA5 (25%)4 (20%)0.07Accompanying autoimmunity ^a 7 (35%)3 (15%)0.27Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia4 (20%)0 (0%)0.11Enteropathy6 (30%)0 (0%)0.02GLILD5 (25%)0/19 (0%)0.05Bronchiectasis1 (5%)1/19 (5%)1.0Splenomegaly14 (70%)2 (10%)0.05Splenotomy12 (60%)1 (5%)<0.01	Retrospective observation period [years]	13.8 (9.7, 17.8)	15.8 (7.5, 19.3)	0.57
Sex [Female] 12 (60%) 13 (65%) 1.0 Age at CVID diagnosis [years] 35.0 (31.0, 42.2) - - Age at ITP diagnosis [years] 24.5 (21.5, 35.8) 37.5 (25.5, 46.0) 0.10 Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years] 7.5 (- 0.5, 13.0) - - Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis 3 (15%) 0 (0%) 0.23 AIHA 5 (25%) 4 (20%) 0.07 Accompanying autoimmunity ^a 7 (35%) 3 (15%) 0.27 Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia 4 (20%) 0 (0%) 0.11 Enteropathy 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 GLILD 5 (25%) 0/19 (0%) 0.05 Bronchiectasis 1 (5%) 1/19 (5%) 1.0 Granulomatous lesions 4 (20%) 0 (0%) 0.11 Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenotomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) 0.01 Lymphadenopathy 12 (60%) 1 (5%) <0.01	Age [years]	40.6 (38.2, 49.2)	47.4 (39.0, 63.1)	0.23
Age at CVID diagnosis [years] 35.0 (31.0, 42.2) - - Age at ITP diagnosis [years] 24.5 (21.5, 35.8) 37.5 (25.5, 46.0) 0.10 Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years] 7.5 (- 0.5, 13.0) - - Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis 3 (15%) 0 (0%) 0.23 AIHA 5 (25%) 4 (20%) 1.0 Accompanying autoimmunity ^a 7 (35%) 3 (15%) 0.27 Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia 4 (20%) 0 (0%) 0.11 Enteropathy 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 GLILD 5 (25%) 0/19 (0%) 0.05 Bronchiectasis 1 (5%) 1/19 (5%) 1.0 Granulomatous lesions 4 (20%) 0 (0%) 0.05 Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) <0.01	Sex [Female]	12 (60%)	13 (65%)	1.0
Age at ITP diagnosis [years] $24.5 (21.5, 35.8)$ $37.5 (25.5, 46.0)$ 0.10 Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years] $7.5 (-0.5, 13.0)$ $ -$ Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis $3 (15\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.23 AIHA $5 (25\%)$ $4 (20\%)$ 1.0 Accompanying autoimmunity ^a $7 (35\%)$ $3 (15\%)$ 0.27 Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia $4 (20\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.11 Enteropathy $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 GLILD $5 (25\%)$ $0/19 (0\%)$ 0.05 Bronchiectasis $1 (5\%)$ $1/19 (5\%)$ 1.0 Granulomatous lesions $4 (20\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.11 Splenomegaly $14 (70\%)$ $2 (10\%)$ 0.05 Splenectomy $5 (25\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.01 Lymphadenopathy $12 (60\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ Alopecia $1 (5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis $13 (65\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02	Age at CVID diagnosis [years]	35.0 (31.0, 42.2)	-	-
Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years] $7.5 (-0.5, 13.0)$ $ -$ Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis $3 (15\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.23 AIHA $5 (25\%)$ $4 (20\%)$ 1.0 Accompanying autoimmunity ^a $7 (35\%)$ $3 (15\%)$ 0.27 Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia $4 (20\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.11 Enteropathy $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 GLILD $5 (25\%)$ $0'19 (0\%)$ 0.05 Bronchiectasis $1 (5\%)$ $1/19 (5\%)$ 1.0 Granulomatous lesions $4 (20\%)$ $0/19 (0\%)$ 0.11 Splenomegaly $14 (70\%)$ $2 (10\%)$ 0.05 Splenectomy $5 (25\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ <0.01 Lymphadenopathy $12 (60\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ <0.01 Alopecia $1 (5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis $13 (65\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ <0.01	Age at ITP diagnosis [years]	24.5 (21.5, 35.8)	37.5 (25.5, 46.0)	0.10
Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis $3(15\%)$ $0(0\%)$ 0.23 AIHA $5(25\%)$ $4(20\%)$ 1.0 Accompanying autoimmunitya $7(35\%)$ $3(15\%)$ 0.27 Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia $4(20\%)$ $0(0\%)$ 0.11 Enteropathy $6(30\%)$ $0(0\%)$ 0.02 GLILD $5(25\%)$ $0/19(0\%)$ 0.05 Bronchiectasis $1(5\%)$ $1/19(5\%)$ 1.0 Granulomatous lesions $4(20\%)$ $0/19(0\%)$ 0.11 Splenomegaly $14(70\%)$ $2(10\%)$ 0.05 Splenectomy $5(25\%)$ $0(0\%)$ <0.01 Lymphadenopathy $12(60\%)$ $1(5\%)$ <0.01 Alopecia $1(5\%)$ $0(0\%)$ 1.0 Type B gastritis $2(10\%)$ $3(15\%)$ 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia $6(30\%)$ $0(0\%)$ 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis $13(65\%)$ $1(5\%)$ <0.01	Time from ITP to CVID diagnosis [years]	7.5 (- 0.5, 13.0)	-	-
AIHA $5 (25\%)$ $4 (20\%)$ 1.0 Accompanying autoimmunitya $7 (35\%)$ $3 (15\%)$ 0.27 Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia $4 (20\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.11 Enteropathy $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 GLILD $5 (25\%)$ $0/19 (0\%)$ 0.05 Bronchiectasis $1 (5\%)$ $1/19 (5\%)$ 1.0 Granulomatous lesions $4 (20\%)$ $0/19 (0\%)$ 0.11 Splenomegaly $14 (70\%)$ $2 (10\%)$ 0.05 Splenectomy $5 (25\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ <0.01 Lymphadenopathy $12 (60\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ <0.01 Alopecia $1 (5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 1.0 Type B gastritis $2 (10\%)$ $3 (15\%)$ 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis $13 (65\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ <0.01	Ig therapy at ITP diagnosis	3 (15%)	0 (0%)	0.23
Accompanying autoimmunitya $7 (35\%)$ $3 (15\%)$ 0.27 Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia $4 (20\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.11 Enteropathy $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 GLILD $5 (25\%)$ $0/19 (0\%)$ 0.05 Bronchiectasis $1 (5\%)$ $1/19 (5\%)$ 1.0 Granulomatous lesions $4 (20\%)$ $0/19 (0\%)$ 0.11 Splenomegaly $14 (70\%)$ $2 (10\%)$ 0.05 Splenectomy $5 (25\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ <0.01 Lymphadenopathy $12 (60\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ <0.01 Alopecia $1 (5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 1.0 Type B gastritis $2 (10\%)$ $3 (15\%)$ 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia $6 (30\%)$ $0 (0\%)$ 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis $13 (65\%)$ $1 (5\%)$ <0.01	AIHA	5 (25%)	4 (20%)	1.0
Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia 4 (20%) 0 (0%) 0.11 Enteropathy 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 GLLD 5 (25%) 0/19 (0%) 0.05 Bronchiectasis 1 (5%) 1/19 (5%) 1.0 Granulomatous lesions 4 (20%) 0/19 (0%) 0.11 Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) <0.01	Accompanying autoimmunity ^a	7 (35%)	3 (15%)	0.27
Enteropathy 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 GLILD 5 (25%) 0/19 (0%) 0.05 Bronchiectasis 1 (5%) 1/19 (5%) 1.0 Granulomatous lesions 4 (20%) 0/19 (0%) 0.11 Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) <0.01	Nodular lymphoid hyperplasia	4 (20%)	0 (0%)	0.11
GLILD 5 (25%) 0/19 (0%) 0.05 Bronchiectasis 1 (5%) 1/19 (5%) 1.0 Granulomatous lesions 4 (20%) 0/19 (0%) 0.11 Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) <0.01	Enteropathy	6 (30%)	0 (0%)	0.02
Bronchiectasis 1 (5%) 1/19 (5%) 1.0 Granulomatous lesions 4 (20%) 0/19 (0%) 0.11 Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) <0.01	GLILD	5 (25%)	0/19 (0%)	0.05
Granulomatous lesions 4 (20%) 0/19 (0%) 0.11 Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) <0.01	Bronchiectasis	1 (5%)	1/19 (5%)	1.0
Splenomegaly 14 (70%) 2 (10%) 0.05 Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) <0.01	Granulomatous lesions	4 (20%)	0/19 (0%)	0.11
Splenectomy 5 (25%) 0 (0%) < 0.01 Lymphadenopathy 12 (60%) 1 (5%) < 0.01	Splenomegaly	14 (70%)	2 (10%)	0.05
Lymphadenopathy 12 (60%) 1 (5%) <0.01 Alopecia 1 (5%) 0 (0%) 1.0 Type B gastritis 2 (10%) 3 (15%) 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis 13 (65%) 1 (5%) <0.01	Splenectomy	5 (25%)	0 (0%)	< 0.01
Alopecia 1 (5%) 0 (0%) 1.0 Type B gastritis 2 (10%) 3 (15%) 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis 13 (65%) 1 (5%) <0.01	Lymphadenopathy	12 (60%)	1 (5%)	< 0.01
Type B gastritis 2 (10%) 3 (15%) 1.0 Recurrent pneumonia 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis 13 (65%) 1 (5%) <0.01	Alopecia	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	1.0
Recurrent pneumonia 6 (30%) 0 (0%) 0.02 Recurrent sinusitis 13 (65%) 1 (5%) <0.01	Type B gastritis	2 (10%)	3 (15%)	1.0
Recurrent sinusitis 13 (65%) 1 (5%) < 0.01	Recurrent pneumonia	6 (30%)	0 (0%)	0.02
	Recurrent sinusitis	13 (65%)	1 (5%)	< 0.01

Median and interquartile ranges (IQR) are shown for continuous variables, and frequency and column percentages for nominal variables. For variables with missing data, the number of valid cases is shown *CVID* Common variable immunodeficiency, *ITP* immune thrombocytopenia, *IQR* interquartile range, *AIHA* autoimmune haemolytic anaemia, *GLILD* granulomatous-lymphocytic interstitial lung disease

^aAutoimmune conditions as listed in supplemental Table S1

Patients with CVID-related ITP were less likely to require second- and further-line ITP drug therapies than patients with ITP. Most patients in both groups received corticosteroids for first-line treatment (CVID-related ITP: 17 (85%) vs. ITP: 18 (90%)). Second-line treatment was more frequent in patients with ITP and consisted mainly of Thrombopoietin receptor agonists (65% Eltrombopag, 35% Romiplostim). Only one patient with CVID-related ITP (5%) received Eltrombopag.

Splenomegaly and autoimmunity

Splenomegaly was reported in 14 (70%) CVID-related ITP patients and in 2 (10%) ITP patients. One of these ITP splenomegaly cases had autoimmune haemolytic anaemia (AIHA), whilst the other patient was under continuous Filgrastim therapy for autoimmune neutropenia, which is also associated with splenomegaly.

No patient with ITP was splenectomised, compared to 5 of 20 (25%) patients with CVID-related ITP. These splenectomies were performed in 1995, 1997, two in 2012, and 2017. The two patients splenectomised in 2012 had a treatment resistant ITP and a significant splenomegaly. The

patient splenectomised in 2017 showed a treatment resistant AIHA as well as neutropenia with suspected lymphoma which led to the decision of splenectomy.

Autoimmune manifestations other than ITP or AIHA were present in 3 (15%) patients with ITP and in 7 (35%) patients with CVID-related ITP, as shown in Table 1. Three of these 7 (43%) patients had more than one further autoimmune manifestation, including autoimmune gastritis, type 1 diabetes, autoimmune hepatitis, coeliac disease, seronegative rheumatoid arthritis, sarcoidosis, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, and optic neuritis (Table S1). Furthermore, one patient was diagnosed with transverse myelitis, which was categorized as an autoimmune manifestation.

CVID B-cell phenotype and genetics

CVID patients were classified using the EUROclass classification [23]. Fourteen of twenty (70%) patients with CVIDrelated ITP were classified as $B + smB-CD21^{low}$ (> 1% B-cells, < 2% switched memory B-cells, > 10% CD21^{low} B-cells). This classification, as well as further CVID characteristics, are shown in Table S2.

Table 2 IT	P clinical	grading	and	treatment
------------	------------	---------	-----	-----------

	CVID- related ITP (n=20)	ITP (n=20)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Remission	16/19 (84%)	0 (0%)	< 0.01	
Relapses of those in remis- sion	8/16 (50%)	-	-	
Relapse before substitution dose IgG therapy	5/8 (62%)	-	-	
WHO bleeding scale				
Grade 0	1/19 (5%)	9 (45%)	< 0.01	
Grade 1	15/19 (79%)	10 (50%)	0.10	
Grade 2	2/19 (11%)	1 (5%)	0.60	
Grade 3	1/19 (5%)	0 (0%)	0.49	
Grade 4	0/19 (0%)	0 (0%)	-	
Therapy lines				
0	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	1.00	
1	8 (40%)	0 (0%)	< 0.01	
2	8 (40%)	5 (25%)	0.50	
3	1 (5%)	4 (20%)	0.34	
4	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	0.49	
5	1 (5%)	4 (20%)	0.34	
6	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	1.00	
7	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	0.49	
Therapies				
Corticosteroids	17 (85%)	18 (90%)	1.00	
Immunoglobulins	10 (50%)	15 (75%)	0.19	
Azathioprine	1 (5%)	5 (25%)	0.18	
Rituximab	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	1.00	
Sirolimus	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	1.00	
Eltrombopag	1 (5%)	13 (65%)	< 0.01	
Romiplostim	0 (0%)	7 (35%)	< 0.01	
Anti D	0 (0%)	4 (20%)	0.11	
Fostamatinib	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	0.49	
No Therapy Ever	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	1.00	

Median and interquartile ranges (IQR) are shown for continuous variables, and frequency and column percentages for nominal variables. For variables with missing data, the number of valid cases is shown. Remission is defined as no further episode of ITP for>12 months, with>100 platelets/nL and without treatment for the last eight weeks as defined by Grimaldi-Bensouda et al. for primary ITP (23)

CVID Common variable immunodeficiency, *ITP* immune thrombocytopenia, *OPC* outpatient clinic, *IQR* interquartile range

Genetic testing was performed for 13 of 20 CVID patients. For 3 patients, panel sequencing was performed using a panel of immune genes, and for 10 patients, whole exome sequencing was performed. Due to a variety of reasons such as refused consent for genetic testing, personal reasons like moving and the death of one patient, there is missing data of 7 patients. In 13 tested patients with CVID-related ITP, five potentially relevant variants were found (Table 3). The TNFRSF13B variant in patient 6 is,

in homozygous state, a known CVID disease mutation [31]. In heterozygous state, as in our patient, the variant is considered a risk factor with low penetrance [32]. The variants identified in PIK3CD, TCF3 and NFKB1 in patients 4, 5 and 7 represent putative dominant disease mutations that have not yet been experimentally tested [33, 34].

Immune phenotype in both groups

An overview of immune parameters measured at presentation in our outpatient clinic is given in Table S3. Blood samples from 18 of 20 patients with ITP and from all 20 CVID-related ITP patients were investigated for immunodeficiencies.

Whilst none of the patients with ITP had a history of immunodeficiency at the point of enrolment, our assessment showed several immunological abnormalities. Of the 18 screened patients, 5 (28%) showed a reduced immunoglobulin level, not fulfilling CVID criteria (Table 4), whilst 7 (39%) were lymphocytopenic.

Of the 5 with reduced immunoglobulin levels, Patient 1 had a mild selective IgM deficiency and Patient 3 showed a mild IgG3 subclass deficiency. Patients 5 and 6 showed an unclassified antibody deficiency as defined by the ESID criteria [35]. One had an IgG- and IgM-deficiency with normal B-cells and memory B-cells, whilst the other one showed IgA-, IgM- and IgG2-, IgG3- and IgG4-deficiencies, as well as fewer memory B-cells, but a monoclonal increase in IgG1. Patient 8 had an IgG3 subclass deficiency.

Of the 7 lymphocytopenic patients, 3 showed a reduction in B-cells but without abnormalities in B-cell maturation whilst 4 patients showed a reduction in CD4 + orCD8 + T-cells as shown in Table 4. In two patients, CD4 + T-cells were severely reduced and in one further patient naïve, CD4 + T-cells were below the lower limit of detection. Therefore, those three patients had to begin treatment, such as regular prophylaxis for pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia.

Soluble interleukin 2 receptor (sIL-2R) was significantly higher in CVID-related ITP versus ITP patients (Fig. 2, p < 0.001). The Area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic for sIL-2R, predicting CVID status amongst all ITP patients and patients with CVID-related ITP was 0.92 (95% confidence interval = 0.82–1.00). The Receiver Operating Curve, as well as the distribution of sIL-2R concentrations in both groups, is shown in Fig. 2.

Figure S1 shows the IgG concentrations of both groups before initiation of immunoglobulin therapy. Patients who were already receiving immunoglobulin therapy at the time of presentation were excluded from this analysis. IgG concentrations differed significantly in both groups (median: patients with CVID-related ITP: 1.96 g/l, ITP: 9.63 g/l). The median relative CD21^{low} B-cells were higher in patients

Patient	Gene	Genotype	Protein ^a	dbSNP	Zytogtism	Allele Frequency ^b (%)	Classification ^c
4	PIK3CD	c.1073T>C	p.Val358Ala	rs188586233	Heterozygous	0.015	III
4	PIK3CD	c.436T>A	p.Phe146Ile	rs142285826	Heterozygous	0.136	II
5	TCF3	c.1896G>C	p.Glu623Asp	rs1198582771	Heterozygous	< 0.001	III
6	TNFRSF13B	c.310T>C	p.Cys104Arg	rs34557412	Heterozygous	0.348	IV
7	NFKB1	c.2831C>A	p.Thr944Asn	rs143882681	Heterozygous	0.032	III

Table 3 Mutations found in patients with CVID-related ITP

CVID Common variable immunodeficiency, *dbSNP* database of single nucleotide polymorphisms, *ITP* immune thrombocytopenia ^aHGVS nomenclature

^bgnomAD v2.1.1

^cAccording to ACMG guidelines

Table 4 Immunodeficiencies in ITP patients

Patient ID	Immunodeficiencies found in our Outpatient clinic
ITP 1	Mild selective IgM deficiency
ITP 2	B-cell lymphocytopenia (40/µl), normal B-cell maturation likely due to steroid therapy
ITP 3	Mild IgG3 subclass deficiency, B-cell lymphocytopenia (50/µl) with normal B-cell maturation
ITP 4 ^a	Severe CD4 + T-cell lymphocytopenia (90/µl) and reduced naïve CD4 + T-cells
ITP 5	Unclassified antibody deficiency
ITP 6	Unclassified antibody deficiency
ITP 7	Mild CD-4+T-cell lymphocytopenia (350/µl) with reduced naïve CD4+T-cells
ITP 8	IgG3 subclass deficiency
ITP 9 ^a	Severe CD4 + (20/µl) and CD8 + T-cell lymphocytopenia, naïve CD4 + T-cells below the lower limit
ITP 10 ^a	Severe $CD4 + (190/\mu l)$ and $CD8 + T$ -cell lymphocytopenia

ITP Immune thrombocytopenia; pathological findings in immunological testing in patients with ITP and no further immunodeficiency. Unclassified antibody deficiency as defined in https://esid.org/Working-Parties/Registry-Working-Party/Diagnosis-criteria

^aRequired clinical management and treatment

Fig. 2 Soluble interleukin 2 receptor differs between patients with CVID-related ITP and ITP. a: Distribution of soluble Interleukin-2 Receptor concentrations in patients with CVIDrelated ITP and ITP patients. b: Receiver Operating Characteristic of sIL-2R for discriminating between CVID-related ITP and ITP patients. CVID = Common variable immunodeficiency; ITP = Immune thrombocytopenia; sIL-2R= soluble IL2 receptor. sIL-2R is significantly different (p <0.001) between both groups



with CVID-related ITP than in ITP patients (14.5 vs. 3.4%, p < 0.001) (Table S3). In fact, CD21^{low} B cells in 14 of 17 (82%) ITP patients were within the normal range (0.9–7.6%),

whereas they were elevated in 17 of 18 (94%) CVID-related ITP patients. Further, memory B-cells were significantly lower in CVID-related ITP patients (median = 1.1 vs. 16.7%,

p < 0.01). Platelet counts at initial presentation in the immunodeficiency outpatient clinic showed a median of 176 (127 - 237/nL) in patients with CVID-related ITP and 65/ nL (47–96/nL) in patients with ITP (p < 0.001) (Table S3, Figure S2). Importantly, at this timepoint, 14 of 19 (74%) CVID-related ITP patients were in remission, whilst 16 of 18 (89%) ITP patients were undergoing ITP therapy.

Discussion

This study investigates similarities and differences of ITP and CVID-related ITP, using retrospective and prospective analyses for a comprehensive clinical and immunological characterisation of these conditions. Previous research has shown important links between immunodeficiencies and autoimmune cytopenias; [8, 11, 13, 17] however, the pathophysiological and clinical interactions have yet to be fully explored.

A key finding of this study was that although patients with CVID-related ITP had slightly more severe bleeding at initial diagnosis compared to patients with ITP, the clinical course of ITP was significantly milder in patients with CVID-related ITP with respect to the need of treatment, along with higher rates of remission. The milder clinical course in patients with CVID-related ITP may in part be due to the regular IgG substitution dose therapy these patients received, whilst none of the ITP patients received continuous immunoglobulin replacement treatment during the observation period of several years. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that relapses of ITP in patients with CVIDrelated ITP, occurred more frequently before initiation of IgG substitution therapy, which is in line with findings by Wang et al. and Agarwal et al. [13, 36].

Immunoglobulin replacement therapy in CVID is usually given either intravenously (IVIG) or subcutaneously (SCIG). In our centre, most patients receive their replacement therapy with a dose of 0.4 g/kg body weight/month, given subcutaneously so that they can administer it themselves. Whilst the primary aim of this is to prevent infections, our findings suggest that the continuous immunoglobulin replacement therapy might also have a positive effect on platelet counts in patients with comorbid ITP. Efficacy of IgG replacement in CVID-related ITP has already been shown by previous studies, with not only a good response to low dose immunoglobulins, but also the possibility of reducing steroid treatments [36, 37]. Interestingly a study comparing the efficacy and safety of IVIG and SCIG treatment in CVID-related ITP could show that an IgG trough level under 7 g/L is a key factor for the development of ITP bouts [38].

Currently, only short-term high dose (1–2 g/kg body weight) intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG) are a standard therapy for ITP patients with severe bleeding complications

requiring a rapid increase in platelet counts [39]. However, the effect on platelet counts under high-dose IVIGs remains transient. Continuous IgG therapy in a low dose of 0.4 g/kg body weight may be a therapeutic option for ITP patients without CVID who are refractory to standard therapy, especially if applied subcutaneously, which reduces serum level fluctuations. As of yet, there have been no clinical trials addressing this hypothesis.

Patients with CVID-related ITP were frequently classified as type CD21^{low} with the EUROclass classification [23]. This has already been described in other studies [14, 21, 23, 40] and is currently the most validated marker to screen for predisposition to autoimmune diseases in CVID patients. In our study, interestingly, CD21^{low} B-cells were significantly increased in patients with CVID-related ITP but were mostly within the normal range amongst patients with ITP. So far, there is only very limited data characterising B cell subsets in patients with ITP [41]. In our ITP cohort, B cell subsets were within the normal range.

ITP often precedes the diagnosis of CVID [14, 17, 19, 36, 42]. In our study, the median time from ITP to CVID diagnosis was 7.5 years. Considering this, we suggest screening all ITP patients for CVID by measuring IgG and IgA levels before treatment initiation. In patients receiving high dose IVIG therapy or steroid therapy, this may not always be conclusive as the treatment affects the measured IgG concentrations.

Previous research has found higher concentrations of the inflammatory marker sIL-2R in patients with CVID compared to healthy controls [43–45]. Pathophysiologically elevation in sIL-2R is associated with T-cell activation, which is typical in CVID where T- and B-cell defects are common [43, 45]. Therefore, sIL-2R could be a complementary diagnostic biomarker for CVID sub-classification and prognosis. Van Stigt et al. recently observed increased sIL-2R concentrations in CVID patients with granulomatous disease and showed that it may predict disease progression and response to treatment [46]. Our study showed that sIL-2R was able to distinguish ITP patients from those with CVID-related ITP with high accuracy. Interestingly, amongst the 18 screened patients with ITP, five had decreased IgA and/or IgM concentrations. However, these patients did not fulfil diagnostic criteria for CVID, and all had normal sIL-2R concentrations. This supports our hypothesis for the use of sIL-2R as a complementary diagnostic marker.

However, sIL-2R can be elevated during infections, inflammation, malignant diseases, and other autoimmune diseases, too [43]. ESID criteria, including a poor response to vaccination and other diagnostic markers such as abnormal B-cell maturation, remain the most established diagnostic methodology.

Interestingly, 70% of our CVID-related ITP patients had splenomegaly. Whilst this finding is in line with previous

research [7, 10, 13, 42, 47, 48], it is important to consider the splenomegaly as a differential cause of the thrombocytopenia since ITP remains a diagnosis of exclusion [1, 5]. In order to confidently ascribe the diagnosis of ITP to these patients, ruling out other causes of thrombocytopenia, patients were evaluated by experts. Diagnostic criteria included response to ITP-specific treatment, the extent and course of the thrombocytopenia, clinical parameters, and if clinically required, autoantibody screening as well as bone marrow aspiration.

Our study has strengths and limitations. One strength was the extensive data collection, utilizing treatment and clinical data over the course of decades. Additionally, the monocentric nature of this study allows for more accurate comparisons amongst the patients, as all were examined, treated, and evaluated by the same physicians. A limitation is that the ITP patients without CVID were enrolled from a specialized outpatient clinic representing a cohort with high need for therapy.

Our data suggest that the course of thrombocytopenia in patients with CVID-related ITP is milder under IgG substitution, reducing the need for additional ITP specific therapies. Furthermore, we underline the importance of screening ITP patients for CVID and other immunodeficiencies to detect immune abnormalities early. Besides, we also found patients with reduced immunoglobulin levels as well as severe lymphocytopenia in our ITP cohort, of which three patients needed treatment. For the first time, sIL-2R was shown to effectively discriminate between patients with CVID-related ITP and ITP patients.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-023-01166-2.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank all the patients and their families. Special thanks should be given to the staff at the immunodeficiency and the transfusion medicine outpatient clinics.

Author contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Nadia Somasundaram, Kirsten Wittke and Oliver Meyer. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Nadia Somasundaram and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Data availability The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of Charité Ethics Committee (EA4/112/19).

Consent to participate and to publish Informed consent was obtained for all individual participants included in the study in accordance with institutional guidelines. Additional informed consent was collected for those patients included in the genetic analysis.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Provan D, Arnold DM, Bussel JB, et al. Updated international consensus report on the investigation and management of primary immune thrombocytopenia. Blood Adv. 2019;3(22):3780–817. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000812.
- Matzdorff A, Meyer O, Ostermann H, et al. Immune thrombocytopenia—current diagnostics and therapy: recommendations of a joint working group of DGHO, ÖGHO, SGH, GPOH, and DGTI. Oncol Res Treat. 2018;41(Suppl 5):1–30. https://doi.org/10.1159/ 000492187.
- Neunert C, Terrell DR, Arnold DM, et al. American Society of Hematology 2019 guidelines for immune thrombocytopenia. Blood Adv. 2019;3(23):3829–66. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood advances.2019000966.
- Moulis G, Palmaro A, Montastruc JL, Godeau B, Lapeyre-Mestre M, Sailler L. Epidemiology of incident immune thrombocytopenia: a nationwide population-based study in France. Blood. 2014;124(22):3308–15. https://doi.org/10.1182/ blood-2014-05-578336.
- González-López TJ, Newland A, Provan D. Current concepts in the diagnosis and management of adult primary immune thrombocytopenia: our personal view. Medicina (Kaunas). 2023. https:// doi.org/10.3390/medicina59040815
- Pietras NM, Pearson-Shaver AL. Immune thrombocytopenic purpura. StatPearls. treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2021, StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2021.
- Gathmann B, Mahlaoui N, Gérard L, et al. Clinical picture and treatment of 2212 patients with common variable immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;134(1):116–26. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaci.2013.12.1077.
- Feuille EJ, Anooshiravani N, Sullivan KE, Fuleihan RL, Cunningham-Rundles C. Autoimmune cytopenias and associated conditions in CVID: a report from the USIDNET registry. J Clin Immunol. 2018;38(1):28–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10875-017-0456-9.
- Ameratunga R. Assessing disease severity in common variable immunodeficiency disorders (CVID) and CVID-like disorders. Front Immunol. 2018;9:2130. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu. 2018.02130.

- Bonilla FA, Barlan I, Chapel H, et al. International consensus document (ICON): common variable immunodeficiency disorders. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2016;4(1):38–59. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaip.2015.07.025.
- Xiao X, Miao Q, Chang C, Gershwin ME, Ma X. Common variable immunodeficiency and autoimmunity—an inconvenient truth. Autoimmun Rev. 2014;13(8):858–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. autrev.2014.04.006.
- 12. Allenspach E, Torgerson TR. Autoimmunity and primary immunodeficiency disorders. J Clin Immunol. 2016;36(1):57–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-016-0294-1.
- Agarwal S, Cunningham-Rundles C. Autoimmunity in common variable immunodeficiency. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2019;123(5):454–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2019.07.014.
- Patuzzo G, Barbieri A, Tinazzi E, et al. Autoimmunity and infection in common variable immunodeficiency (CVID). Autoimmun Rev. 2016;15(9):877–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2016.07. 011.
- Hargreaves CE, Salatino S, Sasson SC, et al. Decreased ATM function causes delayed DNA repair and apoptosis in common variable immunodeficiency disorders. J Clin Immunol. 2021;41(6):1315– 30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-021-01050-2.
- Odnoletkova I, Kindle G, Quinti I, et al. The burden of common variable immunodeficiency disorders: a retrospective analysis of the European Society for Immunodeficiency (ESID) registry data. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2018;13(1):201. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13023-018-0941-0.
- Baldovino S, Montin D, Martino S, Sciascia S, Menegatti E, Roccatello D. Common variable immunodeficiency: crossroads between infections, inflammation and autoimmunity. Autoimmun Rev. 2013;12(8):796–801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2012. 11.003.
- Conley ME, Notarangelo LD, Etzioni A. Diagnostic criteria for primary immunodeficiencies. Representing PAGID (Pan-American Group for Immunodeficiency) and ESID (European Society for Immunodeficiencies). Clin Immunol. 1999;93(3):190–7. https://doi.org/10.1006/clim.1999.4799.
- Pituch-Noworolska A, Siedlar M, Kowalczyk D, Szaflarska A, Błaut-Szlósarczyk A, Zwonarz K. Thrombocytopenia in common variable immunodeficiency patients—clinical course, management, and effect of immunoglobulins. Cent Eur J Immunol. 2015;40(1):83–90. https://doi.org/10.5114/ceji.2015.50838.
- Lacombe V, Lozac'h P, Orvain C, et al. Treatment of ITP and AIHA in CVID: a systematic literature review. Rev Med Int. 2019;40(8):491–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmed.2019.02. 006.
- Warnatz K, Wehr C, Dräger R, et al. Expansion of CD19(hi) CD21(lo/neg) B cells in common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) patients with autoimmune cytopenia. Immunobiology. 2002;206(5):502–13. https://doi.org/10.1078/0171-2985-00198.
- Cunningham-Rundles C. Hematologic complications of primary immune deficiencies. Blood Rev. 2002;16(1):61–4. https://doi.org/ 10.1054/blre.2001.0185.
- Wehr C, Kivioja T, Schmitt C, et al. The EUROclass trial: defining subgroups in common variable immunodeficiency. Blood. 2008;111(1):77–85. https://doi.org/10.1182/ blood-2007-06-091744.
- Steinhaus R, Proft S, Schuelke M, Cooper DN, Schwarz JM, Seelow D. MutationTaster2021. Nucl Acids Res. 2021;49(W1):W446–51. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab266.
- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods. 2010;7(4):248–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0410-248.
- Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity

of human genetic variants. Nat Genet. 2014;46(3):310–5. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ng.2892.

- Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Riley GR, et al. ClinVar: public archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype. Nucl Acids Res. 2014;42(Database Issue):980–5. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkt1113.
- Team RDC. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2010.
- 29. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics. 1988;44(3):837–45.
- Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Nordon C, Michel M, et al. Immune thrombocytopenia in adults: a prospective cohort study of clinical features and predictors of outcome. Haematologica. 2016;101(9):1039–45. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2016. 146373.
- Salzer U, Chapel HM, Webster AD, et al. Mutations in TNFRSF13B encoding TACI are associated with common variable immunodeficiency in humans. Nat Genet. 2005;37(8):820–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1600.
- 32. Salzer U, Bacchelli C, Buckridge S, et al. Relevance of biallelic versus monoallelic TNFRSF13B mutations in distinguishing disease-causing from risk-increasing TNFRSF13B variants in antibody deficiency syndromes. Blood. 2009;113(9):1967–76. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-02-141937.
- Angulo I, Vadas O, Garçon F, et al. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase δ gene mutation predisposes to respiratory infection and airway damage. Science. 2013;342(6160):866–71. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1243292.
- Boisson B, Wang YD, Bosompem A, et al. A recurrent dominant negative E47 mutation causes agammaglobulinemia and BCR(-) B cells. J Clin Invest. 2013;123(11):4781–5. https://doi.org/10. 1172/jci71927.
- Seidel MG, Kindle G, Gathmann B, et al. The European society for immunodeficiencies (ESID) Registry working definitions for the clinical diagnosis of inborn errors of immunity. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(6):1763–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip. 2019.02.004.
- Wang J, Cunningham-Rundles C. Treatment and outcome of autoimmune hematologic disease in common variable immunodeficiency (CVID). J Autoimmun. 2005;25(1):57–62. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jaut.2005.04.006.
- Pedini V, Savore I, Danieli MG. Facilitated subcutaneous immunoglobulin (fSCIg) in autoimmune cytopenias associated with common variable immunodeficiency. Isr Med Assoc J. 2017;19(7):420–3.
- Scheuerlein P, Pietsch L, Camacho-Ordonez N, et al. Is It Safe to switch from intravenous immunoglobulin to subcutaneous immunoglobulin in patients with common variable immunodeficiency and autoimmune thrombocytopenia? Front Immunol. 2018;9:1656. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01656.
- Almizraq RJ, Branch DR. Efficacy and mechanism of intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for immune thrombocytopenia in adults. Annals of Blood. 2020;6.http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ aob-20-87
- López-Herrera G, Segura-Méndez NH, O'Farril-Romanillos P, et al. Low percentages of regulatory T cells in common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) patients with autoimmune diseases and its association with increased numbers of CD4+CD45RO+T and CD21(low) B cells. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2019;47(5):457–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2019.01.003.
- 41. Yilmaz M, Ayhan S. Percentage of memory B lymphocytes and regulatory T lymphocytes in peripheral blood are low but not predictive of therapy outcomes in newly diagnosed adult patients with primary immune thrombocytopenia. Indian J Hematol

Blood Transfus. 2017;33(4):586–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12288-017-0785-0.

- 42. Azizi G, Abolhassani H, Asgardoon MH, et al. Autoimmunity in common variable immunodeficiency: epidemiology, pathophysiology and management. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2017;13(2):101–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/1744666x.2016.1224664.
- Litzman J, Nechvatalova J, Xu J, Ticha O, Vlkova M, Hel Z. Chronic immune activation in common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) is associated with elevated serum levels of soluble CD14 and CD25 but not endotoxaemia. Clin Exp Immunol. 2012;170(3):321–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2012. 04655.x.
- Hel Z, Huijbregts RP, Xu J, Nechvatalova J, Vlkova M, Litzman J. Altered serum cytokine signature in common variable immunodeficiency. J Clin Immunol. 2014;34(8):971–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10875-014-0099-z.
- 45. North ME, Spickett GP, Webster AD, Farrant J. Raised serum levels of CD8, CD25 and beta 2-microglobulin in common variable immunodeficiency. Clin Exp Immunol. 1991;86(2):252–5. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.1991.tb05805.x.

- 46. van Stigt AC, Dalm VASH, Nagtzaam NMA, et al. Soluble interleukin-2 receptor is a promising serum biomarker for granulomatous disease in common variable immune deficiency. J Clin Immunol. 2021;41(3):694–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10875-020-00947-8.
- Quinti I, Soresina A, Spadaro G, et al. Long-term follow-up and outcome of a large cohort of patients with common variable immunodeficiency. J Clin Immunol. 2007;27(3):308–16. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10875-007-9075-1.
- Boileau J, Mouillot G, Gérard L, et al. Autoimmunity in common variable immunodeficiency: correlation with lymphocyte phenotype in the French DEFI study. J Autoimmun. 2011;36(1):25–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2010.10.002.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.