ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Death anxiety among advanced cancer patients: a cross-sectional survey

Yang Hong¹ · Lu Yuhan¹ · Gu Youhui¹ · Wang Zhanying² · Zheng Shili¹ · Hou Xiaoting³ · Yu Wenhua⁴

Received: 12 April 2021 / Accepted: 31 December 2021 / Published online: 12 January 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

Purpose This study aimed to investigate death anxiety in advanced cancer patients and identify associated factors in the context of Chinese culture.

Methods Participants (N=270) with advanced cancer in a tertiary cancer hospital completed anonymous questionnaire surveys. Measures included the Chinese version of a Likert-type Templer-Death Anxiety Scale, Rosenberg's Self-esteem Scale, Medical Coping Modes Questionnaire, the Social Support Rating Scale, and Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale. Data were analyzed in SPSS using descriptive statistics, Student's *t* test, Pearson correlation test, and linear regression.

Results Respondents returned 252 (93.33%) of the 270 questionnaires. The total CL-TDAS score was 39.56 ± 10.20 . The top three items were "I fear dying a painful death" (3.59 ± 1.41), "I often think about how shortly life really is" (3.11 ± 1.33), and "1 am not particularly afraid of getting cancer" (3.09 ± 1.35). Associated factors of death anxiety ($R^2 = .333$, F = 15.756, p < .001) were the medical coping mode (resignation, confronce), self-esteem, the participants' adult children, the patient-primary caregivers' relationship, resilience, and the level of activity of daily living.

Conclusions Our results demonstrate high levels of death anxiety in advanced cancer patients. Generally, patients with adult children, high self-esteem and resilience had low death anxiety. Conversely, patients with low levels of activity of daily living and high coping mode (resignation, confrontation) reported high death anxiety. We determined that associated factors contributed to reduce death anxiety. Social interventions are recommended to improve the end-of-life transition for patients and caregivers.

Keywords Death anxiety · Thanatophobia · Attitude to death · Self-esteem · Coping mode · Resilience

⊠ Lu Yuhan lu_yuhan@sina.com

- ¹ Nursing Department, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China
- ² Day Oncology Unit, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China
- ³ Department of Thoracic Oncology I, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China
- ⁴ Department of Thoracic Surgery II, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China

Background

In 2020, almost 10.0 million people worldwide were estimated to die of cancer, and 3.003 million of them occurred in China [1, 2]. Awareness of the inevitable reality of death and its conflict with the strong desire for survival can arouse severe fear in a person [3]. Specifically, cancer patients are at high risk of death anxiety facing all kinds of challenges [4]. People with advanced cancer are close to death and 32% of them reported death anxiety [5].

Death anxiety is an individual's extreme fear of death and the anxiety and feelings they experience when thinking about dying or posthumous events [6]. It is a common phenomenon and natural, normal experience [7]. Moderate death anxiety is necessary to encourage engagement in positive activities and arouse individual's meaning of life. However, an abnormally high level of death anxiety may lead to maladaptation, anxiety, and other psychological disorders [8], engender avoidance [9], and stifle communication on end-of-life care [10], and medical decision making [11].

Terror management theory (TMT) is the leading and most influential theoretical approach to death anxiety. Cultural worldviews and self-esteem serve as an important anxiety buffer to manage (or "tranquilise") fear of death [12]. When one's worldview and self-awareness is threatened, people are likely to experience anxiety and defend against threats in an attempt to maintain their psychological structure, self-esteem, and faith [13]. There are cultural worldviews differences in the formation of death anxiety. Death is a taboo among Chinese people. Avoidance of discussing death among Chinese people is evident in the Confucian concept of "highlighting birth and avoiding death" [14]. It has added the mystery of death and increased people's death anxiety [3]. In support of TMT several studies have shown that when self-esteem is increased or is dispositionally high, and defensiveness in response to the threat of receiving painful electric shocks or viewing graphic images of death [5, 15], while some others did not approve the result [16]. Some scholars who supplemented TMT proposed a new defense mechanism: the pursuit and investment of close interpersonal relationships can buffer death anxiety [17, 18]. Social support is a good interpersonal relationship. It might interact with stressful events or conditions to reduce the effect of stress by contributing to people's sense of belonging to the social environment, improving their sense of confidence and self-image as well as their belief in their ability to cope with difficulties [19, 20]. Accordingly, social support may reduce or prevent the emergence of psychological distress and some studies have found its alleviating effect on death anxiety [21, 22]. To clarify the effect of self-esteem and social support on cancer patients' death anxiety can also help medical staff deal with this issue better.

In addition, coping mode is considered an active effort involving a series of cognitive and behavioral strategies to manage, reduce, or demands generated by stress. In contrast to defensive systems, coping methods usually entail a deliberate and direct approach to difficulties [23]. Resilience is the ability to maintain or rapidly regain mental health during or after stressful life experiences [24]. A study of Spanish student nurses showed that resilience was one of the key emotional competences for managing anxiety around death and dying [25]. Some previous studies among cancer patients have found that resilience plays a mediating role to regulate mental states and social support [26, 27]. Therefore, studies on the association among coping mode, resilience, and death anxiety are actually needed.

With the development of hospice and palliative care during the past few decades in China, death anxiety is getting more and more attention. But studies on death anxiety in China are few. This quantitative study was to describe the status of death anxiety among Chinese patients with advanced cancer and explore factors associated with death anxiety, so as to alleviate further distress among Chinese patients with advanced cancer.

Methods

Participants and procedures

This is a cross-sectional study of a convenience sample of patients with advanced cancer in a tertiary cancer hospital in Beijing, China conducted from June to August 2020. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age \geq 18 years, (2) a stage III/IV cancer diagnosis through pathological examination, (3) ability to communicate normally and understand the investigation content, and (4) voluntary participation. Patients who were taking antipsychotic drugs and/or had other serious life-threatening diseases were excluded.

A priori power test was performed using GPower3.1 [28]. A linear multiple regression test was used, with $\alpha = 0.05$, two tails; an effect size of d = 0.15; and a power $(1-\beta)$ of 0.99 to compute the required sample size, which was n = 125. Seventeen (17) of the 270 questionnaires were excluded because they had over 30% missing data. The remaining 252 responses were analyzed (effective response rate 93.3%).

Measurements

Sociodemographic and disease-specific characteristics included: (1) individual factors—gender, age, education status, marital status, working status, religious belief, family monthly income, medical insurance, and experience of losing a loved relative/friend; (2) interpersonal factors primary caregiver of patients, the number of children, and adult children; and (3) disease-specific factors—cancer diagnosis, stage of cancer, understanding the disease, treatment methods, activity of daily living (ADL), and cancer pain. Understanding the disease was defined as "awareness of knowledge of cancer and status of their own condition," ranging from "not at all" to "fully understand." ADL was measured by the Barthel index [29], which included 10 items (i.e., self-feeding, self-bathing, grooming, getting dressed) Accordingly, a higher score means a better level of ADL.

Death anxiety

Templer's Death Anxiety Scale was used to measure the level of death anxiety, including a total of 15 items [30]. The scale has been translated into multiple languages with high reliability and validity. Factor analysis in different studies found three to five factors for DAS and identified it as a multidimensional scale [31]. In 2010, we developed a Chinese cross-cultural adaptation of the T-DAS into the

CT-DAS with good reliability and validity. The CT-DAS was originally a 15-item scale with a true/false format. In 2012, we evaluated and validated the performance of the 5-point Likert-type CT-DAS (CL-TDAS) on cancer patients [32]. The minimum score on the DAS indicates that the individual was not afraid of death. Our results showed reliable performance and confirmed it as a promising instrument to evaluate death anxiety among cancer patients. The internal consistency of the instrument was $\alpha = 0.824$.

Self-esteem

The Rosenberg's Self-esteem Scale (RSES) [33]was used to measure patients' global self-esteem, overall feelings of self-worth and acceptance [34]. The questionnaire consisted of 10 items: 6 items assessed positive self-esteem, e.g., "In general, I am satisfied with myself"; 4 items assessed selfdeprecation, e.g., "I am inclined to take myself as a failure." The items were rated on a 4-point Likert scale from 1 (not at all true) to 4 (very true). The total score was the sum of item responses and ranged from 10 to 40; higher scores represented higher self-esteem. The overall scale had good internal reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.833$).

Coping mode

The Medical Coping Modes Questionnaire (MCMQ) [35] is a valuable tool widely used in China to measure coping mode. It contains three subscales and 20 questions. MCMQ scales were ranked from 1 to 4 assessment levels. Eight questions scored reversely. The three coping mode subscales of "confronce," "avoidance," and "resignation" were used to evaluate three corresponding strategies in patients. The individual subscales had good internal reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.69, 0.60, \text{ and } 0.76$).

Social support

SY Xiao's [36] Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS) was used to measure the level of social support. The scale contains 10 questions divided into three dimensions: subjective support (questions 1, 3–5), objective support (questions 2, 6–7), and utilization of support (questions 8–10). The total score of the three dimensions ranged from 12 to 66; higher scores indicated higher levels of social support. The total scale was reliable (Cronbach's α =0.680).

Resilience

KM Connor and JR Davidson developed the original Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) in 2003. It is a 25-item scale that assesses resilience within 1 month, and higher scores indicate higher resilience capacity. Each item is rated on a 5-point range of responses from 0 (not true at all) to 4 (true nearly all the time). The total score ranges from 0 to 100. A preliminary study of its psychometric properties in the general population and patient samples showed adequate internal consistency, test–retest reliability, and convergent and divergent validity [37]. Yu Xiaonan developed the Chinese version of the CD-RISC [38]. The internal consistency of this version was 0.91, and it showed a three-factor structure of tenacity, self-improvement, and optimism in the Chinese population [38].

Statistical analysis

SPSS software v.20.0 was used for data input and statistical analyses. Patient characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics. The total scores of CL-TDAS were tested by normality plots with tests; the scores belonged to normal distribution data. Pearson correlation, the chi-squared, independent-sample *t* test, one-way ANOVA test, and Tukey HSD test were used to explore factors associated with death anxiety. Multiple regression analyses were then performed. The dependent variable was death anxiety, and the stepwise variable selection method was adopted. Significance was accepted as p < 0.05.

Ethical considerations

The Institutional Biomedical Ethics Committee reviewed and approved the study protocol (Nr.2020KT19). Investigators provided detailed explanations about the aims and procedures of the study and then obtained informed consent from all participants prior to study participation.

Results

Participants' characteristics

Participants' sociodemographic and disease-specific characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Death anxiety level

The CL-TDAS score was 39.56 ± 10.20 . All 15 items were arranged in descending order by score. The top three items were "I fear dying a painful death" (3.59 ± 1.41) , "I often think about how short life is" (3.11 ± 1.33) , and "I am not particularly afraid of getting cancer" (3.09 ± 1.35) (Table 2).

Factors related to death anxiety

We analyzed the relationships between death anxiety and each variable (Table 3). Death anxiety was negatively

correlated with self-esteem (r = -0.396, p < 0.01) and resilience (r = -0.380, p < 0.01) and positively correlated with resignation (r = 0.426, p < 0.01).

Factors associated with death anxiety were age, primary caregivers, adult children, treatment methods (targeted therapy, supportive therapy), and ADL from

Patient individual factors 27–83 (range) 52.47 13.51 0.012* Gender Female 94(37.3) 40.65 10.42 0.194 Education staus(n=250) Primary school 16(6.3) 37.00 10.39 0.750 Secondary school 116(46.0) 39.89 10.66 10.42 0.194 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.64 10.35 0.100 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.64 10.35 0.100 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.81 10.65 0.100 Mariad 221(87.7) 39.71 10.14 Widowed/ divorced 13(5.2) 34.20 12.20 Working status Employed 85(33.7) 41.21 10.05 0.100 Religious belief No 238(94.4) 39.55 10.06 0.86 Ves 14(5.6) 39.71 12.76 0.53 10.23 0.80 10.23 0.80 10.23 0.86 0.253			n(%)	Mean	SD	р
Age27-83(range)52.4713.510.012*GenderFemale94(37.3)40.6510.420.134Male158(62.7)38.9210.69Feducation status(n=250)Primary school16(6.3)37.0010.390.750Secondary school51(20.2)38.9110.6910.6910.69Marital statusUniversity67(26.6)39.640.1510.69Marital statusUniversity67(26.6)39.4110.6110.61Marital statusEmployed53(3.7)41.2110.050.100Marital statusEmployed53(3.7)41.2110.050.00Working statusEmployed63(25.0)39.8710.7310.73Religious beliefNo238(3.4)39.5510.060.966Monthly income (\$)(n=250)Yes14(5.6)39.1210.0410.23Medical insurancesYes236(9.37)38.6310.0410.23Medical insurancesYes10(3.57)40.8310.2310.73Interpersonal factorsYes10(3.7)38.8810.7310.73Interpersonal factorsYes12(6.53)39.8910.110.025*Mumber of children (n=229)Yes12(6.53)39.8210.140.054Marital status240(95.1)38.889.0510.05Interpersonal factorsYes12(6.53)39.7210.44Mumber of children (n=229)Yes12(6.53)	Patient individual factors					
Gender Female 94(37.3) 40.65 10.42 0.194 Male 158(6.27) 38.92 10.04 Education status(n=250) Primary school 51(20.2) 38.91 10.69 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.64 10.79 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.64 10.10 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.64 0.110 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.64 0.100 Working status Employed 85(33.7) 41.1 10.05 0.100 Retired No 238(94.4) 39.55 10.06 0.966 Monthly income (\$)(n=250) < 464.00*	Age		27-83(range)	52.47	13.51	0.012*
Male158(62.7)38.9210.04Education status(n=250)Primary school16(6.3)37.000.750Secondary school51(0.2)38.9110.69High school116(6.60)39.989.81University67(26.6)39.640.135Marital statusUniversity67(26.6)39.640.100Marited21(87.7)39.7110.14Moved/ divoreed13(5.2)34.2012.20Working statusEmployed63(25.0)39.870.000Religious beliefNo238(94.4)38.039.86Yes14(5.6)39.7112.760.004Monthly income (\$)(n=250)464.00°58(23.0)39.1210.0428.01-1546.6752(20.6)40.8410.4929.01-1546.6752(20.6)40.8410.49Terpersonal factorsYes236(93.7)10.23No16(6.3)10.7310.2310.23Number of childrenZero24(1.95.2)39.8910.11Adut children (n=229)Yes215(85.3)39.7210.4923116432.6610.3410.35Adut children (n=229)Yes172(8.3)38.2610.342215(85.3)39.7210.442.34Adut children (n=229)Yes172(8.3)38.2610.34Adut children (n=229)Yes16(6.3)31.6310.35Disease-related factorsYes172(8.3)38.26	Gender	Female	94(37.3)	40.65	10.42	0.194
Education status(n=250) Primary school 16(6.3) 37.00 10.39 0.750 Scondary school 51(20.2) 38.91 10.69 University 67(26.6) 39.64 10.35 Marital status University 67(26.6) 39.64 10.13 Marital status Unmarried 18(7.1) 41.67 8.60 0.110 Maried 22(87.7) 39.71 10.14 10.05 0.100 Mored/divorced 13(5.2) 34.20 12.20 0.100 Retired 100(41.3) 38.03 39.86 0.966 Yes 14(5.6) 39.71 10.73 0.555 Monthly income (5(n=250) <464.00°		Male	158(62.7)	38.92	10.04	
Secondary school 51(20.2) 38.91 10.69 High school 116(46.0) 39.88 9.81 University 67(26.6) 39.64 10.35 Marital status Unimaried 18(7.1) 41.67 8.60 0.110 Marited 221(87.7) 39.71 10.14 10.05 0.100 Marited 13(5.2) 34.20 12.20 12.20 Working status Employed 85(33.7) 41.21 10.05 0.100 Religious belief No 238(94.4) 39.55 10.06 0.966 Monthly income (\$)(n=250) 464.00° 58(23.0) 39.12 10.04 0.585 464.01-928.00 80(31.7) 38.63 10.04 0.585 Medical insurances Yes 236(93.7) 0.253 0.800 n=249) Yes 236(93.7) 0.68 9.18 Interpersonal factors Unclated person 12(4.8) 3.13 10.27 Number of children (n=229) Yes 230	Education status($n = 250$)	Primary school	16(6.3)	37.00	10.39	0.750
High school116(46.0)39.989.81Marital statusUniversity67(26.6)39.4610.35Marital statusMarried13(5.2)34.2012.20Working statusEmployed85(33.7)34.2010.05Moried13(5.2)34.2010.050.100Retired104(41.3)38.039.86Moried53(33.7)41.2110.050.100Religious beliefNo2380(4.4)39.5510.73Religious beliefYes14(5.6)39.7112.76Monthly income (\$)(n=250)<464.00*		Secondary school	51(20.2)	38.91	10.69	
Marital statusUniversity67(26.6)39.6410.35Marital statusUmarried18(7.1)4.1678.600.110Married12(187.7)39.7110.14Widowed/ divorced13(5.2)34.2012.20Working statusEmployed85(33.7)41.2110.050.100Retired104(41.3)8.039.86Unemployed63(25.0)39.8710.73Retigious beliefNo238(94.4)39.5510.060.585Monthly income (\$)(n=250)<464.00*		High school	116(46.0)	39.98	9.81	
Marital status Ummaried 18(7.1) 41.67 8.60 0.110 Marited 221(87.7) 39.71 10.14 Widowed/divorced 13(5.2) 34.20 12.20 Working status Employed 85(33.7) 41.21 10.05 0.100 Retired 104(41.3) 38.03 9.86		University	67(26.6)	39.64	10.35	
Married221(87.7)39.7110.14Working statusWidowed/ divorced13(5.2)34.2012.20Working statusRetired104(41.3)38.039.86Retired104(41.3)38.039.8710.73Religious beliefNo63(25.0)39.8710.73Monthly income (\$)(n=250)<464.00*	Marital status	Unmarried	18(7.1)	41.67	8.60	0.110
Widowed/ divorced13(5.2)34.2012.20Working statusEmployed85(33.7)41.2110.050.100Retired104(41.3)38.039.869.86Unemployed63(25.0)39.8710.730.66Retligious beliefNo238(94.4)39.5510.060.966Monthly income (\$)(n=250)<464.00*		Married	221(87.7)	39.71	10.14	
Working status Employed 85(33.7) 41.21 10.05 0.100 Retired 104(41.3) 38.03 9.86 Religious belief No 238(94.4) 39.55 10.06 0.966 Yes 14(5.6) 39.71 12.76 0.064 0.966 Monthly income (\$)(n=250) 464.01-928.00 80(31.7) 38.63 10.04 0.585 464.01-928.00 80(31.7) 38.63 10.04 0.585 928.01-1546.67 52(0.6) 40.43 10.23 0.06 Medical insurances Yes 236(93.7) 38.88 10.87 0.176 7.4249 Yes 230(93.7) 38.88 10.87 0.176 7.4249 Yes 240(95.2) 39.89 0.11 0.025* 10.424 7.91 0.054 10.23 10.43 30.31 10.37 10.424 7.91 0.054 12.48 33.13 10.37 0.59 10.44 0.08** Number of children <t< td=""><td></td><td>Widowed/ divorced</td><td>13(5.2)</td><td>34.20</td><td>12.20</td><td></td></t<>		Widowed/ divorced	13(5.2)	34.20	12.20	
Retired104(41.3)38.039.86Unemployed $63(25.0)$ 39.87 10.73 Religious beliefNo $238(94.4)$ 39.55 10.06 No $238(94.4)$ 39.55 10.06 0.966 Yes $14(56.6)$ 39.71 12.76 Monthly income (\$)(n =250) $<464.00^{\circ}$ $58(23.0)$ 39.12 10.04 0.585 $464.01^{-928.00}$ $80(31.7)$ 38.63 10.04 $928.01-1546.67$ $60(23.8)$ 40.33 10.23 Medical insurancesYes $236(93.7)$ 0.253 0.800 No $16(6.3)$ No $10(39.7)$ 40.68 9.18 Interpersonal factorsYes $100(39.7)$ 40.68 9.18 0.025° Number of childrenZero $2(0(52.2)$ 39.89 10.11 0.025° Number of children (n =229)Yes $10(39.7)$ 38.26 6.22 Adult children (n =229)Yes $72(68.3)$ 39.72 10.49 Sease-related factors Ves $72(68.3)$ 38.26 0.34 Disease-related factors Ves $30(11.9)$ 41.39 9.65 Suge of cancerRespiratory system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.59 0.441 Digestive system $68(27.0)$ 40.53 11.68 600.75 Stage of cancerIII $60(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 0.457 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 10.65 IV 10.55 10.65 <t< td=""><td>Working status</td><td>Employed</td><td>85(33.7)</td><td>41.21</td><td>10.05</td><td>0.100</td></t<>	Working status	Employed	85(33.7)	41.21	10.05	0.100
Inemployed 63(25.0) 39.87 10.73 Religious belief No 238(94.4) 39.55 10.06 0.966 Monthly income (\$)(n=250) <464.00*	2	Retired	104(41.3)	38.03	9.86	
Religious beliefNo238(94.4)39.5510.060.966Yes14(5.6)39.7112.76Monthly income (\$)($n = 250$) 464.00° 58(23.0)39.1210.040.585 $464.01 - 928.00$ 80(31.7)38.6310.04928.01-1546.6752(20.6)40.8410.49 $28.01 - 1546.67$ 60(23.8)40.3310.230.800No16(6.3)10.81Medical insurancesYes236(93.7)40.689.180.176 $n = 249$)Yes100(39.7)40.689.180.176Therpersonal factorsUnrelated person12(4.8)33.1310.37Number of childrenZero26(10.3)41.247.910.054 $1 -2$ 215(85.3)39.7210.490.008**No57(22.6)42.489.900.0140.008**Disease-related factorsVes172(8.3)38.2610.340.008**Disease-related factorsVes172(8.3)38.2610.340.008**Cancer diagnosisRespiratory system86(34.1)37.639.590.441Disease-related factorsUnrelated person12(4.8)37.7012.28Disease-related factorsUnrelated person9(7.5)40.569.86Lymphoma30(11.9)41.399.659.65Breast25(9.9)40.9411.5511.68Understand in the visces ($n = 251$)Not at all69(27.4)39.7410.730.457		Unemployed	63(25.0)	39.87	10.73	
Yes14(5.6) 39.71 12.76 $464.00*$ Monthly income (\$)($n=250$) $<464.00*$ $58(23.0)$ 39.12 10.04 0.585 $464.01-928.00$ $80(31.7)$ 38.63 10.04 0.585 $464.01-928.00$ $80(31.7)$ 38.63 10.04 0.585 $Medical insurances$ Yes $22(0.6)$ 40.84 10.49 > 1546.67 $60(23.8)$ 40.33 10.23 0.253 0.800 No $16(6.3)$ 0.253 0.800 No $16(6.3)$ 0.76 Experience of losing loved relative/ friend($n=249$)No $149(59.1)$ 38.88 10.87 0.176 Patient-primary caregivers relationshipRelatives $240(95.2)$ 39.89 10.11 $0.025*$ Number of childrenZero $26(10.3)$ 41.24 7.91 0.54 $1-2$ $215(85.3)$ 39.72 10.49 0.69 $Adult children (n=229)Yes172(68.3)38.2610.340.008**No57(22.6)42.489.900.54Disease-related factors0.6(34.1)37.639.590.4410.560.57(22.6)42.489.900.6410.580.6(34.1)37.639.590.4410.560.6(34.1)37.639.590.4410.560.6(34.1)37.639.590.4410.580.6(34.1)37.639.590.4410.58$	Religious belief	No	238(94.4)	39.55	10.06	0.966
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C	Yes	14(5.6)	39.71	12.76	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Monthly income $(\$)(n=250)$	<464.00*	58(23.0)	39.12	10.04	0.585
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		464.01-928.00	80(31.7)	38.63	10.04	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		928.01-1546.67	52(20.6)	40.84	10.49	
Medical insurancesYes $236(93.7)$ 0.253 0.800 No16(6.3)Experience of losing loved relative/ friend($n=249$)No149(59.1) 38.88 10.87 0.176 Interpersonal factorsInterpersonal factorsPatient-primary caregivers relationshipRelatives $240(95.2)$ 39.89 10.11 0.025^* Number of childrenZero $26(10.3)$ 41.24 7.91 0.54 Adult children ($n=229$)Yes $172(68.3)$ 38.26 10.34 0.008^{**} Disease-related factorsNo $57(22.6)$ 42.48 9.90 0.441 Disease-related factorsDigestive system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.59 0.441 Quigersive system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.59 0.441 Digestive system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.59 0.441 Quigersive system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.56 86 Quigersive system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.56 86 Quigersive system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.56 86 Quigersive system		>1546.67	60(23.8)	40.33	10.23	
No16(6.3)Experience of losing loved relative/ friend($n=249$)No149(59.1)38.8810.870.176Yes100(39.7)40.689.181010.025*Interpersonal factors124(9)33.1310.370.176Patient-primary caregivers relationshipRelatives240(95.2)39.8910.110.025*Number of childrenZero26(10.3)41.247.910.0541-2215(85.3)39.7210.492311(4.4)32.646.22Adult children (n=229)Yes172(68.3)38.2610.340.008**No57(22.6)42.489.900.0569.86Disease-related factors1.99.651.15Cancer diagnosisRespiratory system86(34.1)37.639.590.441Digestive system30(11.9)41.399.659.65Jymphoma30(11.9)41.399.659.65Joreas erelated factors12(4.8)37.7012.98Cancer diagnosisRespiratory system12(4.8)37.7012.98Digestive system12(4.8)37.7012.980.457Stage of cancerIII69(27.4)39.7410.730.457IV183(72.6)39.5010.021.4490.60At all9(3.6)44.996.000.089Party understand81(32.1)40.6410.671.449	Medical insurances	Yes	236(93.7)		0.253	0.800
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		No	16(6.3)			
$n=249$)Yes $100(39.7)$ 40.68 9.18 Interpersonal factorsPatient-primary caregivers relationshipRelatives $240(95.2)$ 39.89 10.11 0.025^* Number of childrenZero $26(10.3)$ 41.24 7.91 0.054 $1-2$ $215(85.3)$ 39.72 10.49 ≥ 3 $11(4.4)$ 32.64 6.22 Adult children ($n=229$)Yes $172(68.3)$ 38.26 10.34 No $57(22.6)$ 42.48 9.90 Disease-related factors $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Cancer diagnosisRespiratory system $68(27.0)$ 40.56 9.86 Lymphoma $30(11.9)$ 41.39 9.65 Breast $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 Understanding the disease ($n=251$)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089	Experience of losing loved relative/ friend(No	149(59.1)	38.88	10.87	0.176
	n=249)	Yes	100(39.7)	40.68	9.18	
Patient-primary caregivers relationshipRelatives $240(95.2)$ 39.89 10.11 0.025^* Number of childrenZero $26(10.3)$ 41.24 7.91 0.054 $1-2$ $215(85.3)$ 39.72 10.49 ≥ 3 $11(4.4)$ 32.64 6.22 Adult children ($n=229$)Yes $172(68.3)$ 38.26 10.34 0.008^{**} No $57(22.6)$ 42.48 9.90 9.000 9.0000 Disease-related factors $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Interpersonal factors					
Line LineUnrelated person12(4.8)33.1310.37Number of childrenZero $26(10.3)$ 41.24 7.91 0.054 $1-2$ $215(85.3)$ 39.72 10.49 ≥ 3 $11(4.4)$ 32.64 6.22 Adult children ($n=229$)Yes $172(68.3)$ 38.26 10.34 No $57(22.6)$ 42.48 9.90 Disease-related factors Ves $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Patient-primary caregivers relationship	Relatives	240(95.2)	39.89	10.11	0.025*
Number of childrenZero26(10.3)41.247.910.054 $1-2$ 215(85.3)39.7210.49 ≥ 3 11(4.4)32.646.22Adult children (n=229)Yes172(68.3)38.2610.340.008**No57(22.6)42.489.900Disease-related factorsEE1000000000000000000000000000000000000		Unrelated person	12(4.8)	33.13	10.37	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number of children	Zero	26(10.3)	41.24	7.91	0.054
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1–2	215(85.3)	39.72	10.49	
Adult children $(n=229)$ Yes172(68.3)38.2610.340.008**No57(22.6)42.489.900Disease-related factors 37.63 9.590.441Cancer diagnosisRespiratory system86(34.1)37.639.590.441Digestive system68(27.0)40.569.86 10.34 0.008**Lymphoma30(11.9)41.399.65 $30(11.9)$ 41.399.65Breast25(9.9)40.9411.55 $30(11.9)$ 9.44Genital system12(4.8)37.7012.98 0 thers19(7.5)40.5311.68Stage of cancerIII69(27.4)39.7410.730.457 $1V$ 183(72.6)39.5010.02Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all9(3.6)44.996.000.089 0.089		>3	11(4.4)	32.64	6.22	
No $57(22.6)$ 42.48 9.90 Disease-related factorsRespiratory system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.59 0.441 Digestive system $68(27.0)$ 40.56 9.86 Lymphoma $30(11.9)$ 41.39 9.65 Breast $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 40.71 9.44 Genital system $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease ($n=251$)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089	Adult children $(n=229)$	Yes	172(68.3)	38.26	10.34	0.008**
Disease-related factorsCancer diagnosisRespiratory system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.59 0.441 Digestive system $68(27.0)$ 40.56 9.86 Lymphoma $30(11.9)$ 41.39 9.65 Breast $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 40.71 9.44 Genital system $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089 Partly understand $81(32.1)$ 40.64 10.67		No	57(22.6)	42.48	9.90	
Cancer diagnosisRespiratory system $86(34.1)$ 37.63 9.59 0.441 Digestive system $68(27.0)$ 40.56 9.86 Lymphoma $30(11.9)$ 41.39 9.65 Breast $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 40.71 9.44 Genital system $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089 Partly understand $81(32.1)$ 40.64 10.67	Disease-related factors					
Digestive system $68(27.0)$ 40.56 9.86 Lymphoma $30(11.9)$ 41.39 9.65 Breast $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 40.71 9.44 Genital system $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 0.457 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089	Cancer diagnosis	Respiratory system	86(34.1)	37.63	9.59	0.441
Lymphoma $30(11.9)$ 41.39 9.65 Breast $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 40.71 9.44 Genital system $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 0.457 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease ($n=251$)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089	5	Digestive system	68(27.0)	40.56	9.86	
Breast $25(9.9)$ 40.94 11.55 Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 40.71 9.44 Genital system $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 0.457 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089 Partly understand $81(32.1)$ 40.64 10.67		Lymphoma	30(11.9)	41.39	9.65	
Bone and soft tissue $12(4.8)$ 40.71 9.44 Genital system $12(4.8)$ 37.70 12.98 Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 0.457 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease ($n=251$)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089 Partly understand $81(32.1)$ 40.64 10.67		Breast	25(9.9)	40.94	11.55	
Genital system12(4.8) 37.70 12.98Others19(7.5)40.5311.68Stage of cancerIII69(27.4)39.7410.730.457IV183(72.6)39.5010.02Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all9(3.6)44.996.000.089Partly understand81(32.1)40.6410.67		Bone and soft tissue	12(4.8)	40.71	9.44	
Others $19(7.5)$ 40.53 11.68 Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 0.457 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089 Partly understand $81(32.1)$ 40.64 10.67		Genital system	12(4.8)	37.70	12.98	
Stage of cancerIII $69(27.4)$ 39.74 10.73 0.457 IV $183(72.6)$ 39.50 10.02 Understanding the disease (n=251)Not at all $9(3.6)$ 44.99 6.00 0.089 Partly understand $81(32.1)$ 40.64 10.67		Others	19(7.5)	40.53	11.68	
Image: Note of the sease (n=251)Image: Note of the s	Stage of cancer	Ш	69(27.4)	39.74	10.73	0.457
Understanding the disease $(n=251)$ Not at all 9(3.6) 44.99 6.00 0.089 Partly understand $81(32.1)$ 40.64 10.67	<u>.</u>	IV	183(72.6)	39.50	10.02	
Partly understand 81(32.1) 40.64 10.67	Understanding the disease $(n=251)$	Not at all	9(3.6)	44.99	6.00	0.089
		Partly understand	81(32.1)	40.64	10.67	

Fully understand

161(63.9)

38.62

10.00

Table 1 (continued)

		n(%)	Mean	SD	р
Treatment methods (multiple-choice)	Radiotherapy	60(23.8)	38.34	9.73	0.289
	Chemotherapy	210(83.3)	39.07	10.31	0.085
	Surgical treatment	56(22.2)	40.48	11.15	0.448
	Targeted therapy	56(22.2)	37.15	10.18	0.045*
	Immunotherapy	69(27.4)	40.01	8.96	0.670
	Supportive therapy	4(1.6)	50.12	10.16	0.037*
Activity of daily living	Independence	171(67.8)	38.37	9.90	0.015*
	Mild dependence	60(23.8)	41.07	9.86	
	Moderate dependence	14(5.6)	46.46	7.69	
	Severe dependence	4(1.6)	46.50	16.94	
	Dependence	3(1.2)	36.00	20.42	
Cancer pain	No	167(66.3)	39.10	10.28	0.312
	Yes	85(33.7)	40.48	10.04	
If Yes	Use analgesic	42(16.7)	39.85	11.01	0.759
	No use analgesic	43(17.1)	40.53	0.01	

* p < .05, **p < .01 ** \$1 = ¥ 6.4678 (Jul 1, 2021)

Table 2 Scores for CL-TDAS

(N = 252)

bivariate analyses, which showed statistical significance (see Table 1). Variables of self-esteem, medical coping mode, and reliance were related to death anxiety (p < 0.05)(Table 3). The results from the stepwise multiple regression analysis showed that the medical coping mode (resignation, confronce), self-esteem, adult children, primary caregiver, resilience, and ADL were associated with death anxiety ($R^2 = 0.333$, F = 15.756, p < 0.001; Table 4).

Discussion

Death anxiety among Chinese patients with advanced cancer

This study showed that all Chinese patients with advanced cancer experienced some degree of death

Items	Min	Max	Mean	SD
I fear dying a painful death	1.0	5.0	3.59	1.41
I often think about how short life is	1.0	5.0	3.11	1.33
I am not particularly afraid of getting cancer	1.0	5.0	3.09	1.35
I am not at all afraid of death	1.0	5.0	2.96	1.38
The thought of death never bothers me	1.0	5.0	2.70	1.33
*I dread to think about having to receive treatment for cancer	1.0	5.0	2.69	1.26
The subject of life after death troubles me greatly	1.0	5.0	2.61	1.17
I am very much afraid to die	1.0	5.0	2.54	1.27
I am often distressed by the way time flies so very rapidly	1.0	5.0	2.52	1.24
I feel that the further holds noting for me to fear	1.0	5.0	2.50	1.29
The thought of death seldom enters my minds	1.0	5.0	2.47	1.26
I am really scared of having a heart attack	1.0	5.0	2.41	1.25
The sight of a dead body is horrifying to me	1.0	5.0	2.38	1.27
It doesn't make me nervous when people talk about death	1.0	5.0	2.35	1.24
I shudder when I hear people talking about a World War III	1.0	5.0	1.67	0.84
Total	15.0	64.0	39.56	10.20

*Item 4 "I dread to think about having to have an operation" changed to "I dread to think about having to receive treatment for cancer" after review by the Institutional Biomedical Ethics Committee

Table 3 Descriptive Statistics and Inter-correlations of all Variables

	Mean	SD	r	р
Self-esteem	31.68	3.98	-0.396**	0.000
Medical Coping Mode				
Confronce	18.83	3.60	0.103	0.104
Avoidance	15.60	2.93	0.025	0.690
Resignation	8.90	2.65	0.426**	0.000
Social Support	42.02	8.34	-0.023	0.721
Subjective support	25.03	5.41	0.009	0.889
Objective support	10.14	3.53	-0.067	0.291
Utilization of support	6.85	1.91	0.000	0.997
Resilience	12.65	5.15	-0.380**	0.000
Tenacity	36.37	7.72	-0.277**	0.000
Self-improvement	24.38	4.68	-0.439**	0.000
Optimism	10.44	2.89	-0.329**	0.000

** p < 0.01

anxiety. This result is similar to those reported by Vehling S [39] and Nazari F [40] among cancer patients. Patients with advanced cancer need to be optimistic to avert thanatophobia [41]. In China, patients often enter the advanced stage as soon as they are diagnosed with cancer. As a result, patients and their families are forced to face death almost immediately. The first three items in descending order were "I fear dying a painful death," "I often think about how shortly life really is," and "1 am not particularly afraid of getting cancer," which reflected patients' genuine feelings. Patients suffer a tremendous amount of discomfort from cancer, its treatments and the side effects of treatment. Kyota and Kanda suggested that healthcare professionals, including nurses, had a responsibility to understand this suffering and its effect on their patients' lives [42] to reduce the distress of facing death.

Table 4	Multiple	Regression	Analysis
---------	----------	------------	----------

Factors related to death anxiety among Chinese advanced cancer patients

Coping mode

Our findings indicated that both resignation and confronce were related to death anxiety. Coping is a complex, multidimensional attitudinal, and behavioral process with diverse strategies. When patients face debilitating challenges related to cancer, they adopt different ways to deal with, discuss and understand the disease, and acquire information about it, and participate in the treatment process. Although persons' performances differ, resignation or confronce are often strategic coping modes for death anxiety. Resignation coping mode is a passive process to hinder patients from becoming open to and acknowledge physical and emotional aspects of their current situation. A previous study pointed out that fear of death leads to some maladaptive coping behaviors [43]. The causal relationship between death anxiety and coping mode needs to be further studied.

Self-esteem

Our study showed a moderately significant negative correlation between self-esteem and death anxiety. Self-esteem is an anxiety-buffering function [44]. Researchers confirm that selfesteem is negatively correlated with death anxiety in healthy individuals and can alleviate death anxiety [45, 46]. Neel found that self-esteem was a protective factor against death anxiety among 60 cancer patients [5]. Therefore, it is helpful for patients with advanced cancer to find meaning in life by reminding them of things they did well in the past to recall their life journey and the joy it brought to relatives and friends. Encouraging patients to discuss their cancer-fighting experience and feelings with each other can be beneficial. These are all excellent ways to build confidence and self-esteem.

Variable	Partial Regression Coefficient	Standard error	Standardized regression coefficient	t	р
Constant	50.084	8.048	_	6.223	0.000***
Medical coping mode(resignation)	1.068	0.238	0.273	4.485	0.000***
Self- esteem	-0.574	0.171	-0.220	-3.349	0.001***
Medical coping mode(confronce)	0.465	0.158	0.164	2.936	0.004**
Adult children	-3.402	1.328	-0.142	-2.561	0.011*
Primary caregivers	6.577	2.680	0.136	2.454	0.015*
Resilience	-0.108	0.051	-0.142	-2.119	0.035*
Level of self-dependence	-1.484	0.723	-0.114	-2.053	0.041*

p < .05, p < .01, p < .01, p < .001

Resilience

The findings indicated that resilience was correlated with death anxiety; that is, patients with higher resilience reported lower death anxiety. Resilience is important for self-protection. Hoelterhoff and Chung found that coping mechanisms allowed participants to develop resilience against the effects of death anxiety and minimize its negative impact on mental health [47]. Interventions to promote resilience should be available for cancer patients [24] to help them deal with their impending death.

Demographic and medical conditions

We found that death anxiety among patients with adult children was significantly lower than those with minor children, and the patients cared for by nonrelated caregivers had lower death anxiety (Table 1). They had a lower sense of burden on the family. During the current COVID-19 pandemic, selfcare became more important. Patients had lower death anxiety for the same reason that they reduced the burden on their families by good self-care.

We also explored the influence of individual factors on patients' death anxiety. Age was negatively correlated with death anxiety; however, it was not a variable included in the multiple regression analysis. Religious belief has been frequently discussed in many death anxiety–related studies. It was reported that approximately 73.56% of China's population (i.e., more than a billion people) was irreligious [48]. Here, patients with irreligious beliefs accounted for 94.4%.

Support obtained from family members, siblings, friends, and health care professionals is an essential source of comfort for cancer patients, particularly support received from family or spouse helps in adaptation to bodily illness [49]. We did not find a relationship between death anxiety and social support, although this relationship has been previously reported among different cancer patients [50, 51].

Study limitations

The present study had several limitations. First, some patients declined to participate when they learned that the topic was about death anxiety. As these patients did not meet the inclusion criteria, we did not count how many people refused to. This sensitivity to death suggests an underestimation of death anxiety. Second, the CL-TDAS was a composite measure of death anxiety, but considering complete factor analysis for this version had not been done among Chinese cancer patients, only the total scores of the whole scale and items were analyzed in this study. Additionally, we used a quantitative technique, although a qualitative approach can better describe participants' inner experiences of death anxiety more systematically and subjectively. Qualitative research would have also supplemented data support for any follow-up interventions. Finally, our sample size did not permit to analysis the difference in among patients with different cancer. Therefore, our results cannot provide targeted guidance to patients with specific cancer types. This is an area for further research.

Clinical implications

Our study revealed possible factors related to death anxiety among Chinese patients with advanced cancer. Our findings suggest that medical staff should pay attention to death anxiety. The analysis of influencing factors showed the need to improve the management of pain symptoms among patients to reduce their distress in the face of painful death. In addition, further correlational and regression studies aimed to examine in depth the predictive role of death anxiety on positive constructs such as meaning of life [52], coping mode, and quality of life [53] are recommended. Additionally, interventional studies on this topic should take into account specific cognitive and behavioral stimulation exercises (such as the Relaxation Response Resiliency Program, mindfulness-based intervention) [54–56] and spirituality support [57, 58] as the main strategies to help patients find their meaning in life and improve their self-esteem and resilience to better deal with impending death.

Conclusions

The current death anxiety of advanced cancer patients is melancholic and includes fear of dying a painful death, cancer diagnosis, and short life. Generally, patients with adult children, high self-esteem, and resilience had low death anxiety, while patients with low levels of ADL and high coping mode (e.g., resignation, confronce) reported high death anxiety. These new findings may be taken into consideration when formulating a plan for the management of death anxiety in patients with advanced cancer and thereby provide effective interventions for key populations.

Acknowledgements We thank Renxiu Guo, Liyan Zhang, Jinxing Shao, Xin Guan, Wenjuan Fu, Wang Yun, and Dongqin Kang for their help in the implementation of the project. We thank all of the participants who consented to participate in the study. We acknowledge TopEdit LLC for the linguistic editing and proofreading during the preparation of this manuscript.

Author contribution Hong Yang, Yuhan Lu, Youhui Gu, and Wenhua Yu designed the study. Hong Yang, Zhanying Wang, Shili Zheng, Youhui Gu, Xiaoting Hou, and Wenhua Yu collected the clinical data. Yuhan Lu, Hong Yang, Youhui Gu, and Wenhua Yu contributed to the

data analysis and data interpretation. Hong Yang wrote the manuscript. Yuhan Lu approved the last version of the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the Science Foundation of Peking University Cancer Hospital 2020-18.

Data are available upon request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Declarations

Ethics approval This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital.

Informed consent Written informed consent was obtained.

Consent to participate Research assistants explained the study purpose, procedures, and participants' role in the study to all prospective participants before they started. Patients and family caregivers were informed that the autonomy to participate or withdraw in this study at any time was respected.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F (2021) Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLO-BOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin 71(3):209–249
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (2020, December 29).Population fact sheets. Retrieved from https://gco.iarc.fr/ today/data/factsheets/populations/160-China-fact-sheets.pdf
- Dadfar M, Lester D, Abdel-Khalek AM, Ron P (2018) Death Anxiety in Muslim Iranians: a comparison between youths, middle adults, and late adults. Illness, Crisis & Loss, 105413731879008
- Kissane DW (2012) The relief of existential suffering. Arch Intern Med 172(19):1501–1505
- Neel C, Lo C, Rydall A, Hales S, Rodin G (2015) Determinants of death anxiety in patients with advanced cancer. BMJ Support Palliat Care 5(4):373–380
- 6. M 'Esmaile GP (2012) The effectiveness of therapy on anxiety mean death in the elderly. Cult Couns Psychother 3(9):53–68
- Mercadante S, Adile C, Ferrera P, Cortegiani A, Casuccio A (2019) Symptom hyperexpression in advanced cancer patients with anxiety and depression admitted to an acute supportive/palliative care unit. Support Care Cancer 27:3081–3088
- Mohammadpour A, Sadeghmoghadam L, Shareinia H, Jahani S, Amiri F (2018) Investigating the role of perception of aging and associated factors in death anxiety among the elderly. Clin Interv Aging 13:405–410
- 9. Furer P, Walker JR (2008) Death Anxiety: a cognitive-behavioral approach. J Cogn Psychother 22(2):167–182
- Brown AJ, Shen MJ, Ramondetta LM, Bodurka DC, Giuntoli RL 2nd, Diaz-Montes T (2014) Does death anxiety affect end-of-life care discussions? Int J Gynecol Cancer 24(8):1521–1526
- 11. Sinoff G (2017) Thanatophobia (death anxiety) in the elderly: the problem of the child's inability to assess their own parent's death anxiety state. Front Med (Lausanne) 4:11
- Greenberg J, Martens A, Jonas E, Eisenstadt D, Pyszczynski T, Solomon S (2003) Psychological defense in anticipation of

anxiety: eliminating the potential for anxiety eliminates the effect of mortality salience on worldview defense. Psychol Sci 14(5):516–519

- Greenberg J (2012) Terror management theory: from genesis to revelations. In: Shaver PR, Mikulincer M (eds) Meaning, mortality, and choice: the social psychology of existential concerns. American Psychological Association, Washington D.C., pp 17–35
- Chi XQ (2018) Death taboo and death education. Med Philos 39:65–67
- 15. Routledge C (2012) Failure causes fear: the effect of self-esteem threat on death-anxiety. J Soc Psychol 152(6):665–669
- 16. Eggen AC, Reyners AKL, Shen G, Bosma I, Jalving M, Leighl NB, Liu G, Richard NM, Mah K, Shultz DB, Edelstein K, Rodin G (2020) Death anxiety in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with and without brain metastases. J Pain Symptom Manage 60(2):422-429.e1
- Mikulincer M, Florian V, Hirschberger G (2003) The existential function of close relationships: introducing death into the science of love. Pers Soc Psychol Rev 7(1):20–40
- Koole SL, Tjew-A-Sin M, Schneider IK (2014) Embodied terror management: Interpersonal touch alleviates existential concerns among individuals with low self-esteem. Psychol Sci 25(1):30–37
- Chan F, Da Silva Cardoso E, Chronister JA (eds) (2009) Understanding psychosocial adjustment to chronic illness and disability: a handbook for evidence-based practitioners in rehabilitation. Springer
- Field RJ, Schuldberg D (2011) Social-support moderated stress: a nonlinear dynamical model and the stress-buffering hypothesis. Nonlinear Dynamics Psychol Life Sci 15(1):53–85
- Catania JA, Turner HA, Choi KH, Coates TJ (1992) Coping with death anxiety: help-seeking and social support among gay men with various HIV diagnoses. AIDS 6(9):999–1005
- Smith DK, Nehemkis AM, Charter RA (1983–1984) Fear of death, death attitudes, and religious conviction in the terminally ill. Int J Psychiatry Med 13(3):221–232
- 23. Abbas MA, Eliyana PA, Ekowati DD, Saud MM, Raza MA, Wardani MR (2020) Data set on coping strategies in the digital age: The role of psychological well-being and social capital among university students in Java Timor, Surabaya, Indonesia. Data Brief 30:105583
- Ludolph P, Kunzler AM, Stoffers-Winterling J, Helmreich I, Lieb K (2019) Interventions to promote resilience in cancer patients. Dtsch Arztebl Int 116:865–872
- Fernández-Martínez E, Martín-Pérez I, Liébana-Presa C, Martínez-Fernández M, López-Alonso AI (2021) Fear of death and its relationship to resilience in nursing students: A longitudinal study. Nurse Educ Pract 55:103175
- 26. Li L, Hou Y, Li L, Hou Y, Kang F, Wei X (2020) The mediating and moderating roles of resilience in the relationship between anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic growth among breast cancer patients based on structural equation modeling: An observational study. Medicine (Baltimore) 99(50):e23273
- Ristevska-Dimitrovska G, Stefanovski P, Smichkoska S, Raleva M, Dejanova B (2015) Depression and resilience in breast cancer patients. Open Access Maced J Med Sci 3(4):661–665
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A (2007) G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods 39(2):175–191
- Liu ZY, Wu LN, Hu XY (2015) Study on the influencing factors of the activity of daily living of the elderly inpatients. J Sichuan Univ (Medical Science Edition) 46(2):311–314
- Templer DI (1970) The construction and validation of a death anxiety scale. Journal of General Psychology 82:165–177

- 31. Sharif Nia H, Ebadi A, Lehto RH, Mousavi B, Peyrovi H, Chan YH (2014) Reliability and validity of the persian version of templer death anxiety scale-extended in veterans of Iran-Iraq warfare. Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci 8(4):29–37
- 32. Yang H, Zhang J, Lu YH, Li M (2016) A Chinese version of a Likert-type death anxiety scale for colorectal cancer patients. International Journal of Nursing Sciences 3(4):337–341
- Rosenberg M (1965) Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- 34. Rosenberg M (1979) Conceiving the self. Basic Books, New York
- Folkman S, Lazarus RS, Dunkel-Schetter C, DeLongis A, Gruen RJ (1986) Dynamics of a stressful encounter: cognitive appraisal, coping, and encounter outcomes. J Pers Soc Psychol 50(5):992–1003
- Xiao SY (1994) Theoretical basis and application in research of Social Support Rating Scale. J Clin Psychiatry 4:98–100 [Chinese]
- Connor KM, Davidson JRT (2003) Development of a new resilience scale: the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale(CD–RISC). Depress Anxiety 18(2):76–82
- Yu X, Zhang J (2007) Factor analysis and psychometric evaluation of the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) in Chinese people. Soc Behav Pers 35(1):19–30
- 39. Vehling S, Malfitano C, Shnall J, Watt S, Panday T, Chiu A, Rydall A, Zimmermann C, Hales S, Rodin G, Lo C (2017) A concept map of death-related anxieties in patients with advanced cancer. BMJ Support Palliat Care 7(4):427–434
- Nazari F, Khoshnood Z, Shahrbabaki PM (2021) The relationship between authenticity and death anxiety in cancer patients. Omega (Westport) 20:30222821997603
- Fischer IC, Cripe LD, Rand KL (2018) Predicting symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients living with advanced cancer: the differential roles of hope and optimism. Support Care Cancer 26:3471–3477
- 42. Kyota A, Kanda K (2019) How to come to terms with facing death: a qualitative study examining the experiences of patients with terminal Cancer. BMC Palliat Care 18(1):33
- Menzies RE, Menzies RG (2020) Death anxiety in the time of COVID-19: theoretical explanations and clinical implications. Cogn Behav Therap 13:e19
- 44. Routledge C (2012) Failure causes fear: the effect of self-esteem threat on death anxiety. J Soc Psychol 152(6):665–669
- 45. Routledge C, Ostafin B, Juhl J, Sedikides C, Cathey C, Liao J (2010) Adjusting to death:the effects of mortality salience and self-esteem on psychological well-being, growth motivation, and maladaptive behavior. J Pers Soc Psychol 99(6):897–916

- 46. Missler M, Stroebe M, Geurtsen L, Mastenbroek M, Chmoun S, Van Der Houwen K (2012) Exploring death anxiety among elderly people: a literature review and empirical investigation. Omega-J Death Dying 64(4):357–379
- Hoelterhoff M, Chung MC (2017) Death anxiety resilience; a mixed methods investigation. Psychiatr Q 88(3):635–651
- 48. Yang Y, Huang S (2018) Religious beliefs and environmental behaviors in China. Religions 9(3):72
- Pehlivan S, Ovayolu O, Ovayolu N, Sevinc A, Camci C (2012) Relationship between hopelessness, loneliness, and perceived social support from family in Turkish patients with cancer. Support Care Cancer 20(4):733–739
- Uslu-Sahan F, Terzioglu F, Koc G (2019) Hopelessness, death anxiety, and social support of hospitalized patients with gynecologic cancer and their caregivers. Cancer Nurs 42(5):373–380
- Bibi A, Khalid MA (2020) Death anxiety, perceived social support, and demographic correlates of patients with breast cancer in Pakistan. Death Stud 44(12):787–792
- Zhang JX, Peng JX, Gao P, Huang H, Cao Y, Zheng L, Miao D (2019) Relationship between meaning in life and death anxiety in the elderly: self-esteem as a mediator. BMC Geriatr 19(1):308
- Bovero A, Leombruni P, Miniotti M, Rocca G, Torta R (2016) Spirituality, quality of life, psychological adjustment in terminal cancer patients in hospice. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 25(6):961–969
- 54. Mehta DH, Perez GK, Traeger L, Park ER, Goldman RE, Haime V, Chittenden EH, Denninger JW, Jackson VA (2016) Building resiliency in a palliative care team: a pilot study. J Pain Symptom Manage 51(3):604–608
- Zanatta F, Maffoni M, Giardini A (2020) Resilience in palliative healthcare professionals: a systematic review. Support Care Cancer 28(3):971–978
- 56. Zimmermann FF, Jordan J, Burrell B (2020) Coping with cancer mindfully: a feasibility study of a mindfulness intervention focused on acceptance and meaning in life for adults with advanced cancer. Eur J Oncol Nurs 44:101715
- 57. Freeman B (2013) CARES: An acronym organized tool for the care of the dying. J Hosp Palliat Nurs 15(3):147–153
- Freeman B (2015) Compassionate person-centered care for the dying. Springer Publishing Company, New York, pp 83–95

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.